



VERMONT
STATE LIBRARY

CLASS N^o 973

BOOK N^o St 2h

v. 2

A HISTORY OF THE PRESIDENCY
FROM 1897 TO 1916

A HISTORY OF THE PRESIDENCY FROM 1897 TO 1916

BY

EDWARD STANWOOD, LITT.D. (BOWDOIN)

New Edition

WITH ADDITIONS AND REVISIONS TO 1928 BY
CHARLES KNOWLES BOLTON



BOSTON AND NEW YORK
HOUGHTON MIFFLIN COMPANY
The Riverside Press Cambridge

1928

C

COPYRIGHT, 1912 AND 1916, BY EDWARD STANWOOD

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

The Riverside Press

CAMBRIDGE · MASSACHUSETTS

PRINTED IN THE U. S. A.

973
3426

CONTENTS

CHAPTER	PAGE
I. "IMPERIALISM" THE "PARAMOUNT" ISSUE . . .	1
II. ROOSEVELT'S ELECTION FOR A "SECOND TERM". . .	77
III. THE ERA OF "PROGRESSIVE" INSURGENCY . . .	141
IV. THE REPUBLICAN SCHISM	214
V. THE EVOLUTION OF THE PRESIDENCY	305
APPENDIX	339
INDEX	513

A HISTORY OF THE PRESIDENCY

FROM 1897 TO 1916

I

"IMPERIALISM" THE "PARAMOUNT" ISSUE

THE election of 1896 restored to the Republican party the full control of the national government in all its departments. The situation during the second half of President Cleveland's second administration was abnormal and unsatisfactory, for the government was divided against itself to an unprecedented degree. The Senate was still controlled by the Democrats and their Populist allies, by the narrowest of majorities, and the President was a Democrat; but the House of Representatives was Republican in the proportion of five of that party to two of the combined opposition.¹ Moreover, there was no real political accord between the Senate and the President. On the great issue of the times, the free and unlimited coinage of silver, they were actively antagonistic; and the closing chapter of the history of the Tariff Act of 1894 was still remembered by some leading Democratic senators, and the breach in their relations with Mr. Cleveland remained.

In such circumstances no legislation having a savor of party politics could be passed. The President was forced to rely upon Republican aid to deal with the fiscal situation — a serious deficit; and that aid was given, although the President and the Republican leaders disputed almost angrily the cause of the depletion of the gold reserve. Mr. Cleveland was no defender of the Tariff Act of 1894; but he contended that it was not true that the repeated gold loans were rendered necessary, and were made, and that their proceeds were used, to meet the deficiency in the revenue. The Republicans, on the other hand,

¹ Fifty-fourth Congress. Senate, Democrats, 39; Populists, 6; Republicans, 44; one vacancy. House of Representatives, Republicans, 252; Democrats, 93; Populists, 8; Silver, 1; Fusion, 1; vacancies, 2.

maintained that if the revenue had been sufficient the gold reserve could not have been drawn upon as it was, and that consequently the loans would not have been necessary.

The difficult situation was brought to an end when the new administration came into power. Congress was Republican in both branches,¹ and both the majority and the minority parties were more united than had been the case for a long time. The declaration in favor of free silver in the Democratic platform, and in favor of the single gold standard in that of the Republicans, in the canvass of 1896, caused a serious secession from each party, and the nominating conventions on both sides had therefore been careful to choose as candidates for seats in Congress men who could be relied upon to support the party policy on the great issue of the day.

Nevertheless the silver question was not the sole issue in the canvass of 1896, and the importance of the tariff issue must not be overlooked. In the far Western States, where the sentiment was almost unanimous in favor of free silver, the Republican campaign was conducted on the issue of Protection, as against the Wilson-Gorman tariff, and its free wool feature. It was not a successful campaign, so far as electoral votes were concerned, but it served to preserve a nucleus around which the temporary deserters clustered, at the next election.

On the other side of the political fence the situation was different. Many thousands of "Old line" Democrats voted for Mr. McKinley because of their opposition to free silver and the other radical policies championed by Mr. Bryan, and in spite of their only less serious objection to a protective tariff of which, in popular opinion, Mr. McKinley was the protagonist. Others, who could not forego that objection, voted for General Palmer. No Democrat of either of the classes opposed to Mr. Bryan was elected to Congress. But in the country, and in a certain portion of the press, the dissentient Democratic opinion made itself felt. It was urged, of course without avail, that the election had decided primarily that the people desired the establishment of the single gold standard of money, and only secondarily, if at all, that they were in favor of a protective tariff. Those who took that view maintained, accordingly, that

¹ Fifty-fifth Congress. Senate, Republicans, 46; Democrats, 34; Populists, 5; Independents, 3; Silver party, 2. House of Representatives, Republicans, 202; Democrats, 130; Populists, 21; Silver party, 3; Fusion, 1. Two of the Independents in the Senate usually acted with the Republicans.

the reformation of the currency system was the first duty of Congress and of the President, and they denounced the reversal of the programme as a virtual betrayal of the people whose mandate they had received.

Mr. McKinley made it evident in his inaugural address that he regarded a revision of the tariff as the immediate duty of the hour. Undoubtedly he personally deemed it of greater importance than the reform of the money system. But that is to be inferred rather from his speeches in Congress and as a candidate for the presidency than from his language at his inauguration. Indeed, his attitude toward the silver question was somewhat timid. He still spoke of keeping silver at parity with gold. But as for the tariff he was decided. "The people," he said, "have decided that such legislation should be had as will give ample protection and encouragement to the industries and development of our country. It is therefore earnestly hoped and expected that Congress will, at the earliest practicable moment, enact revenue legislation that shall be fair, reasonable, conservative, and just, and which, while supplying sufficient revenue for public purposes, will still be signally beneficial and helpful to every section and every enterprise of the people." He discussed the existing financial system, referred to the succession of annual deficits, assumed, without making an unnecessary argument upon the subject, that expenditures should be met by revenue rather than by loans, and set forth his opinion on the general question by remarking that "with adequate revenue secured, but not until then, we can enter upon changes in our fiscal laws."

Undoubtedly, in thus placing tariff revision first on his programme, he was in accord with the great majority of his supporters both in Congress and in the country at large. But there were two excellent reasons, from a practical point of view, why his preference was natural and wise. An attempt to establish the gold standard during the first half of Mr. McKinley's term would have been foredoomed to failure. The House of Representatives of the Fifty-fifth Congress was strongly anti-silver. If the question had been brought to a test it is doubtful if any Republican member of that body would have voted for free silver. But in the Senate it was different. As has been noted, there were 46 Republican senators, and 44 of the combined opposition, every member of which was a declared advocate of free silver. Four of the Re-

publicans were also determined advocates of the measure, beside two other senators who supported it conditionally. It would have been most unwise to submit a gold-standard bill to a Senate so constituted. Indeed, it soon appeared that the silver senators practically held the balance of power, and were resolved to make their own power felt.

The other reason for the preference of the tariff question over the currency question — if the reason just given had not been all-powerful — was the fact that the way had already been prepared for immediate action upon it. In anticipation of that which actually occurred, the Committee on Ways and Means of the Fifty-fourth Congress had for months been preparing a tariff bill. Protracted hearings were held, and a great amount of testimony was taken, no doubt with an understanding between the Committee and Mr. McKinley that an extraordinary session of Congress would be held almost immediately after the inauguration. On the 6th of March the President issued a proclamation summoning the Fifty-fifth Congress to meet on the 15th of the same month. At the beginning of the session he sent a message on the subject of the deficiency in the revenues of the government, and urged the speedy passage of a tariff act. All the Republican members of the Ways and Means Committee had been reëlected, and Mr. Speaker Reed¹ reappointed them on the committee. The bill was practically ready, and on the 19th it was reported to the House.

The modern practice of the House of Representatives in dealing with measures which are both complicated and of a partisan character, is to curb and limit actual debate by means of special rules. In this case the Committee on Rules brought in a resolution that the bill should be taken up for consideration on March 22; that "general debate" should continue for four days; that from March 26 the bill should be open to amendment in Committee of the Whole, — amendments proposed by the Committee on Ways and Means to have the preference; and that on March 31 at three o'clock the House should come to a final vote on the passage of the bill. A course of action precisely similar was laid out by the Committee on Rules of the Fifty-third Congress, when the Wilson tariff bill was brought in, in 1894, but the time allowed for proposing

¹ Reëlected Speaker by 199 votes, to 114 for Mr. Bailey of Texas, 21 for Mr. Bell of Colorado, and 1 for Mr. Newlands of Nevada.

and discussing amendments was longer. In neither case was the privilege of presenting amendments of the slightest benefit to the opposition, for the obvious reason that every such amendment, however meritorious, was certain to be rejected.

There is much to be said both for and against such a method of procedure. The traditional practice of parliamentary bodies is violated in a fundamental principle by a system which forbids a detailed examination of a revenue measure. The rights of the minority are practically abrogated, since they are conceded in such a restricted form that they are ineffective. The body which passes upon the measures before it under such rules has really ceased to be a deliberative body. The measures are drawn by a committee, or rather by the majority of a committee, and are virtually unamendable save by the consent of those members of the committee. Should any amendment not acceptable to them be agreed to in a snap division in committee of the whole, they are usually if not invariably able to reverse the decision when the bill is reported to the House.

On the other hand, experience has shown that the choice is not between this system and the former one, if a tariff bill is to be passed, but between the new system and failure to pass the bill. The House of Representatives is almost twice as numerous a body as it was when Clay brought in his compromise tariff bill, and there are probably more than five times as many talking members now as there were then. At the same time the volume of business to be transacted has certainly increased tenfold. If, then, a tariff bill were to be thrown open to detailed discussion, paragraph by paragraph, and article by article, it would not be possible to pass it even through the House of Representatives at a single session. It is also a pertinent suggestion that on such a subject as the tariff every member's mind is made up before consideration of the bill begins, that no member expects by his eloquence to influence the action of any fellow member, and therefore debate is wasted, so far as the theoretical purpose of debate is concerned. Moreover, a tariff measure is to be considered as a whole, not acceptable in every detail to any member, not to be rendered acceptable to any opponent of the protection or free trade principle on which it may be based, by one or a dozen amendments. Consequently every hostile amendment is sure to be rejected. Finally, as the only practical purpose of debate in the House of Representatives is to influence public opinion

outside; that purpose may be and is accomplished quite as well by the general "leave to print" undelivered speeches in the "Congressional Record," as by giving up time to their delivery. These arguments have been convincing to both the great parties in the country, and the practice of cutting off debate is so well established and has been so useful that it is not likely to be abandoned.

The programme prepared by the Committee on Rules was adopted by the House and strictly carried out. "General debate" was exceedingly general, being chiefly discussion of the vast benefits and the unrelieved wickedness of the protective system. More than half the time allowed for amendments was occupied in the consideration of changes proposed by the Committee on Ways and Means, and the opposition had no opportunity to discuss anything but the first schedule, devoted to chemicals, and a few paragraphs of the glass schedule. One important amendment, designed to levy the duties to be imposed by the bill on goods purchased and hurried into the country before the act should take effect, was adopted by the Committee of the Whole, but was thrown out by the House on the ground that, being retroactive, it was probably unconstitutional. An attempt was made to put on the free list articles the domestic production of which was controlled by "trusts," but the motion was defeated, yeas 148, nays 197. The bill was then passed, yeas 205, nays 122. Five Democrats voted for the bill; three Populists only voted against it. The others, 21 in number, answered "present."

The history of the bill in the Senate was remarkable. As it passed the House of Representatives it established duties appreciably lower than those imposed by the McKinley act of 1890. The Finance Committee of the Senate deemed even that scale of duties too high, and Mr. Aldrich, in explaining the action of the committee in proposing further reductions, urged that protection should be given in a moderate and conservative spirit, in order to "insure a much greater degree of permanence to our tariff legislation." But that policy was not to prevail. The "Silver Republicans" were among the most radical protectionists in the Senate, and they soon found that they held the balance of power. Indeed Mr. Jones of Nevada, one of them, could control the action of the Committee on Finance by giving his vote either with the six Republicans or with the six Democrats. In these circumstances the

Republicans both in the committee and in the Senate were forced to make concessions to the Silver men, with the result that the original policy of the committee was overthrown, the committee itself withdrew amendments reducing duties and offered others increasing them, and the Senate was compelled to agree in order to save the bill. Mr. Aldrich, whose health was greatly impaired by work and worry, retired from the management of the bill, — not unwillingly, in all probability, — withdrew to his home, and returned only on the day the measure was put on its passage. The vote was taken on July 7, and the bill was passed by yeas 38, nays 28. One Democrat voted for it, six Populist and Silver senators withheld their votes. In conference duties were raised in some cases higher than they had been placed by either the House or the Senate. The bill was signed by President McKinley on July 24. Thus was enacted the Dingley tariff, which was destined to remain in force for a longer period than any other tariff act in the history of the government. For save for the imposition of some duties as a measure of war finance, during the Spanish war — duties which were removed after peace was restored — the act was unchanged until it was superseded by the tariff of 1909.

Silver had been chosen by the Democrats as the "paramount" issue in 1896, but a question of vastly greater and more permanent importance was soon to be introduced. Events were already preparing for a contest which was to change for all time the position of the United States in the family of nations. Washington's injunction against the formation of "entangling alliances" with other nations had always been popularly and even officially interpreted as advice to hold aloof from international politics in any form. The Monroe Doctrine was not merely an assertion of a certain guardianship over the other American republics, to the extent of protecting them against European aggression, but it was also an expression of an intention to discharge that self-assumed duty alone, without asking or permitting assistance. The only instance where there was any departure from that attitude was in the case of the Clayton-Bulwer treaty, which postponed rather than promoted the building of an isthmian canal, and which many secretaries of state tried in vain to abrogate.

That the attitude of national isolation was in many respects beneficial to the United States, admits of no dispute. Interfering in no controversies in which it was not directly concerned,

it had no fear of interference or aggression by other nations, and was thus enabled to dispense with a great army and navy. In other ways that need not be specified it profited by its exemption from the duties and the tasks which other governments, from choice or from necessity, were performing.

But in another aspect of the matter the course of the government was not admirable. Students of history know that out of the original chaos of society, peace, order and law have been established. In enlightened communities every member has a duty to contribute his share to the maintenance of a system which assures protection of life and property to all, and which punishes malefactors and mischief-makers. Just so a community of nations has been gradually evolving, by no means perfect as yet, which more and more tends to concerted action for the preservation of peace, and to the curbing of reckless and aggressive sovereigns and peoples. If the citizen who refuses to bear his part in maintaining social order and in the support of good government is deemed unfaithful, what is to be said of a nation, boasting itself to be the freest in the world, which sends messages of encouragement to every band of insurgents in the world, on the plea that they are fighting for liberty, but which never lifts a finger to compose international quarrels, to help a weak neighbor attacked by an arrogant prince, or to punish violations of international justice? That was practically the position of the United States before it was awakened to the conviction that to be great and powerful and rich imposed upon it the duty to join with other nations in maintaining the peace of the world. The people had no idea that impending events were to plunge the country into world-politics and to enforce a changed relation of their government toward other powers. But both parties were equally responsible for the course of action which brought about that result.

The geographical position of the Island of Cuba, the western end of which penetrates the Gulf of Mexico only a few miles from the Florida coast, has made it always an object of interest, and frequently of apprehension or annoyance, to the government of the United States. There was a fear, during the administration of Mr. Monroe, that Great Britain had a design to acquire the island from Spain. Mr. Adams, then Secretary of State, wrote to Mr. Nelson, the minister to Madrid, that the transfer "would be an event unpropitious to the interests of this Union"; and that "it is scarcely possible to resist the

conviction that the annexation of Cuba to our Federal Republic will be indispensable to the continuance and integrity of the Union itself." Jefferson shared this view to the full extent, for about the same time he wrote to Monroe that "its possession by Great Britain would indeed be a great calamity to us," and that "her addition to our confederacy is exactly what is wanted to round out our power as a nation to the point of its utmost interest."

For many years, indeed until the crisis came in the last decade of the nineteenth century, the chief interest of the United States in Cuba may be likened to that of a landowner who is put to trouble and expense in preventing his unruly boys from helping the sons of a neighbor to turn him out of his property. To be sure, during the period when the slavery question was at its most acute stage, the "Ostend Manifesto" of 1854, — declaring the right of the United States to compel Spain to sell the island to this country, or, on a refusal to sell it, to wrest it from Spain by force, — was intended as a move preliminary to the acquisition of more slave territory. But in the main the government maintained a correct diplomatic attitude, and it did not fail to take measures to prevent aid being sent from its ports to the frequent and prolonged insurrections. Such measures were not always effectual, but the United States was the sufferer when that was the case. The incident of the *Virginius*, during the ten years' war from 1868 to 1878, was an instance in point.

A fresh insurrection broke out in 1895. It was different in method from any one which had preceded it, and more strongly supported by the Cubans, although the people of the United States were slow to perceive the differences. The insurgents overran almost the whole island, and audaciously approached even to the outskirts of Havana. They avoided engagements with large bodies of Spanish troops, but waged a successful guerilla warfare. They also set up the semblance of a government. From the very beginning Cuba was in a state little better than anarchy. Such a condition was intolerable to the United States. Aside from the horrors of the situation, — the destruction of life and property, — this country had a most material interest in the struggle. American citizens were seized and thrown into prison by the Spanish authorities, and the sugar plantations which were devastated and burned over by the insurgent bands were largely owned by Americans. Pre-

ident Cleveland, in his last annual message, in December, 1896, estimated the American investments in Cuba at from thirty to fifty millions.

This is not the place to give an account of the progress of the rebellion, nor to mention in detail the steps, marked by relentless cruelty, taken by Spain to put down the rebellion. There were in all about one hundred and fifty thousand Spanish troops in the island, but although they vastly outnumbered the insurrectionary forces, they were badly led and made but little headway.

The insurrection attracted but little attention in the United States at first. To a large number of the people it seemed only another rising, not essentially unlike, or more important than, the scores of revolutions which have been a feature of the history of all the republics of Latin America. It was to them a trial, an annoyance, like that which a peaceable householder experiences when a noisy and bloody quarrel is going on in the next house, — something to be endured with patience but without interference until peace should be restored. Many men held this opinion to the end, and rejected every suggestion that in the interest of humanity, and of a quiet neighborhood, the disturbance must be stopped. But the great body of the people, of every shade of political opinion, was swept off its feet in a burst of enthusiastic determination that the evil should be endured no longer.

The growing interest in the struggle and the change in the popular temper are reflected in the official papers of the presidents. In December, 1895, Mr. Cleveland recognized the sympathy of his countrymen with the insurgents, but urged that however ardent that feeling might be it should not deter the government from performing scrupulously its duty to a friendly power by preventing any hostile acts by its own citizens. The tone of his message in December, 1896, was not markedly different, but it contained some expressions which would have been inconsistent with the message of the preceding year. He discussed the situation in Cuba at great length, and in a spirit friendly to Spain, and examined, only to reject, the suggestion of forcible intervention. Nevertheless he added, at the conclusion "That it cannot be reasonably assumed that the hitherto expectant attitude of the United States will be indefinitely maintained," and that, "while we are anxious to accord all due respect to the sovereignty of Spain, we cannot view the

pending conflict in all its features, and properly apprehend our inevitably close relations to it and its possible results, without considering that by the course of events we may be drawn into such an unusual and unprecedented condition as will fix a limit to our patient waiting for Spain to end the contest either alone and in her own way or with our friendly coöperation."

The Cuban question occupied a large part of the time of the Fifty-fourth Congress,—the last of Mr. Cleveland's second term. There was a minority, not a large but an aggressive minority, which desired the immediate recognition of Cuban independence; there were many others who wished to accord belligerent rights to the insurgents. Including those two classes there was a large majority of members of both Houses who wished that something should be done to show the impatience of the American people at the situation. At the first session, after a protracted debate, a concurrent resolution was passed¹ after undergoing many changes, both in purport and in phraseology, declaring that a state of war existed in Cuba, that the United States would observe strict neutrality, and that the President should offer the good offices of the United States to secure the independence of Cuba. The form of a "concurrent resolution" was chosen because it did not require that the resolution should be submitted to the President for his approval as a "joint resolution" must have been. The President's attitude was well known. He took no action in accordance with the advice of Congress at the time. Later in the year he did take a step in that direction.² His unwillingness to do anything that would permit the Spanish government to suppose that the United States government was in sympathy with the movement for forcible intervention, was severely criticised, by members of his own party more than by Republicans; for those who were most determined upon a conservative course at this time were chiefly Republicans. The judgment of history will be that Mr. Cleveland's course was wise. It was something more than that, from a political point of view. It was eminently considerate, in

¹ Passed by the Senate, February 28, by yeas 64, nays 6; by the House of Representatives, March 2, by yeas 262, nays 17; conference report agreed to by the House, April 6, by yeas 247, nays 27; by the Senate without a division.

² "It was intimated by this government to the government of Spain some months ago that if a satisfactory measure of home rule were tendered to the Cuban insurgents and would be accepted by them upon a guaranty of its execution, the United States would endeavor to find a way not objectionable to Spain of furnishing such a guaranty." President's Message, December, 1896.

that it was precisely the course which was likely to be least embarrassing to his successor in office.

When the second session of the Fifty-fourth Congress began, in December, 1896, numerous resolutions were introduced demanding that the independence of Cuba be immediately recognized. On the 19th of that month, Mr. Olney, the Secretary of State, caused it to be stated in the public press that the power and the right to recognize foreign governments was vested exclusively in the President. That contention was hotly disputed by the more radical advocates of Cuban independence. Early in January Senator Eugene Hale, of Maine, presented an exhaustive historical memorandum which sustained the administration in its position on that point. He also introduced, January 6, a resolution, which was passed, calling on the Secretary of State for a report on the precedents covering the matter of recognition of a foreign government.¹ On the following day Mr. Mills of Texas offered a resolution, which was subsequently debated but never acted upon, asserting that the expediency of such recognition belongs to Congress; that when Congress should determine in favor of recognition the executive should act in harmony with the legislative department; and "that the independence of Cuba ought to be and hereby is recognized." The resolution throws light upon the political situation in the closing months of Mr. Cleveland's administration. Hardly at any other time in the history of the country would a leading senator, in full and regular standing in his party, have urged a resolution making such a direct and aggressive attack upon a position held by the president chosen by that party. But, as is well known, the convention and the election of 1896 had left a breach between the President and the controlling wing of the Democratic party that was almost as wide as that between the Democrats and the Republicans.

Both the great parties of the country, meanwhile, had expressed themselves strongly in their national platforms on the subject of Cuba. The Democrats merely expressed their hearty sympathy with the Cubans in their struggle for independence. The Republicans went further, and concluded their resolution on the subject by urging that the government "should actively use its influence and good offices to restore peace and give independence to the island." It would not be true, nevertheless, to say that these expressions represented a unanimous wish of

¹ It does not appear that the inquiry was ever answered.

the people. There were still many men in public and private life who held that the contest was one in which it was permitted to all citizens to feel a deep sympathy with the insurgents and horror at the cruelties of the Spanish administration; but that as a government the United States had neither a duty nor a right to dictate to Spain how it should deal with a colony in revolt. But these men did not undertake to prevent the adoption of the resolutions of sympathy by the national conventions.

The attitude of Mr. McKinley on the Cuban question was not noticeably different from that of Mr. Cleveland. His instructions to Mr. Woodford, the new minister to Spain, were conservative and conciliatory. He was "to impress upon that government the sincere wish of the United States to lend its aid toward the ending of the war in Cuba by reaching a peaceful and lasting result, just and honorable alike to Spain and to the Cuban people," and was to represent "that at this juncture our government was constrained to seriously inquire if the time was not ripe when Spain, of her own volition, moved by her own interests and every sentiment of humanity, should put a stop to this destructive war, and make proposals of settlement honorable to herself and just to her Cuban colony."¹ General Woodford, acting upon these instructions, had the good fortune to deal with Señor Sagasta, the new Prime Minister of Spain, who succeeded Señor Canovas, who was assassinated in August, 1897. The new Spanish government was certainly better disposed toward Cuba and toward the United States than that which preceded it. The suggestions were received in an amicable spirit. The government did recall General Weyler, the governor-general of Cuba, whose administration had been extremely cruel, particularly in the policy of removing the entire population from their rural homes and concentrating them in the cities and in camps. It also decreed the establishment of a local home rule government, and released all the Americans who had been in confinement. But it did not relax or propose to relax any military measures against the insurgents in arms who, in turn, spurned any concessions short of complete independence. In effect, therefore, the situation was unchanged. The war continued. The Spanish government was unable, as it had been from the beginning, to pacify Cuba.

The crisis was approaching. Two events in the month of

¹ Message of December, 1897.

February, 1898, had a most profound effect upon the people of the United States. On the 9th a private letter by Señor De Lome, the Spanish minister at Washington, was made public, in which he characterized the President as "weak and yielding to the rabble," and as a "bad politician." He was at once recalled, and his sentiments were disavowed by the Spanish government; but the people were in a mood to be absolutely distrustful of the Spanish government. On the 10th, in consequence of this disclosure, Mr. Cannon of Illinois, since Speaker of the House of Representatives, introduced a resolution urging the sending of an ultimatum to Spain, and a recognition of the independence of Cuba before the 4th of March.

But that incident created a mere flurry of popular excitement in comparison with the startling event which took place on the evening of February 15. The battleship Maine arrived in the port of Havana on January 25. It was sent thither after consultation with the Spanish government, and with the full consent of that government, as a mark of friendliness and good will. On the night of February 15 it was blown up in Havana harbor, and sunk, and two officers and 264 of the crew perished, as a result of the catastrophe. A court of inquiry was instituted, which reported that the "effect could have been produced only by the explosion of a mine situated under the bottom of the ship."

On the whole the people of the United States received the intelligence of the dreadful disaster with horror rather than with indignation. They waited, without a general prejudice against Spain as the author of the calamity, until the facts should be known. But it had already become clear that there was a dire prospect that forcible intervention, which meant war, must ensue before the questions at issue were decided; and Congress was prompt to take precautions against that event. On March 8 the House of Representatives by a unanimous vote, yeas 311, nays none, passed a bill containing an appropriation of fifty million dollars for national defence, to be at the disposal of the President, and the Senate passed the bill on the next day, without change or debate, and with equal unanimity. The President communicated the facts regarding the destruction of the Maine and the finding of the court, in a message to Congress on March 28. The affair made a deep impression upon the minds of the people and greatly intensified the feeling that intervention in Cuba could not,

and should not, be long delayed. That feeling was rather increased than allayed by the fact that the Spanish government made an inquiry of its own, the result of which was a finding that the explosion which caused the destruction of the battleship was from the inside, that there were no mines in Havana harbor, and that no responsibility for the disaster rested on Spain or Spaniards.

Meantime public sentiment hostile to Spain was still further augmented both in volume and in intensity, by a speech made in the Senate on March 17, by Senator Proctor of Vermont. Mr. Proctor had lately returned from Cuba where he had made extensive observations of conditions. In particular he had studied the results of the Spanish treatment of the peasantry. He gave a harrowing account of the desolation and distress caused by the cruel policy of concentration, and estimated the loss of life during the three years of anarchy at several hundred thousand. In a short time the demand for action on the part of the United States to put an end to the disturbance by removing its cause, became overwhelming. In the popular view the only possible remedy was the absolute abandonment of Cuba by Spain.

The tension that existed between the two governments at this time is illustrated by another incident. Early in March the Spanish minister at Washington asked that the Consul-General at Havana, General Fitzhugh Lee, be recalled, and that merchant vessels should be substituted for the naval vessels that were carrying relief to the distressed people of Cuba. Both requests were denied, and were not pressed.

On March 23 General Woodford presented to the Spanish Minister of Foreign Affairs a formal statement to the effect that unless an agreement ensuring immediate and honorable peace in Cuba were reached within a short time, the President would be constrained to submit to the consideration of Congress the whole question of the relations between the United States and Spain, including the affair of the Maine. The Spanish Minister replied on the 25th, asking that the report on the Maine should not be sent to Congress, and that the question of the future of Cuba should be left to diplomacy. General Woodford asked if Spain would grant an armistice, meantime. The answer of Spain to these latest propositions was received on April 1. It was thoroughly unsatisfactory. Although pacific in tone it contained nothing more than promises to con-

sider the questions at issue at a future time. Spain would not object to an armistice if it were asked for by the insurgents—an impossible condition as was well known by both parties to the controversy. As for the question of the future relations between Spain and Cuba, that was to be committed to the consideration of the courts, which would not assemble until May.

From the time when this reply of Spain was made public, war was inevitable, although the fact was not fully perceived or universally admitted. The President did not abandon hope. The pressure upon him to act instantly and vigorously, was tremendous. It came from both sides of the Senate and House, and from most of the newspapers of the country. But he appealed to those who were demanding importunately that he should act at once, to permit him to work out the matter in his own way without interference. To a certain extent they complied with his request, for a time. In his desire to avoid war he was stoutly supported by a group of senators,¹ all of them, it is believed, members of the Republican party.

The imminence of war had by this time attracted the notice of the European governments. The Pope made a proposition to some of the powers that they should unite in a movement for mediation between the United States and Spain. The attempt failed. It is not known precisely what attitude was taken by the several powers, but it is known that Great Britain refused to be a party to the movement, a refusal which alone was fatal to it; and the United States was averse to it, which, also, if the powers had come to an agreement, would have insured its failure. But on the 7th of April a deputation of the diplomatic representatives of foreign governments in Washington, called upon the President, and through Sir Julian Pauncefote, the British minister, expressed their hope that a peaceful solution of the difficulty would be reached. The President made a judicious and non-committal but pacific reply, and that was the end of foreign mediation.

The situation was now that which the President had informed the Spanish government would constrain him to submit to Congress the whole question of the relations between the two countries. But he still hesitated, and delayed carrying out his announced purpose. Fearing that in the excited state

¹ Among them were Senators Allison, Aldrich, Fairbanks, Hale, Hanna, Platt of Connecticut, and Spooner.

of public feeling insults and possibly physical injury would be suffered by his countrymen in Cuba, the President recalled Consul-General Lee, on April 5, and directed him to bring to the United States with him all American citizens who desired to return. Even after they had left Havana he withheld his message. The chances of peace and war seemed to vary from day to day. The Spanish ministry, urged thereto by the foreign ambassadors in Madrid, decided on April 9 to grant an armistice to the Cuban insurgents, but took no step toward an agreement with the United States on the subject of the future control of Cuba, which was regarded at Washington as an indispensable part of a settlement. The government also caused it to be published that it had reached the limit of concessions to the United States. It therefore only remained for the President to submit the whole matter to Congress. This he did in a message to the two Houses on April 11.

Having given a summary account of the negotiations, he remarked, referring to the answer given to General Woodford to his ultimatum, "with this last overture in the direction of immediate peace, and its disappointing reception by Spain, the Executive is brought to the end of his effort." He assigned four reasons why intervention to restore peace was justifiable: intervention was demanded in the interest of humanity, and it was "no answer to say this is all in another country, belonging to another nation, and is therefore none of our business," for "it is right at our door"; it was required for the protection of American citizens and property in Cuba; it was justified by the injury to American commerce and business; and "the present condition of affairs in Cuba is a constant menace to our peace, and entails upon this government an enormous expense." The most pregnant passage in the message is the following paragraphs:—

The long trial has proved that the object for which Spain has waged the war, cannot be attained. The fire of insurrection may flame or may smoulder with varying seasons, but it has not been and it is plain that it cannot be extinguished by present methods. The only hope of relief and repose from a condition which can be no longer endured is the enforced pacification of Cuba. In the name of humanity, in the name of civilization, in behalf of endangered American interests which give us the right and the duty to speak and to act, the war in Cuba must stop.

In view of these facts and of these considerations I ask the Con-

gress to authorize and empower the President to take measures to secure in the island the establishment of a stable government, capable of maintaining order and observing its international obligations, insuring peace and tranquillity and the security of its citizens as well as our own, and to use the military and naval forces of the United States as may be necessary for these purposes.

On the 13th of April the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, each reported a preamble and resolutions on the subject of Cuba. The debate proceeded simultaneously in both branches. The House resolution — the preamble and that of the Senate were similar in tone — was as follows: —

That the President is hereby authorized and directed to intervene at once to stop the war in Cuba, to the end and with the purpose of securing permanent peace and order there and establishing by the free action of the people thereof a stable and independent government of their own in the island of Cuba. And the President is hereby authorized and empowered to use the land and naval forces of the United States to execute the purpose of this resolution.

The Democratic members of the committee, representing the universal sentiment within their party that there should be no intervention without recognizing the insurgent government of Cuba, proposed a substitute for the foregoing resolution, namely: —

Section 1. That the United States government hereby recognizes the independence of the republic of Cuba.

Section 2. That, moved thereto by many considerations of humanity, of interest, and of provocation, among which are the deliberate mooring of our battle-ship the 'Maine' over a submarine mine, and its destruction in the harbor of Havana, the President of the United States be, and he is hereby, directed to employ immediately the land and naval forces of the United States in aiding the republic of Cuba to maintain the independence hereby recognized.

Section 3. That the President of the United States is hereby authorized and directed to extend immediate relief to the starving people of Cuba.

When the question was brought to a vote in the House substitution of the Democratic resolution was refused by yeas 150, nays 190. Only thirteen members were absent when the vote was taken. Every Democrat and Populist and four Republicans voted for substitution. The negative vote was of course exclu-

sively Republican. The majority resolution was adopted, yeas 322, nays 19. The negative votes were given by sixteen Democrats, who probably so voted to express their dissatisfaction with the terms of the resolution, and three Republicans who were opposed altogether to intervention.

The Senate Committee presented its own resolution, which in effect was more like that of the Democratic substitute in the House than like the resolution which the House passed. The Senate was more — and differently — divided in sentiment than was the House. The opposition to immediate intervention was much stronger, and on the other hand there was more support on the Republican side to the policy of recognizing the insurgent government of Cuba than was the case in the House of Representatives. The resolution as reported by the Committee on Foreign Relations was in the following terms: —

First, That the people of the island of Cuba are, and of right ought to be free and independent.

Second, That it is the duty of the United States to demand, and the government of the United States does hereby demand, that the government of Spain at once relinquish its authority and government in the island of Cuba, and withdraw its land and naval forces from Cuba and Cuban waters.

Third, That the President of the United States be, and he hereby is, directed and empowered to use the entire land and naval forces of the United States, and to call into the actual service of the United States, the militia of the several states, to such an extent as may be necessary to carry these resolutions into effect.

A minority of the committee, three Democrats and one Republican, while cordially approving the resolution, as far as it went, were in favor of a recognition of the nominal government of Cuba, and proposed to amend the first paragraph of the resolution as printed above, by adding —

and that the government of the United States hereby recognizes the republic of Cuba as the true and lawful government of the island.

After a long debate the amendment was carried by a vote of yeas 51 (41 Democrats and Free Silver men, 10 Republicans), nays 37 (33 Republicans, 4 Democrats). Without opposition or a division the Senate added the famous Teller clause, as follows: —

Fourth, that the United States hereby disclaims any disposition or intention to exercise sovereignty, jurisdiction, or control over

said island, except for the pacification thereof, and asserts its determination, when that is accomplished to leave the government and control of the island to its people.

Thus amended, the resolution was substituted for that of the House of Representatives, and was passed by a vote of yeas 67, nays 21. Forty-three Democrats and Populists, and twenty-four Republicans constituted the majority; nineteen Republicans and two Democrats the minority. The opposition in the House to recognition of the republic of Cuba was sufficiently strong to secure a rejection of that clause of the first paragraph. The Committee of Conference recommended that the clause be omitted. Although that course was highly unsatisfactory to the advocates of recognition the conference report was agreed to by the House by yeas 311, nays 6. But in the Senate only three Democrats voted aye, and the result was yeas 42, nays 35 — all Democrats and Populists. The resolution finally adopted was in the form originally proposed by a majority of the Senate committee, with the addition of the Teller amendment. The President approved it on April 20.

The resolution meant war. Probably no senator or member of the House doubted that when he voted, whether for or against it. Nor was there any doubt on that point on the part of the Spanish government. It had already declared, in response to a joint note by the ambassadors of France, Germany, Italy, and Russia, that it had reached the limit of concession to the demands and pretensions of the United States. Only eleven minutes after the President signed the joint resolution Señor Polo y Bernabe, the Spanish minister, demanded his passports. The resolution was cabled to Madrid, to Minister Woodford, together with an ultimatum, allowing three days only for Spain to accede to the terms of the resolution, failing which he would proceed to act upon the authority it conferred upon him. The delivery of the note was purposely withheld in order to enable the Spanish government to act first if it should wish to do so. It did so wish, and accordingly sent General Woodford his passports. Thus diplomatic relations between the two governments were severed, and war began.

This is not the place to give, even in the barest outline, a history of the war. The events which led up to the war were strictly political events, but in no sense or degree partisan. They were of the utmost importance in changing the attitude of the United States toward other powers, and toward the world at

large. But in bringing them about both parties, or rather all parties, shared the responsibility. They took place under a Republican administration, but the Democratic and Populist senators and members were more eager for the conflict and more nearly unanimous in supporting warlike measures and threats than were the Republicans. Although on the final vote in the Senate they opposed the acceptance of the conference report which ensured the passage of the war resolution, they opposed it solely because it did not go so far as they desired.

In January, 1893, a revolution took place in the kingdom of Hawaii, and Queen Liliuokalani was forced to abdicate. It was alleged by the Democrats that the revolution was promoted, was even made possible, by the landing of United States marines, at the request of Mr. John L. Stevens, the American minister to the island kingdom. The accusation was denied by Mr. Stevens and was generally held by Republicans to be false, although the fact that the marines were landed in Honolulu was not disputed. It was also not disputed that the revolution was in the interest of annexation of the islands to the United States. The leaders were all, or nearly all, Americans by birth or descent. Soon after the provisional government was organized a treaty of annexation was concluded between the two governments, subject to the usual ratifications. It was promptly ratified by the Hawaiian government, but was warmly opposed by the Democrats of the United States, and by the members of that party in the Senate. One of the early acts of President Cleveland after taking office in 1893 was to withdraw the treaty from the Senate where it was pending. During the year or two following the withdrawal questions relating to Hawaii were hotly discussed by the two parties, the Democrats attacking the Republicans as being responsible for the dethronement of the queen, the Republicans retorting with accusations that the statements made by commissioners sent to Honolulu by Mr. Cleveland distorted the facts. Upon the accession of Mr. McKinley to the presidency another treaty of annexation was negotiated with the republican government that had been organized in 1894, and proclaimed on July 4 of that year, in succession to the provisional government. But that treaty encountered the opposition not only of Democratic senators,¹ but also of some influential Republicans. Although it was concluded and sent to the Senate on June 16, 1897, and was

¹ They were not all opposed to it.

unanimously ratified by both houses of the Hawaiian legislature on September 10, it had not been brought to a vote in the United States Senate when the war with Spain broke out. It was feared by the advocates of the treaty that it would not command the necessary two-thirds vote.

The naval battle in Manila Bay introduced a new and powerful argument in favor of the annexation of Hawaii. In all probability no senator or congressman had any idea in the early summer of 1898 that the United States would require a cession of the Philippine Islands by Spain, or that it would accept sovereignty over them. But the country was engaged in operations in the Pacific Ocean which made it imperative that it should be secure against hostile movements, and that advantage should not be taken of its entanglement with Spain to transfer the Hawaiian Islands to any other power. The importance of acting promptly was appreciated by the administration, and accordingly resort was had to the method which was adopted in the case of Texas. On May 17, 1898. Mr. Hitt of Illinois reported from the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives a joint resolution providing that, as the government of Hawaii had consented in due form to the cession to the United States of all rights of sovereignty over the islands,¹ the cession was "accepted, ratified and confirmed." The resolution covered much ground in the matter of the future government of the islands, and provided for commissioners to carry the resolution into effect. The policy of annexation was debated with great vigor on both sides. The opposition, consisting chiefly of Democrats, argued strongly against the constitutionality of an absorption of distant territory, as well as against the expediency of the measure. The advocates of the resolution dwelt upon the predominance of American interests in the islands, and the danger of their conquest by Japan in the event of a failure by the United States to accept the cession when it was offered. A vote upon the resolution was not taken until June 15, when a substitute proposed by the minority was rejected, yeas 96, nays 204. The substitute declared that the United States would "regard as an act of hostility any attempt upon the part of any government of Europe or Asia to take or hold possession of the Hawaiian Islands, or to exercise upon any pretext or under any conditions sovereign authority therein." It also announced a purpose to guarantee and maintain

¹ By its ratification of the treaty of annexation, September 10, 1897.

the independence of the people of the islands. The substitute having been rejected, the resolution was passed, yeas 209, nays 91. Thirty-one Democrats and Populists voted in the affirmative, and three Republicans in the negative. Otherwise it was a party vote. The resolution was taken up for discussion by the Senate on June 20, and the debate continued until July 6, when, many hostile amendments having been rejected, it was passed, yeas 42, nays 21. On this vote six Democrats and two Independents voted with the majority, and two Republicans with the minority. The President approved the joint resolution on July 7. The transfer took place and the flag of the United States was raised at Honolulu on the 12th of August. Thus, before the close of the Spanish war which was to carry the country much further in the same direction, the government entered upon the policy of so-called imperialism, — the sovereignty over and control of distant territory inhabited by an alien race.

Negotiations for the restoration of peace with Spain were opened on July 26 by M. Jules Cambon, the French minister at Washington, at the instance of the Spanish government. A protocol was signed by Mr. Day, Secretary of State, and M. Cambon on August 12. On the 26th the President appointed five commissioners to conclude a treaty of peace with an equal number of commissioners on the part of Spain. They met in Paris on October 1, but it was not until December 10 that the treaty was drawn up and signed. The Spanish commissioners found many of the demands inadmissible, and protested strongly against their harshness, but the President was unyielding, and in the end the Spanish government was forced to accept the terms imposed on it.

Nearly a full month was occupied in contention over the question of the future of the Philippine Islands, which had been left open in the protocol.¹ It became known during the discussion of the treaty that the instructions of the President to the commissioners had been that they were to demand the cession of the island of Luzon only. But it seemed to the commissioners that there were grave objections to that course, and upon their recommendation the President authorized them to

¹ The third article of the protocol was as follows: "That the United States will occupy and hold the city, bay and harbor of Manila, pending the conclusion of a treaty of peace which shall determine the control, disposition and government of the Philippines."

require the cession of the entire group of islands. The decision was a momentous one, for it introduced a fundamental change in the character of the government, and will affect its history in all future time.

Prior to the time when the demand for the cession of the whole archipelago was made there seems to have been practically no public opinion in the country favorable to the acquisition, and no expectation that it would be made a condition of peace. The President himself acceded to the representations of the commissioners with reluctance. The problem before him was most difficult. The acquisition of Luzon alone, it was easy to see, was not a solution. It would weaken Spain, and probably give over the other islands of the group to anarchy; and would not strengthen the United States materially. The only really available solutions were to take the whole of the islands or none. The decision to take the whole came as a surprise to the people, and found a large number of them either instantly hostile to the enterprise or quite unprepared to defend it. An examination of the political platforms adopted at the State conventions in 1898 shows that in only two States did the Republicans favor the acquisition of the Philippines.¹ Many of the conventions approved the annexation of Hawaii, but New York and Tennessee only favored that of the Philippines. The Massachusetts Republicans hoped that the negotiations would "be so conducted and terminated as to secure to the Philippine Islands and to Cuba in amplest measure the blessings of liberty and self-government."

The opponents of the Philippine policy were first in the field. Early in November, 1898, an Anti-Imperialist League was formed in Boston. Its principles were opposition to wars

¹ New York Republican convention: "We realize that when the necessities of war compelled our nation to destroy Spanish authority in the Antilles and in the Philippines we assumed solemn duties and responsibilities alike to the people of the islands we conquered and to the civilized world. We cannot turn these islands back to Spain. We cannot leave them, unarmed for defence and untried in statecraft, to the horrors of domestic strife or to partition among European Powers. We have assumed the responsibilities of victory, and wherever our flag has gone there the liberty, the humanity and the civilization which that flag embodies and represents must remain and abide forever."

Tennessee Republican convention: "We declare in favor of the annexation of Porto Rico and all the West Indian islands, and ultimate annexation of Cuba by the free suffrage of the people of the island, and such islands of the Philippines and other islands that may procure to the United States the trade and commerce of those islands and the good government of their people."

of conquest and to the acquisition of any colonial dependencies, and a rigid adherence to the doctrine of the Declaration of Independence that governments derive their just powers from the consent of the governed. The venerable George S. Boutwell, formerly Secretary of the Treasury under General Grant, was the president of the League, which had members in many States of the Union. They included a certain number of Republicans, but were for the most part men who had participated in the mugwump movement of 1884 and subsequent years.

Undoubtedly a great majority of those who ultimately defended the Philippine annexation policy were convinced of its wisdom, even of its necessity, against their will. There were, it is true, a great many persons who welcomed it from a sentiment which is akin to patriotism, — from a feeling that the possession of distant territory, of colonies, increases the grandeur and importance of the nation, and that the lowering of the flag where once it had been raised even for an hour implies national humiliation. But the real strength of the policy was in something far different from chauvinism. A serious consideration of the actual condition of affairs in the Philippines led them to pause before "turning them back to Spain," — the phrase of the New York Republicans. Like Cuba, the islands had been in a chronic state of disorder and insurrection. To abandon them was to increase the evil. The hold upon them by Spain, weak at the best, would have been weakened by the defeat that country had sustained. The outlook was anarchy or a despotism, probably to be followed by conquest by Japan or some European power, which would exploit the islands for its own enrichment. On the other hand the United States was responsible for destroying the authority of Spain, and it thereby came under an obligation not to make worse the bad condition of the islands.

To all this those who took the anti-imperialist view had a ready answer. To assume sovereignty over an alien race by the purchase of their territory¹ was a distinct denial of the principles of the Declaration of Independence; it was a sufficient discharge of the national duty to treat the islands as Congress had agreed to treat Cuba, namely, to enable the people to form a government of their own; the "white man's burden" was a burden self-assumed, and the altruistic motives professed by those who advocated the acquisition, were a pretence. Moreover,

¹ By the treaty the United States was to pay twenty million dollars to Spain really, though not in terms, for the cession of the Philippines.

the success of the policy would involve the country in enormous expenditures; it would demand a great increase in military and naval forces; it would lead to "entangling alliances" with other powers, which the people, warned by Washington, had avoided for more than a century. These are but a few of the arguments they urged, — and if, in this summary, they seem to be phrased too broadly, the limits of space to put them as they did, *ipsissimis verbis*, may fairly be pleaded. In rejoinder those who supported the President and the commissioners made the point that the alternative policy of the anti-imperialists, the organization of a native government in the Philippines was grotesquely impracticable, as the natives were incapable of governing themselves.

The treaty was delivered to the President on the 24th of December, but as Congress was not then in session it was not sent to the Senate until January 4. Although the text of the agreement was not made public until a week later the terms were accurately known and the open opposition to it had begun. Mr. Bryan, who held the rank of colonel in the army during the war, took the position of favoring the ratification of the treaty, but at the same time arguing against expansion and imperialism. In an interview on December 13 he held that ratification was advisable on the ground that otherwise the two countries would still be nominally in a state of war, and that a renewal of negotiations would postpone unduly the declaration of peace. With respect to Porto Rico he would have the people freely decide whether or not they would be annexed to the United States. The Philippines were "too far away," and the country could not afford to accept them. Moreover, if the people of the United States were entitled to self-government, so were the Filipinos. This view was not approved by the Democrats in general, but the authority with which Mr. Bryan spoke undoubtedly had much influence with some of the Democratic senators, and ensured the ratification of the treaty. A debate sprang up in the Senate before the treaty was submitted to it. Several of the Democratic senators strongly opposed the policy of expansion beyond the sea, and argued that no constitutional power existed to authorize the acquisition of distant and detached territory.

After the treaty was received the subject was debated both in open Senate and in secret session for a full month. Under instruction by the President General Otis, commanding in the

Philippines, issued a proclamation to the people setting forth the benevolent intentions of the government and people of the United States toward them. The proclamation was hotly resented by the Filipinos who acknowledged the leadership of Aguinaldo. Aguinaldo had been a leader of insurgents in a former rebellion, and at the outbreak of the Spanish-American war was living at Hong-Kong. He returned to the Philippines under a certain arrangement with an American consul, to assist in the destruction of the Spanish control of the islands. He maintained that he was assured that the Americans would turn over the archipelago to the natives after conquest. Manifestly no person was authorized to give such an assurance, and the United States would not be bound by it if it were given. That fact, however, might well not be grasped by Aguinaldo, and the result of the misconception of the power of a consul was mischievous in the extreme. Aguinaldo and his followers would not be appeased. They insisted upon a course of action to which the United States could not consent. Such at least was the opinion of the President and his supporters. He and they had reluctantly taken the sovereignty of the Philippines, so they declared, not with any purpose of territorial and colonial aggrandizement, but solely because that seemed the only way to save the islands from anarchy, — not from a wish to govern them, but from a sense of duty. The opponents of the treaty derided and disbelieved the assertion, and maintained that the real motive was a desire for national expansion, — a manifestation of a mad passion for national glory, to be satisfied by a denial of all the fine principles on which the government had always before been conducted.

There were frequent conferences between the military authorities at Manila and Aguinaldo, but in the circumstances an agreement was impossible. In the evening of Saturday, February 4, 1899, a determined and concerted attack was made by the Filipinos upon the American forces. That was the beginning of a long, bloody and exasperating struggle, upon the course and incidents of which it is unnecessary to enter in this place. Undoubtedly it affected public opinion at home. The enterprise of reducing to subjection alien peoples, who seemed to be fighting for liberty and the right of self-government, was extremely obnoxious to a large number of citizens, perhaps to a large majority of them, including a great many who strongly supported the administration and its war policy. Those of that

class held that the natives of the islands were incapable of self-government, that Aguinaldo and his supporters were self-appointed leaders who at best represented but a small fraction of one race out of many in the Philippines, and that it would be a humiliation to the United States and a base shirking of duty to abandon the islands, in the face of hostilities, to insurgents who possessed so little authority.

But the outbreak of hostilities made it certain that the treaty would be ratified. The Senate came to a vote on Monday, the 6th, and the necessary two-thirds vote was obtained for ratification, — yeas 57, nays 27. Sixteen Democrats and Populists joined with forty-one Republicans in the affirmative. Two Republicans were with twenty-five Democrats and Populists in the negative. In spite of the cross-voting on this division the question of “imperialism,” as the policy of expansion was denominated by its opponents, was to be the “paramount” issue in the ensuing presidential election; yet on neither side of the division did any senator who had dissented from the action of a majority of his own party, separate from them in the canvass of 1900. Some of the Democratic senators were avowedly in favor of the expansion. Others endeavored to procure the passage of a resolution — offered by one of them — declaring the purpose of the United States to oversee the organization of a stable government in the Philippines by the natives, and to turn the islands over to that government, following the course it was proposed to pursue in the case of Cuba. Although the resolution was debated at some length it was never brought to a vote. A mild, non-committal resolution was passed after the treaty was ratified. Although the opponents of the Philippine policy made many efforts to secure an amendment, pledging the government to give the Filipinos independence, all such propositions were rejected, and the resolution as passed went no further than to promise such disposition of the islands as should be best for the natives and the interest of the United States.

The election of the Fifty-sixth Congress took place in November, 1898. It was entirely unaffected by any question of imperialism, since there was practically no opposition, certainly no partisan opposition, to the acquisition of Porto Rico, and the intention to demand a cession of the Philippines had hardly taken definite shape in the mind of the President, and was wholly unknown by the people. The issue in the election was

chiefly on the silver question. Although the Republican majority in the House of Representatives was reduced, the actual majority against the free coinage of silver was increased.¹ The House of Representatives of the Fifty-fifth Congress would have passed an act establishing the gold standard, but there was a majority in favor of silver free coinage in the Senate, — a majority probably of eight or ten. But the election of senators by the State legislatures in the winter of 1898-99 changed the complexion of the Senate completely. No less than eight silver men were replaced by advocates of the gold standard, and there was no change in the opposite direction.

The President in his annual message to Congress, December 5, 1899, gave the first place to a consideration of the financial condition of the government, and the opportunity to make secure the gold standard of value. He wrote: —

While there is now no commercial fright which withdraws gold from the government, but, on the contrary, such widespread confidence that gold seeks the Treasury demanding paper money in exchange, yet the very situation points to the present as the most fitting time to make adequate provision to insure the continuance of the gold standard and of public confidence in the ability and purpose of the government to meet all its obligations in the money which the civilized world recognizes as the best. The financial transactions of the government are conducted on a gold basis. We receive gold when we sell United States bonds, and use gold for their payment.

The Republicans were resolved to use the opportunity to carry out one of the pledges in their national platform of 1896, which it was not possible to do in the preceding Congress. The very first bill introduced in either House was presented by Mr. Overstreet of Indiana, — "To define and fix the standard of value, to maintain the parity of all forms of money issued or coined by the United States, and for other purposes." It made "the dollar consisting of 25.8 grains of gold, nine-tenths fine" the standard of value. It was a long bill, and dealt with many other branches of the financial question, but the declaration that gold was the standard, and the pledge that all forms of money should be maintained at par with gold, were the chief points in the contest that followed.

¹ The House in the Fifty-fifth Congress consisted of 207 Republicans, 122 Democrats, 21 Populists, 3 Silverites, 3 Fusion, and there was one vacancy. In the Fifty-Sixth Congress there were 186 Republicans, 162 Democrats, 7 Populists, and 2 Silverites.

The bill was not referred to a committee, but on December 8, four days after it was introduced, the Committee on Rules brought in a special rule, which was adopted, allowing general debate on the bill from the 11th until the 15th, debate under the five minute rule on the 16th, and requiring the bill to be brought to a vote immediately after the reading of the journal on Monday the 18th. The programme was carried out strictly. The debate was conducted on party lines, and all the arguments on either side of the question were rehearsed and repeated by scores of members. At the close of the period assigned for consideration of the measure, all propositions of a hostile character having been defeated, the bill was passed, yeas 190, nays 150. Save that eleven Democrats¹ voted for the bill, the division was strictly on party lines. But a certain number of Democrats who were known to be opponents of free silver and advocates of the single gold standard, voted against the bill because it contained provisions to which they entertained objections. The bill was more fully considered in detail in the Senate. It was referred in that body to the Committee on Finance, which reported a substitute, containing provision for the refunding of the national debt. A prolonged debate came to an end on February 15, 1900, and the bill was passed, yeas 46, nays 29. Two Democrats voted in the affirmative and one Republican in the negative. It was not until March 13 that the conference report was adopted by both branches of Congress. The President approved the bill on the 14th.

In one respect the situation at the beginning of the presidential canvass of 1900 was without precedent since the adoption of the convention system. The candidate for President of each of the two great parties was designated in advance, without the semblance of opposition. After Jackson, who was elected for his second term without a formal nomination, only four presidents had been nominated for a second term. In the cases of Van Buren, Lincoln and Grant the choice of the opposing candidate was not predetermined; and the nominations of Cleveland and Harrison in 1888, although anticipated confidently, met with strong opposition. But in 1900, and even two years earlier, the selection of McKinley and Bryan to lead their respective parties again was seen to be inevitable. The

¹ Eight New York members, and one each from Maryland, Massachusetts, and Pennsylvania.

President had not taken a course acceptable to all those who supported him in 1896, but the dissenters were comparatively few, and were not generally men whose influence would be perceptible in the choice of delegates to the national convention. The vast majority of the party regarded the administration as eminently successful and worthy of support, and Mr. McKinley was personally extremely popular. Some of his predecessors in office had been compelled to encounter the opposition of leaders of their own party by reason of their lack of the quality which enables men to be on terms of personal friendship with political friends and foes.

Mr. Bryan developed such a capacity for leadership in the canvass of 1896 that it was natural for him to continue in the position of leader after his defeat. Not only so, but it was natural for his followers in that canvass to accept his leadership. No other defeated candidate had ever assumed such authority. To no other had such authority been conceded. The Democrats, after the election of 1840, announced their intention of electing Van Buren in 1844, but they did not look to him for political advice, nor follow his advice on the few occasions when he gave it. Tilden and Blaine who were not elected, and Harrison and Cleveland who were defeated after a first term, were all more or less qualified to take upon themselves a measure of authority in guiding their party, but not one of them sought or exercised a tithe of the influence that Mr. Bryan held, with the full consent of an overwhelming majority of the Democrats. During the whole period between the election of 1896 and the convention of 1900, his nomination was never for a moment in doubt.

There was far less assurance as to the result of the coming election. An issue completely new had been injected into national politics. On the Democratic side, under the leadership of Mr. Bryan, a determination was expressed, in case the party should obtain the power, to reverse the action of the administration with respect to the Philippines — to dispossess the United States of the sovereignty of the islands by setting up a native government. The war which the United States was waging to reduce those natives to subjection was denounced in unmeasured terms. The Republicans maintained that the country was under an obligation to civilization not to permit the Philippines to lapse into anarchy, which they were sure would be the result of the Democratic policy, and that the

restoration of authority and order by a suppression of the rebellion was an indispensable preliminary even to the establishment of self-government in the islands, were that to be the purpose of the United States.

The question of the time was how far the new issue would cause secession from the ranks of either party. Some of the strongest, most earnest and most energetic anti-imperialists were lifelong Republicans. The Spanish treaty had been strenuously opposed in Congress by such well known and influential men as Senators Hoar and Hale and Mr. Speaker Reed. Their views on the subject of taking and governing distant territory and alien races were shared by many of their fellow members of the party; but, as it ultimately became evident, the sentiment developed chiefly in New England and the Middle States. Moreover, not one of the leaders named proposed to leave his party and support Mr. Bryan. His continued outspoken advocacy of free silver coinage made him, for them, an impossible candidate. They hoped that in the end their own party would adopt their view and treat the Filipinos in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Independence. On the other hand a period of great prosperity throughout the country had rendered a large number of those who in 1896 had looked to the free coinage of silver as a cure of the prevailing hard times, quite indifferent to the application of that remedy. Consequently a return to allegiance to the Republican party by many men in the so-called Silver States, was confidently expected. Finally, there were many Democrats who upheld the policy of expansion, but they were not expected to vote for Mr. McKinley, — certainly not in large numbers. But the issue on which the canvass was avowedly to be made was so new that the result could not be predicted with confidence.

As has usually been the case the new parties — those which are based on dissent from the principles of both the leading parties, and those which deem most important other reforms than those which are in the minds of Democrats and Republicans — were earliest in the field. The first step in the canvass was taken at the fourth annual session of the Supreme Council of the Farmers' Alliance and Industrial Union, which was held at Washington on February 6 and the two following days. The Council pledged the support of the Alliance to the Candidates to be nominated by the Democrats, and adopted the following platform :—

Whereas, The Declaration of Independence, as a basis of a republican form of government that might be progressive and perpetual, holds "That all men are created equal, that they are endowed with certain inalienable rights, that among these are life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness, that to secure these rights governments are instituted among men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed,"

We hold, therefore, that to restore and preserve these rights under a republican form of government, private monopolies of public necessities for speculative purposes, whether of the means of production, distribution or exchange, should be prohibited, and whenever such public necessity or utility becomes a monopoly in private hands, the people of the municipality, State or Union, as the case may be, shall appropriate the same by right of eminent domain, paying a just value therefor, and operate them for and in the interest of the whole people.

We demand a National currency, safe, sound and flexible; issued by the general Government only, a full legal tender for all debts and receivable for all dues, and an equitable and efficient means of distribution of this currency, directly to the people, at the minimum of expense and without the intervention of banking corporations and in sufficient volume to transact the business of the country on a cash basis.

We demand the free and unlimited coinage of silver and gold at the legal ratio of 16 to 1.

We demand a graduated income tax.

That our National legislation shall be so framed in the future as not to build up one industry at the expense of another.

We believe that the money of the country should be kept as much as possible in the hands of the people, and hence we demand that all National and State revenues shall be limited to the necessary expenses of the Government economically and honestly administered.

We demand that postal savings banks be established by the Government for the safe deposit of the savings of the people, and to facilitate exchange.

We are unalterably opposed to the issue by the United States of interest-bearing bonds, and demand the payment of all coin obligations of the United States, as provided by existing laws, in either gold or silver coin, at the option of the Government and not at the option of the creditor.

The Government shall purchase or construct and operate a sufficient mileage of railroads to effectually control all rates of transportation on a just and equitable basis.

The telegraph and telephone, like the postoffice system, being a

necessity for the transmission of intelligence, should be owned and operated by the Government in the interest of the people.

We demand that no land shall be held by corporations for speculative purposes or by railroads in excess of their needs as carriers, and all lands now owned by aliens should be reclaimed by the Government and held for actual settlers only.

We demand the election of United States Senators by a direct vote of the people; that each State shall be divided into two districts of nearly equal voting population, and that a Senator from each shall be elected by the people of the district.

Relying upon the good common sense of the American people, and believing that a majority of them, when uninfluenced by party prejudice, will vote right on all questions submitted to them on their merits; and further to effectually annihilate the pernicious lobby in legislation, we demand direct legislation by means of the initiative referendum. We demand free mail delivery in the rural districts. We demand that the inhabitants of all the territory coming to the United States as a result of the war with Spain be as speedily as possible permitted to organize a free government of their own, based upon the consent of the governed.

In January, 1900, a convention of the Social Democratic party was held at Rochester, New York, and a committee was appointed to attend the convention of the Social Democratic party to be held at Indianapolis on March 6, for the purpose of effecting a union of the two parties. The Indianapolis convention appointed a similar committee, and at a joint meeting of the two committees held in New York on March 26 a plan of union was agreed upon, to be submitted to the two parties. The plan was adopted on June 10, and at Chicago, on September 29, the ticket nominated at the Indianapolis convention in March, and the platform then adopted, were both ratified. By this action Eugene V. Debs, of Illinois, became the candidate of the amalgamated party for President, and Job Harriman, of California, was the candidate for Vice-President. The platform of the party, which retained the name "Social Democratic," was as follows:—

The Social Democratic party of the United States, in convention assembled, reaffirms its allegiance to the revolutionary principles of International Socialism and declares the supreme political issue in America to-day to be the contest between the working class and the capitalist class for the possession of the powers of government. The party affirms its steadfast purpose to use those powers, once achieved, to destroy wage slavery, abolish the institution of pri-

vate property in the means of production, and establish the coöperative Commonwealth.

In the United States, as in all other civilized countries, the natural order of economic development has separated society into two antagonistic classes — the capitalists, a comparatively small class, the possessors of all the modern means of production and distribution (land, mines, machinery and means of transportation and communication), and the large and ever increasing class of wage workers, possessing no means of production. This economic supremacy has secured to the dominant class the full control of the government, the pulpit, the schools, and the public press; it has thus made the capitalist class the arbiter of the fate of the workers, whom it is reducing to a condition of dependence, economically exploited and oppressed, intellectually and physically crippled and degraded, and their political equality rendered a bitter mockery. The contest between these two classes grows ever sharper. Hand in hand with the growth of monopolies goes the annihilation of small industries and of the middle class depending upon them; ever larger grows the multitude of destitute wage workers and of the unemployed, and ever fiercer the struggle between the class of the exploiter and the exploited, the capitalists and the wage workers.

The evil effects of capitalist production are intensified by the recurring industrial crises which render the existence of the greater part of the population still more precarious and uncertain. These facts amply prove that the modern means of production have outgrown the existing social order based on production for profit. Human energy and natural resources are wasted for individual gain. Ignorance is fostered that wage slavery may be perpetuated. Science and invention are perverted to the exploitation of men, women, and children. The lives and liberties of the working class are recklessly sacrificed for profit. Wars are fomented between nations; indiscriminate slaughter is encouraged; the destruction of whole races is sanctioned, in order that the capitalist class may extend its commercial dominion abroad and enhance its supremacy at home.

The introduction of a new and higher order of society is the historic mission of the working class. All other classes, despite their apparent or actual conflicts, are interested in upholding the system of private ownership in the means of production. The Democratic, Republican, and all other parties which do not stand for the complete overthrow of the capitalist system of production are alike the tools of the capitalist class. Their policies are injurious to the interest of the working class, which can be served only by the abolition of the profit system. The workers can most effectively act as a class in their struggle against the collective power of the

capitalist class only by constituting themselves into a political party, distinct and opposed to all parties formed by the propertied classes.

We, therefore, call upon the wage workers of the United States, without distinction of color, race, sex, or creed, and upon all citizens in sympathy with the historic mission of the working class, to organize under the banner of the Social Democratic party, as a party truly representing the interests of the toiling masses and uncompromisingly waging war upon the exploiting class, until the system of wage slavery shall be abolished and the coöperative Commonwealth shall be set up. Pending the accomplishment of this our ultimate purpose, we pledge every effort of the Social Democratic party for the immediate improvement of the condition of labor and for the securing of its progressive demands.

As steps in that direction, we make the following demands:

First — Revision of our Federal Constitution, in order to remove the obstacles to complete control of government by the people, irrespective of sex.

Second — The public ownership of all industries controlled by monopolies, trusts and combines.

Third — The public ownership of all railroads, telegraphs and telephones; all means of transportation; all waterworks, gas and electric plants, and other public utilities.

Fourth — The public ownership of all gold, silver, copper, lead, iron, coal and other mines, and all oil and gas wells.

Fifth — The reduction of the hours of labor in proportion to the increasing facilities of production.

Sixth — The inauguration of a system of public works and improvements for the employment of the unemployed, the public credit to be utilized for that purpose.

Seventh — Useful inventions to be free, the inventors to be remunerated by the public.

Eighth — Labor legislation to be National, instead of local, and international when possible.

Ninth — National insurance of working people against accidents, lack of employment, and want in old age.

Tenth — Equal civil and political rights for men and women, and the abolition of all laws discriminating against women.

Eleventh — The adoption of the initiative and referendum, proportional representation, and the right of recall of representatives by the voters.

Twelfth — Abolition of war and the introduction of international arbitration.

As early as January, 1900, the national committee of the Union Reform party, an organization which had no visible ex-

istence at the preceding election, sent out ballots to members of the party asking them to express their preference for candidates for President and Vice-President. The ballots were returned in February and March, and in April the committee reported that the choice had fallen upon Seth H. Ellis, of Ohio, for President, and Samuel T. Nicholson, of Pennsylvania, for Vice-President. The number of votes given to them was not announced. The platform on which the party went to the polls had been previously adopted at a convention held at Cincinnati in March, 1899. As will be seen it had but a single plank, which was to be found in the platform of more than one of the other minor parties. It was as follows:—

Direct legislation under the system known as the initiative and referendum. Under the "initiative" the people can compel the submission to themselves of any desired law, when, if it receives a majority of the votes cast, it is thereby enacted. Under the "referendum" the people can compel the submission to themselves of any law which has been adopted by any legislative body, when, if such law fails to receive a majority of the votes cast, it will be thereby rejected.

Agreeably to a call issued at Chicago in December 1899, a convention of the United Christian party was held at Rockford, Illinois, on May 1, 1900. Delegates were present from Colorado, Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Montana, and possibly from other States. As nearly as can be ascertained from the newspapers of the time they numbered thirty-one in all. Mr. W. H. Benkert, of Iowa, was the chairman. The convention nominated Rev. Silas C. Swallow, of Pennsylvania, for President, and John G. Woolley, of Illinois, for Vice-President. They both withdrew and Jonah F. R. Leonard, of Iowa, and David H. Martin, of Pennsylvania, were nominated for the two offices respectively. The platform adopted was as follows:—

We, the United Christian party, in national convention assembled, acknowledging Almighty God as the source of all power and authority, the Lord Jesus Christ as the sovereign ruler of nations, and the Bible as the standard by which to decide moral issues in our political life, do make the following declaration:—

We believe the time to have arrived when the eternal principles of justice, mercy and love as exemplified in the life and teachings of Jesus Christ should be embodied in the Constitution of our Nation and applied in concrete form to every function of our Government.

We deprecate certain immoral laws which have grown out of the failure of our Nation to recognize these principles, notably such as require the desecration of the Christian Sabbath, authorize unscriptural marriage and divorce, license the manufacture and sale of intoxicating liquors as a beverage, and permit the sale of cigarettes or tobacco in any form to minors. As an expression of consent or allegiance on the part of the governed, in harmony with the above statements, we declare for the adoption and use of the system of direct legislation known as the "initiative and referendum," together with "proportionate representation" and the "imperative mandate."

We hold that all men and women are created free and with equal rights, and declare for the establishment of such political, industrial and social conditions as shall guarantee to every person civic equality, the full fruits of his or her honest toil, and opportunity for the righteous enjoyment of the same; and we especially condemn mob violence and outrages against any individual or class of individuals in our country.

We declare against war and for the arbitration of all National and international disputes. We hold that the legalized liquor traffic is the crowning infamy of civilization, and we declare for the immediate abolition of the manufacture and sale of intoxicating liquors as a beverage. We are gratified to note the widespread agitation of the cigarette question, and declare ourselves in favor of the enactment of laws prohibiting the sale of cigarettes or tobacco in any form to minors.

We declare for the daily reading of the Bible in the public schools and institutions of learning under control of the State.

We declare for the Government ownership of public utilities.

We declare for the election of the President and Vice-President and United States Senators by the direct vote of the people.

We declare for such amendment of the United States Constitution as shall be necessary to give the principles herein set forth an undeniable legal basis in the fundamental law of our land.

We invite into the United Christian party every honest man and woman who believes in Christ and His golden rule and standard of righteousness.

The Populist party, which became divided into two factions in 1896, consisting of those who favored a complete fusion with the Democrats, so far as a support of the candidates of that party were concerned, and those who favored a "middle-of-the-road," that is, an independent policy, continued to be divided in 1900. The two wings of the party held conventions on the

same day, May 9, — the Fusionists at Sioux Falls, South Dakota, and the "Middle-of-the-Road" faction at Cincinnati.

Mr. P. M. Ringdahl, of Minnesota, presided over the Sioux Falls convention. There was no opposition whatever to the nomination of Mr. Bryan as a candidate for President, but there was much dissension over the question of making a nomination for Vice-President. Those who regarded it as of great importance not to take action that would embarrass the Democrats, were opposed to the plan of making a nomination. On the other hand it was urged that by making a nomination the party would emphasize the fact of its separate and independent existence, and that the Democratic convention might, in order to secure the full support of the Populists, adopt the candidate selected by them. A motion to defer the nomination was defeated by 496 to 492 votes. Although the convention was attended by a large number of delegates, it should not be supposed that 988 persons were actually present. The delegates from any State, few or many, were allowed to cast all the votes to which that State would be entitled if the representation were full. As Mr. Bryan had already been nominated by acclamation the convention proceeded to the nomination of a candidate for Vice-President. Several gentlemen whose names had been presented having withdrawn, Mr. Charles A. Towne, of Minnesota, was nominated, also by acclamation. On August 8, after the Democratic national convention, Mr. Towne withdrew, and the support of the Fusionist Populists was given to the whole Democratic ticket. The following platform was adopted: —

Resolved, That we denounce the act of March 14, 1900, as the culmination of a long series of conspiracies to deprive the people of their constitutional rights over the money of the nation, and relegate to a gigantic money trust the control of the purse, and hence of the people. We denounce this act, first, for making all money obligations, domestic and foreign, payable in gold coin or its equivalent, thus enormously increasing the burdens of the debtors and enriching the creditors. Second, for refunding coin bonds not to mature for years into long-time gold bonds, so as to make their payment improbable and our debt perpetual. Third, for taking from the Treasury over \$50,000,000 in a time of war and presenting it as a premium to bond holders to accomplish the refunding of bonds not due. Fourth, for doubling the capital of bankers by returning them the face value of their bonds in current money notes, so that they may draw one interest from the government and an

other from the people. Fifth, for allowing banks to expand and contract their circulation at pleasure, thus controlling prices of all products. Sixth, for authorizing the Secretary of the Treasury to issue new gold bonds to an unlimited amount whenever he deems it necessary to replenish the gold hoard, thus enabling usurers to secure more bonds and more bank currency by drawing gold from the Treasury, thereby creating an endless chain for perpetually adding to a perpetual debt. Seventh, for striking down the greenback in order to force the people to borrow \$346,000,000 more from the banks at an annual cost of over \$20,000,000.

While barring out the money of the Constitution, this law opens the printing mints of the Treasury to the free coinage of bank paper money, to enrich the few and impoverish the many. We pledge anew the People's party never to cease the agitation until this great financial conspiracy is blotted from the statute books, the Lincoln greenback restored, the bonds all paid, and all corporation money forever retired.

We affirm the demand for the reopening of the mints of the United States for the free and unlimited coinage of silver and gold at the present legal ratio of 16 to 1, the immediate increase in the volume of silver coins and certificates thus created, to be substituted, dollar for dollar, for the bank notes issued by private corporations, under special privilege granted by law of March 14, 1900, and prior national banking laws, the remaining portion of the banknotes to be replaced with full legal tender government paper money, and its volume so controlled as to maintain at all times a stable money market and a stable price level.

We demand a graduated income and inheritance tax, to the end that aggregated wealth shall bear its just proportion of taxation.

We demand that postal savings banks shall be established by government for the safe deposit of the savings of the people and to facilitate exchange.

With Thomas Jefferson we declare the land, including all natural sources of wealth, the inalienable heritage of the people. Government should so act as to secure homes for the people and prevent land monopoly. The original homestead policy should be enforced, and future settlers upon the public domain should be entitled to a free homestead, while all who have paid an acreage price to the government under existing laws should have their homestead rights restored.

Transportation, being a means of exchange and a public necessity, the government should own and operate the railroads in the interest of the people, and on a non-partisan basis, to the end that all may be accorded the same treatment in transportation,

and that the extortion, tyranny and political power now exercised by the great railroad corporations, which result in the impairment, if not the destruction, of the political rights and personal liberty of the citizen, may be destroyed. Such ownership is to be accomplished in a manner consistent with sound public policy. Trusts, the overshadowing evil of the age, are the result and the culmination of the private ownership and control of these great instruments of commerce — money, transportation, and the means of transmission of information — which instruments of commerce are public functions, and which our forefathers declared in the Constitution should be controlled by the people through their Congress, for the public welfare. The one remedy for the trusts is that the ownership and control be assumed and exercised by the people.

We further demand that all tariffs on goods controlled by a trust shall be abolished. To cope with the trust evil the people must act directly without the intervention of representatives, who may be controlled or influenced. We therefore demand direct legislation, giving the people the law-making and veto power under the initiative and referendum. A majority of the people can never be corruptly influenced.

Applauding the valor of our army and navy in the Spanish war, we denounce the conduct of the administration in changing a war for humanity into a war of conquest. The action of the administration in the Philippines is in conflict with all the precedents of our national life; at war with the Declaration of Independence, the Constitution, and the plain precepts of humanity. Murder and arson have been our response to the appeals of the people, who asked only to establish a free government in their own land. We demand a stoppage of this war of extermination by the assurance to the Philippines of independence and the protection under a stable government of their own creation. The Declaration of Independence, the Constitution and the American flag are one and inseparable. The island of Porto Rico is a part of the territory of the United States, and by levying special and extraordinary customs duties on the commerce of that island, the administration has violated the Constitution, abandoned the fundamental principles of American liberty, and has striven to give the lie to the contention of our forefathers that there should be no taxation without representation. Out of the imperialism that would force an undesired domination upon the people of the Philippines springs the un-American cry for a large standing army. Nothing in the character or purposes of our people justifies us in ignoring the plain lesson of history, and putting our liberties in jeopardy by assuming the burden of imperialism which is crushing the people of the

Old World. We denounce the administration for its sinister efforts to substitute a standing army for the citizen soldiery, which is the best safeguard of the Republic.

We extend to the brave Boers of South Africa our sympathy and moral support in their patriotic struggle for the right of self-government, and we are unalterably opposed to any alliance, open or covert, between the United States and any other nation that will tend to the destruction of liberty.

And a further manifestation of imperialism is to be found in the mining districts of Idaho. In the Cœur d'Alene soldiers have been used to override miners striving for a greater measure of industrial independence. And we denounce the State government of Idaho, and the federal government for employing the military arm of the government to abridge the civil rights of the people, and to enforce an infamous permit system which denies to laborers their inherent ability and compels them to forswear their manhood and their right before being permitted to seek employment.

The importation of Japanese and other laborers under contract to serve monopolistic corporations is a notorious and flagrant violation of the immigrant laws. We demand that the federal government shall take cognizance of this menacing evil, and suppress it, under existing laws. We further pledge ourselves to strive for the enactment of more stringent laws for the exclusion of Mongolian and Malayan immigration.

We endorse municipal ownership of public utilities, and declare that the advantages which have accrued to the public under that system would be multiplied a hundredfold by its extension to natural inter-State monopolies.

Mr. Milford W. Howard, of Alabama, was the temporary, and Mr. W. L. Peck, of Georgia, the permanent, president of the convention of Middle-of-the-Road Populists at Cincinnati, on May 9. It was reported that about seven hundred delegates were in attendance, and that every State and Territory in the Union was represented, except Arizona, New Mexico, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Vermont. Evidently the States were quite irregularly represented, inasmuch as objection was made to the one delegate from Kansas casting the entire number of votes, eighty-six, to which the State was entitled, and he was obliged to be satisfied with the eleven votes assigned to his congressional district. Two votes were taken for a nomination of a candidate for President. On the second trial Wharton Barker, of Pennsylvania, received 370 votes to 336 for Milford W. Howard, of Alabama. Ignatius Donnelly, of

Minnesota, was nominated by acclamation for Vice-President. The following platform was adopted:—

The People's party of the United States, assembled in national convention this 10th day of May, 1900, affirming our unshaken belief in the cardinal tenets of the People's party as set forth in the Omaha platform, and pledging ourselves anew to continued advocacy of these grand principles of human liberty, until right shall triumph over might and love over greed, do adopt and proclaim this declaration of faith:

We demand the initiative and referendum and the imperative mandate for such changes of existing fundamental and statute law as will enable the people in their sovereign capacity to propose and compel the enactment of such laws as they desire, to reject such as they deem injurious to their interests, and to recall unfaithful public servants.

We demand the public ownership and operation of those means of communication, transportation and production which the people may elect, such as railroads, telegraph and telephone lines, coal mines, etc.

The land, including all natural sources of wealth, is a heritage of the people, and should not be monopolized for speculative purposes, and alien ownership of land should be prohibited. All land now held by railroads and other corporations in excess of their actual needs and all lands now owned by aliens should be reclaimed by the Government and held for actual settlers only.

A scientific and absolute paper money, based upon the entire wealth and population of the Nation, not redeemable in any specific commodity, but made a full legal tender for all debts and receivable for all taxes and public dues and issued by the Government only without the intervention of banks and in sufficient quantity to meet the demands of commerce, is the best currency that can be devised; but until such a financial system is secured, which we shall press for adoption, we favor the free and unlimited coinage of both silver and gold at the legal ratio of 16 to 1.

We demand the levy and collection of a graduated tax on incomes and inheritances, and a constitutional amendment to secure the same, if necessary.

We demand the election of President, Vice-President, Federal Judges and United States Senators by direct vote of the people.

We are opposed to trusts, and declare the contention between the old parties on the monopoly question is a sham battle, and that no solution of this mighty problem is possible without the adoption of the principles of public ownership of public utilities.

The next convention, in point of time, was that of the So-

cialist-Labor party, which was held in New York city on June 2. On the 6th it nominated for President Joseph Malloney of Massachusetts, and for Vice-President Valentine Rummel of Pennsylvania. It readopted the platform of 1896, as follows:—

The Socialist Labor party of the United States, in convention assembled, reasserts the inalienable right of all men to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. With the founders of the American Republic we hold that the purpose of government is to secure every citizen in the enjoyment of this right; but in the light of our social conditions we hold, furthermore, that no such right can be exercised under a system of economic inequality, essentially destructive of life, of liberty and of happiness.

With the founders of this Republic we hold that the true theory of politics is that the machinery of government must be owned and controlled by the whole people; but in the light of our industrial development we hold, furthermore, that the true theory of economics is that the machinery of production must likewise belong to the people in common. To the obvious fact that our despotic system of economics is the direct opposite of our democratic system of politics can plainly be traced the existence of a privileged class, the corruption of government by that class, the alienation of public property, public franchises and public functions to that class, and the abject dependence of the mightiest of nations upon that class.

Again, through the perversion of democracy to the ends of plutocracy, labor is robbed of the wealth which it alone produces, is denied the means of self-employment, and, by compulsory idleness in wage slavery, is even deprived of the necessities of life. Human power and natural forces are thus wasted, that the plutocracy may rule. Ignorance and misery, with all their concomitant evils, are perpetuated, that the people may be kept in bondage. Science and invention are diverted from their humane purpose to the enslavement of women and children.

Against such a system the Socialist Labor party once more enters its protest. Once more it reiterates its fundamental declaration that private property in the natural sources of production and in the instruments of labor is the obvious cause of all economic servitude and political dependence. The time is fast coming when, in the natural course of social evolution, this system, through the destructive action of its failures and crises on the one hand, and the constructive tendencies of its trusts and other capitalistic combinations on the other hand, shall have worked out its own downfall.

We therefore call upon the wage workers of the United States, and upon all other honest citizens, to organize under the banner

of the Socialist Labor party into a class-conscious body, aware of its rights and determined to conquer them by taking possession of the public powers; so that, held together by an indomitable spirit of solidarity under the most trying conditions of the present class struggle, we may put a summary end to that barbarous struggle by the abolition of classes, the restoration of the land and of all the means of production, transportation and distribution to the people as a collective body, and the substitution of the cooperative Commonwealth for the present state of planless production, industrial war and social disorder; a Commonwealth in which every worker shall have the free exercise and full benefit of his faculties, multiplied by all the modern factors of civilization.

The Republican National Convention met at Philadelphia on June 19. There was no contention over the platform, as there was in the convention of 1896, and the nomination of Mr. McKinley for a second term with absolute unanimity on the part of the delegates and the Republicans of the country, was fully assured. Almost the only active interest in the proceedings of the convention was aroused over the nomination for Vice-President. Many names were proposed, and there was eager canvassing in behalf of some of them. But the movement in favor of Theodore Roosevelt, of New York, enlisted the most ardent support. Mr. Roosevelt was at the time governor of New York, and openly avowed his anxious desire to be nominated and reëlected to that position. He expressed with equal frankness his unwillingness to be nominated as a candidate for Vice-President. There was a strong pressure on the part of his fellow delegates from New York—for he was a member of the convention—to give him the national nomination; but that movement was credited to a desire on the part of the so-called leaders of the party in New York to get rid of him as a candidate for governor. Had the sentiment in his favor been confined to his own State he would have resisted to the last and refused to accept a nomination. But the West and South were equally favorable to him, and to them, ultimately, he yielded.

The temporary chairman was Senator Edward O. Wolcott, of Colorado, and the permanent president was Senator Henry Cabot Lodge, of Massachusetts. On the second day of the convention a proposition was made, similar to the propositions submitted to previous conventions, to change the system of representation by allowing each State to send four delegates at

large and "one additional delegate for each ten thousand votes, or majority fraction thereof cast at the last preceding presidential election for Republican electors." The proposed change was intended to reduce the representation of the Southern States, which furnish few electoral votes to the Republican candidates. It would have reduced the representation of South Carolina and Mississippi each from 18 to 5; of Louisiana from 16 to 6; and of Texas from 30 to 21. It was opposed by the members from the Southern States, and was withdrawn, after a brief discussion.

The Committee on Resolutions reported the following platform, which was unanimously adopted: —

The Republicans of the United States, through their chosen representatives, met in National Convention, looking back upon an unsurpassed record of achievement and looking forward into a great field of duty and opportunity, and appealing to the judgment of their countrymen, make these declarations:

The expectation in which the American people, turning from the Democratic party, intrusted power four years ago to a Republican Chief Magistrate and a Republican Congress has been met and satisfied. When the people then assembled at the polls, after a term of Democratic legislation and administration, business was dead, industry paralyzed and the National credit disastrously impaired. The country's capital was hidden away, and its labor distressed and unemployed. The Democrats had no other plan with which to improve the ruinous conditions which they had themselves produced than to coin silver at the ratio of 16 to 1. The Republican party, denouncing this plan as sure to produce conditions even worse than those from which relief was sought, promised to restore prosperity by means of two legislative measures — a protective tariff and a law making gold the standard of value. The people by great majorities issued to the Republican party a commission to enact these laws. This commission has been executed, and the Republican promise is redeemed. Prosperity more general and more abundant than we have ever known has followed these enactments. There is no longer controversy as to the value of any Government obligation. Every American dollar is a gold dollar, or its assured equivalent, and American credit stands higher than that of any nation. Capital is fully employed and labor everywhere is profitably occupied. No single fact can more strikingly tell the story of what Republican government means to the country than this — that while during the whole period of one hundred and seven years, from 1790 to 1897, there was an excess of exports

over imports of only \$383,028,497, there has been in the short three years of the present Republican Administration an excess of exports over imports in the enormous sum of \$1,483,537,094.

And while the American people, sustained by this Republican legislation, have been achieving these splendid triumphs in their business and commerce, they have conducted, and in victory concluded, a war for liberty and human rights. No thought of National aggrandizement tarnished the high purpose with which American standards were unfurled. It was a war unsought and patiently resisted, but when it came the American Government was ready. Its fleets were cleared for action. Its armies were in the field, and the quick and signal triumph of its forces on land and sea bore equal tribute to the courage of American soldiers and sailors and to the skill and foresight of Republican statesmanship. To ten millions of the human race there was given "a new birth of freedom," and to the American people a new and noble responsibility.

We indorse the Administration of William McKinley. Its acts have been established in wisdom and in patriotism, and at home and abroad it has distinctly elevated and extended the influence of the American Nation. Walking untried paths and facing unforeseen responsibilities, President McKinley has been in every situation the true American patriot and the upright statesman, clear in vision, strong in judgment, firm in action, always inspiring and deserving the confidence of his countrymen.

In asking the American people to indorse this Republican record and to renew their commission to the Republican party, we remind them of the fact that the menace to their prosperity has always resided in Democratic principles, and no less in the general incapacity of the Democratic party to conduct public affairs. The prime essential of business prosperity is public confidence in the good sense of the Government and in its ability to deal intelligently with each new problem of administration and legislation. That confidence the Democratic party has never earned. It is hopelessly inadequate, and the country's prosperity when Democratic success at the polls is announced halts and ceases in mere anticipation of Democratic blunders and failures.

We renew our allegiance to the principle of the gold standard, and declare our confidence in the wisdom of the legislation of the LVith Congress, by which the parity of all our money and the stability of our currency upon a gold basis have been secured. We recognize that interest rates are a potent factor in production and business activity, and for the purpose of further equalizing and of further lowering the rates of interest we favor such monetary legislation as will enable the varying needs of the season and of all

sections to be promptly met, in order that trade may be evenly sustained, labor steadily employed and commerce enlarged. The volume of money in circulation was never so great per capita as it is to-day. We declare our steadfast opposition to the free and unlimited coinage of silver. No measure to that end could be considered which was without the support of the leading commercial countries of the world. However firmly Republican legislation may seem to have secured the country against the peril of base and discredited currency, the election of a Democratic President could not fail to impair the country's credit and to bring once more into question the intention of the American people to maintain upon the gold standard the parity of their money circulation. The Democratic party must be convinced that the American people will never tolerate the Chicago platform.

We recognize the necessity and propriety of the honest coöperation of capital to meet new business conditions, and especially to extend our rapidly increasing foreign trade, but we condemn all conspiracies and combinations intended to restrict business, to create monopolies, to limit production or to control prices, and favor such legislation as will effectively restrain and prevent all such abuses, protect and promote competition and secure the rights of producers, laborers and all who are engaged in industry and commerce.

We renew our faith in the policy of protection to American labor. In that policy our industries have been established, diversified and maintained. By protecting the home market competition has been stimulated and production cheapened. Opportunity for the inventive genius of our people has been secured and wages in every department of labor maintained at high rates, higher now than ever before, and always distinguishing our working people in their better conditions of life from those of any competing country. Enjoying the blessings of the American common school, secure in the right of self-government and protected in the occupancy of their own markets, their constantly increasing knowledge and skill have enabled them finally to enter the markets of the world.

We favor the associated policy of reciprocity so directed as to open our markets on favorable terms for what we do not ourselves produce, in return for free foreign markets.

In the further interest of American workmen we favor a more effective restriction of the immigration of cheap labor from foreign lands, the extension of opportunities of education for working children, the raising of the age limit for child labor, the protection of free labor as against contract convict labor, and an effective system of labor insurance.

Our present dependence upon foreign shipping for nine-tenths of our foreign carrying is a great loss to the industry of this country. It is also a serious danger to our trade, for its sudden withdrawal in the event of European war would seriously cripple our expanding foreign commerce. The National defence and naval efficiency of this country, moreover, supply a compelling reason for legislation which will enable us to recover our former place among the trade carrying fleets of the world.

The Nation owes a debt of profound gratitude to the soldiers and sailors who have fought its battles, and it is the Government's duty to provide for the survivors and for the widows and orphans of those who have fallen in the country's wars. The pension laws, founded in this just sentiment, should be liberal, and should be liberally administered, and preference should be given wherever practicable with respect to employment in the public service to soldiers and sailors and to their widows and orphans.

We commend the policy of the Republican party in maintaining the efficiency of the Civil Service. The Administration has acted wisely in its effort to secure for public service in Cuba, Porto Rico, Hawaii and the Philippine Islands only those whose fitness has been determined by training and experience. We believe that employment in the public service in these territories should be confined as far as practicable to their inhabitants.

It was the plain purpose of the Fifteenth Amendment to the Constitution to prevent discrimination on account of race or color in regulating the elective franchise. Devices of State governments, whether by statutory or constitutional enactment, to avoid the purpose of this amendment are revolutionary and should be condemned.

Public movements looking to a permanent improvement of the roads and highways of the country meet with our cordial approval, and we recommend this subject to the earnest consideration of the people and of the Legislatures of the several States.

We favor the extension of the rural free delivery service wherever its extension may be justified.

In further pursuance of the constant policy of the Republican party to provide free homes on the public domain, we recommend adequate National legislation to reclaim the arid lands of the United States, reserving control of the distribution of water for irrigation to the respective States and Territories.

We favor home rule for and the early admission to Statehood of the Territories of New Mexico, Arizona and Oklahoma.

The Dingley act, amended to provide sufficient revenue for the conduct of the war, has so well performed its work that it has been possible to reduce the war debt in the sum of \$40,000,000. So ample

are the Government's revenues and so great is the public confidence in the integrity of its obligations that its newly funded 2 per cent bonds sell at a premium. The country is now justified in expecting, and it will be the policy of the Republican party to bring about, a reduction of the war taxes.

We favor the construction, ownership, control and protection of an isthmian canal by the Government of the United States. New markets are necessary for the increasing surplus of our farm products. Every effort should be made to open and obtain new markets, especially in the Orient, and the Administration is warmly to be commended for its successful effort to commit all trading and colonizing nations to the policy of the open door in China.

In the interest of our expanding commerce we recommend that Congress create a department of commerce and industries in the charge of a secretary with a seat in the Cabinet.

The United States consular system should be reorganized under the supervision of this new department, upon such a basis of appointment and tenure as will render it still more serviceable to the Nation's increasing trade.

The American Government must protect the person and property of every citizen wherever they are wrongfully violated or placed in peril.

We congratulate the women of America upon their splendid record of public service in the volunteer aid association, and as nurses in camp and hospital during the recent campaigns of our armies in the Eastern and Western Indies, and we appreciate their faithful coöperation in all works of education and industry.

President McKinley has conducted the foreign affairs of the United States with distinguished credit to the American people. In releasing us from the vexatious conditions of a European alliance for the government of Samoa his course is especially to be commended. By securing to our undivided control the most important island of the Samoan group and the best harbor in the Southern Pacific, every American interest has been safeguarded.

We approve the annexation of the Hawaiian Islands to the United States.

We commend the part taken by our Government in the Peace Conference at The Hague.

We assert our steadfast adherence to the policy announced in the Monroe Doctrine. The provisions of The Hague Convention were wisely regarded when President McKinley tendered his friendly offices in the interest of peace between Great Britain and the South African republics. While the American Government must continue the policy prescribed by Washington, affirmed by every succeeding President and imposed upon us by The Hague

Treaty, of non-intervention in European controversies, the American people earnestly hope that a way may soon be found, honorable alike to both contending parties, to terminate the strife between them.

In accepting by the Treaty of Paris the just responsibility of our victories in the Spanish war the President and the Senate won the undoubted approval of the American people. No other course was possible than to destroy Spain's sovereignty throughout the West Indies and in the Philippine Islands. That course created our responsibility before the world, and with the unorganized population whom our intervention had freed from Spain, to provide for the maintenance of law and order, and for the establishment of good government and for the performance of international obligations. Our authority could not be less than our responsibility, and wherever sovereign rights were extended it became the high duty of the Government to maintain its authority, to put down armed insurrection and to confer the blessings of liberty and civilization upon all the rescued peoples.

The largest measure of self-government consistent with their welfare and our duties shall be secured to them by law.

To Cuba independence and self-government were assured in the same voice by which war was declared, and to the letter this pledge will be performed.

The Republican party upon its history, and upon this declaration of its principles and policies, confidently invokes the considerate and approving judgment of the American people.

On the third day of the convention William McKinley, of Ohio, was nominated for President and Theodore Roosevelt, of New York, for Vice-President. In each case the roll of the States was called and the vote was unanimous. But Mr. Roosevelt received one vote less than the 926 given to Mr. McKinley, as his own vote was withheld.

The National Prohibition party held its convention at Chicago on June 27, and the following day. Samuel Dickie, of Michigan, was the president of the convention, which consisted of more than seven hundred delegates, representing forty States of the Union. There was an earnest contest in the committee on Resolutions over the question whether the platform should express the principles of the party on the single subject of the liquor traffic, or should be a "broad" platform and treat of other questions of the day, as had been the custom of the party in the past. In the end the victory was with those who advocated a platform of a single plank. The controversy

was not carried into the convention, and the platform as reported, was adopted, in the following terms:—

The National Prohibition party, in convention represented at Chicago, June 27 and 28, 1900, acknowledges Almighty God as the supreme source of all just government. Realizing that this Republic was founded upon Christian principles, and can endure only as it embodies justice and righteousness, and asserting that all authority should seek the best good of all the governed, to this end wisely prohibiting what is wrong and permitting only what is right, hereby records and proclaims:

First, We accept and assert the definition given by Edward Burke, that a party is "a body of men joined together for the purpose of protecting by their joint endeavor the national interest upon some particular principle upon which they are all agreed."

We declare that there is no principle now advocated by any other party which could be made a fact in government with such beneficent moral and material results as the principle of prohibition applied to the beverage liquor traffic; that the national interest could be promoted in no other way so surely and so widely as by its adoption and assertion through a national policy, and a co-operation therein by every state, forbidding the manufacture, sale, exportation, importation and transportation of intoxicating liquor for beverage purposes; that we stand for this as the only principle proposed by any party anywhere for the settlement of a question greater and graver than any other before the American people, and involving more profoundly than any other their moral future and financial welfare; and that all the patriotic citizenship of this country agreed upon this principle, however much disagreement there may be as to minor considerations and issues, should stand together at the ballot box from this time forward, until prohibition is the established policy of the United States, with a party in power to enforce it and to insure its moral and material benefits.

We insist that such a party, agreed upon this principle and policy, having sober leadership, without any obligation for success to the saloon vote and to those demoralizing combinations, can successfully cope with all other and lesser problems of government, in legislative halls and in the executive chair, and that it is useless for any party to make declarations in its platform as to any questions concerning which there may be serious differences of opinion in its own membership, and as to which, because of such differences, the party could legislate only on a basis of mutual concessions when coming into power.

We submit that the Democratic and Republican parties are alike insincere in their assumed hostility to trusts and monopolies.

They dare not and do not attack the most dangerous of them all, the liquor power. So long as the saloon debauches the citizen and breeds the purchaseable voter, money will continue to buy its way to power. Break down this traffic, elevate manhood, and a sober citizenship will find a way to control dangerous combinations of capital.

We propose, as a first step in the financial problem of the nation, to save more than a billion dollars every year, now annually expended to support the liquor traffic and to demoralize our people. When that is accomplished, conditions will have so improved that with a clearer atmosphere the country can address itself to the questions as to the kind and quantity of currency needed.

Second. We reaffirm as true indisputably the declaration of William Windom when Secretary of the Treasury in the Cabinet of President Arthur, that "Considered socially, financially, politically or morally, the licensed liquor traffic is or ought to be the overwhelming issue in American politics," and that "the destruction of this iniquity stands next on the calendar of the world's progress." We hold that the existence of our party presents this issue squarely to the American people, and lays upon them the responsibility of choice between liquor parties, dominated by distillers and brewers, with their policy of saloon perpetuation, breeding waste, wickedness, woe, pauperism, taxation, corruption and crime, and our one party of patriotic and moral principle, with a policy which defends it from domination by corrupt bosses and which insures it forever against the blighting control of saloon politics.

We face with sorrow, shame and fear the awful fact that this liquor traffic has a grip on our government, municipal, State and National, through the revenue system and saloon sovereignty, which no other party dares to dispute; a grip which dominates the party now in power, from caucus to Congress, from policeman to President, from the rumshop to the White House; a grip which compels the Chief Executive to consent that law shall be nullified in behalf of the brewer, that the canteen shall curse our Army and spread intemperance across the seas, and that our flag shall wave as the symbol of partnership at home and abroad between this Government and the men who defy and defile it for their unholy gain.

Third. We charge upon President McKinley, who was elected to his high office by appeals to Christian sentiment and patriotism almost unprecedented, and by a combination of moral influences never before seen in this country, that, by his conspicuous example as a wine-drinker at public banquets and as a wine-serving host in the White House, he has done more to encourage the liquor

business, to demoralize the temperance habits of young men, and to bring Christian practices and requirements into disrepute, than any other President this Republic has ever had. We further charge upon President McKinley responsibility for the Army canteen, with all its dire brood of disease, immorality, sin and death, in this country, in Cuba, in Porto Rico and the Philippines; and we insist that by his attitude concerning the canteen, and his apparent contempt for the vast number of petitions and petitioners protesting against it, he has outraged and insulted the moral sentiment of this country in such a manner and to such a degree as calls for its righteous uprising and his indignant and effective rebuke.

We challenge denial of the fact that our Chief Executive, as commander in chief of the military forces of the United States, at any time prior to or since March 2, 1899, could have closed every army saloon, called a canteen, by executive order, as President Hayes in effect did before him, and should have closed them, for the same reason that actuated President Hayes; we assert that the act of Congress passed March 2, 1899, forbidding the sale of liquor, "in any post exchange or canteen," by any "officer or private soldier" or by "any other person on any premises used for military purposes in the United States," was and is as explicit an act of prohibition as the English language can frame.

We declare our solemn belief that the Attorney-General of the United States in his interpretation of that law, and the Secretary of War in his acceptance of that interpretation and his refusal to enforce the law, were and are guilty of treasonable nullification thereof, and that President McKinley, through his assent to and indorsement of such interpretation and refusal on the part of officials appointed by and responsible to him, shares responsibility in their guilt; and we record our conviction that a new and serious peril confronts our country, in the fact that its President, at the behest of the beer power, dare and does abrogate a law of Congress, through subordinates removable at will by him and whose acts become his, and thus virtually confesses that laws are to be administered or to be nullified in the interest of a law-defying business, by an Administration under mortgage to such business for support.

Fourth. We deplore the fact that an Administration of this Republic claiming the right and power to carry our flag across seas, and to conquer and annex new territory, should admit its lack of power to prohibit the American saloon on subjugated soil, or should openly confess itself subject to liquor sovereignty under that flag. We are humiliated, exasperated and grieved by the evidence painfully abundant that this Administration's policy of expansion is bearing so rapidly its first fruits of drunkenness, insanity and

crime under the hothouse sun of the tropics; and when the president of the first Philippine Commission says "It was unfortunate that we introduced and established the saloon there, to corrupt the natives and to exhibit the vices of our race," we charge the inhumanity and un-Christianity of this act upon the Administration of William McKinley and upon the party which elected and would perpetuate the same.

Fifth. We declare that the only policy which the Government of the United States can of right uphold as to the liquor traffic, under the National Constitution, upon any territory under the military or civil control of that Government, is the policy of prohibition; that "to establish justice, insure domestic tranquillity, provide for the common defence, promote the general welfare, and secure the blessings of liberty to ourselves and our posterity," as the Constitution provides, the liquor traffic must neither be sanctioned nor tolerated, and that the revenue policy which makes our Government a partner with distillers and brewers and barkeepers is a disgrace to our civilization, an outrage upon humanity and a crime against God. We condemn the present Administration at Washington because it has repealed the prohibitory laws in Alaska, and has given over the partly civilized tribes there to be the prey of the American grog shop; and because it has entered upon a license policy in our new possessions by incorporating the same in the recent act of Congress in the code of laws for the government of the Hawaiian Islands.

We call general attention to the fearful fact that exportation of liquors from the United States to the Philippine Islands increased in value from \$337 in 1898 to \$467,198 in the first ten months of the fiscal year ending June 30, 1900; and that while our exportation of liquors to Cuba never reached \$30,000 a year previous to American occupation of that island, our exports of such liquors to Cuba during the fiscal year of 1899 reached the sum of \$629,855.

Sixth. One great religious body (the Baptist) having truly declared of the liquor traffic "that it has no defensible right to exist, that it can never be reformed, and that it stands condemned by its unrighteous fruits as a thing un-Christian, un-American, and perilous utterly to every interest in life;" another great religious body (the Methodist) having as truly asserted and reiterated that "no political party has a right to expect, nor should it receive, the votes of Christian men so long as it stands committed to the license system, or refuses to put itself on record in an attitude of open hostility to the saloon;" other great religious bodies having made similar deliverances, in language plain and unequivocal, as to the liquor traffic and the duty of Christian citizenship in opposition thereto; and the fact being plain and undeniable that the Demo-

cratic party stands for license, the saloon and the canteen, while the Republican party, in policy and administration, stand for the canteen, the saloon and the revenue therefrom, we declare ourselves justified in expecting that Christian voters everywhere shall cease their complicity with the liquor curse by refusing to uphold a liquor party, and shall unite themselves with the only party which upholds the prohibition policy, and which for nearly thirty years has been the faithful defender of the Church, the State, the home and the school, against the saloon, its expanders and perpetuators, their actual and persistent foes.

We insist that no differences of belief as to any other question or concern of government should stand in the way of such a union of moral and Christian citizenship as we hereby invite for the speedy settlement of this paramount moral, industrial, financial and political issue which our party presents; and we refrain from declaring ourselves upon all minor matters as to which differences of opinion may exist that thereby we may offer to the American people a platform so broad that all can stand upon it who desire to see sober citizenship actually sovereign over the allied hosts of evil, sin and crime, in a government of the people, by the people, and for the people.

We declare that there are but two real parties to-day concerning the liquor traffic — perpetuationists and Prohibitionists; and that patriotism, Christianity, and every interest of genuine and of pure democracy, besides the loyal demands of our common humanity, require the speedy union, in one solid phalanx at the ballot box, of all who oppose the liquor traffic's perpetuation, and who covet endurance for this Republic.

There was a short contest over the nominations, but John G. Woolley, of Illinois, was chosen as the candidate for President by 380 votes to 329 given to Silas C. Swallow, of Pennsylvania; and Henry B. Metcalf, of Rhode Island, was nominated for Vice-President over Thomas R. Carskadden, of West Virginia, and E. L. Eaton, of Iowa.

The Democratic National Convention met at Kansas City on the 4th of July. Governor Charles S. Thomas, of Colorado, was the temporary chairman and James D. Richardson, of Tennessee, the permanent president of the convention.

The unanimous nomination of Mr. William J. Bryan as candidate for President was assured, but there was a most earnest controversy over the platform during the period just preceding the convention. A large number of the members of the party,

many of them prominent delegates to the convention were in favor of making the silver issue much less prominent than it was in 1896. Some of these men had supported the ticket four years before, others had not. Some of them were advocates of free coinage, others were not. But they were all opposed to an explicit declaration in favor of free coinage at the ratio of 16 to 1. Those who still adhered in theory to that measure believed that it would be good policy to subordinate that issue to the newer one of anti-imperialism; and although they did not object to a vague declaration on the silver issue, they did urge that a repetition of the 1896 platform would continue to alienate many voters who would willingly return to their old party allegiance. Former Governor and Senator David B. Hill, of New York, was prominent in pressing this view upon the delegates, as they arrived in Kansas City. Either on his own motion, or at the request of Mr. Bryan, he made the journey to Lincoln, Nebraska, for a consultation with the prospective candidate.

His mission was fruitless. Mr. Bryan maintained that the position taken by the party in 1896 was right; that thousands upon thousands of his supporters in that campaign still regarded the silver issue as the most important of all; and that they would justly denounce it as an act of treachery on his part if he were to accept a nomination on a platform less explicit than that of the preceding canvass. He was unmoved by the argument that by yielding the point he would gain votes in States where an increase of the Democratic strength was greatly needed, and was indispensable to victory. If he agreed that such would be the result, he was also sure that his surrender would be followed by a serious loss of votes in States where he had already gained them on the silver issue.

Notwithstanding the failure to persuade Mr. Bryan to take the view that it would be good policy to let the silver issue drop partly out of sight, and notwithstanding the sentiment that the candidate should have a controlling part in the construction of the platform, the contest was carried into the Committee on Resolutions and the matter was debated long and earnestly. Ultimately Mr. Bryan's wishes were respected, but the vote was close, and attention was called to the fact that the majority in favor of the silver clauses was obtained by the votes of members from States which could by no possibility give Mr. Bryan a single electoral vote. The platform, on which there was no contest in the convention was as follows:—

We, the representatives of the Democratic party of the United States, assembled in National Convention on the anniversary of the adoption of the Declaration of Independence, do reaffirm our faith in that immortal proclamation of the inalienable rights of man, and our allegiance to the Constitution framed in harmony therewith by the fathers of the Republic.

We hold with the United States Supreme Court that the Declaration of Independence is the spirit of our Government, of which the Constitution is the form and letter. We declare again that all governments instituted among men derive their just powers from the consent of the governed; that any government not based upon the consent of the governed is a tyranny; and that to impose upon any people a government of force is to substitute the methods of imperialism for those of a republic. We hold that the Constitution follows the flag and denounce the doctrine that an Executive or Congress, deriving their existence and their powers from the Constitution, can exercise lawful authority beyond it, or in violation of it. We assert that no nation can long endure half republic and half empire, and we warn the American people that imperialism abroad will lead quickly and inevitably to despotism at home.

Believing in these fundamental principles, we denounce the Porto Rican law, enacted by a Republican Congress against the protest and opposition of the Democratic minority, as a bold and open violation of the Nation's organic law and a flagrant breach of National good faith.

It imposes upon the people of Porto Rico a government without their consent, and taxation without representation.

It dishonors the American people by repudiating a solemn pledge made in their behalf by the commanding general of our Army, which the Porto Ricans welcomed to a peaceful and unresisted occupation of their land.

It dooms to poverty and distress a people whose helplessness appeals with peculiar force to our justice and magnanimity. In this, the first act of its imperialistic programme, the Republican party seeks to commit the United States to a colonial policy inconsistent with republican institutions and condemned by the Supreme Court in numerous decisions.

We demand the prompt and honest fulfilment of our pledge to the Cuban people and the world, that the United States has no disposition nor intention to exercise sovereignty, jurisdiction, or control over the island of Cuba, except for its pacification. The war ended nearly two years ago, profound peace reigns over all the island, and still the Administration keeps the government of the island from its people, while Republican carpetbag officials plun-

der its revenues and exploit the colonial theory to the disgrace of the American people.

We condemn and denounce the Philippine policy of the present Administration. It has embroiled the Republic in an unnecessary war, sacrificed the lives of many of its noblest sons, and placed the United States, previously known and applauded throughout the world as the champion of freedom, in the false and un-American position of crushing with military force the efforts of our former allies to achieve liberty and self-government.

The Filipinos cannot be citizens without endangering our civilization; they cannot be subjects without imperilling our form of government; and as we are not willing to surrender our civilization, or to convert the Republic into an empire, we favor an immediate declaration of the Nation's purpose to give to the Filipinos, first, a stable form of government; second, independence; and third, protection from outside interference such as has been given for nearly a century to the republics of Central and South America.

The greedy commercialism which dictated the Philippine policy of the Republican Administration attempts to justify it with the plea that it will pay, but even this sordid and unworthy plea fails when brought to the test of facts.

The war of "criminal aggression" against the Filipinos, entailing an annual expense of many millions, has already cost more than any possible profit that could accrue from the entire Philippine trade for years to come. Furthermore, when trade is extended at the expense of liberty the price is always too high.

We are not opposed to territorial expansion, when it takes in desirable territory which can be erected into States in the Union, and whose people are willing and fit to become American citizens. We favor trade expansion by every peaceful and legitimate means. But we are unalterably opposed to the seizing or purchasing of distant islands to be governed outside the Constitution and whose people can never become citizens.

We are in favor of extending the Republic's influence among the nations, but believe that influence should be extended not by force and violence, but through the persuasive power of a high and honorable example.

The importance of other questions now pending before the American people is in nowise diminished and the Democratic party takes no backward step from its position on them; but the burning issue of imperialism, growing out of the Spanish war, involves the very existence of the Republic and the destruction of our free institutions. We regard it as the paramount issue of the campaign.

The declaration of the Republican platform adopted at the Philadelphia Convention, held in June, 1900, that the Republican party

"steadfastly adheres to the policy announced in the Monroe Doctrine," is manifestly insincere and deceptive. This profession is contradicted by the avowed policy of that party, in opposition to the spirit of the Monroe Doctrine, to acquire and hold sovereignty over large areas of territory and large numbers of people in the Eastern Hemisphere.

We insist on the strict maintenance of the Monroe Doctrine in all its integrity, both in letter and in spirit, as necessary to prevent the extension of European authority on these continents and as essential to our supremacy in American affairs. At the same time we declare that no American people shall ever be held by force in unwilling subjection to European authority.

We oppose militarism. It means conquest abroad and intimidation and oppression at home. It means the strong arm which has ever been fatal to free institutions. It is what millions of our citizens have fled from in Europe. It will impose upon our peace-loving people a large standing army, an unnecessary burden of taxation, and would be a constant menace to their liberties. A small standing army and a well disciplined State militia are amply sufficient in time of peace.

This Republic has no place for a vast military establishment, a sure forerunner of compulsory military service and conscription. When the Nation is in danger the volunteer soldier is his country's best defender. The National Guard of the United States should ever be cherished in the patriotic hearts of a free people. Such organizations are ever an element of strength and safety. For the first time in our history and coeval with the Philippine conquest has there been a wholesale departure from our time-honored and approved system of volunteer organization. We denounce it as un-American, undemocratic and unrepubli- can and as a subversion of the ancient and fixed principles of a free people.

Private monopolies are indefensible and intolerable. They destroy competition, control the price of raw material and of the finished product, thus robbing both producer and consumer. They lessen the employment of labor and arbitrarily fix the terms and conditions thereof; and deprive individual energy and small capital of their opportunity for betterment. They are the most efficient means yet devised for appropriating the fruits of industry to the benefit of the few at the expense of the many, and, unless their insatiate greed is checked, all wealth will be aggregated in a few hands and the Republic destroyed.

The dishonest paltering with the trust evil by the Republican party in its State and National platforms is conclusive proof of the truth of the charge that trusts are the legitimate product of Republican policies, that they are fostered by Republican laws, and that

they are protected by the Republican Administration in return for campaign subscriptions and political support.

We pledge the Democratic party to an unceasing warfare in Nation, State, and city against private monopoly in every form. Existing laws against trusts must be enforced and more stringent ones must be enacted providing for publicity as to the affairs of corporations engaged in interstate commerce and requiring all corporations to show, before doing business outside of the State of their origin, that they have no water in their stock, and that they have not attempted and are not attempting to monopolize any branch of business or the production of any articles of merchandise; and the whole constitutional power of Congress over interstate commerce, the mails and all modes of interstate communication shall be exercised by the enactment of comprehensive laws upon the subject of trusts. Tariff laws should be amended by putting the products of trusts upon the free list, to prevent monopoly under the plea of protection.

The failure of the present Republican Administration, with an absolute control over all the branches of the National Government, to enact any legislation designed to prevent or even curtail the absorbing power of trusts and illegal combinations, or to enforce the anti-trust laws already on the statute books, proves the insincerity of the high-sounding phrases of the Republican platform.

Corporations should be protected in all their rights and their legitimate interests should be respected, but any attempt by corporations to interfere with the public affairs of the people or to control the sovereignty which creates them should be forbidden under such penalties as will make such attempts impossible.

We condemn the Dingley tariff law as a trust-breeding measure skilfully devised to give to the few favors which they do not deserve, and to place upon the many burdens which they should not bear.

We favor such an enlargement of the scope of the Interstate Commerce law as will enable the Commission to protect individuals and communities from discrimination and the public from unjust and unfair transportation rates.

We reaffirm and indorse the principles of the National Democratic platform adopted at Chicago in 1896 and we reiterate the demand of that platform for an American financial system made by the American people for themselves, which shall restore and maintain a bimetallic price level, and as part of such system the immediate restoration of the free and unlimited coinage of silver and gold at the present legal ratio of 16 to 1, without waiting for the aid or consent of any other nation.

We denounce the currency bill enacted at the last session of Congress as a step forward in the Republican policy which aims to

discredit the sovereign right of the National Government to issue all money, whether coin or paper, and to bestow upon National banks the power to issue and control the volume of paper money for their own benefit. A permanent National bank currency, secured by Government bonds, must have a permanent debt to rest upon, and, if the bank currency is to increase with population and business, the debt must also increase. The Republican currency scheme is, therefore, a scheme for fastening upon the taxpayers a perpetual and growing debt for the benefit of the banks. We are opposed to this private corporation paper circulated as money, but without legal tender qualities, and demand the retirement of National bank notes as fast as Government paper or silver certificates can be substituted for them.

We favor an amendment to the Federal Constitution providing for the election of United States Senators by direct vote of the people, and we favor direct legislation wherever practicable.

We are opposed to government by injunction; we denounce the black-list, and favor arbitration as a means of settling disputes between corporations and their employees.

In the interest of American labor and the upbuilding of the workingman as the cornerstone of the prosperity of our country, we recommend that Congress create a Department of Labor, in charge of a Secretary, with a seat in the Cabinet, believing that the elevation of the American laborer will bring with it increased production and increased prosperity to our country at home and to our commerce abroad.

We are proud of the courage and fidelity of the American soldiers and sailors in all our wars; we favor liberal pensions to them and their dependents; and we reiterate the position taken in the Chicago platform in 1896, that the fact of enlistment and service shall be deemed conclusive evidence against disease and disability before enlistment.

We favor the immediate construction, ownership and control of the Nicaraguan Canal by the United States, and we denounce the insincerity of the plank in the Republican National platform for an Isthmian canal, in the face of the failure of the Republican majority to pass the bill pending in Congress. We condemn the Hay-Pauncefote treaty as a surrender of American rights and interests, not to be tolerated by the American people.

We denounce the failure of the Republican party to carry out its pledges to grant statehood to the Territories of Arizona, New Mexico and Oklahoma, and we promise the people of those Territories immediate statehood, and home rule during their condition as Territories; and we favor home rule and a territorial form of government for Alaska and Porto Rico.

We favor an intelligent system of improving the arid lands of the West, storing the waters for the purposes of irrigation, and the holding of such lands for actual settlers.

We favor the continuance and strict enforcement of the Chinese Exclusion law and its application to the same classes of all Asiatic races.

Jefferson said : " Peace, commerce and honest friendship with all nations, entangling alliances with none." We approve this wholesome doctrine and earnestly protest against the Republican departure which has involved us in so-called world politics, including the diplomacy of Europe and the intrigue and land grabbing in Asia, and we especially condemn the ill concealed Republican alliance with England, which must mean discrimination against other friendly nations, and which has already stifled the Nation's voice while liberty is being strangled in Africa.

Believing in the principles of self-government and rejecting, as did our forefathers, the claims of monarchy, we view with indignation the purpose of England to overwhelm with force the South African Republics. Speaking, as we believe, for the entire American Nation, except its Republican officeholders, and for all free men everywhere, we extend our sympathy to the heroic burghers in their unequal struggle to maintain their liberty and independence.

We denounce the lavish appropriations of recent Republican Congresses, which have kept taxes high and which threaten the perpetuation of the oppressive war levies. We oppose the accumulation of a surplus to be squandered in such barefaced frauds upon the taxpayers as the Shipping Subsidy bill, which, under the false pretence of fostering American shipbuilding, would put unearned millions into the pockets of favorite contributors to the Republican campaign fund. We favor the reduction and speedy repeal of the war taxes, and a return to the time-honored Democratic policy of strict economy in governmental expenditures.

Believing that our most cherished institutions are in great peril, that the very existence of our constitutional Republic is at stake, and that the decision now to be rendered will determine whether or not our children are to enjoy those blessed privileges of free government which have made the United States great, prosperous and honored, we earnestly ask for the foregoing declaration of principles the hearty support of the liberty-loving American people, regardless of previous party affiliations.

On the third day of the convention, July 6, William J. Bryan was unanimously nominated as a candidate for President. The convention voted for a candidate for Vice-President with the

result that Adlai E. Stevenson, of Illinois, had 559½ votes, David B. Hill, of New York, 200, Charles A. Towne, of Minnesota, 112½, and there were many scattering votes. Before the result was declared all the votes for other candidates were transferred to Mr. Stevenson, and he was unanimously nominated.

The Silver Republican party also held its convention at Kansas City on July 4. Senator Henry M. Teller, of Colorado, was the temporary Chairman, and Judge L. W. Brown, of Ohio, the permanent President. It was a mass convention rather than one made up of duly elected delegates. Although twenty-one States were reported to be represented, the number of persons who acted in the convention from the several States varied greatly, from 287 reported from Kansas, and one hundred or more from three other States to less than a score each from ten other States, one of which, Texas, sent but two members. The whole number reported was 1057. The convention, on July 6, accepted Mr. Bryan as candidate for President. Many of the members wished to add to the ticket the name of Mr. Towne, the candidate of the Fusion Populists for Vice-President, and that action was prevented only by the most earnest argument and persuasion by those who regarded such action as a disastrous division of the voters who favored Mr. Bryan's candidacy. Mr. Towne joined in the opposition to the designation of himself as a candidate. Ultimately the convention referred the nomination of a candidate for Vice-President to the national committee, by which the nomination of Mr. Stevenson was endorsed. The following platform was adopted:—

We, the Silver Republican party, in National Convention assembled, declare these as our principles, and invite the coöperation of all who agree therewith:

We recognize that the principles set forth in the Declaration of American Independence are fundamental and everlastingly true in their application to governments among men. We believe the patriotic words of Washington's farewell address to be the words of soberness and wisdom, inspired by the spirit of right and truth. We treasure the words of Jefferson as priceless gems of American statesmanship. We hold in sacred remembrance the patriotism of Lincoln, who was the great interpreter of American history and the apostle of human rights and of industrial freedom, and we declare, as was declared by the convention that nominated the great Emancipator, that the maintenance of the principles promulgated in the Declaration of Independence and embodied in the Federal

Constitution, "that all men are created equal; that they are endowed by their Creator with certain inalienable rights; that among these are life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness; that to secure these rights, governments are instituted among men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed," is essential to the preservation of our republican institutions.

We declare our adherence to the principles of bimetallism as the right basis of a monetary system under our National Constitution, a principle that found place repeatedly in Republican platforms from the demonetization of silver in 1873 to the St. Louis Republican Convention of 1896. Since that Convention a Republican Congress and a Republican President, at the dictation of the trusts and money power, have passed and approved a currency bill which in itself is a repudiation of the doctrine of bimetallism advocated theretofore by the President and every great leader of his party. This currency law destroys the full money power of the silver dollar, provides for the payment of all Government obligations and the redemption of all forms of paper money in gold alone, retires the time-honored and patriotic greenback, constituting one-sixth of the money in circulation, and surrenders to banking corporations the sovereign function of issuing all paper money, thus enabling these corporations to control the prices of labor and property, and increasing the power of the banks to create panics and bring disaster upon business enterprises. The provision of this currency law making the bonded debt of the Nation payable in gold alone changes the contract between the Government and the bondholders to the advantage of the latter, and is in direct opposition to the declaration of the Matthews resolution passed by Congress in 1878, for which resolution the present Republican President, then a member of Congress, voted, as did also all leading Republicans, both in the House and Senate. We demand the repeal of this currency law, and declare that we shall not cease our efforts until there has been established in its place a monetary system based upon the free and unlimited coinage of silver and gold into money at the present legal ratio of 16 to 1, by the independent action of the United States, under which system all money shall be issued by the Government, and all money coined and issued shall be a full legal tender in payment of all debts, public and private, without exception.

We approve a graduated tax upon incomes; and if necessary to accomplish this, we favor an amendment to the Constitution.

We believe that United States Senators should be elected by direct vote of the people, and we favor such amendment of the Constitution and such legislation as may be necessary to that end.

We favor the maintenance and the extension wherever prac-

licable of the merit system in public service, appointments to be made according to fitness, competitively ascertained, and public servants to be retained in office only so long as shall be compatible with the efficiency of the service.

Combinations, trusts and monopolies, contrived and created for the purpose of controlling the prices and quantity of articles supplied to the public, are unjust, oppressive and unlawful. Not only do these unlawful conspiracies fix the prices of commodities, but they invade every branch of State and National government with their polluting influences, and control the actions of their employés and dependents, politically, until such control imperils society and the liberty of the citizen. We demand the most stringent laws for their suppression and the most severe punishment of their promoters and maintainers and the energetic enforcement of such laws by the courts.

We believe the Monroe Doctrine to be sound in principle and a wise National policy, and we demand a firm adherence thereto. We condemn those acts of the Administration inconsistent with it, and which have tended to make us parties to the interests, and to involve us in the controversies of European nations, and especially the recognition by pending treaty of the right of England to be considered in the construction of an interoceanic canal.

We are in favor of the speedy construction of the Nicaragua Canal, to be built, owned and defended by the government of the United States.

We observe with anxiety, and regard with disapproval, the increasing ownership of American lands by aliens; and their growing control over our internal transportation, natural resources and public utilities. We demand legislation to protect our public domain, our natural resources, our franchises and our internal commerce; and to keep them free from, and to maintain their independence of, all foreign monopolies, institutions and influences; and we declare our opposition to the leasing of the public lands of the United States, whereby corporations and syndicates shall be able to secure control thereof, and thus monopolize the public domain, the heritage of the people.

We approve of the principle of direct legislation, and favor the application of the same to nominations.

In view of their great sacrifices made, and patriotic services rendered, we are in favor of liberal pensions to deserving soldiers and sailors, their widows, orphans and other dependents. We believe that enlistment and service should be accepted as conclusive proof that the soldier was free from disease and disability at the time of his enlistment. We condemn the present administration of the pension laws.

We tender to the patriotic people of the South African republics our sympathy, and express our admiration for them in their heroic struggle to preserve their political freedom and maintain their national existence. We declare the destruction of these republics and the subjugation of their people to be a crime against civilization. We believe this sympathy should have been voiced by the American Congress, as was done in the case of the French, Greeks, Hungarians, Poles, Armenians and the Cubans, and as the traditions of this country would have dictated.

We declare the Porto Rican tariff law to be not only a serious but a dangerous departure from the principles of our form of government.

We believe in the republican form of government; and we are opposed to monarchy, and to the whole theory of imperialistic control. We believe in self-government, a government by the consent of the governed; and are unalterably opposed to a government based upon force. It is incontrovertible that the inhabitants of the Philippine archipelago cannot be made citizens of the United States without endangering our civilization. We are therefore in favor of applying to the Philippines the principle we are solemnly and publicly pledged to observe in the case of Cuba.

We demand that our Nation's promise to Cuba shall be fulfilled in every particular.

There being no longer any necessity for collecting war taxes, we demand relief from the taxes levied to carry on the war with Spain.

We favor the immediate admission into the Union of States of the Territories of Arizona, New Mexico and Oklahoma.

We believe the National Government should lend encouragement and assistance toward the reclamation of the arid lands of the United States; and to that end, we are in favor of a comprehensive survey thereof, and an immediate ascertainment of the water supply available for such reclamation, and we believe it to be the duty of the general Government to provide for the construction of storage reservoirs and irrigation works so that the water supply of the arid region may be utilized to the greatest possible extent in the interest of the people, while preserving all rights of the States.

Transportation is a public necessity, and the means and methods of it are matters of public concern. Transportation companies exercise an unwarranted power over industries, business and commerce, and should be made to serve the public interests without making unreasonable charges or unjust discriminations.

We observe with satisfaction the growing sentiment among the people in favor of the public ownership and operation of public utilities,

We are in favor of expanding our commerce in the interest of American labor and for the benefit of all our people by every honest and peaceful means.

We are opposed to the importation of Asiatic laborers in competition with American labor; and favor a more rigid enforcement of the laws relating thereto.

Our creed and our history justify the nations of the earth in expecting that, wherever the American flag is unfurled in authority, there human liberty and political freedom shall be found. We protest against the adoption of any policy that will change, in the thought of the world, the meaning of our flag. We insist that it shall never float over any ship or wave at the head of any column directed against the political independence of any people of any race or in any clime. The Silver Republican party of the United States, in the foregoing principles, seeks to perpetuate the spirit, and to adhere to the teachings of Abraham Lincoln.

On July 18 a number of Anti-Imperialists and Gold Democrats met at New York and adopted a declaration to be submitted to the national committee of the organization which nominated Palmer and Buckner in 1896. Inasmuch as it was a select gathering, and as it did not accomplish the object aimed at, the declaration is not given in full. But as, on the other hand, the number of those who held the sentiments expressed in the declaration was undoubtedly larger than that of one or more of the parties which put a ticket in the field, a summary of it deserves a place in the history of the canvass.

We are met [they declared] to devise means to place in nomination a third presidential ticket. We take this course because we are at present faced with the necessity of choosing between two candidates for neither of whom can we conscientiously vote.

The declaration then proceeds to characterize Mr. McKinley, Mr. Roosevelt and Mr. Bryan in most uncomplimentary terms, which it is not necessary to reproduce, and it announced the purposes of those who adopted it as follows:—

First, a return to the political doctrines of the Declaration of Independence and the Constitution.

Second, the recognition that not only Cuba and the Philippines, but Porto Rico and Hawaii are entitled to independence.

Third, genuine monetary reform.

Fourth, civil service reform.

Fifth, the abolition of special privilege, whether of tariff or any other origin.

Some of the gentlemen present at this meeting attended the meeting of the national committee of the "National Democratic" party, the name adopted by the Gold Democrats of 1896, who met at Indianapolis on July 25. But after full consideration the committee adopted the following resolutions which, as will be seen, made no reference to the anti-imperial issue :

Resolved. That in the opinion of this committee the nomination of candidates by the National Democratic party for the offices of President and Vice-President is unwise and inexpedient.

Second — That we reaffirm the Indianapolis platform of 1896.

Third — We recommend the State Committees in their respective States to preserve their organizations and take such steps as in their opinion may best subserve the principles of our party, especially in the maintenance of a sound currency, the right of private contract, the independence of the judiciary, and the authority of the President to enforce Federal laws, a covert attack on which is made under the guise of the denunciation of government by injunction.

We urge the voters not to be deceived by the plea that the money question has been finally settled. The specific reiteration of the demand for the free coinage of silver at the ratio of 16 to 1 by the Kansas City Convention, and the history known of all men in connection therewith, emphasize the danger of this demand. We indorse the action of Congress in passing a bill embodying the gold standard as a step in the right direction. We feel it would be dangerous to elevate to executive power any one hostile to the maintenance and enforcement of this law.

The Anti-Imperialist League held a convention at Indianapolis on August 16, and accepted the nomination of Mr. Bryan, and adopted the following platform: —

This Liberty Congress of Anti-Imperialists recognizes a great National crisis, which menaces the Republic, upon whose future depends in such large measure the hope of freedom throughout the world. For the first time in our country's history the President has undertaken to subjugate a foreign people and to rule them by despotic power. He has thrown the protection of the flag over slavery and polygamy in the Sulu Islands. He has arrogated to himself the power to impose upon the inhabitants of the Philippines government without their consent and taxation without representation. He is waging war upon them for asserting the very principles for the maintenance of which our forefathers pledged their lives, their fortunes, and their sacred honor. He claims for himself and

Congress authority to govern the territories of the United States without constitutional restraint.

We believe in the Declaration of Independence. Its truths, not less self-evident to-day than when first announced by our fathers, are of universal application and cannot be abandoned while government by the people endures.

We believe in the Constitution of the United States. It gives the President and Congress certain limited powers and secures to every man within the jurisdiction of our Government certain essential rights. We deny that either the President or Congress can govern any person outside the Constitution.

We are absolutely opposed to the policy of President McKinley, which proposes to govern millions of men without their consent, which in Porto Rico establishes taxation without representation, and government by the arbitrary will of a legislature unfettered by constitutional restraint, and in the Philippines prosecutes a war of conquest and demands unconditional surrender from a people who are of right free and independent. The struggle of men for freedom has ever been a struggle for constitutional liberty. There is no liberty if the citizen has no right which the Legislature may not invade, if he may be taxed by the Legislature in which he is not represented, or if he is not protected by fundamental law against the arbitrary action of executive power. The policy of the President offers the inhabitants of Porto Rico, Hawaii, and the Philippines no hope of independence, no prospect of American citizenship, no constitutional protection, no representation in the Congress which taxes them. This is the government of men by arbitrary power without their consent. This is imperialism. There is no room under the free flag of America for subjects. The President and Congress, who derive all their powers from the Constitution, can govern no man without regard to its limitations.

We believe the greatest safeguard of liberty is a free press, and we demand that the censorship in the Philippines, which keeps from the American people the knowledge of what is done in their name, be abolished. We are entitled to know the truth, and we insist that the powers which the President holds in trust for us shall be not used to suppress it.

Because we thus believe, we oppose the reelection of Mr. McKinley. The supreme purpose of the people in this momentous campaign should be to stamp with their final disapproval his attempt to grasp imperial power. A self-governing people can have no more imperative duty than to drive from public life a Chief Magistrate who, whether in weakness or of wicked purpose, has used his temporary authority to subvert the character of their government and to destroy their National ideals.

We, therefore, in the belief that it is essential at this crisis for the American people again to declare their faith in the universal application of the Declaration of Independence and to reassert their will that their servants shall not have or exercise any powers whatever other than those conferred by the Constitution, earnestly make the following recommendations to our countrymen :

First, that, without regard to their views on minor questions of domestic policy, they withhold their votes from Mr. McKinley, in order to stamp with their disapproval what he has done.

Second, that they vote for those candidates for Congress in their respective districts who will oppose the policy of imperialism.

Third, while we welcome any other method of opposing the reelection of Mr. McKinley, we advise direct support of Mr. Bryan as the most effective means of crushing imperialism.

We are convinced of Mr. Bryan's sincerity and of his earnest purpose to secure to the Filipinos their independence. His position and the declarations contained in the platform of his party on the vital issue of the campaign meet our unqualified approval. We recommend that the Executive committees of the American Anti-Imperialist League and its allied leagues continue and extend their organizations, preserving the independence of the movement ; and that they take the most active part possible in the pending political campaign.

Until now the policy which has turned the Filipinos from warm friends to bitter enemies, which has slaughtered thousands of them and laid waste their country, has been the policy of the President. After the next election it becomes the policy of every man who votes to reflect him, and who thus becomes with him responsible for every drop of blood thereafter shed.

The following resolution, proposed from the floor, was added to the platform as reported :

Resolved, That in declaring that the principles of the Declaration of Independence apply to all men, this Congress means to include the negro race in America as well as the Filipinos. We deprecate all efforts, whether in the South or in the North, to deprive the negro of his rights as a citizen under the Declaration of Independence and the Constitution of the United States.

Still another ticket was nominated by about one hundred independent citizens, who claimed no delegated authority, at a meeting in New York, on September 5. This action seems to have been the outcome of the refusal of the “National Democratic” party, by its national committee, to nominate a ticket in response to the demand of the meeting in New York—

already noticed — of July 18. Senator Donelson Caffery, of Louisiana, was placed in nomination for the presidency, and Archibald M. Howe, of Massachusetts, for the vice-presidency. Both of these gentlemen declined the nomination later in the month, and no further action was taken. The following declaration of principles was made: —

We, citizens of the United States of America, assembled for the purpose of defending the wise and conservative principles which underlie our Government, thus declare our aims and purposes:

We find our country threatened with alternative perils. On the one hand is a public opinion misled by organized forces of commercialism that have perverted a war intended by the people to be a war of humanity into a war of conquest. On the other is a public opinion swayed by demagogic appeals to factional and class passions, the most fatal of diseases to a republic. We believe that either of these influences, if unchecked, would ultimately compass the downfall of our country, but we also believe that neither represents the sober conviction of our countrymen. Convinced that the extension of the jurisdiction of the United States for the purpose of holding foreign people as colonial dependents is an innovation dangerous to our liberties and repugnant to the principles upon which our Government is founded, we pledge our earnest efforts through all constitutional means,

First — To procure the renunciation of all imperial or colonial pretensions with regard to foreign countries claimed to have been acquired through or in consequence of naval or military operations of the last two years.

Second — We further pledge our efforts to secure a single gold standard and a sound banking system.

Third — To secure a public service based on merit only.

Fourth — To secure the abolition of all corrupting special privileges, whether under the guise of subsidies, bounties, undeserved pensions, or trust-breeding tariffs.

The canvass of the year 1900 was characterized by no unusual excitement. The number of candidates for the two chief offices was unprecedentedly large, but there was nothing in the situation to divert from the candidates of the two historic parties to any one of the minor candidacies any considerable body of citizens. The only large group of men, Democrats and Republicans, who could not conscientiously support either McKinley or Bryan — those who were unalterably opposed to the Philippine policy and equally opposed to free silver — found

nothing to attract them in the Prohibition principles or in the several socialistic parties. They reluctantly voted for that one of the candidates whose principles were less repugnant to their own, or refrained from voting altogether.

It is probable that several important events, or series of events, wholly unconnected with American politics, by diverting public attention, rendered the interest in the canvass much less acute than it otherwise would have been. Of these may be mentioned the Boxer uprising in China, the assassination of King Humbert of Italy, the terrible catastrophe at Galveston, and the closing campaigns of the Boer War. The continuance of the war with the Filipino insurgents and the protracted strikes in the anthracite coal region may have had some unascertained effect upon the political sentiments of the people, and upon the vote in November; but that effect was not only unascertained but unperceived.

Aside from the ordinary campaigning by a host of "spellbinders" of both parties, the leading feature of the canvass was the activity of Mr. Bryan on the part of the Democrats, and of Mr. Roosevelt in behalf of the Republicans. Mr. Roosevelt was credited with having made six hundred and seventy-three speeches in twenty-four States. Mr. Bryan's statistics cannot be given, but there were few days when no audiences gathered to hear him, and his travels must have been quite as extensive as those of Mr. Roosevelt.

Although anti-imperialism was announced by the Democratic convention to be the paramount issue of the canvass, and although the declaration was made at the expressed wish of Mr. Bryan, it seems not a prejudiced view of the situation to assert that he found the principle less popular than he expected. Not that he abated in the slightest degree the energy of his opposition to the colonial policy, or that he failed at any time to denounce those who preferred — as he put it — an empire to a republic. But he devoted the larger part of most of his speeches on the stump to the question of the trusts, and to the evils to which organized labor was subject. The silver question, on which he usually touched, briefly but emphatically, also seemed not greatly to interest his hearers. But on the other hand it was the leading topic of Republican orators, and the most effective argument they could adduce was the danger that the gold standard would be endangered should Mr. Bryan be successful. The event proved that in the extreme and

the middle West the sentiment in favor of silver free coinage was far less strong than it was four years before; and thousands of Republicans returned to their allegiance. On the other hand, in the East where such free silver sentiment existed it was to a large degree artificial, and chiefly a product of the desire for party regularity; the "paramount" and other issues of the canvass were more emphasized, and there the Democratic vote increased.

The election took place on November 6. The result is shown in the table on the opposite page.

McKinley lost the votes of Kentucky which he had received in 1896, but he gained those of Kansas, Nebraska, South Dakota, Utah and Wyoming which were carried by Bryan four years before.

The total popular vote was 13,973,071, which was an increase of 36,020 over the vote of 1896. It was to be followed in 1904 by an actual decrease. The causes of the remarkable reversal of a tendency which had always previously been observed, are discussed in a later chapter.

The resolution of Congress preliminary to the count of the electoral votes was more carefully considered and phrased than were similar resolutions in the past. Indeed, in the form in which it was first passed by the Senate it followed closely the language of the resolution adopted by both Houses in 1896. But it was observed by some members of the House of Representatives that it did not use the phraseology of the law, and accordingly it was modified. The difference between the two forms is slight and may seem unimportant at a casual reading. As passed by the Senate, after providing for a joint meeting on the 13th of February, for the appointment of tellers on the part of the two Houses, and for the making of lists of the result by these tellers, the Senate resolution continued:—

The result shall be delivered to the President of the Senate, who shall announce the state of the vote and the persons elected, to the two Houses assembled as aforesaid, which shall be deemed a declaration of the persons elected President and Vice-President of the United States.

In its modified form there was substituted, for the foregoing, these words:—

The result of the same shall be delivered to the President of the Senate, who shall thereupon announce the state of the vote,

STATES	POPULAR VOTE								ELECTO- RAL VOTE	
	McKinley and Roosevelt Republican	Bryan and Stevenson Democratic	Wooley and Metcalf Prohibition	Debs and Harriman Social Democratic	Malloney and Remmel Socialist Labor	Barker and Donnelly Mid-Road Populist	Ellis and Nicholson Union Reform	Leonard and Martin United Christian	McKinley and Roosevelt	Bryan and Stevenson
Alabama . . .	55512	97131	2762	-	-	4178	-	-	-	11
Arkansas . . .	44800	81142	584	27	-	972	341	-	-	8
California . . .	164755	124985	5087	7572	-	-	-	-	9	-
Colorado . . .	93072	122733	3790	714	684	389	-	-	-	4
Connecticut . .	102572	74014	1617	1029	908	-	-	-	6	-
Delaware . . .	22535	18863	546	57	-	-	-	-	3	-
Florida . . .	7420	28007	2234	601	-	1070	-	-	-	4
Georgia . . .	35056	81700	1396	-	-	4584	-	-	-	13
Idaho . . .	27193	29414	857	-	-	232	-	-	-	3
Illinois . . .	597985	503061	17626	9687	1373	1141	572	352	24	-
Indiana . . .	336063	309584	13718	2374	663	1438	254	-	15	-
Iowa . . .	307808	209265	9502	2742	259	613	-	707	13	-
Kansas . . .	185955	162601	3605	1605	-	-	-	-	10	-
Kentucky . . .	226801	234899	2814	770	299	2017	-	-	-	13
Louisiana . . .	14233	53671	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8
Maine . . .	65412	36822	2585	878	-	-	-	-	6	-
Maryland . . .	136185	122238	4574	904	388	-	147	-	8	-
Massachusetts .	239147	157016	6208	9716	2610	-	-	-	15	-
Michigan . . .	316269	211685	11859	2826	903	837	-	-	14	-
Minnesota . . .	190461	112901	8555	3065	1329	-	-	-	9	-
Mississippi . .	5753	51706	-	-	-	1644	-	-	-	9
Missouri . . .	314092	351922	5965	6139	1294	4244	-	-	-	17
Montana . . .	25373	37145	298	708	169	-	-	-	-	3
Nebraska . . .	121835	114013	3655	823	-	1104	-	-	8	-
Nevada . . .	3849	6347	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
New Hampshire .	54799	35489	1279	790	-	-	-	-	4	-
New Jersey . .	221754	164879	7190	4611	2081	691	-	-	10	-
New York . . .	822013	678462	22077	12869	12621	-	-	-	36	-
North Carolina .	132997	157733	1006	-	-	830	-	-	-	11
North Dakota .	35898	20531	731	520	-	111	-	-	3	-
Ohio . . .	543918	474882	10203	4847	1588	251	4284	-	23	-
Oregon . . .	46526	33385	2536	1494	-	275	-	-	4	-
Pennsylvania . .	712665	424232	27908	4831	2936	638	-	-	32	-
Rhode Island . .	33784	19812	1529	-	1423	-	-	-	4	-
South Carolina .	3579	47233	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9
South Dakota . .	54530	39544	1542	169	-	339	-	-	4	-
Tennessee . . .	123180	145356	3860	413	-	1322	-	-	-	12
Texas . . .	130641	267432	2644	1846	162	20981	-	-	-	15
Utah . . .	47139	45006	209	720	106	-	-	-	3	-
Vermont . . .	42569	12849	383	39	-	367	-	-	4	-
Virginia . . .	115865	146080	2150	145	167	63	-	-	-	12
Washington . . .	57456	44833	2363	2066	866	-	-	-	4	-
West Virginia . .	119829	98807	1692	219	-	268	-	-	6	-
Wisconsin . . .	263760	159163	10027	7048	503	-	-	-	12	-
Wyoming . . .	14482	10164	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-
Totals . .	7219525	6358737	209157	94864	33432	50599	5698	1059	292	155

which announcement shall be deemed a sufficient declaration of the persons, if any, elected President and Vice-President of the United States.

In the one case, it will be seen, the President of the Senate was to declare certain persons elected. It was thought advisable, at a time when no question was to arise as to the result, to establish the precedent that the President of the Senate was not to declare any person elected. The procedure thus enjoined was followed strictly at the count of the vote on February 13, 1901, which passed off without an incident outside of the routine.

The inauguration took place on March 4, 1901, with the customary ceremony. But numerous organizations and a vast throng of private citizens made the occasion memorable and impressive. In the procession that accompanied Mr. McKinley from the White House to the Capitol and escorted him back to the official residence were a large number of veterans of the Civil War; the "Rough Riders," — Mr. Roosevelt's regiment during the Spanish War; — a battalion of Porto Rican soldiers, representing the new citizens of the United States; and the full corps of West Point cadets and Annapolis midshipmen. In the parade after the inauguration were fifteen governors of States, mounted. The number of private citizens who were attracted to Washington by simple curiosity or by a desire to testify their regard for the President and Vice-President elect, was unprecedented. The parade after the inauguration was witnessed by tens of thousands who lined Pennsylvania avenue, many deep, all the way from the Capitol to the White House.

The scene in the Senate Chamber when Mr. Roosevelt took the oath as Vice-President was brilliant in the extreme. The Supreme Court, the members of the Cabinet, and the diplomatic corps headed by Lord Pauncefote, in their court costumes, added dignity to the occasion; and the ladies of the Chinese and Japanese legations, in their gorgeous native attire, gave a quaint touch of color to the diplomatic gallery.

After the induction into office of the Vice-President the official and invited witnesses of the ceremony of administering the oath to the President elect proceeded to the east front of the Senate wing of the Capitol. Mr. McKinley took the oath, which was administered by Chief Justice Fuller, and then delivered his inaugural address. Unfortunately a light rain was falling at the time, and the President omitted, in the reading, a part of his address.

II

ROOSEVELT'S ELECTION FOR A "SECOND TERM"

MR. MCKINLEY began his second term under the happiest auspices. The momentous crisis through which the country had passed since the beginning of the war with Spain left him secure in the support of a large majority of the people. If the voters had not, in the preceding November, expressed their approval of the policy of expansion which imposed upon the government the care and control of distant possessions and made it a world power, they had certainly not condemned that policy. Congress had passed an act — the act which of all the President most ardently desired — reëstablishing the system of a protective tariff according to the Republican standard, and the people had not rejected the party which made the tariff, as they had done in 1884, 1890, and 1896, — the last three tariffs enacted. The country was so prosperous under the act, — in consequence of it or in spite of it, as one viewed it from the protective or the free-trade point of view, — that there was no imminent danger of a fresh tariff campaign. Moreover, the prosperity of the country served also to reconcile all but the most irreconcilable to the act establishing the gold standard of money, and the consequent elimination of the silver question from politics, of which it had been a disturbing element for more than twenty years.

Although such was the fortunate situation in home affairs the outlook was, if not reassuring, by no means desperate, so far as the relations of the government to its new dependencies and to certain foreign powers were concerned. The Philippine revolt was not suppressed, but the clouds in that archipelago began to break before the first month of the new term expired ; for Aguinaldo was captured by a stratagem in March, and thereafter the violent opposition to American rule was sporadic and futile. Congress had passed an act throwing the entire control and government of the islands upon the President, and arrangements had already been made to transfer the government from military to civil authority, a change which took place,

according to the plans of Governor Taft, on the fourth of July. The possession of distant and insular dependencies raised a group of new and perplexing questions as to the standing of the people inhabiting Porto Rico and the Philippine Islands, and as to their relation to and their rights under the Constitution and the laws of the United States. The questions were carried promptly to the Supreme Court for decision. They reached that tribunal in several distinct cases, each of which was to be determined by a ruling on a single point. It resulted that no general opinion, covering the whole subject, was possible. The two cases of chief importance were decided on the same day, May 27, each by a divided court, five justices against four. But the majority, so far as the personnel of the justices comprising it was concerned, was not the same in the two cases, and it was therefore easy for the opponents of what they called imperialism, to maintain that the court overruled itself in the two judgments. Whether they were inconsistent with each other or not, the effect they produced was to sanction all that Congress had done and all that it proposed to do with reference to the government of the outlying territory and the people inhabiting it. The court decided that the territory acquired as the result of the war was a part of the United States, and not foreign; and that the people were not aliens. But on the other hand it decided that, until Congress should so decree, that territory was not a part of the United States in such a sense that the requirement of the Constitution that the taxes imposed by Congress "shall be uniform throughout the United States" applied to them. Consistency might be asserted for the two decisions by advancing the theory that the new territory was a part of the United States as a whole; but that the clause just quoted applied only to such part of the country as was organized into States. But the Court did not maintain, nor did it disclaim, consistency. It simply held that Congress possessed authority to pass any laws it might deem necessary for the government of the newly acquired territory.

The relations of the United States to other powers were absolutely peaceful. But there was one question pending with Great Britain that required delicate handling. The experience of the country during the Spanish War gave a fresh and strong impetus to the public sentiment favorable to the construction of a canal to unite the Atlantic and the Pacific. It was urged with great force that the country must never again be forced,

in time of war, to assemble its naval fleets by steaming around South America. The chief obstacle to an enterprise which encountered scarcely any opposition at home, was the so-called Clayton-Bulwer Treaty with Great Britain. Under that treaty, which was made in 1850, and which, as has been said of it, "has given rise to more questions than it contains articles," the United States was hampered by obligations which successive Secretaries of State during a half-century had vainly endeavored to remove by peaceful negotiation. In its relation to an Isthmian Canal it made Great Britain and the United States partners in the protection and control of such a canal, should a waterway between the oceans be constructed. The treaty was held by American diplomatists to be inconsistent with the undertaking of the United States, in its treaty with Colombia, to guarantee the integrity of Colombian territory. Mr. Blaine, when Secretary of State, argued that Great Britain had abrogated the treaty by certain of its acts, but the British Foreign Secretary did not admit the validity of his argument, and it was never the purpose of any President to act upon the assumption that the treaty was abrogated, unless Great Britain conceded the point. As public opinion in the United States after the Spanish War demanded the construction of an inter-oceanic canal as a government work, it became more than ever important that the Clayton-Bulwer Treaty should be abrogated, or at all events modified. For it was clearly against public policy to incur the enormous expense of the undertaking unless, in the end, it would be under the sole control of the government which had borne the entire burden of cost.

On February 5, 1900, Secretary of State John Hay concluded a treaty with Lord Pauncefoot as British plenipotentiary, modifying in important respects the old treaty of 1850. When the treaty was submitted to the Senate for ratification that body made several amendments to the instrument, one of which declared that the new agreement superseded the treaty of 1850. Great Britain rejected the treaty as amended, assigning several reasons for its action. But it was generally understood that it attached importance to the change just mentioned, and to that only. The Foreign Secretary remarked that it was not customary for one party to a treaty to declare it superseded when the subject of supersession had not been discussed. A new treaty was made by Mr. Hay and Lord Pauncefoot, November 18, 1901, which was sent to the Senate at the beginning of the

December session, and was ratified on December 16, by a vote of 72 to 6. By that treaty the Clayton-Bulwer agreement was formally superseded, the right of the United States to construct, own, operate, and control a canal was conceded, and the clauses relative to the neutralization of the Suez Canal were incorporated in the agreement, save that the clause forbidding a fortification of the canal was omitted.

The war with Spain was undertaken with the express purpose to liberate Cuba, and with a distinct pledge on the part of Congress not to acquire it as a territory of the United States, but to leave the government to the people of the island. Nevertheless Cuba was held by United States troops and was governed temporarily by a general of its army. Notwithstanding the pledge that was given at the outset, there was clearly a moral obligation resting upon the United States to see that the government of the new republic should be truly representative of the people, that its institutions should be founded upon justice and liberty, and that it should be strong enough, as well as disposed, to maintain justice, liberty and order. It was also the right of the United States, having established the independence of Cuba, to safeguard its own interests. President McKinley had ordered an election of delegates to frame a constitution for Cuba, on July 25, 1900, and the convention met on November 5. It was composed of the most radical and irresponsible elements of the population, and when, after childish dallying with the problem before it, the convention began to consider the details of a constitution the general features of which had been agreed upon, it appeared that there was no purpose on the part of the convention to express obligation, gratitude, or even friendliness to the United States. There was not in the preliminary draft a word of recognition of the service this country had rendered in establishing independence, nor of its interest in the future of the island.

The evident intention of the delegates to obtain the sanction of Congress to a constitution which would enable the new government to become — like many of the Spanish-American republics — a lawless member of the family of nations, a scene of frequent revolutions, and absolved from indebtedness of any sort to the United States, caused much anxiety at Washington. Early in February, 1901, there were several conferences among senators who were members of the Committee on Cuban Relations; and the result was the drafting of an amendment

to be proposed to the pending Army Appropriation Bill. There were consultations with the President and Secretary Root, but the original draft was made by Senator Orville H. Platt, of Connecticut, and the final draft was made by Senators Platt and Spooner. The Democratic senators on the Committee, although opposed to the amendment, patriotically agreed not to filibuster against it, nor to offer factious opposition to it. Mr. Platt offered the amendment on February 25, when only one week of the session and of the Congress remained. It was adopted on the 27th, by a strict party vote, yeas 43, nays 20, was agreed to by the House of Representatives, and became a law on March 2. The famous "Platt Amendment" consisted of a preamble and eight clauses. The preamble repeated the declaration of the intention of the government as set forth in the "Teller Amendment" to "leave the government and control of the island of Cuba to its people," but added that that action was to be taken "so soon as a government shall have been established in said island under a constitution which, either as a part thereof or in an ordinance appended thereto, shall define the future relations of the United States with Cuba substantially as follows":—

The first three of the following clauses are all that need be quoted in full.

I. That the government of Cuba shall never enter into any treaty or other compact with any foreign power or powers which will impair or tend to impair the independence of Cuba, nor in any manner authorize or permit any foreign power or powers to obtain by colonization or for military or naval purposes or otherwise lodgment in or control over any portion of the island.

II. That said government shall not assume or contract any public debt, to pay the interest upon which, and to make reasonable sinking fund provision for the ultimate discharge of which, the ordinary revenues of the island, after defraying the current expenses of government, shall be inadequate.

III. That the government of Cuba consents that the United States may exercise the right to intervene for the preservation of Cuban independence, the maintenance of a government adequate for the protection of life, property and individual liberty, and for discharging the obligations with respect to Cuba imposed by the treaty of Paris on the United States, now to be assumed and undertaken by the government of Cuba.

The fourth clause ratified and validated all the acts of the United States during the military occupation of the island.

By the fifth the government was to bind itself to continue the sanitation work already performed. The sixth omitted the Isle of Pines, for the time being, from the boundaries of Cuba. The seventh provided for the sale or lease to the United States of land for coaling or naval stations. The eighth embodied an engagement to make a permanent treaty with the United States in accordance with the foregoing provisions.

Great reluctance to accept the conditions on which the government might be established was manifested by the convention. It was once voted not to incorporate the Platt amendment in the constitution, but the futility of the entire proceedings should the convention persist in its refusal finally became so apparent that the amendment was appended to the constitution. An election was held at the end of the year, and the government of the island was turned over to the people on the 4th of July, 1902. In the interval there was not a little angry discussion of the matter by radical Cubans. The motives of the United States in imposing conditions which were declared to be humiliating, were attacked. There was a suspicion of sinister intentions. Yet the terms were not harsh; they were calculated to secure the independence of the island, and not to impair it; and in particular that clause which authorized intervention by the United States to secure the island from a succession of revolutionary outbreaks, was soon justified by events in Cuba. More than once before the inauguration of Señor Palma as the first president suspicion was entertained both in Cuba and by opposition journals in the United States that the administration had a secret purpose to bring about the annexation of the island. But events showed that there was no such purpose, and the pledge of the government at the time war with Spain was declared, was strictly and honorably performed.

There were several other matters in the foreign relations that belong in point of time to the closing months of President McKinley's first, and the beginning of his second term. They had, however, no bearing upon the political situation, and therefore require a brief mention only. The Venezuela trouble, which caused much diplomatic correspondence later, was not yet at an acute stage. Negotiations were on foot, and indeed took the form of a treaty for the cession of the Danish West Indies to the United States; but in the end King Christian and the Danish Parliament refused to sanction the cession. The government joined with other powers in demanding in-

demnity from China on account of losses and expenses suffered by reason of the Boxer insurrection. The demands of the United States were moderate, and when it was found that the sum asked for and paid exceeded the actual loss, the excess was returned to China. If it be added that the question of the fisheries on the coasts of Canada and Newfoundland engaged much of the attention of the government, it is a statement that would be true of some period in almost every President's term of office.

It will be seen from the foregoing survey of the situation at home and abroad that the administration entered upon its duties under extremely favorable conditions. Save for the unsatisfactory outlook in the Philippines there was nothing to cause anxiety. Such foreign questions as were unsettled were fully under control. Politically the party in power was strong, and the several departments worked in harmony. The new House of Representatives, elected at the same time as the President, consisted of 198 Republicans, 153 Democrats, and 5 independents. The Senate, as it met on the 4th of March, consisted of 56 Republicans, 29 Democrats, and 5 independents. There were two vacancies in the Senate, and one in the House.

On the 29th of April the President set out for a long tour, in the course of which he was to visit twenty-four States. He was to go by the southern route, by way of New Orleans to the Pacific Coast, and to return by the northern route, and the Yellowstone Park. But Mrs. McKinley, who accompanied him, was taken so seriously ill at San Francisco that the rest of the tour was abandoned, and the party returned East by the shortest line.

There is reason to think that the President cherished a definite purpose to make his second term noteworthy by a great increase in the foreign trade of the country, and to reach that end by an important modification of the commercial policy. Such a purpose is hinted at in his inaugural message, and it reappears in more and more developed form in his later speeches. The passage in the inaugural address is brief but pregnant.

Our diversified productions are increasing in such unprecedented volume as to admonish us of the necessity of still further enlarging our foreign markets by broader commercial relations. For this purpose reciprocal trade arrangements with other nations should, in liberal spirit, be carefully cultivated and promoted.

In his speech at Memphis, on the Western tour just mentioned, he said, —

It is your business as well as mine to see to it that an industrial policy shall be pursued in the United States that shall open up the widest markets in every part of the world for the products of American soil and American manufacture. We can now supply our own markets. . . . We must open new ones for our surplus.

By far the fullest expression of what was in his mind is contained in the last speech he ever delivered. It is a singular fact that both parties to the nation-old controversy upon the question of protection and free trade, quote more or less fully from his utterance on that occasion — the one party maintaining that he had no intention beyond joining a policy of reciprocity to an unyielding policy of protection; the other that he perceived that the policy of protection must be modified. Inasmuch as the controversy continues a decade after the words were spoken, the text of his remarks upon the subject should be given in full. The occasion of the speech was a visit to the Buffalo Pan-American Exhibition, on September 5, 1901. After speaking of the state of “unexampled prosperity” in all parts of the country and in every branch of industry, he proceeded: —

We have a vast and intricate business built up through years of toil and struggle, in which every part of the country has its stake, which will not permit of either neglect or undue selfishness. No narrow, sordid policy will subserve it. The greatest skill and wisdom on the part of the manufacturers and producers will be required to hold and increase it. Our industrial enterprises which have grown to such great proportions affect the homes and occupations of the people and the welfare of the country. Our capacity to produce has developed so enormously and our products have so multiplied that the problem of more markets requires our urgent and immediate attention. Only a broad and enlightened policy will keep what we have. No other policy will get more. In these times of marvelous business energy and gain we ought to be looking to the future, strengthening the weak places in our industrial and commercial systems, that we may be ready for any storm or strain.

By sensible trade arrangements which will not interrupt our home production, we shall extend the outlets for our increasing surplus. A system which provides a mutual exchange of commodities is manifestly essential to the continued and healthful growth of our export trade. We must not repose in fancied security that we can forever sell everything and buy little or nothing. If such a thing

were possible it would not be best for us or for those with whom we deal. We should take from our customers such of their products as we can use without harm to our industries and labor. Reciprocity is the natural outgrowth of our wonderful industrial development under the domestic policy now firmly established.

What we produce beyond our domestic consumption must have a vent abroad. The excess must be relieved through a foreign outlet, and we should sell everywhere we can and buy wherever the buying will enlarge our sales and productions, and thereby make a greater demand for home labor.

The period of exclusiveness is past. The expansion of our trade and commerce is the pressing problem. Commercial wars are unprofitable. A policy of good will and friendly trade relations will prevent reprisals. Reciprocity treaties are in harmony with the spirit of the times ; measures of retaliation are not.

If perchance some of our tariffs are no longer needed for revenue or to encourage and protect our industries at home, why should they not be employed to extend and promote our markets abroad ? Then, too, we have inadequate steamship service. New lines of steamers have already been put in commission between the Pacific Coast ports of the United States and those on the western coast of Mexico and Central and South America. These should be followed up with direct steamship lines between the eastern coast of the United States and South American ports. One of the needs of the times is direct commercial lines from our vast fields of production to the fields of consumption that we have but barely touched. Next in advantage to having the thing to sell is to have the conveyance to carry it to the buyer. We must increase our merchant marine. We must have more ships. They must be under the American flag, built and manned and owned by Americans. These will not only be profitable in a commercial sense ; they will be messengers of peace and amity wherever they go. We must build the Isthmian Canal, which will unite the two oceans and give a straight line of water communication with the western coasts of Central and South America and Mexico. The construction of a Pacific cable cannot be longer postponed.

On the day following the delivery of this speech, September 6, the President was shot twice, while receiving his fellow citizens. At first strong hopes were entertained that he would recover, but his wounds were mortal, and he died on September 14. His assassin was an anarchist of foreign extraction, who was executed for his crime during the following month.

Vice-President Roosevelt was summoned to Buffalo when the President's condition was seen to be desperate, and when

death removed him, Mr. Roosevelt immediately took the oath of office, by the advice of Mr. Root, the Secretary of War. In doing so he said, "It shall be my aim to continue absolutely unbroken the policy of President McKinley, which has given peace, prosperity, and honor to our beloved country." By proclamation he appointed the 19th of September, which was to be the day of the President's funeral, as "a day of mourning and prayer," and recommended to all the people that on that day they should assemble in their respective houses of worship and hold a memorial service for the murdered President. The third assassination of a President in office in a period of less than forty years excited universal grief and indignation. Of the three victims Mr. McKinley was the best beloved. The full appreciation of Lincoln's character came after his death. Garfield was greatly honored and respected, and his long fight against death brought him very near to the hearts of the American people. But McKinley's kindly and homely character rendered him an object of general affection. People of every party and of every religious persuasion observed the day of his funeral with devotional and memorial services in thousands of churches, and the mourning was deep and universal.

Although Mr. Roosevelt's pledge was absolutely sincere, and although his severest critics have always admitted that he kept it, loyally, to the best of his ability, it was inevitable that the death of Mr. McKinley should make a vast change in the course of events. The two men were extraordinarily different in training and experience as well as in temperament and tastes and tendencies. The mere difference in their respective estimates of the relative importance of governmental measures would have rendered it impossible that the administration of Theodore Roosevelt should be a continuation of the administration of William McKinley. The new President certainly exercised self-repression during the ensuing three years. Yet in that time he showed enough of the quality of his mind and of the direction his activity would naturally take, if he were under no such restraint as that which he imposed on himself when taking the oath of office, to be free to act his natural self when he became the duly elected head of the nation. Quite early in the new administration there were indications of change not so much of policy as of method. The incident of the invitation to luncheon of the most eminent colored citizen of the time, Mr. Booker Washington, was an illustration. Undoubtedly Mr.

McKinley held Mr. Washington in as much esteem and honor as did Mr. Roosevelt; but it is extremely doubtful if he would have shown his esteem in a way which might and did draw down upon the President in the first month of his administration the denunciation of southerners, who are sensitive in such matters. But on the other hand, the entire cabinet of President McKinley was retained; and although changes in two departments took place not long after Mr. Roosevelt's accession, they were caused by voluntary retirement and not by political or personal differences.

The session of Congress which followed the accession of President Roosevelt was not particularly eventful. In his first message the President touched rather lightly upon the question which he was to make peculiarly his own during the ensuing seven years, that, namely, of the large corporations, popularly known as "trusts." He thought such combinations of capital should be, not prohibited but supervised and controlled, and that there should be governmental inspection of the working of great corporations engaged in interstate trade. Congress passed an act establishing a permanent Census bureau, but the bill providing for reciprocity with Cuba was defeated. This was regarded as a defeat of the President, who had urged the measure earnestly.

Directly after the assassination of President McKinley there was a general advocacy in the press, and almost universal popular support, of a movement to render less easy the commission of such crimes, and more severe the punishment of attempts to commit them. The means proposed to accomplish these ends were various. Many writers advocated the penalty of death for attempts at the life of the President or other high officers of the government. Numerous bills were introduced in Congress on the subject, but in the end no action was taken upon any of them.

The most important act of the session was that providing for the construction of the Panama Canal. It had for a long time been a question between the Nicaragua and the Panama routes. A commission of engineers reported in favor of Nicaragua, but it was hardly a secret that the chief reason for the decision was the vastly greater cost of the Panama route. It was evident that the French company would be unable to raise the funds necessary to finish the Panama Canal, but the company demanded an excessively large sum for its franchise and

for the work already done. The sum asked was \$109,000,000. Many of those interested in the general question were nevertheless strongly in favor of the Nicaragua route, not only as the cheaper but as the better location for the waterway. Chief among them was the venerable Senator Morgan, of Alabama, who had studied the subject with great care and thoroughness, was most enthusiastic in maintaining his thesis, and had made many long and able speeches in the Senate in favor of Nicaragua. In December, 1901, it was rumored that the French Company was willing largely to reduce its price, and on January 4, 1902, the directors voted to dispose of all the property of the company for forty million dollars. It had become evident to them that the United States was resolved to build a canal, and should the line across Nicaragua be chosen, the difficulty of raising money further to prosecute their own enterprise would become an impossibility. Notwithstanding the offer to sell at a lower price, the House of Representatives, on January 9, 1903, rejected an amendment in favor of Panama, by a vote of yeas 102, nays 170, and passed the Nicaragua bill. But the Senate, to the distress of Senator Morgan, substituted Panama, and the House concurred.

It seemed at the time that this action assured the realization of the dream of centuries. But there was an unexpected obstacle. The Republic of Colombia across the territory of which the canal was to be constructed was believed to be friendly, in spite of the objections and hesitations which had characterized its attitude toward the French company when the question of renewing its franchise was under consideration. Without friction or dissent in any respect from the terms proposed, a treaty was concluded between the representatives of the two republics providing for the lease of a strip of territory across the isthmus six miles in width, for a term of one hundred years, with the right of renewal; and the right was conceded to the United States to land troops to protect the canal in case Colombia should be unable to preserve order. In consideration of these concessions the United States was to pay Colombia a sum of ten million dollars outright, and an annual rental of a quarter of a million, to begin nine years after the ratification of the treaty. This agreement was made in January, 1903, and was ratified by the Senate on May 17. To the great surprise of the people and the government of the United States, there were long delays of the consideration of the treaty

by the Colombian Congress; then there were rumors that there was strong opposition to it; and ultimately the treaty was rejected by a unanimous vote. No doubt the people of that country were convinced that the United States was determined to construct the canal, and that nothing more than a determined opposition to the terms of the pending treaty would be necessary to obtain a larger sum than was offered and to restrict somewhat the granted privileges.

But the act under which the undertaking was sanctioned provided that unless a satisfactory arrangement should be made with Colombia "in a reasonable time," the alternative plan of a Nicaraguan Canal should be adopted. It was urged that the condition so described existed, and that it was the duty of the President to turn to Nicaragua. Manifestly, however, it was in the discretion of the President to determine what was a reasonable time, and he was not at all disposed to abandon the Panama route.

Probably the true history of the events which followed will never be known, so far as the agency in them of any persons connected with or acting for the government of the United States, is concerned. Immediately after the adjournment of the Congress of Colombia, on November 4, 1903, there was a rising in the City of Panama, and the independence of the State of Panama was declared. The revolution was bloodless. It is not known how many of the people were cognizant of the movement before it took place, but there was certainly no opposition to it in the State. Colombia undertook to move troops to the seat of the insurrection, but was prevented from doing so by an order from President Roosevelt directing the use of United States marines, from naval vessels stationed in Colombian waters, to oppose the use of the Panama Railroad for moving troops. An old treaty with New Grenada, the predecessor of Colombia, by which the United States undertook to guarantee the sovereignty of the republic, and to protect the free transit of the isthmus, was the excuse for this act. The opponents of the administration were not slow to point out that the treaty was used to destroy the sovereignty of the government it was designed to protect. But the order stood, the secession of Panama was accomplished, Colombia was powerless to do more than protest, and within a few days the independence of Panama was formally recognized by President Roosevelt.

It was easy to suspect that the whole movement was planned at Washington; or at least by agents of the United States government; or, if not so, that information of what was to occur was furnished to the government before the insurrection began. This is not the place either to present the facts on which such suspicions were founded, or to analyse those facts in defence of the acts of the administration. It may be true to say that the people of the United States were so much in earnest in favor of constructing the canal that they did not wish to know the whole truth. They would probably have said—a large majority of them—that they would justify what was done, even if it were an act of war against a weak and defenceless nation, and even if they would have considered twice before they would have acted in like manner toward a country that was capable of resisting. Ingenious theories were advanced, based on such considerations as this: that the United States proposed to undertake a great work for the welfare of all mankind, and that the fictions of sovereignty over a small strip of territory should not be allowed to be an obstacle. Another idea, somewhat akin to this, was that the United States was merely acting upon the principle of international eminent domain. None of these theories convinced or silenced those who refused to be drawn away from the fundamental principle of the equality of sovereign nations and the practice of equal and exact justice and fair dealing by all. Nevertheless the people of the United States as a whole pardoned the offence, if offence they deemed it, and there is no evidence whatever that the course of the President exercised the remotest adverse influence upon his own political fortunes, or upon those of his party.

One phase of the affair, however, which persists on any view of the *coup* made by the United States, has never been excused by those who are sensitive as to the honor of the government. Colombia had rights in the isthmus for which the French company was willing to pay, and for which the United States agreed by treaty to pay. It lost those rights by the act of a handful of its citizens following an act of its own which was foolish and arrogant, no doubt, but was by no means unpardonable. Thereupon this government seized those rights and, a strong nation dealing with a weak one, has never given any compensation to Colombia for them, has persistently refused to submit the claim Colombia makes to arbitration, and

leaves the neighbor whom the Monroe Doctrine obliges it to protect against others, defenceless against itself. Even the right of eminent domain provides compensation for property condemned under its operation.

As soon as the new government of Panama was fully organized a treaty was made with it upon much more favorable terms than had been incorporated in the treaty with Colombia. Panama ceded in absolute sovereignty a strip across the continent ten miles wide, and consented to the sanitation of the cities of Colon and Panama by the United States. The ten millions that were to be paid to Colombia, according to the rejected treaty, were promised to Panama. The treaty was concluded in December, 1903, and was ratified by the Senate on February 23, 1904. The payment of that sum, and of the forty millions purchase money to the French Company, was skilfully effected by the Treasury Department without any disturbance of the money market; and since the transfer of the franchise and property the work of constructing the canal has proceeded without interruption from any quarter.

During the period of this administration, the government had upon its hands two important matters in its relations with foreign governments. The United States had its own difficulty with Venezuela, but at this time the old grievance was not at issue. Venezuela had contracted loans which were held in several European countries, were long outstanding, long overdue, and not only unpaid but treated by the debtor as though they did not exist. Negotiations having failed, some of the creditors resolved to take measures to enforce payment. In 1902 Great Britain, Germany, and Italy sent naval vessels to the coast of Venezuela for the purpose of enforcing their demands. The British government probably deemed unnecessary any assurance that its action would not be adverse to American interests and policy. Germany, not, of course, under suspicion, but less closely bound to America than Great Britain, gave notice in a friendly spirit of its intention to use forcible means to collect the debts owed to its citizens by Venezuela, and added to the notification this important assurance: "We declare also that under no circumstances do we consider in our proceedings the acquisition or permanent occupation of Venezuelan territory." Secretary Hay, in his reply to the communication, said: "The Monroe Doctrine is a declaration that there must be no territorial aggression by any non-American power at the expense of

any American power on American soil. It is in no wise intended as hostile to any nation in the Old World."

The British, German, and Italian ships established a "pacific blockade" of the Venezuelan coast, and captured some Venezuelan merchant vessels. Less pacific than these acts, which are ordinarily regarded as at least technically war measures, was the action of Germany in bombarding a coast town. President Castro, when he was fully convinced that the United States did not propose to protect him in his denial of justice to his creditors, yielded to the demand for a conference and an agreement to meet the obligations of his government. The conference took place, and Venezuela consented to set apart the customs duties from certain ports for the discharge of its foreign debts. A demand by the three powers which had extorted the concession that their claims should first be satisfied, was resisted by the other powers concerned, and was referred to the Hague Tribunal, which decided that the claim was just. Moreover, the Tribunal laid upon the government of the United States the duty of overseeing the settlement and of making sure that Venezuela kept its promises. In that act, it may reasonably be held, was an international recognition of the Monroe Doctrine, as there was a recognition of it by Germany when it disclaimed an intention to acquire or occupy permanently Venezuelan territory. No less than ten governments presented claims under the agreement, — in addition to the three that took aggressive action, the governments of the United States, France, Spain, Belgium, the Netherlands, Sweden, and Mexico.

The other diplomatic matter above referred to was the Alaska boundary question. The line between the United States and the British-American possessions was more or less in controversy for a hundred and twenty years after the acknowledgment of American independence, and was not finally established until the year 1903. The question as to the Alaskan boundary arose in consequence of the purchase of Russian America, and the discovery of gold in the Klondike, in disputable territory. Canada found itself shut off from access to the sea, not only by the American interpretation of the treaty under which Alaska was ceded, but by every existing map on which the boundary line was drawn. The main question was whether the line should be drawn ten leagues inland following the sinuosities of the coast, or from headland to headland. In the one case Canada would be cut off altogether from tidewater. If the line were

drawn from headland to headland Canada would gain the important Lynn canal, and would also have access to the sea by numerous bays and estuaries. It is needless to say that Canada maintained the justice of its contention as earnestly as the opposite contention was maintained in the United States, although historically and cartographically there seemed nothing to sustain a claim that had never been even suggested prior to the discovery of gold in the region. But it was a matter to be decided, and Great Britain and the United States agreed to submit it to a joint commission of three on each side. From the beginning Canada was dissatisfied with the appointment of commissioners on the part of the United States whom they regarded as biassed, prejudiced, and incapable of weighing the question judicially. The British commissioners were Lord Chief Justice Alverstone and two Canadians. The commission met in London, and in November, 1903, decided the matter in accordance with the American view, except on a minor point. The majority consisted of Lord Alverstone and the three Americans. The decision gave great dissatisfaction in Canada, where the popular displeasure was about equally divided between the American commissioners, Lord Alverstone, and the British government, which was declared once more to have sacrificed Canadian interests to American arrogance and greed.

One of the most important labor struggles, important both by its magnitude and duration and on account of its political consequences, was the strike, in 1902, in the anthracite coal region of Pennsylvania. The history of the strike is long and complicated, but the details — the grievances alleged by the miners and the ultimate settlement — need not here be recited. The strike began in May, 1902, and lasted five months. It was attended with not a little violence. Both sides were firm and uncompromising. As winter was drawing on, and as a terrible scarcity of fuel was seen to be inevitable, the President determined to use his power to the utmost to bring the struggle to a close. He summoned John Mitchell, the representative of the miners, to a conference, on October 3, and a few days later appointed a commission of prominent men to inquire into the whole question, and advise terms of settlement. Meantime the miners were to return to work immediately, the strike being declared "off," and were to accept the settlement to be recommended, whatever it might be. The strike did end on October 21; the commission recommended concession of some of the

miner's demands and rejected others, and the settlement was to stand, and did stand, for three years.

Mr. Roosevelt's intervention in this labor dispute cost him the permanent loss of some of his former supporters, and brought to his support many who had previously opposed him. In some quarters his course was regarded as evidence, not merely that he was inclined to sympathize with "organized labor," even when it assumed the right to something like an equal share in the conduct of the employing business, but also that he would take actively the side of "labor" against combined "capital." It was also urged with some vehemence that his interference in the coal dispute was officious and unconstitutional. The personal opposition to him that arose from this incident was certainly more than offset by the support which he gained among those who sympathized with the coal miners; and in the community at large there was a general feeling of gratitude to him for having brought to a close a dispute which caused most serious inconvenience and financial loss through the extreme scarcity of coal and an unprecedented cost of the article. There was little or no disposition to quarrel with an act which put an end to an intolerable situation, and the argument of unconstitutionality fell on deaf ears.

Although these events of the administration have been described at some length, there is no reason to think that either or all of them had an appreciable influence upon the result of the ensuing election. On a retrospective view it seems safe to say that during the three years of Mr. Roosevelt's first administration the thoughts of leading politicians on both sides were directed — so far as they were thoughts of the election of 1904 — rather to persons than to policies.

The sentiment on the Republican side has already been suggested. Mr. Roosevelt was undeniably a popular candidate. The movement in his favor began earlier, and developed greater strength than had ever been manifested in the case of a Vice-President who had succeeded to the presidency on the death of the chosen President. Tyler, Fillmore, Johnson, Arthur, — all had support in nominating conventions, but not one of them was really expected to become the candidate. So early as June, 1902, Republican State conventions in Kansas and Pennsylvania passed resolutions in favor of the reëlection of Mr. Roosevelt. We should probably have to go as far back as the time of General Jackson to cite similar action, so early in

an administration, in favor even of an elected President. Subsequently other State conventions urged the reelection of Mr. Roosevelt, most of them heartily, some—as in the case of New York—with obvious reluctance and with no pretence of enthusiasm. For there was opposition to him. He was accused of impulsiveness and rashness, of over-confidence in his own judgment and discernment of right and wrong; and those who held this opinion of him regarded him as “unsafe.” In secret, no doubt, there was plotting to bring forward another candidate. The person most considered as an alternative was Senator Hanna of Ohio. But it is only the truth to say that all suggestions of that sort were futile. No amount of political management could have brought about the defeat of Mr. Roosevelt. Not only an overwhelming majority of the Republican rank and file, but a great majority of the active politicians were in his favor. Many of the so-called “leaders” in both Houses of Congress were against him, but they led a pitiful minority of those who were to make the decision.

A remarkable situation developed in the Democratic party. Mr. Bryan had twice been the candidate, and twice had been defeated. He was the representative and advocate of an extreme radical policy. The conservative element had supported him half-heartedly, or refused to vote, or had gone over for the time being to the Republican candidates. Early in Mr. Roosevelt's administration the conservatives began to urge that the time had come to abandon the policies which had come into Democratic platforms by the way of Populism, and to revert to the ancient and time-honored principles of the party. Some resistance was offered to the movement, but on the whole it was successful. Some western Democratic State conventions refused to endorse the national platform of 1900; in the South there was much outspoken weariness of the dominance of Mr. Bryan in the control of the party. The East had never been particularly earnest in support of the candidate and the platforms of 1896 and 1900, and was ready to join in the movement for a “safe and sane” candidate and platform.

How to make the choice of a candidate was easily argued out. The Democrats could not succeed unless they could carry several large northern States, and their minds turned naturally to the four which had longest remained Democratic or “doubtful,”—New York, New Jersey, Indiana, and Connecticut.

They could hardly hope to win anyway unless they could have the electoral vote of New York. So they must have a candidate who, above all things, must be able to carry that State. He must have a clear record of not having bolted Mr. Bryan or any other Democratic candidate. He must nevertheless not be identified with either wing of the party. He must be a man of high standing and one who commanded general respect. Support — so far as those who took the foregoing view of what was expedient was concerned — was concentrated upon Judge Alton B. Parker of New York. Mr. Parker, after long service as a judge of the supreme court of the State, was, in 1897, elected chief judge of the Court of Appeals, for a term that would end in 1911. Personally and politically he commanded respect. He was believed to be conservative in his tendencies, but he had not been guilty of deserting his party when it followed Mr. Bryan and professed radical principles. It was therefore believed that he could have the support of both wings of the party, and that he, if any Democrat, could carry New York.

But it would give a grossly misleading view of the situation to leave the impression that the movement which eventually made Judge Parker the candidate received general acquiescence, or was not stoutly resisted. Mr. Bryan himself, who declared — and maintained his declaration — that he was not a candidate for the nomination, in a speech at Chicago, on April 23, 1904, attacked the "reorganizers," and taking for his text the platform which the Democratic State Convention had recently adopted, said, "I am sanguine to believe that I can prove to every unbiassed mind that Judge Parker is not a fit man to be nominated either by the Democratic party or by any other party that stands for honesty and fair dealing in politics." In saying this he assumed what was undoubtedly true, that the platform met with Judge Parker's approval, since the convention was controlled by his friends and supporters for the nomination.

But if there was to be no reorganization, if the party was to continue to maintain the principles inseparably associated with the name and the advocacy of Mr. Bryan, who was to be the candidate? The answer introduces us to one of the strangest episodes in American political history. It is too soon after the event to narrate in detail the rise and progress in national politics of Mr. William R. Hearst. Although it is impossible

not to take note of the importance of the movement in his favor in 1904, it is equally impossible to present more than the barest outline of events without being open to an accusation of partisanship on one side or the other. Mr. Hearst was the sole owner of eight daily newspapers in five cities — New York, Chicago, Boston, San Francisco, and Los Angeles. He was elected to Congress from a New York City district in 1902. Both as editor and as congressman he took extreme radical ground. In his newspapers he was a champion of the cause of labor, and an unsparing opponent of corporations and of corporate wealth. He became the president of several separate organizations of political clubs, all of which were formed to promote his fortunes as a candidate for the presidency, and his newspapers were a powerful engine to accomplish the same end. If he did not attach to himself a large number of the leaders of the Democratic party, he did gain the favor and the enthusiastic support of a vast number of the rank and file of the men who had votes. His "boom" made little show at first, for the methods employed by himself and his friends, although consummately effective, were quite unusual in political manœuvering.

Indeed, Mr. Hearst's boom was for some time treated with derision. It was only when the Democratic war horses discovered that the new comer in the field was making great progress, that he was certain to appear in the national convention with a formidable number of delegates, that they began, if not to feel alarm at the result, at least to bestir themselves to defeat him. History told them that more than one national convention had been carried off its feet by a sudden burst of personal enthusiasm, and they could not afford to take the risk of such a stampede.

The first nominating convention of the year 1904 was that of the Socialist party, which met at Chicago on Sunday, May 1, and continued in session six days. The convention consisted of 184 delegates, representing 33 States and two territories. Eight of the delegates were women. The convention was remarkable for the number of editors of socialist journals and periodicals who were members. More than half of all the newspapers of the country engaged in the propagation of socialist principles were represented by members of their staffs. James F. Carey, of Massachusetts, was the temporary chairman of

the convention. There was no permanent president, but the convention chose a chairman for the day at the opening of each session.

The business transacted was much more extensive in amount and scope than that of ordinary national nominating conventions. In addition to the platform of principles the convention adopted a constitution for the party, after a detailed discussion, clause by clause. It also presented separate "programs" of State and municipal reforms, and passed many resolutions expressing the opinion of the members on events of the day — the Japanese war, occurrences in Colorado, and the like. The debates upon all these matters were conducted in a manner — be it said without offence — characteristic of the free spoken, sometimes even violent, methods of Socialists. Several of the verbal encounters between speakers and the chair were decidedly unparliamentary, judged by ordinary standards.

It was well that the convention had so much business to occupy it, for it was not until the afternoon of the fifth day that the platform was ready to be reported. It was known from the beginning that the sentiment of the convention was divided on two subjects. There was a radical faction which desired to put into the platform a declaration concerning marriage, and the dissolubility of the marital relation which was strongly opposed by the more conservative delegates. The chairman of the committee was an extreme radical on this question. There was another division on the subject of the relation of socialism and the Socialist party to trade unions. One faction wished to effect an alliance between the party and the organizations representing labor; the other faction maintained that the trade unionists were endeavoring to effect merely a single reform in their own interest, and that they should receive political assistance only on condition of their joining the Socialist party. As will be seen the platform adopted makes no reference whatever to the marriage relation; on the trade union question the convention both in independent resolutions, and in the platform, followed a course of compromise, strongly approving the demands of labor and urging all members of the "worker class" to become Socialists. The platform, which follows, was unanimously adopted.

1. The Socialist party, in convention assembled, makes its appeal to the American people as the defender and preserver of the idea of liberty and self-government, in which the nation was born; as

the only political movement standing for the program and principles by which the liberty of the individual may become a fact ; as the only political organization that is democratic, and that has for its purpose the democratizing of the whole of society.

To this idea of liberty the Republican and Democratic parties are equally false. They alike struggle for power to maintain and profit by an industrial system which can be preserved only by the complete overthrow of such liberties as we already have, and by the still further enslavement and degradation of labor.

Our American institutions came into the world in the name of freedom. They have been seized upon by the capitalist class as the means of rooting out the idea of freedom from among the people. Our state and national legislatures have become the mere agencies of great propertied interests. These interests control the appointments and decisions of the judges of our courts. They have come into what is practically a private ownership of all the functions and forces of government. They are using these to betray and conquer foreign and weaker peoples, in order to establish new markets for the surplus goods which the people make, but are too poor to buy. They are gradually so invading and restricting the right of suffrage as to take away unawares the right of the worker to a vote or voice in public affairs. By enacting new and misinterpreting old laws, they are preparing to attack the liberty of the individual even to speak or think for himself, or for the common good.

By controlling all the sources of social revenue, the possessing class is able to silence what might be the voice of protest against the passing of liberty and the coming of tyranny. It completely controls the university and public school, the pulpit and the press, and the arts and literatures. By making these economically dependent upon itself, it has brought all the forms of public teaching into servile submission to its own interests.

Our political institutions are also being used as the destroyers of that individual property upon which all liberty and opportunity depend. The promise of economic independence to each man was one of the faiths upon which our institutions were founded. But, under the guise of defending private property, capitalism is using our political institutions to make it impossible for the vast majority of human beings ever to become possessors of private property in the means of life.

Capitalism is the enemy and destroyer of essential private property. Its development is through the legalized confiscation of all that the labor of the working class produces, above its subsistence-wage. The private ownership of the means of employment grounds society in an economic slavery which renders intellectual and political tyranny inevitable.

Socialism comes so to organize industry and society that every individual shall be secure in that private property in the means of life upon which his liberty of being, thought and action depend. It comes to rescue the people from the fast increasing and successful assault of capitalism upon the liberty of the individual.

2. As an American socialist party, we pledge our fidelity to the principles of international socialism, as embodied in the united thought and action of the socialists of all nations. In the industrial development already accomplished, the interests of the world's workers are separated by no national boundaries. The condition of the most exploited and oppressed workers, in the most remote places of the earth, inevitably tends to drag down all the workers of the world to the same level. The tendency of the competitive wage system is to make labor's lowest condition the measure or rule of its universal condition. Industry and finance are no longer national but international, in both organization and results. The chief significance of national boundaries, and of the so-called patriotisms which the ruling class of each nation is seeking to revive, is the power which these give to capitalism to keep the workers of the world from uniting, and to throw them against each other in the struggles of contending capitalist interests for the control of the yet unexploited markets of the world, or the remaining sources of profit.

The socialist movement, therefore, is a world-movement. It knows of no conflicts of interests between the workers of one nation and the workers of another. It stands for the freedom of the workers of all nations; and, in so standing, it makes for the full freedom of all humanity.

3. The socialist movement owes its birth and growth to that economic development or world-process which is rapidly separating a working or producing class from a possessing or capitalist class. The class that produces nothing possesses labor's fruits, and the opportunities and enjoyments these fruits afford, while the class that does the world's real work has increasing economic uncertainty, and physical and intellectual misery, for its portion.

The fact that these two classes have not yet become fully conscious of their distinction from each other, the fact that the lines of division and interest may not yet be clearly drawn, does not change the fact of the class conflict.

This class struggle is due to the private ownership of the means of employment, or the tools of production. Wherever and whenever man owned his own land and tools, and by them produced only the things which he used, economic independence was possible. But production, or the making of goods, has long ceased to be individual. The labor of scores, or even thousands, enters into

almost every article produced. Production is now social or collective. Practically everything is made or done by many men—sometimes separated by seas or continents—working together for the same end. But this coöperation in production is not for the direct use of the things made by the workers who make them, but for the profit of the owners of the tools and means of production; and to this is due the present division of society into two classes; and from it have sprung all the miseries, inharmonies and contradictions of our civilization.

Between these two classes there can be no possible compromise or identity of interest, any more than there can be peace in the midst of war, or light in the midst of darkness. A society based upon this class division carries in itself the seeds of its own destruction. Such a society is founded in fundamental injustice. There can be no possible basis for social peace, for individual freedom, for mental and moral harmony, except in the conscious and complete triumph of the working class as the only class that has the right or power to be.

4. The socialist program is not a theory imposed upon society for its acceptance or rejection. It is but the interpretation of what is, sooner or later, inevitable. Capitalism is already struggling to its destruction. It is no longer competent to organize or administer the work of the world, or even to preserve itself. The captains of industry are appalled at their own inability to control or direct the rapidly socializing forces of industry. The so-called trust is but a sign and form of the developing socialization of the world's work. The universal increase of the uncertainty of employment, the universal capitalist determination to break down the unity of labor in the trades unions, the widespread apprehensions of impending change, reveal that the institutions of capitalist society are passing under the power of inhering forces that will soon destroy them.

Into the midst of the strain and crisis of civilization, the socialist movement comes as the only conservative force. If the world is to be saved from chaos, from universal disorder and misery, it must be by the union of the workers of all nations in the socialist movement. The socialist party comes with the only proposition or program for intelligently and deliberately organizing the nation for the common good of all its citizens. It is the first time that the mind of man has ever been directed toward the conscious organization of society.

Socialism means that all those things upon which the people in common depend shall by the people in common be owned and administered. It means that the tools of employment shall belong to their creators and users; that all production shall be for the

direct use of the producers; that the making of goods for profit shall come to an end; that we shall all be workers together; and that all opportunities shall be open and equal to all men.

5. To the end that the workers may seize every possible advantage that may strengthen them to gain complete control of the powers of government, and thereby the sooner establish the coöperative commonwealth, the Socialist Party pledges itself to watch and work, in both the economic and the political struggle, for each successive immediate interest of the working class; for shortened days of labor and increases of wages; for the insurance of the workers against accident, sickness and lack of employment; for pensions for aged and exhausted workers; for the public ownership of the means of transportation, communication and exchange; for the graduated taxation of incomes, inheritances, franchises and land values, the proceeds to be applied to the public employment and improvement of the conditions of the workers; for the complete education of children, and their freedom from the workshop; for the prevention of the use of the military against labor in the settlement of strikes; for the free administration of justice; for popular government, including initiative, referendum, proportional representation, equal suffrage of men and women, municipal home rule, and the recall of officers by their constituents; and for every gain or advantage for the workers that may be wrested from the capitalist system, and that may relieve the suffering and strengthen the hands of labor. We lay upon every man elected to any executive or legislative office the first duty of striving to procure whatever is for the workers' most immediate interest, and for whatever will lessen the economic and political powers of the capitalist, and increase the like powers of the worker.

But, in so doing, we are using these remedial measures as means to the one great end of the coöperative commonwealth. Such measures of relief as we may be able to force from capitalism are but a preparation of the workers to seize the whole powers of government, in order that they may thereby lay hold of the whole system of industry, and thus come into their rightful inheritance.

To this end we pledge ourselves, as the party of the working class, to use all political power, as fast as it shall be entrusted to us by our fellow-workers, both for their immediate interests and for their ultimate and complete emancipation. To this end we appeal to all the workers of America, and to all who will lend their lives to the service of the workers in their struggle to gain their own, and to all who will nobly and disinterestedly give their days and energies unto the workers' cause, to cast in their lot and faith with the socialist party. Our appeal for the trust and suffrages

of our fellow-workers is at once an appeal for their common good and freedom, and for the freedom and blossoming of our common humanity. In pledging ourselves, and those we represent, to be faithful to the appeal which we make, we believe that we are but preparing the soil of that economic freedom from which will spring the freedom of the whole man.

It was clear from all the proceedings of the convention that the members regarded the platform as of much greater importance than the nominations. In fact, there was at no time any doubt as to the candidates. Immediately after the adoption of the platform, on May 5, Eugene V. Debs, of Indiana, was nominated by acclamation as the candidate for President, and Benjamin Hanford, of New York, was, also by acclamation, named for Vice-President. The convention remained in session another day to finish its business.

The United Christian party has for many years held an annual convention, the members of which are not in a strict sense delegates. The meeting in 1904 was not reported in any public journal, and it was not possible, even if it were important, to ascertain how many persons attended, nor the nature of the proceedings, except the declaration of principles, which is appended. It was determined not to make any nominations, but to devote the energies of those present to a dissemination of the views of the party, which were announced as follows : —

We, the United Christian party, in national mass convention assembled, in His name, in the city of St. Louis, Missouri, May 2, 1904, acknowledging Almighty God as our Father and Jesus Christ as our leader, commander, governor and king; believing that the time has now come when all Christians and patriots should unite on the day of election and vote direct on all questions of vital importance, and apply Christian golden rule to all government by and for the people, do hereby declare that the platform and purpose of the United Christian party is and shall be to work and stand for union in His name, according to the Lord's Prayer, for the fulfillment of God's law through direct legislation of the people governed by the golden rule, regardless of sex, creed, color, nationality.

As an expression of consent or allegiance on the part of the governed, in harmony with the above statements —

We also declare in favor of direct legislation providing for an equal standard of morals for both sexes, and most vigorously oppose the traffic in girls and all forms of the social evil.

We are opposed to war and condemn mob violence.

We favor government ownership of coal mines, oil wells and public utilities.

We are opposed to government revenue from the manufacture and sale of intoxicating liquor as a beverage.

We are opposed to all trusts and combines contrary to the welfare of the common people, and declare that Christian government through direct legislation will regulate the trusts and labor problem according to the golden rule.

The convention of the Republican party, held at Chicago on June 21-23, was uneventful. There was no contest over either the platform or the candidates. It was known in advance that Mr. Roosevelt would be nominated for reelection with complete unanimity ; and as soon as the consent of Mr. Fairbanks was obtained to take the second place on the ticket there was no suggestion that any other person would be proposed. Elihu Root, of New York, was the temporary chairman and Joseph G. Cannon, of Illinois, was the permanent president of the convention. Each of the presiding officers made long and elaborate addresses, which their eminence in the public service, their ability and their standing in the party combined to render important features of the convention proceedings. On the second day the following platform was reported and unanimously adopted : —

Fifty years ago the Republican party came into existence, dedicated, among other purposes, to the great task of arresting the extension of human slavery. In 1860 it elected its first President. During twenty-four years of the forty-four which have elapsed since the election of Lincoln the Republican party has held complete control of the government. For eighteen more of the forty-four years it has held partial control through the possession of one or two branches of the government, while the Democratic party during the same period has had complete control for only two years. This long tenure of power by the Republican party is not due to chance. It is a demonstration that the Republican party has commanded the confidence of the American people for nearly two generations to a degree never equalled in our history, and has displayed a high capacity for rule and government which has been made even more conspicuous by the incapacity and infirmity of purpose shown by its opponents.

The Republican party entered upon its present period of complete supremacy in 1897. We have every right to congratulate ourselves upon the work since then accomplished, for it has added lustre even to the traditions of the party which carried the Gov-

ernment through the storms of civil war. We then found the country, after four years of Democratic rule, in evil plight, oppressed with misfortune, and doubtful of the future. Public credit had been lowered, the revenues were declining, the debt was growing, the Administration's attitude toward Spain was feeble and mortifying, the standard of values was threatened and uncertain, labor was unemployed, business was sunk in the depression which had succeeded the panic of 1893, hope was faint, and confidence was gone.

We met these unhappy conditions vigorously, effectively and at once. We replaced a Democratic tariff law based on free-trade principles and garnished with sectional protection by a consistent protective tariff, and industry, freed from suppression and stimulated by the encouragement of wise laws, has expanded to a degree never before known, has conquered new markets and has created a volume of exports which has surpassed imagination. Under the Dingley Tariff labor has been fully employed, wages have risen and all industries have revived and prospered.

We firmly established the gold standard, which was then menaced with destruction. Confidence returned to business, and with confidence an unexampled prosperity. For deficient revenues supplemented by improvident issues of bonds we gave the country an income which produced a large surplus, and which enabled us only four years after the Spanish War had closed to remove over one hundred millions of annual war taxes, reduce the public debt and lower the interest charges of the Government. The public credit, which had been so lowered that in time of peace a Democratic administration made large loans at extravagant rates of interest in order to pay current expenditures, rose under Republican administration to its highest point, and enabled us to borrow at 2 per cent., even in time of war.

We refused to palter longer with the miseries of Cuba. We fought a quick and victorious war with Spain. We set Cuba free, governed the island for three years, and then gave it to the Cuban people with order restored, with ample revenues, with education and public health established, free from debt, and connected with the United States by wise provisions for our mutual interests.

We have organized the government of Porto Rico, and its people now enjoy peace, freedom, order and prosperity.

In the Philippines we have suppressed insurrection, established order, and given to life and property a security never known there before. We have organized civil government, made it effective and strong in administration, and have conferred upon the people of those islands the largest civil liberty they have ever enjoyed. By our possession of the Philippines we were enabled to take prompt

and effective action in the relief of the legations at Peking, and a decisive part in preventing the partition and preserving the integrity of China.

The possession of a route for an Isthmian canal, so long the dream of American statesmanship, is now an accomplished fact. The great work of connecting the Pacific and Atlantic by a canal is at last begun, and it is due to the Republican party.

We have passed laws which will bring the arid lands of the United States within the area of cultivation.

We have reorganized the army and put it in the highest state of efficiency.

We have passed laws for the improvement and support of the militia.

We have pushed forward the building of the navy, the defence and protection of our honor and our interests.

Our administration of the great departments of the Government has been honest and efficient, and wherever wrongdoing has been discovered the Republican administration has not hesitated to probe the evil and bring offenders to justice without regard to party or political ties.

Laws enacted by the Republican party which the Democratic party failed to enforce, and which were intended for the protection of the public against the unjust discrimination or the illegal encroachment of vast aggregations of capital, have been fearlessly enforced by a Republican President, and new laws insuring reasonable publicity as to the operations of great corporations and providing additional remedies for the prevention of discrimination in freight rates have been passed by a Republican Congress.

In this record of achievement during the past eight years may be read the pledges which the Republican party has fulfilled. We promise to continue these policies and we declare our constant adherence to the following principles :

Protection which guards and develops our industries is a cardinal policy of the Republican party. The measure of protection should always at least equal the difference in the cost of production at home and abroad. We insist upon the maintenance of the principles of protection, and therefore rates of duty should be re-adjusted only when conditions have so changed that the public interest demands their alteration, but this work cannot safely be committed to any other hands than those of the Republican party. To intrust it to the Democratic party is to invite disaster. Whether, as in 1892, the Democratic party declares the protective tariff unconstitutional, or whether it demands tariff reform or tariff revision, its real object is always the destruction of the protective system. However specious the name, the purpose is ever the

same. A Democratic tariff has always been followed by business adversity; a Republican tariff by business prosperity. To a Republican Congress and a Republican President this great question can be safely intrusted. When the only free-trade country among the great nations agitates a return to protection, the chief protective country should not falter in maintaining it.

We have extended widely our foreign markets, and we believe in the adoption of all practicable methods for their further extension, including commercial reciprocity wherever reciprocal arrangements can be effected consistent with the principles of protection, and without injury to American agriculture, American labor or any American industry.

We believe it to be the duty of the Republican party to uphold the gold standard and the integrity and value of our national currency. The maintenance of the gold standard, established by the Republican party, cannot safely be committed to the Democratic party, which resisted its adoption, and has never given any proof since that time of belief in it or fidelity to it.

While every other industry has prospered under the fostering aid of Republican legislation, American shipping engaged in foreign trade, in competition with the low cost of construction, low wages and heavy subsidies of foreign governments, has not for many years received from the Government of the United States adequate encouragement of any kind. We therefore favor legislation which will encourage and build up the American merchant marine, and we cordially approve the legislation of the last Congress, which created the Merchant Marine Commission to investigate and report upon this subject.

A navy powerful enough to defend the United States against any attack, to uphold the Monroe Doctrine, and to watch over our commerce, is essential to the safety and the welfare of the American people. To maintain such a navy is the fixed policy of the Republican party.

We cordially approve the attitude of President Roosevelt and Congress in regard to the exclusion of Chinese labor and promise a continuance of the Republican policy in that direction.

The Civil Service Law was placed on the statute books by the Republican party, which has always sustained it, and we renew our former declarations that it shall be thoroughly and honestly enforced.

We are always mindful of the country's debt to the soldiers and sailors of the United States, and we believe in making ample provision for them, and in the liberal administration of the pension laws.

We favor the peaceful settlement of international differences by arbitration.

We commend the vigorous efforts made by the Administration to protect American citizens in foreign lands and pledge ourselves to insist upon the just and equal protection of all our citizens abroad. It is the unquestioned duty of the Government to procure for all our citizens, without distinction, the rights of travel and sojourn in friendly countries, and we declare ourselves in favor of all proper efforts tending to that end.

Our great interests and our growing commerce in the Orient render the condition of China of high importance to the United States. We cordially commend the policy pursued in that direction by the Administrations of President McKinley and President Roosevelt.

We favor such Congressional action as shall determine whether by special discriminations the elective franchise in any State has been unconstitutionally limited, and if such is the case, we demand that representation in Congress and in the Electoral College shall be proportionately reduced as directed by the Constitution of the United States.

Combinations of capital and of labor are the results of the economic movement of the age, but neither must be permitted to infringe upon the rights and interests of the people. Such combinations, when lawfully formed for lawful purposes, are alike entitled to the protection of the laws, but both are subject to the laws, and neither can be permitted to break them.

The great statesman and patriotic American, William McKinley, who was reelected by the Republican party to the Presidency four years ago, was assassinated just at the threshold of his second term. The entire nation mourned his untimely death, and did that justice to his great qualities of mind and character which history will confirm and repeat.

The American people were fortunate in his successor, to whom they turned with a trust and confidence which have been fully justified. President Roosevelt brought to the great responsibilities thus sadly forced upon him a clear head, a brave heart, an earnest patriotism and high ideals of public duty and public service. True to the principles of the Republican party and to the policies which that party had declared, he has also shown himself ready for every emergency and has met new and vital questions with ability and with success.

The confidence of the people in his justice, inspired by his public career, enabled him to render personally an inestimable service to the country by bringing about a settlement of the coal strike, which threatened such disastrous results at the opening of Winter in 1902.

Our foreign policy under his administration has not only been

able, vigorous and dignified, but in the highest degree successful. The complicated questions which arose in Venezuela were settled in such a way by President Roosevelt that the Monroe Doctrine was signally vindicated, and the cause of peace and arbitration greatly advanced.

His prompt and vigorous action in Panama, which we commend in the highest terms, not only secured to us the canal route but avoided foreign complications which might have been of a very serious character.

He has continued the policy of President McKinley in the Orient and our position in China, signalized by our recent commercial treaty with that empire, has never been so high.

He secured the tribunal by which the vexed and perilous question of the Alaskan boundary was finally settled.

Whenever crimes against humanity have been perpetrated which have shocked our people, his protest has been made and our good offices have been tendered, but always with due regard to international obligations.

Under his guidance we find ourselves at peace with all the world, and never were we more respected or our wishes more regarded by foreign nations.

Preëminently successful in regard to our foreign relations, he has been equally fortunate in dealing with domestic questions. The country has known that the public credit and the national currency were absolutely safe in the hands of his Administration. In the enforcement of the laws he has shown not only courage, but the wisdom which understands that to permit laws to be violated or disregarded opens the door to anarchy, while the just enforcement of the law is the soundest conservatism. He has held firmly to the fundamental American doctrine that all men must obey the law; that there must be no distinction between rich and poor, between strong and weak; but that justice and equal protection under the law must be secured to every citizen without regard to race, creed or condition.

His administration has been throughout vigorous and honorable, high-minded and patriotic. We commend it without reservation to the considerate judgment of the American people.

On June 23, Theodore Roosevelt, of New York, was nominated as the candidate for President by a unanimous *viva voce* vote of the 994 delegates of the convention. Charles Warren Fairbanks, of Indiana, was nominated by acclamation as the candidate for Vice-President.

The Prohibition party held its convention at Indianapolis on June 29. There were 704 delegates in attendance, of whom

about 60 were women. Most of the States were represented in whole or in part, — all but three, North Carolina, South Carolina and Louisiana, according to one account, according to another, there were no delegates present from Montana or Utah. Homer L. Castle, of Pennsylvania, was the temporary chairman, and A. G. Wolfenbarger, of Nebraska, the permanent president of the convention. The committee on resolutions had great difficulty in coming to an agreement on the platform. It will be seen that those who urged that special, almost exclusive, stress should be laid upon the importance of prohibition won a victory over those who favored a more general platform, similar to those in some earlier canvasses. But the platform was generally approved, and was ultimately adopted by a unanimous vote, as follows: —

The Prohibition party, in national convention assembled, at Indianapolis, June 30, 1904, recognizing that the chief end of all government is the establishment of those principles of righteousness and justice which have been revealed to men as the will of the ever-living God, desiring His blessing upon our national life, and believing in the perpetuation of the high ideals of government of the people, by the people and for the people, established by our fathers, makes the following declaration of principles and purposes:

The widely prevailing system of the licensed and legalized sale of alcoholic beverages is so ruinous to individual interests, so inimical to public welfare, so destructive of national wealth and so subversive of the rights of great masses of our citizenship, that the destruction of the traffic is, and for years has been, the most important question in American politics.

We denounce the lack of statesmanship exhibited by the leaders of the Democratic and Republican parties in their refusal to recognize the paramount importance of this question, and the cowardice with which the leaders of these parties have courted the favor of those whose selfish interests are advanced by the continuation and augmentation of the traffic, until to-day the influence of the liquor traffic practically dominates national, State and local government throughout the nation.

We declare the truth, demonstrated by the experience of half a century, that all methods of dealing with the liquor traffic which recognize its right to exist, in any form, under any system of license or tax or regulation, have proved powerless to remove its evils, and useless as checks upon its growth, while the insignificant public revenues which have accrued therefrom have seared the public conscience against a recognition of its iniquity.

We call public attention to the fact, proved by the experience of more than fifty years, that to secure the enactment and enforcement of prohibitory legislation, in which alone lies the hope of the protection of the people from the liquor traffic, it is necessary that the legislative, executive and judicial branches of government should be in the hands of a political party in harmony with the prohibition principle, and pledged to its embodiment in law, and to the execution of those laws.

We pledge the Prohibition party, wherever given power by the suffrages of the people, to the enactment and enforcement of laws prohibiting and abolishing the manufacture, importation, transportation and sale of alcoholic beverages.

We declare that there is not only no other issue of equal importance before the American people to-day, but that the so-called issues upon which the Democratic and Republican parties seek to divide the electorate of the country are, in large part, subterfuges under the cover of which they wrangle for the spoils of office.

Recognizing that the intelligent voters of the country may properly ask our attitude upon other questions of public concern, we declare ourselves in favor of:

The impartial enforcement of all law.

The safeguarding of the people's rights by a rigid application of the principles of justice to all combinations and organizations of capital and labor.

The recognition of the fact that the right of suffrage should depend upon the mental and moral qualifications of the citizen.

A more intimate relation between the people and government, by a wise application of the principle of the initiative and referendum.

Such changes in our laws as will place tariff schedules in the hands of an omniartisan commission.

The application of uniform laws to all our country and dependencies.

The election of United States Senators by vote of the people.

The extension and honest administration of the civil service laws.

The safeguarding of every citizen in every place under the government of the people of the United States, in all the rights guaranteed by the laws and the Constitution.

International arbitration, and we declare that our nation should contribute, in every manner consistent with national dignity, to the permanent establishment of peace between all nations.

The reform of our divorce laws, the final extirpation of polygamy, and the total overthrow of the present shameful system of

the illegal sanction of the social evil, with its unspeakable traffic in girls, by the municipal authorities of almost all our cities.

When the convention met there was a strong, but by no means unanimous, sentiment in favor of the nomination of General Nelson A. Miles for President. It was opposed on the ground that General Miles had never declared himself to be a Prohibitionist. It was discouraged by the general himself, who, knowing what was proposed, urged, in a letter to Mr. John G. Woolley, of Chicago, that action by the convention should be postponed until after the nominations by the Republican and Democratic parties should have been made. Some of his suggestions in the same letter as to the proper policy for the Prohibition party to adopt, were not well received. As the suggestions were not followed in the platform, General Miles sent a telegram positively declining to accept the nomination. The Miles candidacy had caused a somewhat angry factional controversy, which ended suddenly upon the receipt of the general's telegram, and Silas C. Swallow, of Pennsylvania, was then nominated for President by acclamation. There was but one vote by the convention, for Vice-President. George W. Carroll, of Texas, received 626 votes, to 132 for Isaiah H. Amos, of Oregon. The fact that the total number was greater than the number of delegates, has the usual explanation, that the delegates present from a State cast the whole number of votes to which the State was entitled.

The Socialist-Labor party held its convention in New York City on July 2 and the six following days. Forty-one delegates, representing eighteen States, composed the convention. Mr. William W. Cox, of Illinois, was the temporary Chairman. Under the permanent organization there was a different Chairman and vice-chairman on each day. There seem to have been long but not by any means angry debates upon a great variety of matters. The platform, which was reported late on July 3, was discussed, paragraph by paragraph, the next day, and finally adopted in the form given it by the Committee on Resolutions, as follows : —

The Socialist Labor party of America, in convention assembled, reasserts the inalienable right of man to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

We hold that the purpose of government is to secure to every citizen the enjoyment of this right : but taught by experience we

hold furthermore that such right is illusory to the majority of the people, to wit, the working class, under the present system of economic inequality that is essentially destructive of their life, their liberty and their happiness.

We hold that the true theory of politics is that the machinery of government must be controlled by the whole people; but again taught by experience we hold furthermore that the true theory of economics is that the means of production must likewise be owned, operated and controlled by the people in common. Man cannot exercise his right of life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness without the ownership of the land on and the tool with which to work. Deprived of these, his life, his liberty and his fate fall into the hands of the class that owns those essentials for work and production.

We hold that the existing contradiction between the theory of democratic government and the fact of a despotic economic system — the private ownership of the natural and social opportunities — divides the people into two classes, the capitalist class and the working class; throws society into the convulsions of the class struggle, and perverts government to the exclusive benefit of the capitalist class.

Thus labor is robbed of the wealth which it alone produces, is denied the means of self-employment, and, by compulsory idleness in wage slavery, is even deprived of the necessities of life.

Against such a system the Socialist Labor party raises the banner of revolt, and demands the unconditional surrender of the capitalist class.

The time is fast coming when, in the natural course of social evolution, this system, through the destructive action of its failures and crises on the one hand, and the constructive tendencies of its trusts and other capitalist combinations on the other hand, will have worked out its own downfall.

We, therefore, call upon the wage workers of America to organize under the banner of the Socialist Labor party into a class-conscious body, aware of its rights and determined to conquer them.

And we also call upon all other intelligent citizens to place themselves squarely upon the ground of working class interests, and join us in this mighty and noble work of human emancipation, so that we may put summary end to the existing barbarous class conflict by placing the land and all the means of production, transportation and distribution into the hands of the people as a collective body, and substituting the coöperative commonwealth for the present state of planless production, industrial war and social disorder — a commonwealth in which every worker shall have the free exercise and full benefit of his faculties, multiplied by all the modern factors of civilization.

On July 6 the convention nominated for President of the United States Charles H. Corregan, of New York; for Vice-President, William W. Cox, of Illinois. It remained in session two days longer amending the constitution of the party and discussing the attitude which should be taken toward trade-unionism, — a subject which — as has been noted — occupied the attention of the rival Socialist party. The views of the Socialist-Labor party may fairly be inferred from a single paragraph of its pronouncement on the topic: "So far from drilling the working class in the theoretic understanding of its interests, Gompers unionism befogs the workingman's intellect with capitalistic economics, and it hounds Socialist or working class economics out of its camp, under the false pretence that such economic teachings are 'politics,' and that they 'divide the working class.'"

The Populist party held its convention at Springfield, Illinois, on July 4 and 5. The date seems to have been fixed to signify the intention of those who controlled the organization to have nothing to do with the Democratic party in the approaching canvass. They saw, as the whole country saw, that the Democrats were about to rid themselves, for that occasion at least, of what a prominent member of the party referred to as "the taint of populism." Consequently the Populists who had not already joined the Democratic party were practically all of the "middle-of-the-road" faction. Only once in the convention was the suggestion made that it might be well to postpone action until it should be seen whether the Hearst partisans were not a majority of the Democratic delegates. But even that proposition was shouted down with cries — "No, no; get into the Democratic party, where you belong." There was no hope of an alliance with that party.

"About three hundred" delegates are said to have constituted the Populist convention. Twenty-four States and two territories were represented on the general committees. That most of the members were residents in States of the central west is evident from the fact that the same person was appointed a member of all four committees from each of the States of New York, New Jersey, Massachusetts, Mississippi, Wisconsin, South Dakota, and Washington — in all probability because there was but one representative from each of those States. Pennsylvania had apparently two delegates in

attendance. The one member from Massachusetts was the only New England man in the convention. L. H. Weller, of Iowa, was the temporary chairman, and J. M. Mallett, of Texas, the permanent President. The committee on resolutions held two protracted sessions, the first lasting until three o'clock in the morning of July 5, and the second nearly the whole of that day from early forenoon until late afternoon. The platform, when it was ready, was received with great applause and was unanimously adopted as follows:—

The People's party reaffirms its adherence to the basic truths of the Omaha platform of 1892, and of the subsequent platforms of 1896 and 1900. In session in its fourth national convention on July 4, 1904, in the city of Springfield, Ill., it draws inspiration from the day that saw the birth of the nation as well as its own birth as a party, and also from the soul of him who lived at its present place of meeting. We renew our allegiance to the old-fashioned American spirit that gave this nation existence, and made it distinctive among the peoples of the earth. We again sound the key-note of the Declaration of Independence that all men are created equal in a political sense, which was the sense in which that instrument, being a political document, intended that the utterance should be understood. We assert that the departure from this fundamental truth is responsible for the ills from which we suffer as a nation, that the giving of special privileges to the few has enabled them to dominate the many, thereby tending to destroy the political equality which is the corner-stone of democratic government.

Holding fast to the truths of the fathers we vigorously protest against the spirit of mammonism and of thinly veiled monarchy that is invading certain sections of our national life, and of the very administration itself. This is a nation of peace, and we deplore the appeal to the spirit of force and militarism which is shown in ill-advised and vainglorious boasting and in more harmful ways in the denial of the rights of man under martial law.

A political democracy and an industrial despotism cannot exist side by side; and nowhere is this truth more plainly shown than in the gigantic transportation monopolies which have bred all sorts of kindred trusts, subverted the governments of many of the States, or established their official agents in the National Government. We submit that it is better for the Government to own the railroads than for the railroads to own the Government, and that one or the other alternative seems inevitable.

We call the attention of our fellow-citizens to the fact that the

surrender of both of the old parties to corporative influences leaves the People's party the only party of reform in the nation.

Therefore we submit the following platform of principles to the American people:—

The issuing of money is a function of government, and should never be delegated to corporations or individuals. The Constitution gives to Congress alone power to issue money and regulate its value.

We therefore demand that all money shall be issued by the Government in such quantity as shall maintain a stability in prices, every dollar to be full legal tender, none of which shall be a debt redeemable in other money.

We demand that postal savings banks be established by the Government for the safe deposit of the savings of the people.

We believe in the right of labor to organize for the benefit and protection of those who toil; and pledge the efforts of the People's party to preserve this right inviolate. Capital is organized and has no right to deny to labor the privilege which it claims for itself. We feel that intelligent organization of labor is essential; that it raises the standard of workmanship; promotes the efficiency, intelligence, independence and character of the wage earner. We believe with Abraham Lincoln that labor is prior to capital, and is not its slave, but its companion, and we plead for that broad spirit of toleration and justice which will promote industrial peace through the observance of the principles of voluntary arbitration.

We favor the enactment of legislation looking to the improvement of conditions for wage earners, the abolition of child labor, the suppression of sweat shops, and of convict labor in competition with free labor, and the exclusion from American shores of foreign pauper labor.

We favor the shorter work day, and declare that if eight hours constitute a day's labor in Government service, that eight hours should constitute a day's labor in factories, workshops and mines.

As a means of placing all public questions directly under the control of the people, we demand that legal provision be made under which the people may exercise the initiative, referendum and proportional representation and direct vote for all public officers with the right of recall.

Land, including all the natural sources of wealth, is a heritage of all the people, and should not be monopolized for speculative purposes, and alien ownership of land should be prohibited.

We demand a return to the original interpretation of the Constitution and a fair and impartial enforcement of laws under it, and denounce government by injunction and imprisonment without the right of trial by jury.

To prevent unjust discrimination and monopoly the Government should own and control the railroads, and those public utilities which in their nature are monopolies. To perfect the postal service, the Government should own and operate the general telegraph and telephone systems and provide a parcels post.

As to these trusts and monopolies which are not public utilities or natural monopolies, we demand that those special privileges which they now enjoy, and which alone enables them to exist, should be immediately withdrawn. Corporations being the creatures of government should be subjected to such governmental regulations and control as will adequately protect the public. We demand the taxation of monopoly privileges, while they remain in private hands, to the extent of the value of the privileges granted.

We demand that Congress shall enact a general law uniformly regulating the power and duties of all incorporated companies doing interstate business.

Thomas E. Watson, of Georgia, who was Bryan's "running mate" on the Populist ticket, in 1896, was nominated for President, and Thomas H. Tibbles, of Nebraska, was the candidate for Vice-President.

The national convention of the Democratic party was held at Chicago on July 6 and the following days. The situation prior to the opening of the convention appeared to be chaotic, but appearances were deceitful. In fact the issue was at no time in doubt. The earnest opposition of Mr. Bryan to the nomination of Judge Parker has already been mentioned. He did not cease from that opposition. In a letter written a month before the convention, dated June 9, and immediately published, he wrote, among other things, "it is the first time, in recent years at least, that a man has been urged to so high a position on the ground that his opinions are unknown." On the 20th of June he made a speech to a great gathering in New York City, in which he attacked the candidacy of Judge Parker most vehemently, and in a graphic and eloquent manner enumerated the issues of the time on which the opinions of Mr. Parker had not been announced, — coinage, imperialism, tariff, the trusts, and other live political topics. If he did not express his hope in so many words he allowed the "interviewers" of the press who thronged about him to understand that his policy would be to persuade the convention to frame such a platform that Judge Parker would refuse to stand on it. The opposition of Tammany Hall to Parker was open and pronounced. Whether that organization, with a prospect of suc-

cess before it, would have favored the nomination of Mr. Hearst, is purely a matter of conjecture. At all events the ostensible purpose of Tammany was to urge the selection of Mayor George B. McClellan.

Nor was this the only opposition — outspoken or secret — that the movement against Parker encountered. The friends of Senator Gorman, of Maryland, who undoubtedly sympathized with the movement to eliminate radicalism from the party, endeavored with little success to promote his candidacy. The proceedings in the selection of delegates in Illinois were little short of riotous, and led to a contest for the seats which was carried into the convention and decided by a roll-call vote. In several of the States propositions to endorse the candidacy of Judge Parker were decisively defeated.

In spite of all this the friends of Parker were confident. The canvass in his favor was in the expert hands of Governor David B. Hill, whose leadership and control were plainly evident to observers of the events just preceding the opening of the session. Those who had drawn "planks" for the platform consulted him, and were hopeful or disappointed according to his treatment of them. Although hardly more than a third of the delegates were "instructed" for Parker, he assured all comers that the nomination of his candidate was certain.

John Sharp Williams, of Mississippi, was the temporary chairman, and Champ Clark, of Missouri, the permanent president of the convention. The first important business was to determine the right of delegates to seats. The committee on credentials reported in favor of the Parker delegates from the contested districts of Illinois. There was a minority report, in favor of the Hearst delegates, and Mr. Bryan argued at length in support of their rights. He was received with general and enthusiastic applause when he entered the convention and began his speech; but when the question was put to vote he was defeated by 299 to 647. That was the first test vote in the convention and it indicated the ultimate result. More than two-thirds of the convention was against Mr. Bryan. The decision was of no practical importance, as the Illinois State Convention had directed the delegation to cast its vote as a unit for Mr. Hearst, and its vote was so given. But the test vote indicated clearly the temper of the convention.

There was an almost unprecedented struggle in the framing of the platform by the Committee on Resolutions. Indeed that

committee was a most remarkable one in the political prominence of a large number of its members. Besides Governor Hill and Mr. Bryan, the membership included Senators Bailey, Carmack, Daniel, Dubois, Newlands, and Tillman, ex-Senators H. G. Davis, of West Virginia, and Pettigrew, and John S. Williams, the Democratic leader in the House of Representatives, as well as many other men of great prominence in their respective States. A subcommittee was appointed which considered at great length a draft of a platform which had been prepared, and finally reported the result of its deliberations to the full committee. The tentative platform contained a paragraph setting forth that as there had been in recent years an enormous increase in the production of gold, of which the United States had obtained a large share, the question of the monetary standard had ceased to be a political issue. The "plank" was extremely offensive to Mr. Bryan, who desired and moved that the declaration of the two preceding national conventions on the subject of silver be repeated. In that matter he had no support; but he did argue most strenuously against the adoption of the "gold plank." He also wished to have included in the platform a declaration in favor of an income tax, but in this he was stoutly opposed by Governor Hill. At last the proposition was made that both the gold plank and the income tax plank be omitted. The committee voted — 35 to 15 — to drop the reference to gold, and to make no declaration whatever on the question of a money standard. Mr. Bryan then withdrew his income tax proposition, and the platform was ready to be reported. The committee had been in continuous session for sixteen hours—from eight o'clock in the evening of Thursday, July 7, until nearly noon of Friday.

When the convention assembled on Friday evening, at 8 o'clock, the platform was read and unanimously adopted. Senator Daniel, of Virginia, who reported it, laid particular stress upon the fact that the committee also was unanimous. The platform was as follows: —

The Democratic party of the United States, in national convention assembled, declares its devotion to the essential principles of the Democratic faith which brings us together in party communion.

Under them, local self-government and national unity and prosperity were alike established. They underlaid our independence, the structure of our free Republic, and every Democratic extension from Louisiana to California and Texas to Oregon, which pre-

served faithfully in all the States the tie between taxation and representation. They yet inspire the masses of our people, guarding jealously their rights and liberties and cherishing their fraternity, peace and orderly development.

They remind us of our duties and responsibilities as citizens, and impress upon us, particularly at this time, the necessity of reform and the rescue of the administration of government from the headstrong, arbitrary and spasmodic methods which distract business by uncertainty, and pervade the public mind with dread, distrust and perturbation.

Wherever there may exist a people incapable of being governed under American laws, in consonance with the American Constitution, the territory of that people ought not to be part of the American domain. We insist that we ought to do for the Filipinos what we have already done for the Cubans, and it is our duty to make that promise now, and upon suitable guarantees of protection to citizens of our own and other countries resident there at the time of our withdrawal, set the Filipino people upon their feet free and independent to work out their own destiny.

The endeavor of the Secretary of War by pledging the government's indorsement for "promoters" in the Philippine Islands to make the United States a partner in speculative legislation of the archipelago, which was only temporarily held up by the opposition of the Democratic Senators in the last session, will, if successful, lead to entanglements from which it will be difficult to escape.

The Democratic party has been and will continue to be the consistent opponent of that class of tariff legislation by which certain interests have been permitted through Congressional favor to draw heavy tribute from the American people. This monstrous perversion of those equal opportunities which our political institutions were established to secure, has caused what may once have been infant industries to become the greatest combinations of capital that the world has ever known. These especial favorites of the government have, through trust methods, been converted into monopolies, thus bringing to an end domestic competition which was the only alleged check upon the extravagant profits made possible by the protective system. These industrial combinations by the financial assistance they can give, now control the policy of the Republican party. We denounce protection as a robbery of the many to enrich the few and we favor a tariff limited to the needs of the government, economically administered and so levied as not to discriminate against any industry, class or section, to the end that the burdens of taxation shall be distributed as equally as possible.

We favor a revision and a gradual reduction of the tariff by the

friends of the masses and for the common weal, and not by the friends of its abuses, its extortions and its discriminations, keeping in view the ultimate ends of "equality of burdens and equality of opportunities" and the constitutional purpose of raising a revenue by taxation — to wit, the support of the federal government in all its integrity and virility, but in simplicity.

We recognize that the gigantic trusts and combinations designed to enable capital to secure more than its just share of the joint products of capital and labor, and which have been fostered and promoted under Republican rule, are a menace to beneficial competition and an obstacle to permanent business prosperity. A private monopoly is indefensible and intolerable. Individual equality of opportunity and free competition are essential to a healthy and permanent commercial prosperity, and any trust, combination or monopoly tending to destroy these, by controlling production, restricting competition or fixing prices should be prohibited and punished by law. We especially denounce rebates and discrimination by transportation companies.

As the most potent agency in promoting and strengthening these unlawful conspiracies against trade, we demand an enlargement of the powers of the Interstate Commission to the end that the travelling public and shippers of this country may have prompt and adequate relief for the abuses to which they are subjected in the matter of transportation. We demand a strict enforcement of existing civil and criminal statutes against all such trusts, combinations and monopolies, and we demand the enactment of such further legislation as may be necessary to effectually suppress them.

Any trust or unlawful combination engaged in interstate commerce which is monopolizing any branch of business or production should not be permitted to transact business outside of the State of its origin. Whenever it shall be established in any court of competent jurisdiction that such monopolization exists, such prohibition should be enforced through comprehensive laws to be enacted on the subject.

We congratulate our Western citizens upon the passage of the Newlands irrigation act for the irrigation and reclamation of the arid lands at the West, a measure framed by a Democrat, passed in the Senate by a non-partisan vote and passed in the House against the opposition of almost all the Republican leaders by a vote the majority of which was Democratic.

We call attention to this great Democratic measure, broad and comprehensive as it is, working automatically throughout all time, without further action of Congress, until the reclamation of all the land in the arid West capable of reclamation is accomplished, reserving the lands reclaimed for homeseekers in small tracts, and

rigidly guarding against land monopoly, as an evidence of the policy of domestic development contemplated by the Democratic party should it be placed in power.

The Democracy when intrusted with power will construct the Panama Canal speedily, honestly and economically, thereby giving to our people what Democrats have always contended for—a great interoceanic canal, furnishing shorter and cheaper lines of transportation and broader and less trammelled trade relations with the other peoples of the world.

We pledge ourselves to insist upon the just and lawful protection of our citizens at home and abroad, and to use all proper measures to secure for them, whether native-born or naturalized, and without distinction of race or creed, the equal protection of laws and the enjoyment of all rights and privileges open to them under the covenants of our treaties of friendship and commerce; and if under existing treaties the right of travel and sojourn is denied to American citizens, or recognition is withheld from American passports by any countries on the ground of race or creed, we favor the beginning of negotiations with the governments of such countries to secure by new treaties the removal of these unjust discriminations. We demand that all over the world a duly authenticated passport issued by the Government of the United States to an American citizen shall be proof of the fact that he is an American citizen and shall entitle him to the treatment due him as such.

We favor the election of United States Senators by the direct vote of the people.

We favor the admission of the territory of Oklahoma and the Indian Territory. We also favor the immediate admission of Arizona and New Mexico as separate States, and a territorial government for Alaska and Porto Rico. We hold that the officials appointed to administer the government of any territory as well as the District of Alaska should be *bona fide* residents at the time of their appointment of the Territory or District in which their duties are to be performed.

We demand the extermination of polygamy within the jurisdiction of the United States and the complete separation of church and state in political affairs.

We denounce the ship subsidy bill recently passed by the United States Senate as an iniquitous appropriation of public funds for private purposes and a wasteful, illogical and useless attempt to overcome by subsidy the obstructions raised by Republican legislation to the growth and development of American commerce on the sea. We favor the upbuilding of a merchant marine without new or additional burdens upon the people and without bounties from the public treasury.

We favor liberal trade arrangements with Canada and with peoples of other countries where they can be entered into with benefit to American agriculture, manufactures, mining or commerce.

We favor the maintenance of the Monroe Doctrine in its full integrity.

We favor the reduction of the army and of army expenditure to a point historically demonstrated to be safe and sufficient.

The Democracy would secure to the surviving soldiers and sailors and their dependents generous pensions, not by an arbitrary executive order, but by legislation which a grateful people stand ready to enact.

Our soldiers and sailors who defend with their lives the Constitution and the laws have a sacred interest in their just administration. They must therefore share with us the humiliation with which we have witnessed the exaltation of court favorites, without distinguished service, over the scarred heroes of many battles, of their aggrandizement by executive appropriation out of the treasuries of a prostrate people in violation of the act of Congress which fixed the compensation of allowances of the military officers.

The Democratic party stands committed to the principles of Civil Service Reform, and we demand their honest, just and impartial enforcement. We denounce the Republican party for its continued and sinister encroachments upon the spirit and operation of Civil Service rules, whereby it has arbitrarily dispensed with examinations for office in the interests of favorites and employed all manner of devices to overreach and set aside the principles upon which Civil Service was established.

The race question has brought countless woes to this country. The calm wisdom of the American people should see to it that it brings no more.

To revive the dead and hateful race and sectional animosities in any part of our common country means confusion, distraction of business and the reopening of wounds now happily healed.

North and South, East and West have but recently stood together in line of battle from the walls of Peking to the hills of Santiago, and as sharers of a common glory and a common destiny we should share fraternally the common burdens.

We therefore deprecate and condemn the Bourbonlike, selfish and narrow spirit of the recent Republican Convention at Chicago, which sought to kindle anew the embers of racial and sectional strife, and we appeal from it to the sober common sense and spirit of the American people.

The existing Republican Administration has been spasmodic,

erratic, sensational, spectacular and arbitrary. It has made itself a satire upon the Congress, the courts and upon the settled practices and usages of national and international law.

It summoned the Congress into hasty and futile extra session and virtually adjourned it, leaving behind its flight from Washington uncalled calendars and unaccomplished tasks.

It made war, which is the sole power of Congress, without its authority, thereby usurping one of its fundamental prerogatives.

It violated a plain statute of the United States, as well as plain treaty obligations, international usages and constitutional law, and has done so under pretence of executing a great public policy which could have been more easily effected lawfully, constitutionally and with honor.

It forced strained and unnatural constructions upon statutes, usurping judicial interpretation and substituting Congressional enactment.

It withdrew from Congress their customary duties of investigation which have heretofore made the representatives of the people and the States the terror of evildoers.

It conducted a secretive investigation of its own and boasted of a few sample convictions, while it threw a broad coverlet over the bureaus which had been their chosen field of operative abuses and kept in power the superior officers under whose administration the crimes had been committed.

It ordered assaults upon some monopolies, but, paralyzed by its first victory, it flung out the flag of truce and cried out that it would not "run amuck," leaving its future purposes beclouded by its vacillations.

Conducting the campaign upon this declaration of our principles and purposes, we invoke for our candidates the support, not only of our great and time-honored organization, but also the active assistance of all of our fellow citizens, who, disregarding past differences, desire the perpetuation of our constitutional government as framed and established by the fathers of the Republic.

The nomination of candidates was now in order. The speeches presenting the merits of "favorite sons" and the seconding speeches numbered more than thirty, and the whole night was occupied in the preliminaries of the vote. When the roll was finally called the result was: —

Alton B. Parker, of New York	658
William R. Hearst, of New York	200
Francis M. Cockrell, of Missouri	42
Richard Olney, of Massachusetts	38
Edward C. Wall, of Wisconsin	27

George Gray, of Delaware	12
John S. Williams, of Mississippi	5
Robert E. Pattison, of Pennsylvania	4
George B. McClellan, of New York	3
Nelson A. Miles, of Massachusetts	3
Charles A. Towne, of Minnesota	2
Arthur P. Gorman, of Maryland	2
Bird S. Coler, of New York	1

The whole number of votes was exactly 1000, and 667 (two-thirds) were necessary for a choice. Before the result was declared 19 Hearst votes, and 2 for Senator Gorman were transferred to Parker, giving him 689, and the nomination. The convention then, — at 5.50 A.M., on the morning of Saturday, having been in session ten hours, adjourned until the afternoon. Before that time an unprecedented incident had occurred, the particulars of which were known to only a few of the leaders. It was of such a nature that a hurried adjournment until the late afternoon was ordered. Upon reassembling the convention was not at first informed what had taken place, and the presentation of candidates for Vice-President proceeded. When the roll had been called a delegate from Texas suggested that "we ought not to nominate a candidate for Vice-President at this time. . . . We want to know, before a candidate for Vice-President is nominated, who will be the nominee of this convention for President." No further explanation was given, but probably by this time most of the delegates knew the meaning of Mr. Culberson's surprising statement. A recess of an hour and a half was ordered, and the convention reassembled at 8:30 P.M.

What had occurred was that during the early part of the day one of the New York delegates had received from Judge Parker a telegram in the following terms: —

I regard the gold standard as firmly and irrevocably established, and shall act accordingly if the action of the convention to-day shall be ratified by the people. As the platform is silent on the subject, my view should be made known to the convention, and if it is proved to be unsatisfactory to the majority, I request you to decline the nomination for me at once, so that another may be nominated before adjournment.

Although there were cries of "Oh, no!" when the first delegate who referred to the incident said that the despatch had "spread consternation throughout this convention," the re-

mark was justified. Possibly it derived a part of its truth from the fact that a false version of the telegram was published in an evening paper — a version which made Judge Parker declare that he could not accept a nomination unless a plank recognizing the existence by law of the gold standard were inserted in the platform. It was proposed to send to Judge Parker, in the name of the convention, the following reply: —

The platform adopted by this convention is silent on the question of a monetary standard because it is not regarded by us as a possible issue in this campaign, and only campaign issues were mentioned in the platform. Therefore, there is nothing in the views expressed by you in the telegram just received which would preclude a man entertaining them from accepting a nomination on said platform.

Upon a motion to that effect a long and at times acrimonious debate ensued. Some of those who urged the sending of a reply characterized Judge Parker's action as "injudicious" and "unnecessary," but they maintained that the motive was a high sense of honor, and an unwillingness that his opinions should be misunderstood. They said that every one knew, in voting for Parker, that he was a "gold man"; but this last statement was warmly disputed. Mr. Bryan strongly opposed the sending of the telegram. He maintained that if the convention was willing, by so doing, to recognize the gold standard, it should do so openly and in a manly way, in the platform. When the debate closed the convention voted, 794 to 191, that the reply above printed should be sent. The majority would have been somewhat smaller, though still overwhelming, if the votes of many delegations had not been given under the unit rule. This fact was made clear by the announcement of the vote by the chairman of seven or eight delegations.

The convention now proceeded to finish its business by nominating a candidate for Vice-President. The result of the first and only vote was as follows: —

Whole number of votes	977
Necessary for a choice (two thirds of the whole convention).	667
Henry G. Davis, of West Virginia	654
James R. Williams, of Illinois	165
George Turner, of Washington	100
William A. Harris, of Kansas.	58

It was then voted to make the nomination unanimous, and after the appointment of the usual committees the Convention came to an end at 1.30 A.M. on Sunday, July 10.

A meeting of colored men, who called themselves the National Liberty party, was held at St. Louis on July 7. No record of its proceedings has been discovered, save that it nominated for President George E. Taylor, of Iowa, and adopted the following platform: —

We, the delegates of the National Liberty party of the United States, in convention assembled, declare our unalterable faith in the essential doctrine of human liberty, the fatherhood of God and the brotherhood of man.

Under no other doctrine can the people of this or any other country stand together in good friendship and perfect union. Equal liberty is the first concession that a republican form of government concedes to its people, and universal brotherhood is the cementing tie which binds a people to respect the laws.

It has always been so where caste existed and was recognized by law or by common consent, that the oppression of the weaker by the stronger has attained and a degree of human slavery been realized. Such a condition of affairs must necessarily exist where universal suffrage is not maintained and respected, and where one man considers that by nature he was born and by nature dies better than another.

The application of the fundamental principles of the rights of men is always the paramount issue before a people, and when they are strictly adhered to there is no disturbing element to the peace, prosperity, or to the great industrial body politic of the country.

We believe in the supremacy of the civil as against the military law, when and where the civil is respected. But when the civil law has been outraged and wrested from the hands of authority it should be understood that military law may be temporarily instituted.

Law and order should take the place of lynching and mob violence, and polygamy should not survive, but polygamy is more tolerable than lynching, and we regret that a great national party could overlook lynching, and yet denounce polygamy.

Citizens of a democracy should be non-partisans, always casting their votes for the safety of their country and for their best interests, individually and collectively.

The right of any American citizen to support any measure instead of party should not be questioned, and when men conform themselves to party instead of principles they become party slaves. There were 2,500,000 such slaves among our colored population in

1900, all voting strictly to party lines, regardless of their material welfare. We are satisfied that they did not serve their best interest in that section of the country in which the greater number of them live by doing so.

These being our thoughts and ideas of how the Government's affairs should be conducted, we most respectfully submit them to all liberty-loving and Christian-hearted people, that they may act upon them in a spirit of justice and equity, "with good will to all, malice toward none."

We ask for universal suffrage, or qualification which does not discriminate against any reputable citizen on account of color or condition.

We ask that the Federal Government enforce its guarantee to protect its citizens, and secure for them every right given under the Constitution of the United States, wherever and whenever it is necessary.

We appeal to all forms of Catholic and Protestant religions to assist us to awaken the Christian consciences of all classes of the American people, private citizens and officers, to wipe out the greatest shame known to civilized nations of the world, whose very root seems to have planted in this, one of the most proud of all nations of its civilization — "lynch law," the pregnator of anarchism, the most dangerous system to revolutionize our Republic.

We ask that the national laws be so remedied as to give any citizen, being next of kin, the right to demand an indemnity of the National Government for the taking of life or the injuring of any citizen other than by due process of law. And that where the property of a citizen is wilfully destroyed by a mob, the Federal Government shall be held to make restitution to the injured parties.

We demand an increase of the regular army, making six negro regiments instead of four, and an equal chance to colored soldiers to become line officers.

We favor the adjustment of all grievances between the wage earner and the capitalist by equitable resources without injustice to either or by methods of coercion.

We firmly protest against interference of the Government in the Orient until paramount political issues of the races, capital and labor are settled and settled right at home.

We firmly believe that the ex-slaves, who served the country for 246 years, filling the lap of the nation with wealth by their labor, should be pensioned from the overflowing treasury of the country to which they are and have been loyal, both on land and sea, as provided in the bill introduced in the Senate of the United States by Senator Hanna, of the State of Ohio.

We ask that the general Government own and control all public

carriers in the United States, so that the citizens of the United States could not be denied equal accommodations where they pay with the same lawful money provided by the Government as a circulating medium and as a legal tender for all obligations.

The people of the District of Columbia, the capital of the nation, should be given the right to participate in the selection of President and Vice-President of the United States, and should be allowed representation in the two branches of Congress, and the election of a Governor, Mayor, City Council, and such other officers as are necessary for the proper government of the District of Columbia. We indorse the Gallagher resolution looking to the establishment of self-government of the District of Columbia.

The last convention of the canvass was that of the "Continental" party, which was held at Chicago on August 31. Its avowed object was "to unite the disaffected of all parties." In *personnel* it was almost if not quite local in character; for although it was reported that letters had been received from twenty-seven States asking that proxies be appointed for them, it is believed that all of the thirty-four persons who served as "delegates" were residents of Chicago, or of its immediate vicinity. The chairman was Dr. J. P. Lynch, of Illinois. The convention nominated for President Charles H. Howard, of Illinois, and for Vice-President George H. Shirley, of the District of Columbia. Both of those gentlemen declined and the National Committee substituted as candidates Austin H. Holcomb, of Georgia, for President, and A. King, of Missouri, for Vice-President. It does not appear that an electoral ticket was proposed in any State. The platform adopted was as follows:

The Continental party of the United States, in first national convention assembled, in the city of Chicago, August 31, 1904, announces the following platform of principles:

The objects and ends of the Continental party, as set forth in its charter, are: "To enlist the coöperation of legal voters throughout the United States in earnest and honorable efforts to repeal unjust laws in every branch of government, and, in their stead, to secure the enactment and enforcement of other laws better adapted to 'establish justice, insure domestic tranquility, promote the general welfare,' and secure the election or appointment to office of honest and capable men."

The questions pertaining to money, the tariff, transportation, trusts and corporations, the race problem, the labor problem, are preëminently live issues, which can never be permanently settled until they are settled right.

Without referring to our trade relations with nations of the Eastern Continent, we declare our adherence to the principles of reciprocity advocated by that eminent statesman, James G. Blaine, as applied to Canada and all American republics. To this end we favor such Congressional action as shall initiate a movement intended to bring about reciprocity to its fullest extent with the entire American Continent. In the language of Mr. Blaine: "There is room for but one commercial flag between Cape Horn and the North Pole."

We believe that the money question is far from being settled, and that it involves not only the gold standard, but the far greater question, namely, Who shall issue and control the paper currency of the nation — the Government or the banks? He who controls the money of a country controls the government of that country. We believe that the money trust is the mother of all other trusts; that it is international in its scope; that it has duplicate headquarters — London and New York; that its power exceeds, in many particulars, the power of the Government itself; that it controls legislation by controlling the political party in power; that through its agents it secured the nomination of the Presidential candidates of both the Republican and Democratic parties and dictated the main planks of their national platforms. We believe that it is this subserviency of the two leading political parties of this country to the money trust that is fast placing the wealth of the country into the hands of a few individuals, reducing to penury and want millions of the laboring and middle classes and establishing in this land of former freedom a plutocracy which threatens to be more arbitrary in its demands than any monarchy of the Old World. "To coin money and regulate the value thereof" is a function of the National Government, which the Constitution has denied to the States, but which the Republican party has delegated, in part, to corporations.

As a check to the encroachments of the money power we advocate the following demands:—

The act authorizing national banks to issue notes of credit should be repealed. All money of every description should be issued by the general Government, and be equal in value, dollar for dollar.

Postal banks for deposit and check should be established — one in every city, county-town and village, the surplus funds thus accruing to be loaned to the people at interest not exceeding 3 per cent per annum.

The one hundred and twelve million dollars Government funds deposited in banks should be withdrawn and loaned to the several States on deposit of State bonds.

Constantly recurring accidents on all lines of railroad, causing

great loss of life and the crippling and mangling of hundreds of passengers, demand the most searching investigation and prompt and efficient legal remedies whereby railroads shall be operated for the safety and convenience of the public, rather than for the purpose of declaring the usual dividend on watered stock. During the year 1901 the railroads of England, which are owned and operated by the Government, transported an immense number of passengers without a single fatality. In this country a person virtually takes his life in his hand when he steps aboard a train of cars. We believe that the fatalities of railroad travel in the United States can be traced directly to the employment of cheap and careless employés, the overworking of engineers and conductors, and the neglect to take proper precautions against accidents, with a view to "cut down operating expenses," and thus enable railroad officials to declare the usual dividends to stockholders. As a remedy for such abuses we demand the prosecution for manslaughter of the principal officers of a railroad company on whose line the death of a passenger shall be traced directly to the carelessness or incompetency of their employés, or to their incapacity caused by long hours of continuous labor.

To give work to the unemployed, furnish cheaper and more equitable rates of transportation, insure the safety and convenience of the travelling public, and test the practicability of government ownership of railroads, the United States Government should at once proceed to construct, equip and operate one or more lines of four-track railway, extending from the Atlantic to the Pacific coast, and one or more similar lines from the Gulf of Mexico to points near our northern boundary.

We believe in the right of labor to organize for the benefit and protection of those who toil. Capital is organized, and has no right to deny labor the privilege which it claims for itself. Intelligent organization of labor is demanded to preserve the rights of the laborer. It raises the standard of workmanship and promotes the efficiency, intelligence, independence and character of the wage earner. We believe with Abraham Lincoln that labor is prior to capital and is not its slave, but its companion, and we plead for that broad spirit of tolerance and justice which will promote industrial peace through the observance of just principles of arbitration. We favor the enactment of legislation looking to the improvement in conditions for wage earners, the abolition of child labor, the suppression of sweat-shops and of convict labor in competition with free labor, and the exclusion from American shores of foreign pauper labor and Asiatic labor of every nationality. We favor the shorter workday, and declare that eight hours shall constitute the maximum workday in all manufacturing establishments, workshops,

mines and all other industrial establishments, and that where **great** skill and responsibility are required of an employé, as in the case of railroad engineers, train despatchers, steamboat employés, etc., no person should be continuously employed more than six hours of the twenty-four.

All railroad and other corporations doing business in two or more States should be chartered by Congress, and then only after a close scrutiny of their capitalization, a strict investigation revealing their intentions, and a most guarded restriction of their powers and operations. The creating of "corners" and the establishing of exorbitant prices for products necessary to human existence should be made a criminal offence against the officers, directors and stockholders of a corporation so offending, subjecting them to severest penalties. A man is no less a robber because he is able to hold up his victim by due process of law.

The Philippines, the same as Cuba, should be guaranteed ultimate independence and a stable government under the protection of the United States.

The Congressional district, instead of the State, should be made the unit in the Electoral College, apportioning to each district one Presidential elector, to be chosen by the voters of that district.

We demand such legislation as will place the burdens of government upon that class of people who have been most favored by special acts of government, and to this end we favor a graduated property tax, exempting from its provisions property of the individual to the amount of \$10,000 or less. We also demand that a 10 per cent tax be levied annually upon all unoccupied and unimproved land.

We demand the enactment by the several States of a primary election law, by which all candidates for public office shall be selected by direct vote of the people, without the aid of a delegate convention. We denounce government by the gavel in party conventions, and demand the elimination of the party "boss" from party politics, by whatever method it can be brought about.

The election laws of the several States should be changed, by constitutional amendment when necessary, so as to provide for direct legislation by the method known as the initiative and referendum.

Each State should possess the sole right to determine by legislation the qualifications required of voters within its jurisdiction, irrespective of race, color or sex.

The Constitution of the United States should be revised and amended in accordance with the method provided in Article V., that our fundamental law may answer the demands of a century of civilization and progress.

Believing our demands to be practicable and just, we appeal to all who believe in majority rule, to all who are weary of Standard Oil government, to all who are opposed to gavel government in party politics, and to all others who desire the welfare of all the people, to unite with us in advocating the principles herein enunciated until they shall be enacted into laws for the government of this Republic — a Republic founded by Washington and Jefferson and the Continental Congress, and first defended and protected by the Continental Army of the United States.

The canvass that ensued was spiritless almost beyond precedent; and although there were the usual optimistic claims on the part of the Democrats, and the customary real or simulated fears on the part of the Republicans, the result was at no time doubtful. The policy of the Democrats turned out to be a mistake at every point. Mr. Bryan, who was indisputably the leader of the faction which was for the moment thrust into the background, "supported the ticket," but he did so in such a half-hearted manner that his support was no help to the party. Immediately after the close of the convention he expressed his real opinion by saying that little could be hoped from a Democratic victory so long as the party was "under the control of the Wall-Street element." Judge Parker's nomination, he remarked, "virtually nullifies the anti-trust plank" of the platform; and the labor plank was "straddling and meaningless." He found enough in Judge Parker to "justify me in giving him my vote but," — and so forth. He announced his purpose, as soon as the election should be over "to marshal the friends of popular government within the Democratic party to the support of a radical and progressive policy."

Such language as that could not inspire the earnest men who still looked to him as their "peerless leader" to exert themselves greatly in favor of the ticket. They did not. Many of them came out openly in support of Mr. Roosevelt whom, by instinct, they felt to be more favorable to "a radical and progressive policy" than was Judge Parker. In still larger numbers they outwardly preserved their regularity as party men by maintaining silence; but they were determined to vote against Parker, and when the day of election came they did so. The great increase of the Socialist and independent Populist vote in November is to be explained, not by the growth of these parties but by the revolt of radicals against the new policy of the Democratic party. The magnitude of that revolt is made still

more impressive when we take account of the attitude of the Gold Democrats who returned to their allegiance on the elimination of Mr. Bryan and the silver agitation, and of the smaller but not altogether insignificant number of Republicans who were estranged from Mr. Roosevelt by reason of his progressive radicalism.

One of the delegates to the Democratic convention, a senator of the United States, said in reference to the platform, "we have adopted a document but not a policy." Therein lay the second mistake. The tariff, in 1892; silver at 16 to 1, in 1896; imperialism, in 1900, had been "paramount" issues. In 1904 there was no real issue. There were the remnants of old controversies, on every one of which the Democrats had been defeated, but on all of them the party was timid. It reasserted its position on some of them in cautious language, hoping to win back erring brethren, but it said nothing to rally those who had fought its recent battles, nothing that attracted recruits from the opposing line. The orators had nothing to talk about except the sins of the Republican party, and the sins they cited did not seem enormous to those who had previously supported the party. Silver, as an issue, was dead. Mr. Williams, of Mississippi, in the final debate in the Democratic convention, on the reply to be given to Judge Parker's telegram, challenged any member of the body to express the opinion that silver would be an issue in the campaign, and no one responded. "Imperialism," too, did not alarm the people; and the country was still so prosperous under — which does not necessarily mean because of — the Dingley tariff, that it was not a favorable issue to arouse votes against the administration. So the speaking campaign was listless — of course on both sides — for aside from an attack on the financial extravagance alleged against the Republicans, there was little to defend.

It must be said also that the Democrats were unfortunate in their candidates. It was almost universally admitted that it was a mistake to nominate for the vice-presidency a man in his eighty-second year. Otherwise there was no objection urged, or possible, against Mr. Davis. Nor was there any objection possible against Judge Parker on the ground of his personal honor and integrity, or of his sincerity, or of his patriotism. But he was unknown, and his long-time judicial aloofness had made him incapable, by disuse of the faculty, of making himself known and popular. At first he determined not to make any

speeches except to those who might call upon him at his open porch in Esopus. But when the canvass dragged his advisers counselled him to abandon that determination. He did accordingly hold meetings and address the voters in New York and the near-by States, but again his lack of practice in the art of popular oratory was a disadvantage. He could not arouse enthusiasm, and his excursions into the field of national finance, and his treatment of the trust question, gave the journals and the orators on the other side opportunities to question his knowledge of matters with which, should he be elected, he would have to deal.

All these things worked in favor of the Republicans. They profited more from the weakness of the opposition than from their own merit. Originally, when slavery was the great and almost the only issue, they were a radical party, — radical also on the minor issues, such as they were. They were radical in reconstruction times, radical protectionists then and later. But when their policies were triumphant they gradually became conservative. Although never unanimous, they were on the whole conservative on the entire series of issues affecting the public debt and the currency — payment of the fifty-two bonds, the national banks, inflation of the greenback currency, and silver coinage. They were conservative in respect of their own protective tariff policy. They were opposed to every item of the Populist programme. Now they were exposed to a new influence. The President, their President, their candidate in the approaching election, was frankly radical. He was decidedly favorable to some of the most progressive measures of the radical opposition, against which the party had previously set its face. The situation was peculiar. One party overwhelmingly controlled by radicals, when they chose to exercise their power; the other quite as strongly conservative by preference, but willingly placing itself under the leadership of a frank radical, who made no secret of his intention to lead the party to adopt radicalism. In a certain sense both candidates were misplaced. There may come a time when men — all men — will emancipate themselves from party ties whenever their party goes whither they do not wish to follow. But that time had not come in 1904. What happened is what might have been expected to happen. It is a peculiarity of the conservative that he adheres to party more closely than does the radical. Witness, for examples, the sudden growth of the

Greenback party, and of the Populist party. Witness the fact that although there were numerous secessions from the Democratic party in 1896 on the silver question, a vast majority of the conservative element which it still contained—Judge Parker among the rest—voted for Mr. Bryan, though they were absolutely opposed to the free coinage of silver, which was the one question at issue. Witness now, that the conservative element, at the time overwhelmingly predominant in the Republican party, supported the radical candidate in preference to the conservative, and thus acquiesced in the plan of the leader to transform the body into a radical party.

Herein lies the explanation both of the tameness of the canvass and of the result. Neither party as a whole had a positive programme. One of the candidates was extraordinarily popular, and so strong a man in personality, so persuasive and sincere in his acts and motives, that resistance to his leadership was futile. He held his former supporters and attracted throngs of former opponents. The other candidate was—through no fault of his own—not popular because not known, and incapacitated by lack of experience to become a leader. He could not hold those who had gloried in the leadership of Bryan; he could not detach even the conservative Republicans from Roosevelt. The consequence was a “landslide.”

The election of electors took place on November 8. The result is shown on page 137.

The total popular vote was 13,523,108,—a decrease of more than 460,000 from the election of 1900, and nearly 430,000 less than that cast eight years before, in 1896. The Republican vote was almost 400,000 greater in 1904 than in 1900; the Democratic vote decreased more than a million and a quarter; the combined votes for the minor candidates increased more than 400,000. These figures indicate in a general way the more important movements of the voters. We must make allowance for a normal increase in the number of men qualified to vote. In all probability not less than a million and a half of those who classed themselves as Democrats failed to support the ticket at the polls. Not far from a half of that number voted either for Mr. Roosevelt or for one of the minor candidates. The other half abstained from voting. It is interesting to analyze the vote geographically, as by that process we can discover where the defection was most pronounced. In

STATES	POPULAR VOTE						ELECTORAL VOTE	
	Roosevelt and Fairbanks Republican	Parker and Davis Democratic	Swallow and Carroll Prohibition	Debs and Hanford Socialist	Corrigan and Cox Socialist Labor	Watson and Tibbles Populist	Roosevelt and Fairbanks	Parker and Davis
Alabama	22472	79857	612	853	-	6051	-	11
Arkansas	49960	64434	933	1816	-	2318	-	9
California	205226	89404	7380	29535	-	-	10	-
Colorado	134687	100106	3438	4304	335	824	5	-
Connecticut	111089	72900	1506	4543	575	495	7	-
Delaware	23712	19350	607	146	-	51	3	-
Florida	8314	27040	5	2337	-	1605	-	5
Georgia	24093	83472	685	197	-	22635	-	13
Idaho	47783	18480	1013	4949	-	353	3	-
Illinois	632945	327906	34770	69225	4698	6725	27	-
Indiana	368289	274335	23496	12013	1598	2444	15	-
Iowa	307907	149141	11601	14847	-	2207	13	-
Kansas	212955	86174	7906	15869	-	6253	10	-
Kentucky	205277	217170	6600	3602	596	2511	-	13
Louisiana	5205	47708	-	955	-	-	-	9
Maine	64438	27649	1510	2103	-	-	6	-
Maryland	109497	109446	3034	2247	-	-	1	7
Massachusetts	257822	165772	4286	13004	2365	1290	16	-
Michigan	364957	135392	13441	9042	1036	1169	14	-
Minnesota	216951	55187	6253	11692	974	2103	11	-
Mississippi	3187	53374	-	392	-	1424	-	10
Missouri	321449	296312	7191	13000	1674	4226	18	-
Montana	34932	21773	335	5676	208	1520	3	-
Nebraska	138568	52921	6323	7412	-	20518	8	-
Nevada	6864	3982	-	925	-	344	3	-
New Hampshire	54163	34074	750	1050	-	83	4	-
New Jersey	245164	164516	6845	9587	2680	3705	12	-
New York	859533	683981	20787	36883	9127	7459	39	-
North Carolina	82442	124121	361	124	-	819	-	12
North Dakota	52565	14273	1140	2117	-	165	4	-
Ohio	600095	344674	19339	36200	2633	1392	23	-
Oregon	60455	17521	3906	7619	-	753	4	-
Pennsylvania	840949	337998	33717	21863	2211	-	34	-
Rhode Island	41695	24839	768	956	488	-	4	-
South Carolina	2554	52563	-	22	-	1	-	9
South Dakota	72083	21969	2965	3138	-	1240	4	-
Tennessee	105369	131653	1891	1354	-	2506	-	12
Texas	51242	167200	3995	2791	421	8062	-	13
Utah	62446	33413	-	5767	-	-	3	-
Vermont	40459	9777	792	869	-	-	4	-
Virginia	47890	80650	1382	218	56	369	-	12
Washington	101540	28068	3329	10023	1592	669	5	-
West Virginia	132628	100881	4900	1572	-	339	7	-
Wisconsin	280315	124205	9672	28240	223	530	13	-
Wyoming	20489	8920	217	1077	-	-	3	-
Total	7628785	5084442	258950	402895	33490	114546	336	140

round numbers the vote of the New England States, for the leading candidates, at the two elections was as follows :—

	1900	1904
Republican.....	539,000	569,600
Democratic.....	336,000	335,000

In these States, naturally conservative, the Democrats held their own fairly well, and the total vote showed an increase of between three and four per cent, all of which went to the Republicans.

In the States of New York, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania, the vote stood thus :—

	1900	1904
Republican.....	1,756,400	1,945,600
Democratic.....	1,267,400	1,184,000

Here again the change was slight—an increase of about 100,000 in the total vote, a little more than three per cent; a loss of nearly 100,000 by the Democrats, a gain of nearly 200,000 by the Republicans, both of which changes were largely in Pennsylvania. But as we go westward the tendency becomes more marked. In Ohio, Indiana, Illinois and Michigan, the totals were

	1900	1904
Republican.....	1,794,200	1,963,900
Democratic.....	1,499,200	1,080,800

A loss of 420,000 by the Democrats, offset by a gain of 170,000 by the Republicans, and a decided decrease in the total popular vote. The Democratic loss in the four States was 28 per cent. The group of Western States consisting of Wisconsin, Minnesota, North and South Dakota, Iowa, Kansas and Nebraska, made this showing :—

	1900	1904
Republican.....	1,162,200	1,278,600
Democratic.....	817,500	501,300

In these States the total vote decreased 220,000, or eleven per cent. The Republicans increased their vote 116,000; the Democrats lost more than 300,000,—a decline of 38 per cent. The other States of the Northwest and the Pacific Coast, nine in number, where Mr. Bryan had been strongest voted thus :—

	1900	1904
Republican	479,800	674,400
Democratic	454,000	321,500

The total vote increased about six per cent, but whereas the Republicans gained almost 200,000 the Democrats lost 130,000, the decrease being almost 30 per cent. Finally we have the sixteen Southern States from Delaware to Texas, in some of which the contest was close, but in others there was no contest. Their totals were:—

	1900	1904
Republican	1,488,500	1,244,400
Democratic	1,983,900	1,656,600

Here the total vote decreased more than 570,000—a number greater by 110,000 than the decrease in the country as a whole—and nearly 17 per cent. The Republicans lost 244,000; the Democrats 327,000. But the figures as to that part of the country are of little significance, since the voters of that region are largely unaffected by events and movements that have a powerful influence elsewhere.

Upon a general survey we see that, as we should expect, the radicalism which is more prevalent and more intense as one proceeds westward, manifested itself in a more extensive revolt against the conservative attitude of the Democratic party in this canvass, and in increased support of Mr. Roosevelt who was regarded as more inclined to radicalism than Judge Parker.

The leading politicians of both parties seem to have been astounded by the magnitude and thoroughness of the Republican victory. Mr. Parker issued a statement in which he made it clear that he had anticipated defeat, but he declared that he did not regret having undertaken the contest against overwhelming odds. Mr. Roosevelt, late in the evening of election day, when the result of the voting was sufficiently known, sent out to the press of the country the following statement, which was destined in after years to be the subject of much discussion:—

I am deeply sensitive of the honor done me by the American people in thus expressing their confidence in what I have done and have tried to do. I appreciate to the full the solemn responsibility that confidence imposes upon me, and I shall do all that in my power lies not to forfeit it. On the 4th of March next I

shall have served three and a half years, and that three and a half years constitutes my first term. The wise custom which limits the President to two terms regards the substance and not the form, and under no circumstances will I be a candidate for or accept another nomination.

Although, on numerous occasions afterward, the hopes of his enthusiastic partisans and the fears of opponents in his own party pictured him as being forced to depart from the resolution thus expressed, or induced by his strong desire to carry out his measures to reconsider that resolution voluntarily, he never gave the least countenance to any suggestion of that nature. Even so early as May, 1905, when an Omaha newspaper urged that if Congress refused to pass such a railroad law as he proposed he would be compelled to accept a nomination in 1908, he sent to that paper a statement in which he said: "You are authorized to state that I will not again be a candidate for the office of President of the United States. There are no strings to this statement. I mean it."

The counting of the electoral votes proceeded without any incident. The inauguration was an occasion of perhaps unequalled brilliancy in the history of such ceremonies. It was estimated that there were more than two hundred thousand visitors in Washington on the 4th of March. The President had for an escort his "Rough Riders" of the campaign in Cuba, and there were also in the procession a party of Filipino scouts, a native battalion from Porto Rico, Indian chiefs, and other picturesque groups. The oath of office was administered by Chief Justice Melville W. Fuller, and the inaugural address was delivered in the presence of an immense throng of people.

III

THE ERA OF "PROGRESSIVE" INSURGENCY

PERSPECTIVE is necessary for the final and authoritative writing of history. It is indispensable in the case of such a period as the second administration of Theodore Roosevelt. It will be many years yet before a sound judgment can be pronounced upon the events of those four years, and upon their effect. It may be inaccurate even to use the word events, for although many measures were adopted, the period, in so far as it differed widely from the years that preceded it, was one of agitation rather than of accomplishment. The agitator was the President himself, who differed in a marked degree, in temperament, in method, in activity, from any of his predecessors. During his first term he observed, as loyally as it was possible for one constituted as he was, the pledge he gave, when assuming the office upon the death of President McKinley, to maintain the policy which that death had interrupted. Yet he gave, even then, indications which — as was noted in the last chapter — gave disquiet to some of the most prominent and therefore most influential members of the Republican party. That party, as he found it, was conservative, and the men who were distrustful of Mr. Roosevelt were conservative. Notwithstanding their apprehensions, they did not openly oppose his nomination for a second term, and after the nomination they worked earnestly and successfully for his election.

That election, which he had a right to interpret as a mandate from the people to adopt and urge his own policies, left Mr. Roosevelt free to depart as widely as he might see fit from the standards and methods which he had inherited, and to introduce new issues into national politics, or to modify the views and treatment of issues already brought before the people, but not yet "paramount." It could cause no strain upon his own conscience, and it could not be a just ground of complaint, on the part of those who had, however willingly or unwillingly, voted for him, that his policy should be radical. He had revealed the fact that he was not a conservative like the con-

servatives who composed a majority of Congress, but was, with reference to the new issues at least, a radical. In spite of that revelation he had been elected by an immense majority, — the greatest majority ever given to a President. Hence he did not betray his party, nor did he practise any deception upon the people. But he did partially transform his party, and introduced divisions the consequences of which it must be left to the future historian to study and analyze.

The chief difficulty which is experienced by one who undertakes to recount the occurrences and note the changes which he has observed as current events — let us confine the statement to the four years from 1905 to 1909 — is that of concealing a bias on one side or the other — for or against radicalism. But it is possible to present the facts impartially and to repress partisanship to its narrowest limits. If the facts are presented truly, readers will interpret them for themselves.

The situation was extraordinary. Mr. Roosevelt at the time of the election, and probably ever since, possessed a personal, as distinguished from a political, popularity greater than that of any other President, unless General Jackson was an exception. Nor was his political popularity much if any less than that of any one of his predecessors who was twice elected. There was more opposition within the Republican party to the reëlection of Lincoln in 1864, and to that of Grant in 1872, than to that of Roosevelt in 1904; and neither Lincoln nor Grant received a tithe of the secret support, or of the number of silent votes from Democrats that Roosevelt received. Moreover, there was never a whisper or a suspicion on the part of any one attached to any party that the President was insincere, or that he was animated by any but the best and most worthy motives to do that which he conceived to be for the welfare of the country and the triumph of righteousness. Such suspicions arose only at a later period with which we have here nothing to do.

His opponents might and did think that he was at times arbitrary in his action, that he was impulsive, that he made mistakes in his earnest haste to do right, and that he was too sure that his own way was right and that any other way, or any opposition to his way, must be wrong. But those were apparently the opinions of a minority only, and that minority was composed chiefly of men in public life, certainly of men who took more than an average interest in public affairs. The

people, as distinguished from these, trusted him, believed in him, were glad to follow enthusiastically where he might lead them. This is as much as to say that there was no effective opposition. Not on the part of the Democrats, who said in a spirit which was not altogether a mock complaint that he had stolen their platform; not on the part of the Republicans, a large number of whom — were they a majority of the whole party? — applauded, while the rest were deterred, by their unwillingness to divide the party as well as by the hopelessness of the undertaking, from directly and openly opposing him. It is certain that the secret opposition to the President's social and economic policies was more rife in Congress than it was in the country at large, possibly more so than in any part of the country. The course adopted in the Senate and House of Representatives was to listen to the President's recommendations, express an academic approval of the measures he urged, and enact into law as few of them as possible. But his policies remained, and the new issues survived to be dealt with as they might be by the next administration.

At no period in the national history were the matters which engrossed the attention of Congress and of the people more numerous or more various than in the four years we are now to consider. It was all owing to the prodigious activity of the President's mind and to his extraordinary energy. He was unable to concentrate that energy on one object at a time. He always had a long programme of reforms, and turned swiftly from one to another, representing each in turn to be of the utmost importance. In mentioning the leading events of the time it becomes necessary to classify them and largely to disregard chronological order. Many of the events and of the problems discussed, but not solved at the time, had no influence, or at the most but a slight influence, upon the ensuing election, which is our chief theme. Nevertheless they were so involved with other events and agitations which did play a part in the election of 1908 that they cannot be overlooked.

One State was admitted to the Union during Mr. Roosevelt's second administration. Oklahoma had almost four hundred thousand inhabitants in 1900, and was even then entitled to admission with two representatives. It would lead us too far astray to inquire why it was not admitted, but undoubtedly one of the reasons was that many men insisted that the claims of Arizona and of New Mexico should be considered at the

same time. At all events, when the question came up for decision there was a general disposition to link together the fortunes of the three proposed new States. For it was assumed at the outset that the Indian Territory would be incorporated with Oklahoma. Indeed, a movement to make that Territory an independent State met with little favor in the Territory itself, when the matter was submitted to a "referendum." The situation was this: there was no open and avowed opposition to the admission of Oklahoma and the Indian Territory as one State, though the apprehension of a loss of political power by the addition of two Democrats to the Senate made many Republicans lukewarm, and possibly explains their willingness to complicate the case with those of Arizona and New Mexico. Neither of those Territories had sufficient population in 1900 to entitle it to one representative, but New Mexico had undoubtedly increased enough by 1905 to contain the necessary quota of population. But there were strong objections to erecting into States communities so sparsely settled, objections which gained strength from a consideration of the Mexican origin of many of the inhabitants. It was therefore proposed to make one State of Oklahoma and the Indian Territory, and one of New Mexico and Arizona. The original position of the Republicans, most of them, was — this or nothing. The Democrats were strongly in favor of admitting New Mexico and Arizona as separate States. The proposition to unite them encountered great popular opposition in Arizona. Ultimately it was proposed to admit them as one State in case — the question to be submitted to popular vote — the people of both Territories should agree to the Union. Otherwise they must wait.

The subject occupied a large part of the time of Congress during the session of 1905-06. The bill was passed by the House of Representatives in the form just noted. The Senate amended it by striking out all reference to Arizona and New Mexico, and in the end an agreement was reached upon that disposition of the matter. On the 16th of June, 1906, the President signed the act admitting Oklahoma. The new State met the hopes of the Democrats and the fears of the Republicans by sending to Washington two Democratic senators and four Democratic members of the House of the five to which it was entitled.

The government had upon its hands during this administration an unusually large number of matters in its relation with foreign governments. Venezuela continued to be a thorn in its

side. The government of Castro refused to submit to an impartial tribunal any of the questions on which it took issue with the United States, maintaining that they were all strictly within the jurisdiction of Venezuelan courts, which, on the theory of the complete sovereignty of the republic, would have been an incontrovertible position were it not for the fact that the courts were under the complete control of the dictator. President Roosevelt sent a commissioner to Bogota to investigate, but no definite action was taken to enforce American demands.

Santo Domingo came once more into the field of American diplomacy. That republic had for many years been cursed by revolutions, the aim of most if not all the insurgents having been to obtain possession of the custom houses and of the public funds. A heavy foreign debt had been incurred, which no dictator pretended to recognize to the extent of paying the interest, to say nothing of the principal. There was no good answer to foreign governments which might ask how the United States justified a refusal either to permit them to collect the debts due to their subjects, or itself to take steps to compel Santo Domingo to meet its obligations. A plan was agreed upon involving (1) an amicable scaling-down of the foreign debt to an amount which the republic might be able to meet; (2) placing the collection of customs in the hands of a selected American officer; and (3) a division of the funds collected between the government of Santo Domingo and the foreign creditors. The President undertook to carry out the arrangement without submitting it to ratification by the Senate, which was beyond question entitled to a voice in the matter, not only of becoming an agent for collecting and distributing the funds of a foreign government, but of the stipulation contained in the original "protocol" that the United States would maintain the integrity of the republic and the stability of the government. Owing to the storm of protest against the independent action of the President, a formal treaty was drawn and was submitted to the Senate in February, 1905. In a special message, on March 6, the President strongly urged the ratification of the treaty, but the Senate adjourned without acting upon it. In February, 1907, the Senate ratified a treaty on the subject by which the arrangement as to the collection and distribution of Dominican revenue became effective; but the treaty contained no engagement on the part of the United States to become responsible for Dominican sovereignty.

In the summer of 1906 the United States was obliged to perform a duty which rested upon it as a result of the war with Spain. The condition of Cuba was comparatively peaceful during the first term of President Palma. But as the time drew near for the election of his successor, there were disturbances. His political opponents accused the government of offences against the political liberty of the citizens. They charged it with suppressing opposition and with measures that would make a free election impossible. It is certain that the whole influence of the Cuban administration was exerted to compass the reelection of Señor Palma. He was elected and an insurrection took place. At first the Cuban government professed itself able to deal with the insurgents, but the evil grew and became unmanageable. The situation was already serious in August. On September 13 United States marines were landed on Cuban soil as a precautionary measure, and on the next day President Roosevelt issued a warning to Cubans, urging peace, and assuring them that unless they should maintain order the United States would intervene. The warning was not heeded. On the 25th President Palma found it no longer possible to withstand the insurrection and resigned his office. Thereupon the United States took control of the government and installed a governor-general at Havana. The courts and the civil offices were still administered by Cubans, and Cuban laws were in force. The people were assured that when there should be a reasonable prospect that they could be trusted to govern themselves peaceably the government would be restored to them. There were many persons in both countries who believed that in the end Cuba would be absorbed in the United States, and undoubtedly many persons wished that result. But the promise was sincere and the engagement to restore the government to the Cubans was loyally carried out.

A Pan-American conference was held at Rio de Janeiro, beginning on July 23, 1906. So far as the United States was concerned, the meeting was chiefly notable from the fact that it was attended by Mr. Root, the Secretary of State. Mr. Root was the object of extraordinary attention and hospitality. He made many speeches at the conference, or in connection with it, and won the hearts of the South American people by his pacific and tactful utterances. Before his return he made a tour of several of the South American countries and was everywhere received with great enthusiasm. The labors of the con-

ference were not fruitful in large consequences. No single conference can modify national character or dissipate such national jealousies as exist between the Latin-American republics. But every such meeting serves to improve their mutual relations to a certain extent.

The Russo-Japanese War which began when, on February 8, 1904, Admiral Togo engaged the Russian fleet at Port Arthur, was waged with fury for sixteen months. At the end of that time President Roosevelt took a step which, although without precedent in history, won for him great credit and lasting fame. He undertook, and succeeded in the undertaking, to bring about a cessation of hostilities and a conference between the belligerent powers. He consulted with the representatives in Washington of the Russian and Japanese governments and found that neither would object to a suggestion from him that they bring the war to a speedy conclusion. The American ambassador at St. Petersburg had an audience with the Tsar, and called his attention to that clause of the Hague Convention which provides that an intermediary advance shall never be considered as an unfriendly act by disputing powers. The Tsar having consented to receive a communication from the President, Mr. Roosevelt, on June 8, addressed identical notes to St. Petersburg and Tokio.

He expressed the opinion that the time had come when "in the interest of all mankind he must endeavor to see if it is not possible to bring to an end the terrible and lamentable conflict now being waged." The United States was friendly to both countries, and hoped for the welfare of each. He urged them to open direct negotiations with each other, "without any intermediary," and offered to do anything that the two powers might wish him to do, in arranging the preliminaries as to the time and place of the meeting. The proposition was accepted, plenipotentiaries were appointed by each government, and the meeting was held at Portsmouth, New Hampshire, where, on September 5, a treaty of peace was signed.

An agitation against the Japanese residing in San Francisco broke out in 1906. It was similar in motive to the long-standing hostility of the labor element in the same city toward the Chinese, but took a different method of expression. It was aimed against the Japanese children and youth who had been allowed to attend the public schools with pupils of native and other foreign parentage. The school authorities adopted a reg-

ulation forbidding the admission of Japanese to the schools. This was justly regarded as a grievance by the Japanese population of the city, and also by the government of Japan, as being a violation of the treaty obligations of the United States. The movement against the children was one feature of an agitation to exclude Japanese immigrants altogether, as Chinese were already excluded. It was covertly encouraged by irresponsible Americans who were predicting and even openly advocating a war with Japan. The situation was not very serious, in view of the nearly unanimous desire of the people to be on good terms with Japan, save in the fact that the general government had no power over the city government of San Francisco and could not abrogate the acts of the school committee. But by dint of persuasion and warning addressed to the city authorities, and by tactful diplomacy with Japan, the difficulty was composed. The Japanese government, which was sincerely opposed to the emigration of its people, undertook to put a stop to emigration by a system of passports, which does not allow Japanese laborers to leave the country, and the school committee of San Francisco withdrew its obnoxious regulation.

Before the close of the administration an informal but most important arrangement, more nearly like a national alliance than anything in the previous history of the country, was concluded with Japan. It was the result of several months of correspondence between Ambassador Takahira and Secretary Root, and took the form of identical notes exchanged by the two governments on the 30th of November, 1908. Following is the text of the notes:—

I. It is the wish of the two governments to encourage the free and peaceful development of their commerce on the Pacific Ocean.

II. The policy of both governments, uninfluenced by any aggressive tendencies, is directed to the maintenance of the existing *status quo*, in the region above mentioned, and to the defence of the principle of equal opportunity for commerce and industry in China.

III. They are accordingly firmly resolved reciprocally to respect the territorial possessions belonging to each other in said region.

IV. They are also determined to preserve the common interests of all powers in China by supporting, by all pacific means at their disposal, the independence and integrity of China and the principle of equal opportunity for commerce and industry of all nations in the empire.

V. Should any event occur threatening the *status quo*, as above described, or the principle of equal opportunity, as above described, it remains for the two governments to communicate with each other, in order to arrive at an understanding as to what measures they may consider it useful to take.

It was a mere declaration of intention on the part of the two governments, and in no sense binding as an alliance would be; it was, as Takahira expressed it, "something like a transaction between trusted friends," but it was universally regarded as a momentous event, and a complete answer to the fears—or the hopes—of those who foresaw a great naval struggle with Japan looming up before the country.

The list of great public measures submitted to Congress during this administration is portentously long. The list of those which were enacted into law is much shorter, but probably of greater length than is exhibited by the history of any previous Congress except the First. Among the measures which failed, some of them of the class which the English term "hardy annuals," were ship subsidies, currency reform, national regulation of insurance, regulation of child labor, copyright reform, Philippine tariff, the admission of Porto Ricans as citizens, limitation of injunctions in labor cases, prohibition of over-capitalization of corporations, and some other measures which formed a part of the President's policy, to be mentioned presently. Among those which were passed may be noted briefly—although some of them were of far-reaching importance—the meat-inspection law; the pure-food law; a codification and improvement of the laws regulating naturalization; the law limiting the hours of labor of employes of railway companies engaged in inter-State commerce; the law giving the government a limited right of appeal in criminal cases; a service pension for all veterans of the Civil War more than sixty-two years old; and an act prohibiting contributions to political campaign funds by public corporations,—but the sister bill providing for publicity of campaign funds and expenditures was defeated.

There were other measures, some of which were and some were not passed, which must be mentioned at greater length. They were expressive of the President's emphatic views on many questions of public policy,—his hostility to "trusts," his strong opinions on the subject of "overgrown fortunes" and "predatory wealth," his sympathy with organized labor,

his advocacy of national regulation of corporations and particularly of control of railways and supervision of their rates.

In 1906 an act was passed imposing liability upon all common carriers engaged in inter-State commerce for all injuries suffered by their employés while in the service of the carrier. In a suit appealed to the Supreme Court it was decided that the act was unconstitutional, inasmuch as it applied to injuries received when the employé was engaged in other than inter-State business. Accordingly another "employers' liability" act was passed, approved April 22, 1908, giving the right to claim damages to "any person suffering injury while he is employed by such carrier in such [that is, inter-State] commerce." This was a measure strongly and persistently urged by the President.

Undoubtedly the most important law passed during the administration was that regulating railroad rates. It covered many more points than that of rate regulation. Most of the points were noncontroversial, but there was a strong conservative opposition to the provision conferring upon the Inter-State Commerce Commission the right to fix maximum rates of freight and passenger business, and particularly to a denial of the right of railway companies to appeal from rate decisions by the Commission to the courts. The subject occupied a large part of the time of Congress, and mutually contradictory votes were passed. The President was most strenuous in opposition to any court review clause, but ultimately professed himself satisfied with the compromise and limited review sanctioned by the bill as it was passed. It became a law on June 29, 1906. It included among common carriers express and sleeping-car companies, and pipe lines for conveying oil; and as to railroads it extended to such matters as terminals, storage, icing and ventilation. It forbade railway companies to be engaged in the transportation of any articles produced directly or indirectly by themselves, except lumber,—a provision which was intended to prohibit such companies from being concerned in the mining of coal, or from the transportation and sale of coal mined by themselves. It contained strict rules limiting the issue of free passes, and drastic clauses against giving or receiving rebates, with severe penalties attached to violation of the regulation. It provided that no changes in rates should be made except upon at least thirty days' notice; and it authorized the Inter-State Commerce Commission to prepare a uniform system of accounts, and to require all companies within the jurisdiction of the law to

adopt the system and to keep no other accounts. All these provisions were subsidiary to the grand purpose of the act, namely, to give the Inter-State Commerce Commission, enlarged to seven members, power to fix maximum rates on inter-State commerce transportation, which involved the power to refuse its consent to proposed increases of rates.

The foregoing account of what was done, and of what was considered by Congress but left undone, conveys but a partial impression of the variety of the President's activities. He was interested in the conservation of national resources and in the Panama Canal. He established many great forest reserves, and when Congress passed an act that no more such reservations should be made except by its own authority, he made an order reserving seventeen million acres just before signing the act which took away his power. He made a personal visit to Panama, and sent a message to Congress giving—with photographic illustrations—the results of his observations. He made two changes in the administration of the canal, and when an attempt to have the excavation done by contract met with failure, he entrusted the work to an army officer of engineers, with the happiest results.

It would be a hopeless task to compress within reasonable limits a statement of the other subjects discussed by the President in his many messages to Congress and in the numerous speeches made by him in the course of his tours, north, south, and west. The keynote of a large proportion of his utterances was undying hostility to the great corporations popularly termed "trusts," and to the accumulation of great wealth in individual hands. On many occasions, even in messages to Congress, he singled out the Standard Oil Company as a malefactor guilty of every possible crime against the public. It is believed that no other President except Andrew Johnson indulged so freely as did he in personalities, and even Mr. Johnson did not denounce men or bodies of men by name in his official papers. These statements are not to be taken as condemnatory of Mr. Roosevelt, but merely as statements of fact which every reader will judge for himself. Beyond all doubt his attitude toward the trusts, and toward the Standard Oil Company in particular, did him no harm with the people. A large majority of the people were of the same way of thinking and applauded him hotly. It was the popular sentiment at the time, whether permanent or not is for the future to show, to regard the great

corporations as an unmitigated evil, and the possession of enormous wealth not merely as *prima facie* evidence but as incontrovertible evidence of wrongdoing, and the existence of such fortunes as a curse which it was the first duty of statesmanship to remove. The prevalence of these sentiments, largely due to the frequent and most forcible presentation of them by the President, was one of the most important and striking features of the political thought of the time. It may be doubted whether it had any appreciable effect upon the result of the ensuing election. But it certainly rendered the task of Mr. Roosevelt's successor by no means easy.

Before entering upon the story of the canvass which culminated in the election of 1908, it is necessary to call attention to the absence — to the singular absence — of the tariff question from the discussions in Congress and from the issues of the campaign. Not that the subject was altogether absent from the thoughts of journalists and politicians. A sentiment gradually took root in the minds of many Republicans both East and West in favor of a revision of the tariff. It was coupled with a desire for the establishment of closer trade relations with Canada by means of a reciprocity treaty. Those who took this view of the matter declared themselves loyal supporters of the Republican doctrine of protection, but they held that the rates imposed by the tariff law of 1897 were too high, and that they should be reduced by a reasonable amount. They denounced those who opposed a change, and called them, as by a term of reproach, "stand-patters." The question of tariff revision entered into the local politics of several States, chiefly Iowa, Wisconsin, and Massachusetts, and led to contests between two factions for governors and congressmen. Although the President was believed to sympathize at least mildly with the revisionists, he was too earnest in securing the social reforms which he advocated to favor the taking up of the tariff question by Congress. Had he done so his effort would probably have met with failure. There were some revisionists in Congress, but the "stand-patters" had full control of both branches. There were signs, nevertheless, of great restiveness on the part of a minority, and the germs of "insurgency" which sprouted and grew to maturity during the next administration were already in good ground.

Mention must also be made of an event which at one time bade fair to be of large political importance. In August, 1906,

the town of Brownsville, Texas, was "shot up." Several companies of colored infantry were in garrison in the town, and it was charged that a party of them went through the town by night firing indiscriminately into the houses, and caused much damage to property and injury to persons. Circumstances pointed strongly to the colored soldiers as the offenders, but if they were guilty their action was so well planned in advance that it was impossible to fix the guilt upon any man or even upon the members of any company. Nevertheless there seemed to be little doubt that the guilty men were among them. When every soldier in the garrison had denied not only participation in the affair but also knowledge of the guilt of any man, the President took the radical step of discharging all the men in the companies in the garrison, "without honor," forbade their reënlistment, and declared them ineligible to any employment in a civil capacity by the government. There was a great outcry against the severity of the President's order, and the special advocates of the colored race denounced it violently. The matter was debated in Congress, particularly in the Senate, with much heat, and the order was declared to be in violation of army regulations and wholly beyond the President's power. The prohibition of civil employment was soon withdrawn, and sometime afterward those men who could prove that they were personally not concerned in the affair were permitted to reënlist. Mr. Taft, who was even then regarded as a probable candidate for the presidency, was then the Secretary of War. He stood loyally by the President in the matter, and was then and afterward warned that he would be strongly opposed by the colored voters and their friends. It does not appear that the threat was effective with those who were expected to be influenced by it.

Mr. Bryan, who had announced his purpose of devoting himself to organizing the progressive element of his party for the contest of 1908, was wise enough not to begin operations at once. He departed on a trip round the world, and received much attention in the countries which he visited. But to a somewhat unusual extent the canvass for the succession to Mr. Roosevelt was present in the minds of politicians during the whole four years of his term. Mr. Bryan, having returned to the United States in August, 1906, began to rally his adherents and the adherents of his policies. His first speech was at Madison Square Garden in New York, on the 30th of August. He

was received with much enthusiasm and outlined a part of his political programme. Among other measures he advocated government ownership of railroads, "not as an immediate issue, but as an ultimate solution of the controversy." At that time it was generally taken for granted that he would again be the candidate of his party, if he should desire the nomination. There was no other candidate in sight. Those who had endeavored in 1904 to throw off the radical yoke, and to shelve Mr. Bryan, had suffered such a defeat that they could hardly hope again to persuade the national convention to assume a conservative tone. They were fully as earnest in their opposition to Mr. Bryan as before, but were silent and hopeless. Mr. Bryan set speculation regarding his own intentions at rest in a speech in Texas, in January, 1908, in which he said: "Those of you who never had an opportunity to hear a real live President of the United States can at least say now that you have heard one speak who, on two occasions, cherished the delusion that he was going to be a real live President, and he feels the disease coming on again."

It was not yet a clear field for him. There was interest, curiosity, not to say anxiety, on the part of many Democrats who saw the gradual building-up of Mr. William R. Hearst's "Independence League." Mr. Hearst came perilously near being elected Mayor of New York City in 1906, although he was running in opposition to the regular Democratic candidate. The Independence League was universally recognized as an organization having for its sole object the promotion of the political fortunes of Mr. Hearst. It was financed by him, and was under his immediate management and control. No one but himself and his intimates — possibly even they should be excepted — knew whether he intended to contest the Democratic nomination or to set up an independent party and a separate ticket. The mystery was not solved for more than a year.

It is not to be supposed that among the regular Democrats there was no disposition to contest Mr. Bryan's supremacy. Here and there, particularly in the South, there were mutterings of discontent. Mr. Henry Watterson, of Louisville, the creator of many political sensations, announced in his paper, the "Courier-Journal," that he had found a candidate who could be nominated and elected. After a time he revealed the name of the man whom he proposed — Governor John Johnson, of Minnesota. The suggestion was well received, for Mr.

Johnson had twice been successful in his canvass for the governorship, although his State was strongly Republican. But Mr. Bryan was too well entrenched. His leadership could not be broken. Nor did the suggestion of Judge George Gray, of Delaware, of Mr. Judson Harmon, of Ohio, of Mr. Hoke Smith, of Georgia, or of other possible candidates, disturb Mr. Bryan or weaken his hold on the party.

On the Republican side there was a multiplicity of candidates. The third term idea could not be put down permanently. No one, even those who persisted in urging that Mr. Roosevelt be elected once more, questioned or doubted his sincerity and earnestness in refusing to be a candidate. They thought the Republican convention could be "stampeded," and that he might be nominated and elected in spite of himself. The President did his utmost to put a stop to the movement. But whenever it was renewed and he did not instantly reiterate his purpose, the "boomers" were encouraged. "Consider," they said in effect. "Suppose the convention does not ask him to accept another nomination. Suppose that the electors vote for him and elect him. He has n't said that he would not serve another term." Such suggestions forced the President to repeat again and again his fixed determination. In December, 1907, he gave out a statement in which, after reciting his announcement just after the election of 1904, he said: "I have not changed and shall not change the decision thus announced." Undoubtedly the movement made a deeper impression on the public mind because some of those who promoted it were in close personal and official relations to the President.

The third term "boom" did not prevent the friends of other candidates from active efforts in their behalf. Vice-President Fairbanks was strongly supported not only by his State, Indiana, but in other parts of the country. Governor Hughes, of New York, whose political career, brief but brilliant, had won for him many friends, was a favorite candidate, less with the politicians than with those who prided themselves upon their independence. The governor wrote a letter in which he intimated that he would accept a nomination if it came to him under proper conditions, and a Hughes league was formed in New York. Secretary Root was favored by many men, on account of the ability and tact he had shown in the War and State Departments; but the movement in his favor made little progress, inasmuch as opposition developed, based upon

his relations to corporate interests before he entered the field of national politics. The growing band of "insurgents," or as they were then called, "progressive" Republicans, urged the nomination of Governor Cummins, of Iowa. Then there were "favorite sons," — Senator Knox, of Pennsylvania, Governor La Follette, of Wisconsin, and Mr. Speaker Cannon, of Illinois. Finally there were those who advised politicians to keep an eye on Secretary of the Treasury George B. Cortelyou, in case the convention should come to a deadlock and the delegates should turn to a "dark horse."

But it was not difficult for any observer to discover that the President's preference in the matter of his successor was his Secretary of War, William H. Taft, who had achieved a great reputation as Governor of the Philippines and had enhanced it as a cabinet officer. It was alleged that the President used his appointing power to promote the candidacy of Judge Taft, — an accusation which he warmly repelled and challenged the citation of particulars, although he did not deny the statement that he hoped Mr. Taft would be the candidate. Senator Foraker, of Ohio, who was himself a candidate and announced his purpose to contest with Mr. Taft the election of delegates from Ohio, and who was by no means friendly to the President, openly charged improper use of the official patronage in the preliminaries of the canvass. In a speech at Canton, Ohio, in April, 1907, he said, "that the President of the United States should be engaged in a political contest to determine his successor is without a precedent, unless it be the bad precedent set by Andrew Jackson as to Martin Van Buren." It may be mentioned that when a vacancy occurred on the bench of the Supreme Court the seat was offered to Mr. Taft, and was declined by him, in view of his candidacy for the presidency, although he had a strong predilection for a judicial position. For a full year before the election Judge Taft was much before the people in many parts of the country, and made many speeches on public affairs. He was regarded, no doubt rightly, as a spokesman for the President, when the President was not speaking for himself.

The first direct steps in the canvass were taken in December, 1907, when the national committees of the two leading parties met to determine the time and place of holding the national conventions. The Republicans chose Chicago as the place and June 16, 1908, as the time of their meeting. The Democrats

fixed upon Denver, and July 7. From the time the preliminaries were agreed upon there was increased political activity. Early in January a movement was set on foot in New York City by certain Democrats, — some of them citizens of other States, — the plain purpose of which was to eliminate Mr. Bryan. It was decided to have a secret conference of chosen men, and invitations were sent to those who had been selected. But the publicity that was given to the movement killed it. The wish to be "regular" was so strong in the minds of many men that there seemed to be little hope that the conference would be generally attended. The project was given up as "premature," and the invitations were withdrawn. In fact the effort to throw aside Mr. Bryan did not prosper. In the same month of January it was noised abroad that some of the senators and congressmen had conferred together, and that one or more of them would shortly advise Mr. Bryan that it was the general opinion of Democrats that he should withdraw from the field. Mr. Bryan took a characteristic course. He went to Washington, as if to give those who were conspiring to "bell the cat" their opportunity. With one consent they refrained. Mr. Bryan's visit was a triumph. No one suggested that he should lay down the leadership. On the contrary, he went away from the city more evidently the leader of his party than ever before; and from that time there was no doubt of his nomination, and no movement against it that gave the smallest promise of success. Yet every one knew that there was a certain element in the party that had never cheerfully submitted to his leadership, and that many men who had supported him heartily either were tired of his ascendancy or doubted the expediency of nominating him for a third time. Late in 1907 there was a canvass by the New York "Times" and the Brooklyn "Eagle" of Democratic sentiment in the South where Mr. Bryan was strongest. The result indicated that, although Bryan had more supporters than any other candidate, there was much lukewarmness toward him.

On the 22d of February, 1908, there was a conference of Mr. Hearst's Independence League in Chicago. A platform was adopted, and Mr. Hearst made a speech in which he attacked both parties — the Republicans for their opposition to the policies which he advocated, the Democrats because they did not show constancy in their advocacy of those policies. It was decided that the provisional national committee should

make nominations of President and Vice-President after the conventions of the two leading parties.

No further political events of importance took place until the time for the meeting of the National Conventions. Beginning in February, there were the usual State and district conventions, which had not proceeded far before it became evident to all political observers that the nominations would fall to Mr. Taft and Mr. Bryan.

The first convention for the nomination of candidates in 1908 was that of the People's party. It was held at St. Louis on April 2 and 3. About three hundred delegates were said to be in attendance, but as was increasingly the case with the convention of that party, representation was exceedingly irregular. Some States were not represented at all; some were represented by a single person who was not always a citizen of the State for which he acted. It was asserted on the floor that a resident of St. Louis was casting the entire vote, twenty-five, of Montana. Complaint was also made that certain members of the convention were self-appointed, no convention having been held to choose delegates. The fact that such statements were made indicates that the convention was not completely harmonious; and that also is a fact. Wrangling began before the convention met. The Nebraska delegation and that — consisting of one man — from Minnesota, went to St. Louis with a demand that the convention be postponed until after the Democratic Convention should be held. Their purpose was evident. They wished to make Mr. Bryan the candidate of the party. If he should be nominated at Denver, well and good. If not, the Populists should nominate him and make inroads into the Democratic ranks. But the Nebraska men were in a hopeless minority. The "Middle-of-the-Road" policy was strongly in the ascendant. Neither before the convention met nor at any time during its sessions was the proposition to postpone brought forward without meeting with overwhelming defeat. When the convention came to the point of deciding that nominations were in order, the Nebraska and Minnesota delegations withdrew.

Jacob S. Coxey, of Ohio, was the temporary chairman of the convention and George H. Honnecker, of New Jersey, was the permanent President. The platform, which pleased the Nebraska and Bryan faction as little as did the resolution to make nominations at that time, was adopted on the 3d of April, and was as follows: —

The People's Party of the United States, with increased confidence in its contentions, reaffirms the declarations made by the national convention at Omaha.

The admonitions of Washington's farewell, the state papers of Jefferson, and the words of Lincoln are the teachings of our greatest apostles of human rights and political liberty. There has been a departure from the teachings of these great patriots during recent administrations. The government has been controlled so as to place the rights of property above the rights of humanity, has brought the country to a condition that is full of danger to our national wellbeing. Financial combinations have had too much power over Congress and too much influence with the administrative departments of the government. Prerogatives of government have been unwisely and often corruptly surrendered to corporate monopoly and aggregations of predatory wealth.

The issuing of money is a function of government and should not be delegated to corporation or individual. The Constitution gives to Congress alone the power to issue money and regulate the value thereof. We therefore demand that all money shall be issued by the government direct to the people, without the intervention of banks, and be a full legal tender for all debts, public and private, and in quantities to supply the necessity of the country. We demand that postal savings banks be instituted for the savings of the people.

The public domain is the sacred heritage of all the people, and should be held for homesteads for actual settlers only. Alien ownership should be forbidden, and lands now held by aliens or by corporations which have violated the conditions of their grants should be restored to the public domain.

To prevent unjust discrimination and monopoly, the government should own and control the railroads and those public utilities which in their nature are monopolies. To perfect the postal service, the government should own and operate the general telegraph and telephone systems and provide a parcels post.

As to those trusts and monopolies which are not public utilities or natural monopolies, we demand that those special privileges which they now enjoy, and which alone enable them to exist, should be immediately withdrawn. Corporations, being the creatures of government, should be subjected to such governmental regulation and control as will adequately protect the public. We demand the taxation of monopoly privileges while they remain in private hands, to the extent of the value of the privilege granted.

We demand that Congress shall enact a general law uniformly regulating the powers and duties of all incorporated companies doing interstate business.

As a means of placing all public questions directly under the control of the people, we demand that legal provision be made under which the people may exercise the initiative, referendum, and proportional representation, and direct vote for all public officers, with the right of recall.

We believe in the right of those who labor to organize for their mutual protection and benefit, and encourage the efforts of the People's Party to preserve this right inviolate. We condemn the recent attempt to destroy the power of trade unions through the unjust use of the Federal injunction, substituting government by injunction for free government.

We favor the enactment of legislation looking to the improvement of conditions for wage-earners. We demand the abolition of child labor in factories and mines and the suppression of sweat shops. We oppose the use of convict labor in competition with free labor. We demand the exclusion from American shores of foreign pauper labor, imported to beat down the wages of intelligent American workingmen. We favor the eight-hour work day and legislation protecting the lives and limbs of workmen through the use of safety appliances.

We demand the enactment of an employers' liability bill within constitutional bounds. We declare against a continuation of the criminal carelessness in the operation of mines, through which thousands of miners have lost their lives to increase the dividends of stockholders, and demand the immediate adoption of precautionary measures to prevent a repetition of such horrible catastrophes.

We declare that in times of depression, when workingmen are thrown into enforced idleness, that works of public improvements should be at once inaugurated and work provided for those who cannot otherwise secure employment.

We especially emphasize the declaration of the Omaha platform that "wealth belongs to him who creates it, and every dollar taken from labor without a just equivalent is robbery."

We congratulate the farmers of the country upon the enormous growth of their splendid organizations and the good already accomplished through them, securing higher prices for farm products and better conditions generally for those engaged in agricultural pursuits. We urge the importance of maintaining these organizations and extending their power and influence.

We condemn all unwarranted assumption of authority by inferior federal courts in annulling by injunction the laws of the states, and demand legislative action by Congress which will prohibit such usurpation and will restrict to the Supreme Court of the United States the exercise of power in cases involving state legislation.

We are opposed to all gambling in futures.

We present to all people the foregoing declaration of principles and policies as our deep, earnest and abiding convictions, and now, before the country and in the name of the great moral but eternal power in the universe that makes for right thinking and right living and determines the destiny of nations, this convention pledges that the People's Party will stand by these principles and policies in success and in defeat; that never again will the party, by the siren songs and false promises of designing politicians, be tempted to change its course or be again drawn upon the treacherous rocks of fusion.

Thomas E. Watson, of Georgia, was nominated for President, and Samuel W. Williams, of Indiana, for Vice-President. Both nominations were made by acclamation.

The convention of the Socialist party was held at Chicago, May 10-17. A Socialist convention differs in many important respects from the convention of any other party. There is nothing "cut and dried" about it. From beginning to end everything is left to decision by the convention itself, after the freest sort of discussion, — for the members have no hesitation in expressing their opinions about one another as well as upon the subject under consideration. It would be impracticable, doubtless it would also be inexpedient, for a Democratic or a Republican national convention to throw its platform open to unlimited debate, paragraph by paragraph, and even word by word, as in committee of the whole. But that is the way a Socialist platform is constructed. To illustrate: The platform is in three parts, first, a declaration of "principles"; second, the "platform" proper; third, the "programme." When the "principles" were under discussion a delegate called attention to the following sentence: "They [the capitalists] select our executives, bribe our legislatures, and corrupt our courts of justice." He moved, and the convention voted, to substitute "the" for "our." The implication is obvious. Another member suggested that the words "of justice" ought to be struck out, but that was not done. When the platform was discussed, a delegate wished to make a similar change in the phrase "which our courts, legislatures, and executives," etc., and a debate ensued, in which members of the platform committee protested against a change which would imply that Socialists did not consider themselves as members of the nation, and the convention allowed the phrase to stand as given above.

That the party should choose Sunday for its day of meeting, that it should change its presiding officer every day, that it should constitute every committee by election instead of by appointment, that the delegates should address one another in debate as "Comrade" So-and-so, — all these and other points that might be mentioned are indications of the extreme independence that characterizes their conventions — an independence on which, with good reason, they pride themselves. A necessary consequence of their method is that a Socialist convention is protracted. That of 1908 lasted eight days, on each of which there were two sessions. The number of delegates probably slightly exceeded two hundred, as the largest number recorded on any roll-call was 198. Twenty or more of the number were women. Credentials were presented from forty-four States and two Territories, but it is not possible to say whether delegates were present from all those States.

The platform, in three parts, was adopted piecemeal, at several sessions. As finally agreed upon it is as follows: —

PRINCIPLES

Human life depends upon food, clothing and shelter. Only with these assured are freedom, culture and higher human development possible. To produce food, clothing or shelter, land and machinery are needed. Land alone does not satisfy human needs. Human labor creates machinery and applies it to the land for the production of raw materials and food. Whoever has control of land and machinery controls human labor, and with it human life and liberty.

To-day the machinery and the land used for industrial purposes are owned by a rapidly decreasing minority. So long as machinery is simple and easily handled by one man, its owner cannot dominate the sources of life of others. But when machinery becomes more complex and expensive, and requires for its effective operation the organized effort of many workers, its influence reaches over wide circles of life. The owners of such machinery become the dominant class.

In proportion as the number of such machine owners compared to all other classes decreases, their power in the nation and in the world increases. They bring ever larger masses of working people under their control, reducing them to the point where muscle and brain are their only productive property. Millions of formerly self-employing workers thus become the helpless wage slaves of the industrial masters.

As the economic power of the ruling class grows it becomes less useful in the life of the nation. All the useful work of the nation falls upon the shoulders of the class whose only property is its manual and mental labor power—the wage worker—or of the class who have but little land and little effective machinery outside of their labor power—the small traders and small farmers. The ruling minority is steadily becoming useless and parasitic.

A bitter struggle over the division of the products of labor is waged between the exploiting propertied classes on the one hand and the exploited, propertyless class on the other. In this struggle the wage-working class cannot expect adequate relief from any reform of the present order at the hands of the dominant class.

The wage-workers are therefore the most determined and irreconcilable antagonists of the ruling class. They suffer most from the curse of class rule. The fact that a few capitalists are permitted to control all the country's industrial resources and social tools for their individual profit, and to make the production of the necessities of life the object of competitive private enterprise and speculation is at the bottom of all the social evils of our time.

In spite of the organization of trusts, pools and combinations, the capitalists are powerless to regulate reproduction for social ends. Industries are largely conducted in a planless manner. Through periods of feverish activity the strength and health of the workers are mercilessly used up, and during periods of enforced idleness the workers are frequently reduced to starvation.

The climaxes of this system of production are the regularly recurring industrial depressions and crises which paralyze the nation every fifteen or twenty years.

The capitalist class, in its mad race for profits, is bound to exploit the workers to the very limit of their endurance and to sacrifice their physical, moral and mental welfare to its own insatiable greed. Capitalism keeps the masses of workingmen in poverty, destitution, physical exhaustion and ignorance. It drags their wives from their homes to the mill and factory. It snatches their children from the playgrounds and schools and grinds their slender bodies and unformed minds into cold dollars. It wantonly disfigures, maims and kills hundreds of thousands of workingmen annually in mines, on railroads and in factories. It drives millions of workers into the ranks of the unemployed, and forces large numbers of them into beggary, vagrancy and all forms of crime and vice.

To maintain their rule over their fellow men, the capitalists must keep in their pay all organs of the public powers, public mind and public conscience. They control the dominating par-

ties and, through them, the elected public officials. They select the executives, bribe the legislatures and corrupt the courts of justice. They own and censor the press. They sway our educational institutions. They own the nation politically and intellectually just as they own it industrially.

The struggle between wage-workers and capitalists grows ever fiercer, and has now become the only vital issue before the American people. The wage-working class, therefore, has the most vital and direct interest in abolishing the capitalist system. But in abolishing the present system, the workingmen will free not only their own class, but also all other classes of modern society: The small farmer, who is to-day exploited by large capital more indirectly but not less effectively than is the wage laborer; the small manufacturer and trader, who is engaged in a desperate and losing struggle for economic independence in the face of the all-conquering power of concentrated capital; and even the capitalist himself, who is the slave of his wealth rather than its master. The struggle of the working class against the capitalist class, while it is a class struggle, is thus at the same time a struggle for the abolition of all classes and class privileges.

The private ownership of the land and means of production used for exploitation, is the rock upon which class rule is built; political government is its indispensable instrument. The wage-workers cannot be freed from exploitation without conquering the political power and substituting collective for private ownership of the land and means of production used for exploitation.

The basis for such transformation is rapidly developing within the very bosom of present capitalist society. The factory system, with its immense machinery and minute division of labor, is rapidly destroying all vestiges of individual production in manufacture. Modern production is already very largely a collective and social process, while the great trusts and monopolies which have sprung up in recent years have had the effect of organizing the work and management of some of our main industries on a national scale, and fitting them for national use and operation.

The Socialist party is primarily an economic and political movement. It is not concerned with matters of religious belief.

In the struggle for freedom the interests of the workers of all nations are identical. The struggle is not only national but international. It embraces the world and will be carried to ultimate victory by the united workers of the world.

To unite the workers of the nation and their allies and sympathizers of all other classes to this end, is the mission of the Socialist party. In this battle for freedom the Socialist party does not strive to substitute working class rule for capitalist class rule, but

to free all humanity from class rule and to realize the international brotherhood of man.

PLATFORM

The Socialist party, in national convention assembled, in entering upon the campaign of 1908, again presents itself to the people as the party of the working class, and as such it appeals for the support of all workers of the United States and of all citizens who sympathize with the great and just cause of labor.

We are at this moment in the midst of one of those industrial breakdowns that periodically paralyze the life of the nation. The much-boasted era of our national prosperity has been followed by one of general misery. Factories, mills and mines are closed. Millions of men, ready, willing and able to provide the nation with all the necessities and comforts of life are forced into idleness and starvation.

Within recent times the trusts and monopolies have attained an enormous and menacing development. They have acquired the power to dictate the terms upon which we shall be allowed to live. The trusts fix the prices of our bread, meat and sugar, of our coal, oil and clothing, of our raw material and machinery, of all the necessities of life.

The present desperate condition of the workers has been made the opportunity for a renewed onslaught on organized labor. The highest courts of the country have within the last year rendered decision after decision depriving the workers of rights which they had won by generations of struggle.

The attempt to destroy the Western Federation of Miners, although defeated by the solidarity of organized labor and the Socialist movement, revealed the existence of a far-reaching and unscrupulous conspiracy by the ruling class against the organization of labor.

In their efforts to take the lives of the leaders of the miners the conspirators violated state laws and the federal constitution in a manner seldom equaled even in a country so completely dominated by the profit-seeking class as is the United States.

The Congress of the United States has shown its contempt for the interests of labor as plainly and unmistakably as have the other branches of government. The laws for which the labor organizations have continually petitioned have failed to pass. Laws ostensibly enacted for the benefit of labor have been distorted against labor.

The working class of the United States cannot expect any remedy for its wrongs from the present ruling class or from the dominant parties. So long as a small number of individuals are

permitted to control the sources of the nation's wealth for their private profit in competition with each other and for the exploitation of their fellowmen, industrial depressions are bound to occur at certain intervals. No currency reforms or other legislative measures proposed by capitalist reformers can avail against these fatal results of utter anarchy in production.

Individually competition leads inevitably to combinations and trusts. No amount of government regulation, or of publicity, or of restrictive legislation will arrest the natural course of modern industrial development.

While our courts, legislatures and executive offices remain in the hands of the ruling classes and their agents, the government will be used in the interests of these classes as against the toilers.

Political parties are but the expression of economic class interests. The Republican, the Democratic, and the so-called "Independence" parties and all parties other than the Socialist party, are financed, directed and controlled by the representatives of different groups of the ruling class.

In the maintenance of class government both the Democratic and Republican parties have been equally guilty. The Republican party has had control of the national government and has been directly and actively responsible for these wrongs. The Democratic party, while saved from direct responsibility by its political impotence, has shown itself equally subservient to the aims of the capitalist class whenever and wherever it has been in power. The old chattel slave-owning aristocracy of the south, which was the backbone of the Democratic party, has been supplanted by a child slave plutocracy. In the great cities of our country the Democratic party is allied with the criminal element of the slums as the Republican party is allied with the predatory criminals of the palace in maintaining the interests of the possessing class.

The various "reform" movements and parties which have sprung up within recent years are but the clumsy expression of widespread popular discontent. They are not based on an intelligent understanding of the historical development of civilization and of the economic and political needs of our time. They are bound to perish as the numerous middle class reform movements of the past have perished.

PROGRAMME

As measures calculated to strengthen the working class in its fight for the realization of this ultimate aim, and to increase its power of resistance against capitalist oppression, we advocate and pledge ourselves and our elected officers to the following programme:—

1. The immediate government relief for the unemployed workers by building schools, by reforesting of cutover and waste lands, by reclamation of arid tracts, and the building of canals, and by extending all other useful public works. All persons employed on such works shall be employed directly by the government under an eight-hour work-day and at the prevailing union wages. The government shall also loan money to states and municipalities without interest for the purpose of carrying on public works. It shall contribute to the funds of labor organizations for the purpose of assisting their unemployed members, and shall take such other measures within its power as will lessen the widespread misery of the workers caused by the misrule of the capitalist class.

2. The collective ownership of railroads, telegraphs, telephones, steamship lines and all other means of social transportation and communication, and all land.

3. The collective ownership of all industries which are organized on a national scale and in which competition has virtually ceased to exist.

4. The extension of the public domain to include mines, quarries, oil wells, forests and water-power.

5. The scientific reforestation of timber lands, and the reclamation of swamp lands. The land so reforested or reclaimed to be permanently retained as a part of the public domain.

6. The absolute freedom of press, speech and assemblage.

7. The improvement of the industrial condition of the workers,

(a) By shortening the workday in keeping with the increased productiveness of machinery.

(b) By securing to every worker a rest period of not less than a day and a half in each week.

(c) By securing a more effective inspection of workshops and factories.

(d) By forbidding the employment of children under sixteen years of age.

(e) By forbidding the interstate transportation of the products of child labor, of convict labor and of all uninspected factories.

(f) By abolishing official charity and substituting in its place compulsory insurance against unemployment, illness, accident, invalidism, old age and death.

8. The extension of inheritance taxes, graduated in proportion to the amount of the bequests and to the nearness of kin.

9. A graduated income tax.

10. Unrestricted and equal suffrage for men and women, and we pledge ourselves to engage in an active campaign in that direction.

11. The initiative and referendum, proportional representation and the right of recall.

12. The abolition of the senate.

13. The abolition of the power usurped by the supreme court of the United States to pass upon the constitutionality of legislation enacted by Congress. National laws to be repealed or abrogated only by act of Congress or by a referendum of the whole people.

14. That the constitution be made amendable by majority vote.

15. The enactment of further measures for general education and for the conservation of health. The bureau of education to be made a department. The creation of a department of public health.

16. The separation of the present bureau of labor from the department of commerce and labor, and the establishment of a department of labor.

17. That all judges be elected by the people for short terms, and that the power to issue injunctions shall be curbed by immediate legislation.

18. The free administration of justice.

Such measures of relief as we may be able to force from capitalism are but a preparation of the workers to seize the whole power of government, in order that they may thereby lay hold of the whole system of industry and thus come to their rightful inheritance.

On May 14 Eugene V. Debs, of Indiana, was nominated as the Socialist candidate for President on the first roll-call. The full vote was as follows :—

Whole vote cast	198
For Eugene V. Debs, of Indiana	159
For James F. Carey, of Massachusetts	16
For Carl D. Thompson, of Wisconsin	14
For A. M. Simons, of Illinois	9

The nomination was then made unanimous.

The vote for a candidate for Vice-President was as follows :—

Whole vote cast	185
For Benjamin Hanford, of New York	106
For Seymour Stedman, of Illinois	42
For May Wood Simons, of Illinois.	20
For John W. Slayton, of Pennsylvania	15
For Caleb Lipscomb, of Missouri.	1
For G. W. Woodby, of California	1

The nomination of Mr. Hanford was also made unanimous. It is an interesting fact that with the exception of Mr. Debs

every person voted for as a candidate for either President or Vice-President was an active member of the convention; also that one of the persons voted for as a candidate for Vice-President was the wife of one who received votes as a candidate for President.

The Republican National Convention was held at Chicago on June 16. Julius C. Burrows, of Michigan, was the temporary chairman, and Henry Cabot Lodge, of Massachusetts, was the permanent president.

Although the nomination of Judge Taft was practically assured, the proceedings of the convention did not lack interest. The friends of other candidates refused to give up hope. They were encouraged — not to a great extent, to be sure — by a certain opposition to Taft inspired by a feeling that he was too closely identified with, and too strongly committed to, the Roosevelt policies. For there was a contingent of the delegates who were not radical in their opinions. But the shadow of Roosevelt covered the convention; and neither the conservatives nor those who were not so much opposed to Taft as favorable to other candidates, when the two bodies were united, could emerge from that shadow. There was a certain amount of concerted action by the advocates of Fairbanks, Hughes, and Cannon, who were known as "the allies." They were not merely a minority, in the end they were not a united minority.

Prior to the meeting of the convention the National Committee took up the matter of contested seats. Most of the contests were of a frivolous nature; a few had some basis. The decisions of the committee were almost uniformly in favor of the "regular" delegates, who were committed to Taft. There is no reason to think that the decisions were wrong, or even doubtful, although the ways of Republican conventions in the Southern States, whence nearly all the contests arose, are not always strictly fair and praiseworthy. The friends of candidates whose contesting delegates were rejected at first declared their purpose of carrying the matter before the full convention, but ultimately they recognized the hopelessness of such a step, and refrained.

There were of course differences over the platform, for the conservatives were not disposed to surrender their principles. The controversy, such as it was, came upon what was known

as the "anti-injunction" plank. The term was a misnomer, for it was not proposed to forbid injunctions in labor disputes, but to urge certain restrictions upon the issuance of writs of injunction. As it was finally adopted by probably two thirds of the Committee on Resolutions, it was not a particularly vigorous paragraph, but it was said to embody the views of Mr. Taft and the President, and was adopted on that account. The opposition was not directed so much against the principle stated, as against the recognition of the principle as a political issue.

As was expected, there was an attempt to stampede the convention for Roosevelt, but if such a movement ever had a chance of success, that chance was thrown away prematurely. Senator Lodge, in his speech on taking the chair as President, made a most complimentary allusion to the President as "the best abused and the most popular man in the United States to-day." Vigorous applause greeted the remark, and the applause was quickly taken up by the throngs in the galleries. It did not cease, but was continued long after the delegates had quieted down. Still it continued. Whether the galleries were "packed" in any other sense than that of being uncomfortably full, no one knows. Possibly the crowd was carried away by its own enthusiasm, born at the moment. Probably not one person in ten who applauded had heard distinctly the words he was cheering. At all events, the din lasted forty-six minutes. It had no effect upon the delegates. They had gone to Chicago to nominate Taft, and were not to be moved from their purpose by a gallery demonstration which might be spontaneous — and might not be.

The platform was reported on the third day of the convention, June 19, and after a discussion of unusual length was adopted. It was as follows: —

Once more the Republican party, in national convention assembled, submits its cause to the people. This great historic organization that destroyed slavery, preserved the Union, restored credit, expanded the national domain, established a sound financial system, developed the industries and resources of the country, and gave to the nation her seat of honor in the councils of the world, now meets the new problems of government with the same courage and capacity with which it solved the old.

In this the great era of American advancement the Republican party has reached its highest service under the leadership of

Theodore Roosevelt. His administration is an epoch in American history. In no other period since national sovereignty was won under Washington, or preserved under Lincoln, has there been such mighty progress in those ideals of government which make for justice, equality, and fair dealing among men. The highest aspirations of the American people have found a voice. Their most exalted servant represents the best aims and worthiest purposes of all his countrymen. American manhood has been lifted to a nobler sense of duty and obligation. Conscience and courage in public station, and higher standards of right and wrong in private life have become cardinal principles of political faith; capital and labor have been brought into closer relations of confidence and interdependence, and the abuse of wealth, the tyranny of power, and all the evils of privilege and favoritism have been put to scorn by the simple, manly virtues of justice and fair play.

The great accomplishments of President Roosevelt have been, first and foremost, a brave and impartial enforcement of the law, the prosecution of illegal trusts and monopolies, the exposure and punishment of evildoers in the public service, the more effective regulation of the rates and service of the great transportation lines, the complete overthrow of preferences, rebates, and discriminations, the arbitration of labor disputes, the amelioration of the condition of wage-workers everywhere, the conservation of the natural resources of the country, the forward step in the improvement of the inland waterways, and always the earnest support and defence of every wholesome safeguard which has made more secure the guarantees of life, liberty, and property.

These are the achievements that will make for Theodore Roosevelt his place in history, but more than all else the great things he has done will be an inspiration to those who have yet greater things to do. We declare our unfaltering adherence to the policies thus inaugurated, and pledge their continuance under a Republican administration of the government.

Under the guidance of Republican principles the American people have become the richest nation in the world. Our wealth to-day exceeds that of England and all her colonies, and that of France and Germany combined. When the Republican party was born, the total wealth of the country was \$16,000,000,000. It has leaped to \$110,000,000,000 in a generation, while Great Britain has gathered but \$60,000,000,000 in five hundred years. The United States now owns one fourth of the world's wealth and makes one third of all modern manufactured products. In the great necessities of civilization, such as coal, the motive power of all activity; iron, the chief basis of all industry; cotton, the staple foundation of all fabrics; wheat, corn, and all the agricultural products that feed

mankind, America's supremacy is undisputed. And yet her great natural wealth has been scarcely touched. We have a vast domain of 3,000,000 square miles, literally bursting with latent treasure, still waiting the magic of capital and industry to be converted to the practical uses of mankind; a country rich in soil and climate, in the unharnessed energy of its rivers, and in all the varied products of the field, the forest, and the factory. With gratitude for God's bounty, with pride in the splendid productiveness of the past, and with confidence in the plenty and prosperity of the future, the Republican party declares for the principle that in the development and enjoyment of wealth so great and blessings so benign there shall be equal opportunity for all.

Nothing so clearly demonstrates the sound basis upon which our commercial, industrial, and agricultural interests are founded, and the necessity of promoting their continued welfare through the operation of Republican policies as the recent safe passage of the American people through a financial disturbance which, if appearing in the midst of Democratic rule or the menace of it, might have equalled the familiar Democratic panics of the past. We congratulate the people upon the renewed evidence of American supremacy, and hail with confidence the signs now manifest of a complete restoration of business prosperity in all lines of trade, commerce, and manufacturing.

Since the election of William McKinley, in 1896, the people of this country have felt anew the wisdom of intrusting to the Republican party, through decisive majorities, the control and direction of national legislation. The many wise and progressive measures adopted at recent sessions of Congress have demonstrated the patriotic resolve of Republican leadership in the legislative department to keep step in the forward march toward better government. Notwithstanding the indefensible filibustering of a Democratic minority in the House of Representatives during the last session, many wholesome and progressive laws were enacted; and we especially commend the passage of the emergency currency bill; the appointment of the national monetary commission; the employers' and government liability laws; the measures for the greater efficiency of the army and navy; the widows' pension bill; the child labor law for the District of Columbia; the new statutes for the safety of railroad engineers and firemen; and many other acts conserving the public welfare.

The Republican party declares unequivocally for a revision of the tariff by a special session of Congress immediately following the inauguration of the next President, and commends the steps already taken to this end in the work assigned to the appropriate committees of Congress, which are now investigating the operation

and effect of existing schedules. In all tariff legislation the true principle of protection is best maintained by the imposition of such duties as will equal the difference between the cost of production at home and abroad, together with a reasonable profit to American industries. We favor the establishment of maximum and minimum rates to be administered by the President under limitations fixed by the law, the maximum to be available to meet discriminations by foreign countries against American goods entering their markets, and the minimum to represent the normal measure of protection at home; the aim and purpose of the Republican policy being not only to preserve, without excessive duties, that security against foreign competition to which American manufacturers, farmers, and producers are entitled, but also to maintain the high standard of living of the wage-earners of this country, who are the most direct beneficiaries of the protective system. Between the United States and the Philippines we believe in a free interchange of products with such limitations as to sugar and tobacco as will afford adequate protection to domestic interests.

We approve the emergency measures adopted by the government during the recent financial disturbance, and especially commend the passage by Congress, at the last session, of the law designed to protect the country from a repetition of such stringency. The Republican party is committed to the development of a permanent currency system, responding to our greater needs, and the appointment of the national monetary commission by the present Congress, which will impartially investigate all proposed methods, insures the early realization of this purpose. The present currency laws have fully justified their adoption, but an expanding commerce, a marvellous growth in wealth and population, multiplying the centres of distribution, increasing the demand for the movement of crops in the West and South and entailing periodic changes in monetary conditions, disclose the need of a more elastic and adaptable system. Such a system must meet the requirements of agriculturists, manufacturers, merchants, and business men generally, must be automatic in operation, minimizing the fluctuations in interest rates, and above all, must be in harmony with that Republican doctrine which insists that every dollar shall be based upon and as good as gold.

We favor the establishment of a postal savings bank system for the convenience of the people and the encouragement of thrift.

The Republican party passed the Sherman anti-trust law over Democratic opposition, and enforced it after Democratic dereliction. It has been a wholesome instrument for good in the hands of a wise and fearless administration. But experience has shown that its effectiveness can be strengthened and its real objects better

attained by such amendments as will give to the federal government greater supervision and control over, and secure greater publicity in, the management of that class of corporations engaged in interstate commerce having power and opportunity to effect monopolies.

We approve the enactment of the railroad rate law and the vigorous enforcement by the present administration of the statutes against rebates and discriminations, as a result of which the advantages formerly possessed by the large shipper over the small shipper have substantially disappeared; and in this connection we commend the appropriation by the present Congress to enable the Interstate Commerce Commission to thoroughly investigate, and give publicity to, the accounts of interstate railroads. We believe, however, that the interstate commerce law should be further amended so as to give railroads the right to make and publish traffic agreements subject to the approval of the commission, but maintaining always the principle of competition between naturally competing lines and avoiding the common control of such lines by any means whatsoever. We favor such national legislation and supervision as will prevent the future overissue of stocks and bonds by interstate carriers.

The enactment in constitutional form at the present session of Congress of the employers' liability law, the passage and enforcement of the safety appliance statutes, as well as the additional protection secured for engineers and firemen; the reduction in the hours of labor of trainmen and railroad telegraphers, the successful exercise of the powers of mediation and arbitration between interstate railroads and their employés, and the law making a beginning in the policy of compensation for injured employés of the government, are among the most commendable accomplishments of the present administration. But there is further work in this direction yet to be done, and the Republican party pledges its continued devotion to every cause that makes for safety and the betterment of conditions among those whose labor contributes so much to the progress and welfare of the country.

The same wise policy which has induced the Republican party to maintain protection to American labor, to establish an eight-hour day in the construction of all public works, to increase the list of employés who shall have preferred claims for wages under the bankruptcy laws, to adopt a child labor statute for the District of Columbia, to direct an investigation into the condition of working women and children, and, later, of employés of telephone and telegraph companies engaged in interstate business; to appropriate \$150,000 at the recent session of Congress in order to secure a thorough inquiry into the causes of catastrophes and loss of life

in the mines, and to amend and strengthen the law prohibiting the importation of contract labor, will be pursued in every legitimate direction within federal authority to lighten the burdens and increase the opportunity for happiness and advancement of all who toil. The Republican party recognizes the special needs of wage-workers generally, for their well-being means the well-being of all. But more important than all other considerations is that of good citizenship, and we especially stand for the needs of every American, whatever his occupation, in his capacity as a self-respecting citizen.

The Republican party will uphold at all times the authority and integrity of the courts, state and federal, and will ever insist that their powers to enforce their process and to protect life, liberty and property shall be preserved inviolate. We believe, however, that the rules of procedure in the federal courts with respect to the issuance of the writ of injunction should be more accurately defined by statute, and that no injunction or temporary restraining order should be issued without notice, except where irreparable injury would result from delay, in which case a speedy hearing thereafter should be granted.

Among those whose welfare is as vital to the welfare of the whole country as is that of the wage-earner is the American farmer. The prosperity of the country rests peculiarly upon the prosperity of agriculture. The Republican party during the last twelve years has accomplished extraordinary work in bringing the resources of the national government to the aid of the farmer, not only in advancing agriculture itself, but in increasing the conveniences of rural life. Free rural mail delivery has been established; it now reaches millions of our citizens, and we favor its extension until every community in the land receives the full benefits of the postal service. We recognize the social and economic advantages of good country roads, maintained more and more largely at public expense and less and less at the expense of the abutting owner. In this work we commend the growing practice of state aid, and we approve the efforts of the national Agricultural Department by experiments and otherwise to make clear to the public the best methods of road construction.

The Republican party has been for more than fifty years the consistent friend of the American negro. It gave him freedom and citizenship. It wrote into the organic law the declarations that proclaim his civil and political rights, and it believes to-day that his noteworthy progress in intelligence, industry, and good citizenship has earned the respect and encouragement of the nation. We demand equal justice for all men, without regard to race or color; we declare once more, and without reservation, for the enforcement

in letter and spirit of the Thirteenth, Fourteenth and Fifteenth amendments to the Constitution, which were designed for the protection and advancement of the negro, and we condemn all devices that have for their real aim his disfranchisement for reasons of color alone, as unfair, un-American, and repugnant to the supreme law of the land.

We indorse the movement inaugurated by the administration for the conservation of natural resources; we approve all measures to prevent the waste of timber; we commend the work now going on for the reclamation of arid lands, and reaffirm the Republican policy of the free distribution of the available areas of the public domain to the landless settler. No obligation of the future is more insistent, and none will result in greater blessings to posterity. In line with this splendid undertaking is the further duty, equally imperative, to enter upon a systematic improvement upon a large and comprehensive plan, just to all portions of the country, of the waterways, harbors, and Great Lakes, whose natural adaptability to the increasing traffic of the land is one of the greatest gifts of a benign Providence.

The present Congress passed many commendable acts increasing the efficiency of the army and navy; making the militia of the states an integral part of the national establishment; authorizing joint manœuvres of army and militia; fortifying new naval bases and completing the construction of coaling stations; instituting a female nurse corps for naval hospitals and ships, and adding two new battleships, ten torpedo boat destroyers, three steam colliers and eight submarines to the strength of the navy. Although at peace with all the world, and secure in the consciousness that the American people do not desire and will not provoke a war with any other country, we nevertheless declare our unalterable devotion to a policy that will keep this Republic ready at all times to defend her traditional doctrines, and assure her appropriate part in promoting permanent tranquillity among the nations.

We commend the vigorous efforts made by the administration to protect American citizens in foreign lands, and pledge ourselves to insist on the just and equal protection of all our citizens abroad. It is the unquestioned duty of the government to procure for all our citizens, without distinction, the rights of travel and sojourn in friendly countries, and we declare ourselves in favor of all proper efforts tending to that end.

Under the administration of the Republican party, the foreign commerce of the United States has experienced a remarkable growth, until it has a present annual valuation of approximately \$3,000,000,000, and gives employment to a vast amount of labor and capital which would otherwise be idle. It has inaugurated through

the recent visit of the Secretary of State to South America and Mexico a new era of Pan-American commerce and comity which is bringing us into closer touch with our twenty sister American republics, having a common historical heritage, a republican form of government, and offering us a limitless field of legitimate commercial expansion.

The conspicuous contributions of American statesmanship to the great cause of international peace so signally advanced in the Hague conferences, are an occasion for just pride and gratification. At the last session of the Senate of the United States eleven Hague conventions were ratified, establishing the rights of neutrals, laws of war on land, restriction of submarine mines, limiting the use of force for the collection of contractual debts, governing the opening of hostilities, extending the application of Geneva principles, and in many ways lessening the evils of war and promoting the peaceful settlement of international controversies. At the same session twelve arbitration conventions with great nations were confirmed, and extradition, boundary, and neutralization treaties of supreme importance were ratified. We indorse such achievements as the highest duty a people can perform, and proclaim the obligation of further strengthening the bonds of friendship and good-will with all the nations of the world.

We adhere to the Republican doctrine of encouragement to American shipping, and urge such legislation as will revive the merchant marine prestige of the country, so essential to national defence, the enlargement of foreign trade, and the industrial prosperity of our own people.

Another Republican policy which must ever be maintained is that of generous provision for those who have fought the country's battles, and for the widows and orphans of those who have fallen. We commend the increase in the widows' pensions, made by the present Congress, and declare for a liberal administration of all pension laws, to the end that the people's gratitude may grow deeper as the memories of heroic sacrifice grow more sacred with the passing years.

We reaffirm our declarations that the Civil Service laws, enacted, extended, and enforced by the Republican party, shall continue to be maintained and obeyed.

We commend the efforts designed to secure greater efficiency in national public health agencies, and favor such legislation as will effect this purpose.

In the interest of the great mineral industries of our country, we earnestly favor the establishment of a bureau of mines and mining.

The American government, in Republican hands, has freed Cuba, given peace and protection to Porto Rico and the Philip-

pires under our flag, and begun the construction of the Panama Canal. The present conditions in Cuba vindicate the wisdom of maintaining between that republic and this imperishable bonds of mutual interest, and the hope is now expressed that the Cuban people will soon again be ready to assume complete sovereignty over their land.

In Porto Rico the government of the United States is meeting loyal and patriotic support; order and prosperity prevail, and the well-being of the people is in every respect promoted and conserved.

We believe that the native inhabitants of Porto Rico should be at once collectively made citizens of the United States, and that all others properly qualified under existing laws residing in said island should have the privilege of becoming naturalized.

In the Philippines insurrection has been suppressed, law is established, and life and property are made secure. Education and practical experience are there advancing the capacity of the people for government, and the policies of McKinley and Roosevelt are leading the inhabitants step by step to an ever increasing measure of home rule.

Time has justified the selection of the Panama route for the great isthmian canal, and events have shown the wisdom of securing authority over the zone through which it is to be built. The work is now progressing with a rapidity far beyond expectation, and already the realization of the hopes of centuries has come within the vision of the near future.

We favor the immediate admission of the territories of New Mexico and Arizona as separate states in the Union.

February 12, 1909, will be the 100th anniversary of the birth of Abraham Lincoln, an immortal spirit, whose fame has brightened with the receding years, and whose name stands among the first of those given to the world by the great republic. We recommend that this centennial anniversary be celebrated throughout the confines of the nation by all the people thereof, and especially by the public schools as an exercise to stir the patriotism of the youth of the land.

We call the attention of the American people to the fact that none of the great measures here advocated by the Republican party could be enacted, and none of the steps forward here proposed could be taken under a Democratic administration or under one in which party responsibility is divided. The continuance of present policies, therefore, absolutely requires the continuance in power of that party which believes in them and which possesses the capacity to put them into operation.

Beyond all platform declarations there are fundamental differences between the Republican party and its chief opponent which

make the one worthy and the other unworthy of public trust. In history the difference between Democracy and Republicanism is that the one stood for debased currency, the other for honest currency; the one for free silver, the other for sound money; the one for free trade, the other for protection; the one for the contraction of American influence, the other for its expansion; the one has been forced to abandon every position taken on the great issues before the people, the other has held and vindicated all.

In experience the difference between Democracy and Republicanism is that one means adversity, while the other means prosperity; one means low wages, the other means high; one means doubt and debt, the other means confidence and thrift.

In principle the difference between Democracy and Republicanism is that one stands for vacillation and timidity in government, the other for strength and purpose; one stands for obstruction, the other for construction; one promises, the other performs; one finds fault, the other finds work.

The present tendencies of the two parties are even more marked by inherent differences. The trend of Democracy is toward socialism, while the Republican party stands for wise and regulated individualism. Socialism would destroy wealth, Republicanism would prevent its abuse. Socialism would give to each an equal right to take; Republicanism would give to each an equal right to earn. Socialism would offer an equality of possession, which would soon leave no one anything to possess; Republicanism would give equality of opportunity, which would assure to each his share of a constantly increasing sum of possessions. In line with this tendency the Democratic party of to-day believes in government ownership, while the Republican party believes in government regulation. Ultimately Democracy would have the nation own the people, while Republicanism would have the people own the nation.

Upon this platform of principles and purposes, reaffirming our adherence to every Republican doctrine proclaimed since the birth of the party, we go before the country, asking the support not only of those who have acted with us heretofore, but of all our fellow citizens who, regardless of past political differences, unite in the desire to maintain the policies, perpetuate the blessings, and make secure the achievements of a greater America.

A minority report was submitted by Mr. Cooper, of Wisconsin, the only member of the Committee on Resolutions who dissented from the platform. He was a representative of the views of Governor La Follette, who had succeeded in bringing the Republican party of Wisconsin to the support of a radical policy — radical, that is, in comparison with the gen-

eral body of opinion in the party, and even more radical than the position of Mr. Roosevelt. Mr. Cooper proposed substitutes for many of the paragraphs in the majority report, and additional paragraphs on subjects not mentioned in that report. The minority report thus expressed dissent on the planks relating to the tariff, to the "trusts," to the regulation of railroad rates, and to the issuance of injunctions in labor cases, and it advocated a law requiring the publicity of campaign expenses, the physical valuation of railroads, an eight-hour law for all persons employed on public works, and the election of United States Senators by direct popular vote. After Mr. Cooper had advocated his minority report, a vote was taken on the substitute with the exception of these reserved paragraphs, and the substitute was rejected, ayes 28, noes 952. Twenty-five of the affirmative votes were given by Wisconsin. The paragraph relating to the publicity of campaign expenses was rejected, ayes 94, nays 880. That relating to the physical valuation of railroads was rejected, ayes 63, noes 917. That relating to the election of senators was rejected, ayes 114, noes 866. The platform as a whole was then adopted by a *viva voce* vote, with apparent although of course not absolute unanimity.

Nominations for the office of President were next in order, and the names of Mr. Cannon, Mr. Fairbanks, Secretary Taft, Governor Hughes, Mr. Foraker, and Mr. La Follette were presented, with the usual demonstrations by the partisans of each. But that demonstration was varied when the name of Governor La Follette was presented. One of the persons in the assemblage held up a large portrait of the President, and immediately there was an outburst of applause which was long continued, even after the sergeant-at-arms had required the portrait to be taken down. Then a man in the gallery unfurled a large flag bearing a portrait of Mr. Roosevelt, and the uproar became greater than ever. Mr. Lodge directed the roll of States to be called, and roll-call began in the midst of the turmoil. The second attempt to stampede the convention failed.

Mr. Taft was nominated on the first roll-call. The vote stood thus : —

Whole number voting	979
Necessary to a choice	490
William H. Taft, of Ohio	702
Philander C. Knox, of Pennsylvania	68

THE ERA OF "PROGRESSIVE" INSURGENCY 181

Charles E. Hughes, of New York	67
Joseph G. Cannon, of Illinois	58
Charles W. Fairbanks, of Indiana	40
Robert M. La Follette, of Wisconsin	25
Joseph B. Foraker, of Ohio	16
Theodore Roosevelt, of New York	3

Mr. Taft had at least one vote from every State and Territory except Indiana, which cast its full vote for Mr. Fairbanks, who had ten scattering votes from other States. Most of the other candidates received votes chiefly as "favorite sons." Thus Mr. Knox had only four votes from outside of Pennsylvania; Mr. Hughes only two from outside of New York; Mr. Cannon but seven from States other than Illinois; and Mr. La Follette's votes came from Wisconsin only. Save four votes for Mr. Foraker from Ohio, the rest were given by Southern delegates. Three Pennsylvanians gave Mr. Roosevelt their votes. The nomination of Mr. Taft was made unanimous.

The nomination of a candidate for Vice-President was made on the fourth day of the convention. As the choice of Mr. Taft was assured long before the convention met, there was much canvassing by the friends of several candidates for the vice-presidency. Efforts were made to induce Mr. Fairbanks again to accept the second place on the ticket, but he steadfastly refused. There was also a strong movement to nominate Governor Hughes, when his candidacy for the first place was seen to be hopeless, but he also declined peremptorily. The first roll-call resulted as follows:—

Whole number voting	980
Necessary to a choice	491
James S. Sherman, of New York	816
Franklin Murphy, of New Jersey	77
Curtis Guild, Jr., of Massachusetts	75
George L. Sheldon, of Nebraska	16
Charles W. Fairbanks, of Indiana	1

The nomination of Mr. Sherman was made unanimous, and the convention adjourned.

The Socialist Labor Convention was held at New York, July 2. Twelve States were represented, and the number of delegates was twenty-three. E. Passams, of New York, was the permanent chairman, although he was elected and reelected day by day. On the first day of the convention a delegate from

a local Socialist Club was received, who urged the convention to indorse the nomination of Mr. Debs. There was a long discussion of the proposition, which no member of the convention supported, and in the end it was unanimously rejected. Two days were occupied in the determination of various matters concerning the policy of the party and in debate on propositions to amend its constitution. On the 5th of July the platform was adopted. Inasmuch as it was the platform of 1904 without any change whatever, it is omitted here.¹ When the nomination of candidates was in order, Mr. Daniel De Leon presented the name of Martin B. Preston, of Nevada, as candidate for the office of President. The only reason for the selection which he gave was that Mr. Preston, when acting as "picket" for his labor union in a time of strike, had killed a man who opposed him, for which deed he was convicted and sentenced to a term of twenty-five years' imprisonment in the Nevada State prison. In 1908 he had completed three years of the term. Mr. De Leon also remarked that Preston was ten years under the constitutional age for holding the office, but he predicted that if he were elected he would be allowed to enter upon the duties of the office. The report of the convention in the official organ of the party says that the nomination was unanimously approved "with indescribable enthusiasm." The business of the convention was completed by the nomination of Donald L. Munro, of Virginia, as a candidate for Vice-President. In consequence of the ineligibility of the candidate for President, August Gilhaus, of New York, was afterward placed at the head of the ticket.

The Democratic Convention was held, July 7-10, at Denver, the most western point at which a national political convention has been held. Both the preliminaries and the proceedings of the Convention were of unusual interest. Theodore A. Bell, of Colorado, was the temporary chairman, and Henry D. Clayton of Alabama, the permanent president.

Although the nomination of Mr. Bryan was as fully assured as any future event could be, there was earnest and even violent opposition to him by the conservative element, represented by the supporters of Judge Gray, of Delaware, and of Governor Johnson, of Minnesota. They hoped against hope. They urged that Mr. Bryan had less than the necessary two thirds

¹ See page 112.

of pledged delegates, that Mr. Bryan could not be elected, and that when the first vote should show him to have less than the requisite majority, the delegates would turn to one or the other of the opposing candidates. Although they put forward the argument with confidence and pertinacity, they allowed doubters to suspect that confidence by suggesting ever and anon that if Mr. Bryan should be chosen it would be well to balance the ticket by placing either Judge Gray or Governor Johnson upon it as the candidate for the second place. But both those gentlemen refused in the most emphatic terms to be considered for the vice-presidency, and were forced by the persistence of their advocates to repeat the refusal, time and again.

The issue, so far as the nomination of Mr. Bryan was concerned, was so generally taken for granted that most of the leading delegates, and large numbers of the rank and file, made the journey westward by way of Lincoln, Nebraska, Mr. Bryan's home, and consulted with him about the other matters to be considered by the convention. It was recognized as altogether desirable that both his "running mate" and the declaration of principles in the platform should be thoroughly acceptable to him. In the end this was effected. The language of the platform on points about which there was some controversy, was submitted to him before being read to the convention, and he is understood to have indicated his choice of the candidate ultimately selected for the vice-presidency.

Several days before the opening of the convention, while the delegates were gathering at Denver, an angry controversy broke out over a proposition to pass a resolution laudatory of President Cleveland, whose death occurred on June 24, a fortnight before the meeting of the convention. Judge Parker, who had been the candidate of the party in 1904, let it be known that he had prepared such a resolution, which was to be offered at the close of the first day's session. The text of the resolution was published and excited the liveliest indignation of the supporters of Mr. Bryan, for the statements it contained that Mr. Cleveland "respected the integrity of the courts," and "maintained the public credit, and stood firm as a rock in defence of sound principles of finance," were regarded as open attacks upon Mr. Bryan and his attitude on two matters of public policy. Judge Parker denied that he had any purpose of assailing Mr. Bryan, but those in control of affairs took the very proper position that phrases capable of

bearing the interpretation Mr. Bryan's friends put upon them, should not appear in a resolution to be considered by the convention. They therefore determined that an unobjectionable resolution should be prepared and presented by some person other than Judge Parker. The plan was carried out. When the resolution was offered on the first day of the Convention, Judge Parker was called to his feet by cries from delegates, and read a mild and inoffensive draft which he had intended to offer, if the chairman had recognized him, but he did not offer it and contented himself with seconding that already before the Convention, which was unanimously adopted.

Three most important matters caused great and prolonged discussion both without and within the convention: the vice-presidency, the decision as to contested seats, and the platform. There were receptive candidates for the second place on the ticket from a dozen or more of the States, beside the two obdurately non-receptive candidates already named, who were nevertheless urged with unyielding persistence. But the controversy over that nomination gradually died out as it became universally admitted that the final choice must be made by Mr. Bryan himself.

The contested seats were many. Idaho sent two sets of delegates, — one "anti-Mormon," — the other, of course, not "Mormon," but opposed to the programme of the "anti-Mormon" set. There was a contest in Illinois which involved a question of the leadership of the party in the State. Similarly a contest over the delegates from the districts in Brooklyn, New York, was really between Tammany Hall and the local leader. The most interesting of all were contests in Pennsylvania, where the leadership of Colonel J. M. Guffey was at stake. There had been and still was a violent personal controversy between Mr. Bryan and Col. Guffey. The National Committee, as was customary, heard the parties to the several contests and made the preliminary roll of the convention, but the committee on credentials devoted no less than seventeen hours to hearing and determining the contests. In two of the cases the committee, and the convention which adopted its conclusions, seem to have taken the wish of a majority of the delegates, and consulted Mr. Bryan's interests, rather than regarded the facts of the election, as their guide. At all events, Tammany was victorious in the Brooklyn case, and Colonel Guffey's delegates were excluded. If less than justice was done in these

cases, the same thing may be said of a long series of unjust decisions of contested seats in Congress and in every State Legislature. And after all no result was changed by the decisions.

A much more important matter required to be decided outside the convention proper. The platform of a party is usually accepted without discussion upon being reported by the Committee on Resolutions. From a party point of view it is extremely desirable that it should be so. It is of course a pure fiction that a platform expresses the opinions of all members of the party which adopts it, even upon the "paramount" issues of the day. Multitudes of free silver men voted for McKinley in 1896, and other multitudes of gold standard men supported Bryan. Nevertheless it is a recognized principle of party strategy to construct platforms in such a way as to avoid alienating a large body of voters, to employ language just strong enough and just vague enough to satisfy both factions in cases where there is a division of sentiment, and above all to avert the catastrophe of a revelation of division by having the controversy brought upon the floor of the convention. There was a serious contest in the Denver convention, as there had been in the Republican convention at Chicago, over the attitude of the party toward injunctions in cases arising out of labor disputes. It was confidently announced prior to the assembling of the delegates that the platform would follow closely the phraseology of the resolutions adopted in March, 1908, by the Nebraska Democratic State convention, which were understood to represent Mr. Bryan's personal views. The salient points of the declaration were a demand that in all such cases writs of injunction should not issue except after notice to the defendants and a hearing; that trial for contempt might be taken by another judge than the one who issued the injunction; and that there should be a trial by jury when the alleged contempt was committed not in the presence of the court. Representatives of organized labor were in attendance urging the adoption of the foregoing or even stronger language; and there was strenuous opposition. As will be seen the resolution ultimately agreed upon was quite different in form from the Nebraska platform, but all parties expressed themselves as satisfied.

There were few incidents of the convention proceedings that call for notice. Mention of the name of Mr. Bryan by Senator

Gore, of Oklahoma, was followed by applause which lasted eighty-seven minutes, substantially twice as long as the Roosevelt demonstration at Chicago, and much the longest cheer ever heard in a national convention. The platform committee was so long a time engaged in completing its work, that at the evening session of Thursday, the 9th, the nominating speeches for a candidate for the presidency were made before the platform was reported.¹ Mr. Bryan, Judge Gray, and Governor Johnson were placed in nomination. There was another full hour of applause when Mr. Bryan was named by Mr. Dunn, of Nebraska, who made the nominating speech.

The Committee on Resolutions reported at midnight. The reading of the platform occupied nearly an hour. The platform was unanimously adopted as follows:—

We, the representatives of the Democrats of the United States, in national convention assembled, reaffirm our belief in, and pledge our loyalty to, the principles of the party.

We rejoice at the increasing signs of an awakening throughout the country. The various investigations have traced graft and political corruption to the representatives of predatory wealth, and laid bare the unscrupulous methods by which they have debauched elections and preyed upon a defenceless public through the subservient officials whom they have raised to place and power.

The conscience of the nation is now aroused to free the government from the grip of those who have made it a business asset of the favor-seeking corporations; it must become again a people's government, and be administered in all its departments according to the Jeffersonian maxim, "Equal rights to all and special privileges to none."

"Shall the people rule?" is the overshadowing issue which manifests itself in all the questions now under discussion.

The Republican Congress in session just ended has made appropriations amounting to \$1,008,000,000, exceeding the total expenditures of the last fiscal year by \$90,000,000, and leaving a deficit of more than \$60,000,000 for the fiscal year. We denounce the needless waste of the people's money which has resulted in this appalling increase as a shameful violation of all prudent conditions of government, as no less than a crime against the millions of working men and women, from whose earnings the great proportion of these colossal sums must be extorted through excessive tariff exactions and other indirect methods. It is not surprising that, in the

¹ Although the official report of the convention, in book form, represents that the platform was presented and adopted before the nominating speeches were made.

face of this shocking record, the Republican platform contains no reference to economical administration or promise thereof in the future. We demand that a stop be put to this frightful extravagance, and insist upon the strictest economy in every department compatible with frugal and efficient administration.

Coincident with the enormous increase in expenditures is a like addition to the number of officeholders. During the last year 23,784 were added, costing \$16,156,000, and in the last six years of the Republican administration the total number of new offices created, aside from many commissions, has been 99,319, entailing an additional expenditure of nearly \$70,000,000, as against only 10,279 new offices created under the Cleveland and McKinley administrations, which involved an expenditure of only \$6,000,000. We denounce this great and growing increase in the number of officeholders as not only unnecessary and wasteful, but also as clearly indicating a deliberate purpose on the part of the Administration to keep the Republican party in power at public expense by thus increasing the number of its retainers and dependents. Such procedure we declare to be no less dangerous and corrupt than the open purchase of votes at the polls.

The House of Representatives was designed by the fathers of the Constitution to be the popular branch of our government, responsive to the public will.

The House of Representatives, as controlled in recent years by the Republican party, has ceased to be a deliberative and executive body, responsive to the will of a majority of its members, but has come under the absolute domination of the Speaker, who has entire control of its deliberations and powers of legislation.

We have observed with amazement the popular branch of our federal government helpless to obtain either the consideration or enactment of measures desired by a majority of its members.

Legislative government becomes a failure when one member, in the person of the Speaker, is more powerful than the entire body.

We demand that the House of Representatives shall again become a deliberative body, controlled by a majority of the people's representatives and not by the Speaker, and we pledge ourselves to adopt such rules and regulations to govern the House of Representatives as will enable a majority of its members to direct its deliberations and control legislation.

We condemn as a violation of the spirit of our institutions the action of the present Chief Executive in using the patronage of his high office to secure the nomination of one of his Cabinet officers. A forced succession in the Presidency is scarcely less repugnant to public sentiment than is life tenure in that office. No good intention on the part of the Executive, and no virtue in the one selected

can justify the establishment of a dynasty. The right of the people freely to select their officials is inalienable and cannot be delegated.

We demand federal legislation forever terminating the partnership which has existed between corporations of the country and the Republican party under the expressed or implied agreement that in return for the contribution of great sums of money, wherewith to purchase elections, they should be allowed to continue substantially unmolested in their efforts to encroach upon the rights of the people.

Any reasonable doubt as to the existence of this relation has been forever dispelled by the sworn testimony of witnesses examined in the insurance investigation in New York and the open admission, unchallenged by the Republican National Committee, of a single individual that he himself, at the personal request of the Republican candidate for the Presidency, raised more than a quarter of a million of dollars to be used in a single State during the closing hours of the last campaign. In order that this practice shall be stopped for all time we demand the passage of a statute punishing with imprisonment any officer of a corporation who shall either contribute on behalf of or consent to the contribution by corporations of any money or thing of value to be used in furthering the election of a President or Vice-President of the United States or of any member of Congress thereof.

We denounce the Republican party, having complete control of the Federal Government, for its failure to pass the bill introduced in the last Congress to compel the publication of the names of contributors and the amounts contributed toward Congress funds, and point to the evidence of their insincerity when they sought by an absolutely irrelevant and impossible amendment to defeat the passage of the bill. As a further evidence of their intention to conduct their campaign in the coming contest with vast sums of money wrested from favor-seeking corporations, we call attention to the fact that the recent Republican National Convention at Chicago refused, when the plank was presented to it, to declare against such practices.

We pledge the Democratic party to the enactment of a law preventing any corporation contributing to a campaign fund, and any individual from contributing an amount above a reasonable maximum, and providing for the publication before election of all such contributions.

Believing, with Jefferson, in "the support of the State Governments in all their rights as the most competent administration for our domestic concerns and the surest bulwark against anti-Republican tendencies," and in "the preservation of the general government in its whole constitutional vigor as the sheet anchor of our

peace at home and safety abroad," we are opposed to the centralization implied in the suggestions, now frequently made, that the powers of the general government should be extended by judicial construction. There is no twilight zone between the Nation and the State in which exploiting interests can take refuge from both; and it is as necessary that the Federal Government shall exercise the powers delegated to it as it is that the State Governments shall use the authority reserved to them, but we insist that Federal remedies for the regulation of interstate commerce and for the prevention of private monopoly shall be added to, not substituted for, State remedies.

We welcome the belated promise of tariff reform now affected by the Republican party in tardy recognition of the righteousness of the Democratic position on this question, but the people cannot safely trust the execution of this important work to a party which is so deeply obligated to the highly protected interests as is the Republican party. We call attention to the significant fact that the promised relief was postponed until after the coming election — an election to succeed in which the Republican party must have that same support from the beneficiaries of the high protective tariff as it has always heretofore received from them; and to the further fact that during years of uninterrupted power no action whatever has been taken by the Republican Congress to correct the admittedly existing tariff iniquities.

We favor immediate revision of the tariff by the reduction of import duties. Articles entering into competition with trust controlled products should be placed upon the free list, and material reductions shall be made in the tariff upon the necessities of life, especially upon articles competing with such American manufactures as are sold abroad more cheaply than at home, and graduated reductions should be made in such other schedules as may be necessary to restore the tariff to a revenue basis.

Existing duties have given to the manufacturers of paper a shelter behind which they have organized combinations to raise the price of pulp and of paper, thus imposing a tax upon the spread of knowledge. We demand the immediate repeal of the tariff on pulp, print paper, lumber, timber and logs, and that these articles be placed upon the free list.

A private monopoly is indefensible and intolerable. We therefore favor the vigorous enforcement of the criminal law against guilty trust magnates and officials, and demand the enactment of such additional legislation as may be necessary to make it impossible for a private monopoly to exist in the United States. Among the additional remedies we specify three: First, a law preventing a duplication of directors among competing corporations; second,

a license system which will, without abridging the right of each State to create corporations or its right to regulate as it will foreign corporations doing business within its limits, make it necessary for a manufacturing or trading corporation engaged in interstate commerce to take out a Federal license before it shall be permitted to control as much as 25 per cent. of the product in which it deals, a license to protect the public from watered stock, and to prohibit the control by such corporation of more than 50 per cent. of the total amount of any product consumed in the United States; and, third, a law compelling such licensed corporations to sell to all purchasers in all parts of the country on the same terms after making due allowance for cost of transportation.

We assert the right of Congress to exercise complete control over interstate commerce and the right of each State to exercise like control over commerce within its borders.

We demand such enlargement of the powers of the Interstate Commerce Commission as may be necessary to enable it to compel railroads to perform their duties as common carriers and prevent discrimination and extortion.

We favor the efficient supervision and rate regulation of railroads engaged in interstate commerce; to this end we recommend the valuation of railroads by the Interstate Commerce Commission, such valuation to take into consideration the physical value of the property, the original cost of production, and all elements of value that will render the valuation fair and just.

We favor such legislation as will prohibit the railroads from engaging in business which brings them into competition with their shippers; also legislation which will assure such reduction in transportation rates as conditions will permit, care being taken to avoid reduction that would compel a reduction of wages, prevent adequate service, or do justice to legitimate investments.

We heartily approve the laws prohibiting the pass and the rebate, and we favor any further legislation to restrain, correct and prevent such abuses.

We favor such legislation as will increase the power of the Interstate Commerce Commission, giving to it the initiative with reference to rates and transportation charges put into effect by the railroad companies, and permitting the Interstate Commerce Commission, on its own initiative, to declare a rate illegal and as being more than should be charged for such service; that the present law relating thereto is inadequate by reason of the fact that the Interstate Commerce Commission is without power to fix or investigate a rate until complaint has been made to it by the shipper.

We further declare that all agreements of traffic or other associations of railway agents affecting interstate rates, service, or classi-

fication shall be unlawful unless filed with and approved by the Interstate Commerce Commission.

We favor the enactment of a law giving to the Interstate Commerce Commission the power to inspect proposed railroad tariff rates or schedules before they shall take effect, and if they be found to be unreasonable to initiate an adjustment thereof.

The panic of 1907, coming without any legitimate excuse, when the Republican party had for a decade been in complete control of the federal government, furnishes additional proof that it is either unwilling or incompetent to protect the interests of the general public. It has so linked the country to Wall Street that the sins of the speculators are visited upon the whole people. While refusing to rescue wealth producers from spoliation at the hands of the stock gamblers and speculators in farm products, it has deposited Treasury funds, without interest and without competition, in favorite banks. It has used an emergency for which it is largely responsible to force through Congress a bill changing the basis of bank currency and inviting market manipulation, and has failed to give to the 15,000,000 depositors of the country protection in their savings.

We believe that in so far as the needs of commerce require an emergency currency such currency should be issued, controlled by the federal government, and loaned on adequate security to national and state banks. We pledge ourselves to legislation under which the national banks shall be required to establish a guarantee fund for the prompt payment of the depositors of any insolvent national bank under an equitable system which shall be available to all state banking institutions which wish to use it.

We favor a postal savings bank if the guaranteed bank cannot be secured, and that it be constituted so as to keep the deposited money in the communities where it is established. But we condemn the policy of the Republican party in proposing postal savings banks under a plan of conduct by which they will aggregate the deposits of rural communities and redeposit the same while under government charge in the banks of Wall Street, thus depleting the circulating medium of the producing regions and unjustly favoring the speculative markets.

We favor an income tax as part of our revenue system, and we urge the submission of a constitutional amendment specifically authorizing Congress to levy and collect a tax upon individual and corporate incomes, to the end that wealth may bear its proportionate share of the burdens of the Federal Government.

The courts of justice are the bulwark of our liberties, and we yield to none in our purpose to maintain their dignity. Our party has given to the bench a long line of distinguished judges, who

have added to the respect and confidence in which this department must be jealously maintained. We resent the attempt of the Republican party to raise issues respecting the judiciary. It is an unjust reflection upon a great body of our citizens to assume that they lack respect for the courts.

It is the function of the courts to interpret the laws which the people create, and if the laws appear to work economic, social, or political injustice, it is our duty to change them. The only basis upon which the integrity of our courts can stand is that of unswerving justice and protection of life, personal liberty, and property. If judicial processes may be abused, we should guard them against abuse.

Experience has proved the necessity of a modification of the present law relating to injunctions, and we reiterate the pledge of our national platforms of 1896 and 1904 in favor of the measure which passed the United States Senate in 1896, but which a Republican Congress has ever since refused to enact, relating to contempts in federal courts and providing for trial by jury in cases of indirect contempt.

Questions of judicial practice have arisen, especially in connection with industrial disputes. We deem that the parties to all judicial proceedings should be treated with rigid impartiality, and that injunctions should not be issued in any cases in which injunctions would not issue if no industrial dispute were involved.

The expanding organization of industry makes it essential that there should be no abridgement of the right of wage-earners and producers to organize for the protection of wages and the improvement of labor conditions, to the end that such labor organizations and their members should not be regarded as illegal combinations in restraint of trade.

We favor the eight-hour day on all government work.

We pledge the Democratic party to the enactment of a law by Congress, as far as the federal jurisdiction extends, for a general employers' liability act, covering injury to body or loss of life of employés.

We pledge the Democratic party to the enactment of a law creating a Department of Labor, represented separately in the President's Cabinet, which department shall include the subject of mines and mining.

We believe in the upbuilding of the American and merchant marine without new or additional burdens upon the people and without bounties from the public Treasury.

The constitutional provision that a navy shall be provided and maintained means an adequate navy, and we believe that the interests of this country would be best served by having a navy suffi-

cient to defend the coasts of this country, and protect American citizens wherever their rights may be in jeopardy.

We pledge ourselves to insist upon the just and lawful protection of our citizens at home and abroad, and to use all proper methods to secure for them, whether native born or naturalized, and without distinction of race or creed, the equal protection of law and the enjoyment of all rights and privileges open to them under our treaty; and if, under existing treaties, the right of travel and sojourn is denied to American citizens, or recognition is withheld from American passports by any countries on the ground of race or creed, we favor prompt negotiations with the governments of such countries to secure the removal of these unjust discriminations.

We demand that all over the world a duly authorized passport issued by the government of the United States to an American citizen shall be proof of the fact that he is an American citizen and shall entitle him to the treatment due him as such.

The laws pertaining to the Civil Service should be honestly and rigidly enforced to the end that merit and ability shall be the standard of appointment and promotion rather than services rendered to a political party.

We favor a generous pension policy, both as a matter of justice to the surviving veterans and their dependents, and because it tends to relieve the country of the necessity of maintaining a large standing army.

We advocate the organization of all existing national public health agencies into a national bureau of public health, with such power over sanitary conditions connected with factories, mines, tenements, child labor, and such other subjects as are properly within the jurisdiction of the Federal Government and do not interfere with the power of the states controlling public health agencies.

The Democratic party favors the extension of agricultural, mechanical, and industrial education. We therefore favor the establishment of district agricultural experiment stations, the secondary agricultural and mechanical colleges in the several states.

We favor the election of United States senators by direct vote of the people, and regard this reform as the gateway to other national reforms.

We welcome Oklahoma to the sisterhood of states, and heartily congratulate her on the auspicious beginning of a great career.

We believe that the Panama Canal will prove of great value to our country, and favor its speedy completion.

The national Democratic party has for the last sixteen years labored for the admission of Arizona and New Mexico as separate States of the Federal Union, and recognizing that each possesses

every qualification to successfully maintain separate State governments, we favor the immediate admission of these Territories as separate States.

The establishment of rules and regulations, if any such are necessary, in relation to free grazing upon the public lands outside of forest or other reservations until the same shall eventually be disposed of should be left to the people of the States respectively in which such lands may be situated.

Water furnishes the cheapest means of transportation, and the National Government, having the control of navigable waters, should improve them to their fullest capacity. We earnestly favor the immediate adoption of a liberal and comprehensive plan for improving every watercourse in the Union which is justified by the needs of commerce, and to secure that end we favor, when practicable, the connection of the Great Lakes with the navigable rivers and with the Gulf through the Mississippi River, and the navigable rivers with each other, and the rivers, bays, and sounds of our coasts with each other by artificial canals, with a view to perfecting a system of inland waterways, to be navigated by vessels of standard draught.

We favor the coördination of the various services of the Government connected with waterways in one service, for the purpose of aiding in the completion of such a system of inland waterways; and we favor the creation of a fund ample for continuous work, which shall be conducted under the direction of a commission of experts to be authorized by law.

We favor Federal aid to State and local authorities in the construction and maintenance of post roads.

We pledge the Democratic party to the enactment of a law to regulate, under the jurisdiction of the Interstate Commerce Commission, the rates and services of telegraph and telephone companies engaged in the transmission of messages between the States.

We repeat the demand for internal development and for the conservation of our natural resources contained in previous platforms, the enforcement of which Mr. Roosevelt has vainly sought from a reluctant party, and to that end we insist upon the preservation, protection, and replacement of needed forests, the preservation of the public domain for homeseekers, the protection of the national resources in timber, coal, iron, and oil against monopolistic control; the development of our waterways for navigation and every other useful purpose, including the irrigation of arid lands, the reclamation of swamp lands, the clarification of streams, the development of water power and the preservation of electric power generated by this natural force from the control

of monopoly; and to such end we urge the exercise of all powers, national, State, and municipal, both separately and in coöperation.

We insist upon a policy of administration of our forest reserve which shall relieve it of the abuses which have arisen thereunder, and which shall, as far as practicable, conform to the police regulations of the several States where they are located, which shall enable homesteaders as of right to occupy and acquire title to all portions thereof which are especially adapted to agriculture, and which shall furnish a system of timber sales available as well to the private citizen as to the larger manufacturer and consumer.

We favor the application of principles of land laws of the United States to our newly acquired territory, Hawaii, to the end that the public lands of that territory may be held and utilized for the benefit of bona-fide homesteaders.

We condemn the experiment in imperialism as an inexcusable blunder which has involved us in enormous expense, brought us weakness instead of strength, and laid our nation open to the charge of abandoning a fundamental doctrine of self-government. We favor an immediate declaration of the nation's purpose to recognize the independence of the Philippine Islands as soon as a stable government can be established, such independence to be guaranteed by us as we guarantee the independence of Cuba, until the neutralization of the islands can be secured by treaty with other powers. In recognizing the independence of the Philippines our government should retain such land as may be necessary for coaling stations and naval bases.

We demand for the people of Alaska and Porto Rico the full enjoyment of the rights and privileges of a territorial form of government. The officials appointed to administer the government of all our territories and the District of Columbia should be thoroughly qualified by previous bona-fide residence.

The Democratic party recognizes the importance and advantage of developing closer ties of Pan-American friendship and commerce between the United States and her sister nations of Latin America, and favors the taking of such steps, consistent with Democratic policies, for better acquaintance, greater mutual confidence, and larger exchange of trade, as will bring lasting benefit not only to the United States, but to this group of American Republics, having constitutions, forms of government, ambitions and interests akin to our own.

We favor full protection, by both national and State governments, within their respective spheres, of all foreigners residing in the United States under treaty, but we are opposed to the admission of Asiatic immigrants who cannot be amalgated with our

population, or whose presence among us would raise a race issue and involve us in diplomatic controversies with Oriental powers.

We believe that where an American citizen holding a patent in a foreign country is compelled to manufacture under his patent within a certain time similar restrictions should be applied in this country to the citizens or subjects of such a country.

The Democratic party stands for democracy; the Republican has drawn to itself all that is aristocratic and plutocratic.

The Democratic party is the champion of civil rights and opportunities to all; the Republican party is the party of privileges and private monopoly. The Democratic party listens to the voice of the whole people and gauges progress by the prosperity and advancement of the average man: the Republican party is subservient to the comparatively few who are the beneficiaries of governmental favoritism. We invite the coöperation of all, regardless of previous political affiliation or past differences, who desire to preserve a government of the people by the people and for the people, and who favor such an administration of the government as will insure, as far as human wisdom can, that each citizen shall draw from society a reward commensurate with his contribution to the welfare of society.

The platform having been adopted unanimously without discussion, a further resolution was moved from the floor, and adopted, urging an appropriate celebration of the one hundredth anniversary of the birth of Abraham Lincoln, on February 12, 1909. The convention then proceeded to make nomination of candidates for the offices of President and Vice-President of the United States. The vote on the nomination of a candidate for President was as follows: —

Whole number of votes ¹	994
Necessary for a choice (two thirds).	666
William J. Bryan, of Nebraska	888½
George Gray, of Delaware	59½
John A. Johnson, of Minnesota	46

The nomination of Mr. Bryan was then made unanimous, and the convention adjourned — at a quarter before four o'clock in the morning, after a continuous session of nearly nine hours.

The business was concluded in the afternoon of the same day, Friday the 10th. Nominating speeches for a candidate for Vice-President were made in favor of John W. Kern, of Indiana, Charles A. Towne, of New York, Archibald McNeil, of Con-

¹ Eight delegates not voting.

necticut, and Clark Howell, of Georgia. All the names except that of Mr. Kern were subsequently withdrawn, and he was nominated by acclamation.

The convention of the Prohibition party was held at Columbus, beginning on July 15. Robert E. Patton, of Illinois, was the temporary chairman, and Charles Scanlon, of Pennsylvania, the permanent president. Thirty-seven States were represented by 1126 delegates.

The proceedings were enlivened only by a somewhat animated controversy among the delegates whether or not woman suffrage should be explicitly advocated, — the outcome of which may be seen in the thirteenth plank of the platform, — and by the canvassing for a multiplicity of candidates for the head of the ticket. The platform, which is of almost unexampled brevity, was as follows:—

The Prohibition party of the United States, assembled in convention at Columbus, Ohio, July 15-16, 1908, expressing gratitude to Almighty God for the victories of our principles in the past, for encouragement at present, and for confidence in early and triumphant success in the future, makes the following declaration of principles, and pledges their enactment into law when placed in power:—

1. The submission by Congress to the several States, of an amendment to the Federal constitution prohibiting the manufacture, sale, importation, exportation, or transportation of alcoholic liquors for beverage purposes.

2. The immediate prohibition of the liquor traffic for beverage purposes in the District of Columbia, in the Territories, and all places over which the National Government has jurisdiction; the repeal of the internal revenue tax on alcoholic liquors and the prohibition of interstate traffic therein.

3. The election of United States Senators by direct vote of the people.

4. Equitable graduated income and inheritance taxes.

5. The establishment of postal savings banks and the guaranty of deposits in banks.

6. The regulation of all corporations doing an interstate commerce business.

7. The creation of a permanent tariff commission.

8. The strict enforcement of law instead of official tolerance and practical license of the social evil which prevails in many of our cities, with its unspeakable traffic in girls.

9. Uniform marriage and divorce laws.

10. An equitable and constitutional employers' liability act.
 11. Court review of Post-Office Department decisions.
 12. The prohibition of child labor in mines, workshops, and factories.
 13. Legislation basing suffrage only upon intelligence and ability to read and write the English language.
 14. The preservation of the mineral and forest resources of the country, and the improvement of the highways and waterways.
- Believing in the righteousness of our cause and the final triumph of our principles, and convinced of the unwillingness of the Republican and Democratic parties to deal with these issues, we invite to full party fellowship all citizens who are with us agreed.

Three trials were necessary to effect the nomination of a candidate for President. They resulted as follows: —

	<i>1st</i>	<i>2d</i>	<i>3d</i>
Eugene W. Chafin, of Illinois . . .	193	226	636
William B. Patmore, of Missouri . .	273	418	415
Joseph P. Tracy, of Michigan . . .	161	81	7
Alfred L. Maniere, of New York . .	159	121	4
Daniel R. Sheen, of Illinois	134	157	12
Frederick F. Wheeler, of California .	72	37	—
Oliver W. Stewart, of Illinois . . .	61	47	—
J. B. Cranfill, of Texas	28	—	—
G. R. Stewart, of Vermont	1	—	—
Charles Scanlon, of Pennsylvania . .	1	—	—
Whole number of votes	1083	1087	1074
Necessary to a choice	542	544	538

The nomination of Mr. Chafin was made unanimous. The convention then proceeded to nominate for Vice-President, by acclamation, the Rev. William B. Patmore, of Missouri, who had led the field as a candidate for the presidency on the first and second votes and was Mr. Chafin's only strong competitor on the third. But Mr. Patmore declined the nomination. At this point there was much confusion and a "parliamentary tangle"; and many of the delegates had already left the hall when the vote was taken for a candidate. The result was: —

Whole number of votes	702
Necessary to a choice	352
Aaron S. Watkins, of Ohio	535
T. B. Demaree, of Kentucky	126
Charles F. Holler, of Indiana	41

The nomination of Mr. Watkins was made unanimous. Both the candidates were also candidates of their party for Governor in their respective States.

The last national convention of the canvass was that of the Independence party—the outgrowth of Mr. Hearst's Independence League. It was held at Chicago, beginning on July 27. William R. Hearst, of New York, was the temporary chairman, and Charles A. Walsh, of Iowa, the permanent president. The number of States represented was not published, but on the final vote for a candidate for President, the number voting was 948.

The only incident of the convention that needs to be mentioned is the angry and even personally hostile treatment visited upon a delegate from Nebraska, who endeavored to present the name of Mr. Bryan as a candidate for nomination by the convention.

The platform adopted was as follows:—

We, independent American citizens, representing the Independence party in forty-four states and two territories, have met in national convention to nominate, absolutely independent of all other political parties, candidates for President and Vice-President of the United States. Our action is based upon a determination to wrest the conduct of public affairs from the hands of selfish interests, political tricksters, and corrupt bosses, and make the government, as the founders intended, an agency for the common good.

At a period of unexampled national prosperity and promise a staggering blow was dealt to legitimate business by the unmolested practice of stock watering and dishonest financiering. Multitudes of defenceless investors, thousands of honest business men, and an army of idle workingmen are paying the penalty. Year by year, fostered by reckless governmental extravagance, by the manipulation of trusts, and by a privilege creating tariff, the cost of living mounts higher and higher. Day by day the control of the government drifts further away from the people and more firmly into the grip of machine politicians and party bosses.

The Republican and Democratic parties are not only responsible for these conditions, but are committed to their indefinite continuance. Prodigious of promises, they are so barren of performance that to a new party of independent voters the country must look for the establishment of a new policy and a return to genuine popular government.

Our object is not to introduce violent innovations or startlingly new features. We of the Independence party look back as Lincoln

did to the Declaration of Independence as the fountain head of all political inspiration. It is not our purpose to attempt to revolutionize the American system of government, but to restore the action of the government to the principles of Washington and Jefferson and Lincoln. It is not our purpose, either, to effect a radical change in the American system of government, but to conserve for the citizens of the United States their privileges and liberties, won for them by the founders of this government, and to perpetuate the principles and policies upon which the nation's greatness has been built.

The Independence party is, therefore, a conservative force in American politics, devoted to the preservation of American liberty and independence, to honesty in elections, to opportunity in business, and to equality before the law.

Those who believe in the Independence party and work with it are convinced that a genuine democracy should exist; that a true republican form of government should continue; that the power of government should rest with the majority of the people, and that the government should be conducted for the benefit of the whole citizenship rather than for the special advantage of any particular class.

As of first importance in order to restore the power of government to the people, to make their will supreme in the primaries, in the elections, and in the control of public officials after they have been elected, we declare for direct nominations, the initiative and referendum, and the right of recall. It is idle to cry out against the evil of bossism while we perpetuate a system under which the boss is inevitable. The destruction of the individual boss is of little value. The people in their politics must establish a system which will eliminate not only an objectionable boss, but the system of bossism. Representative government is made a mockery by the system of modern party conventions dominated by the bosses and controlled by cliques. We demand the natural remedy of direct nominations by which the people not only elect, but — which is far more important — select their representatives.

We believe in the principle of the initiative and referendum, and we particularly demand that no franchise grant go into operation until the terms and conditions have been approved by popular vote in the locality interested.

We demand for the people the right to recall public officials from the public service. The power to make officials resides in the people, and in them also should reside the power to unmake and remove from office any official who demonstrates his unfitness or betrays the public trust.

Of next importance in destroying the power of selfish special

interests and the corrupt political bosses whom they control is to wrest from their hands their main weapon, the corruption fund. We demand severe and effective legislation against all forms of corrupt practices at elections, and advocate prohibiting the use of any money at elections except for meetings, literature, and the necessary travelling expenses of the candidates. Bidding for votes the Republican and Democratic candidates are making an outcry about publicity of contributions, although both the Republican and Democratic parties have for years consistently blocked every effort to pass a corrupt practices act. Publicity of contributions is desirable and should be required, but the main matter of importance is the use to which contributions are put. We believe that the dishonest use of money in the past, whether contributed by individuals or by corporations, has been chiefly responsible for the corruption which has undermined our system of popular government.

We demand honest conduct of public office and business alike, and of economical administration of public affairs, and we condemn the gross extravagance of federal administration and its appalling annual increase in appropriations. Unnecessary appropriations mean unnecessary taxes, and unnecessary taxes, whether direct or indirect, are paid by the people, and add to the ever increasing cost of living.

We condemn the evil of overcapitalization. Modern industrial conditions make the corporation and stock company a necessity, but overcapitalization in corporations is as harmful and criminal as is personal dishonesty in an individual.

Compelling the payment of dividends upon great sums that have never been invested, upon masses of watered stock not justified by the property, overcapitalization prevents the better wages, the better public service, and the lower cost that should result from American inventive genius and that wide organization which is replacing costly individual competition. The collapse of dishonestly inflated enterprises robs investors, closes banks, destroys confidence, and engenders panics. The Independence party advocates as a primary necessity for sounder business conditions and improved public service the enactment of laws, state and national, to prevent watering of stock, dishonest issue of bonds, and other forms of corporation frauds.

We denounce the so-called labor planks of the Republican and Democratic platforms as political buncombe and contemptible claptrap, unworthy of national parties claiming to be serious and sincere.

The Republican declaration that injunction or temporary or restraining order should not be issued without notice, except where

irreparable injury would result from delay, is empty verbiage, for a showing of irreparable injury can always be made, and is always made, in ex parte affidavits.

The Democratic declaration that "injunctions should not be issued in any case in which injunctions should not issue if no industrial dispute were involved" is meaningless and worthless.

Such insincere and meaningless declarations place a low estimate upon the intelligence of the average American workingman, and exhibit either ignorance of or indifference to the real interest of labor.

The Independence party condemns the arbitrary use of the writ of injunction and contempt proceedings as a violation of the fundamental American right of trial by jury.

From the foundation of our Government down to 1872 the Federal Judiciary act prohibited the issue of any injunction without reasonable notice until after a hearing. We assert that in all actions growing out of a dispute between employers and employes concerning terms or conditions of employment no injunction should issue until after a trial upon the merits, that such trial should be held before a jury, and that in no case of alleged contempt should any person be deprived of liberty without a trial by jury.

The Independence party believes that the distribution of wealth is as important as the creation of wealth, and indorses these organizations among farmers and workers which tend to bring about a just distribution of wealth through good wages for workers and good prices for farmers, and which protect the employer and the consumer through equality of price for labor and for product, and we favor such legislation as will remove them from the operation of the Sherman anti-trust law.

We indorse the eight-hour work day, favor its application to all Government employes, and demand the enactment of laws requiring that all work done for the Government, whether Federal or State, and whether done directly or indirectly through contractors or sub-contractors shall be done on an eight-hour basis.

We favor the enactment of a law defining as illegal any combination or conspiracy to black-list employes.

We demand protection for workmen through enforced use of standard safety appliances and provisions of hygienic conditions in the operation of factories, railways, mills, mines, and all industrial undertakings.

We advocate State and Federal inspection of railways to secure a greater safety for railway employes and for the travelling public. We call for the enactment of stringent laws fixing employers' liabilities, and a rigid prohibition of child labor through coöperation between the State governments and the National Government.

We condemn the manufacture and sale of prison-made goods in the open market in competition with free labor manufactured goods. We demand that convicts shall be employed direct by the different States in the manufacture of products for use in State institutions and in making good roads, and in no case shall convicts be hired out to contractors or sub-contractors.

We favor the creation of a Department of Labor, including mines and mining, the head of which shall be a member of the President's Cabinet.

The great abuses of grain inspection, by which the producers are plundered, demand immediate and vigorous correction. To that end we favor Federal inspection under a strict civil service law.

The Independence party declares that the right to issue money is inherent in the Government, and it favors the establishment of a central governmental bank, through which the money so issued shall be put into general circulation.

We demand a revision of the tariff, not by the friends of the tariff, but by the friends of the people, and declare for a gradual reduction of tariff duties, with just consideration for the rights of the consuming public and of established industry. There should be no protection for oppressive trusts which sell cheaply abroad and take advantage of the tariff at home to crush competition, raise prices, control production, and limit work and wages.

The railroads must be kept open to all upon exactly equal terms. Every form of rebate and discrimination in railroad rates is a crime against business and must be stamped out. We demand adequate railroad facilities and advocate a bill empowering shippers in time of need to compel railroads to provide sufficient cars for freight and passenger traffic and other railroad facilities through summary appeal to the courts. We favor the creation of an Interstate Commerce Court, whose sole function it shall be to review speedily and enforce summarily the orders of the Interstate Commerce Commission. The Interstate Commerce Commission has the power to initiate investigation into the reasonableness of rates and practices, and no increase in rates should be put into effect until opportunity for such investigation is afforded. The Interstate Commerce Commission should proceed at once with a physical valuation of railroads engaged in interstate commerce.

We believe that legitimate organizations in business designed to secure an economy of operation and increased production are beneficial wherever the public participates in the advantages which result. We denounce all combinations for restraint of trade and for the establishment of monopoly in all products of labor, and declare that such combinations are not combinations for production,

but for extortion, and that activity in this direction is not industry, but robbery.

In cases of infractions of the Anti-Trust law or of the Interstate Commerce act, we believe in the enforcement of a prison penalty against the guilty and responsible individuals controlling the management of the offending corporations, rather than a fine imposed upon stockholders.

We advocate the extension of the principle of public ownership of public utilities, including railroads, as rapidly as municipal, State, or National Government shall demonstrate ability to conduct public utilities for the public benefit. We favor specifically government ownership of the telegraphs, such as prevails in every other civilized country in the world, and demand as an immediate measure that the Government shall purchase and operate the telegraphs in connection with the postal service.

The parcels post system should be rapidly and widely extended, and government postal savings banks should be established where the people's deposits will be secure, the money to be loaned to the people in the locality of the several banks at a rate of interest to be fixed by the government.

We favor the immediate development of a national system of good roads connecting all states, and national aid to states in the construction and maintenance of post roads.

We favor a court of review of the censorship and arbitrary rulings of the Post-Office Department.

We favor the admission of Arizona and New Mexico to separate statehood.

We advocate such legislation, both state and national, as will suppress the bucket shop and prohibit the fictitious selling of farm products for future delivery.

We favor the creation of a national department of public health, to be presided over by a member of the medical profession, this department to exercise such authority over matters of public health, hygiene, and sanitation which come properly within the jurisdiction of the national government as does not interfere with the rights of states or municipalities.

We oppose Asiatic immigration, which does not amalgamate with our population, creates race issues and un-American conditions, and which reduces wages and tends to lower the high standard of living and the high standard of morality which American civilization has established.

We demand the passage of an exclusion act which shall protect American workingmen from competition with Asiatic cheap labor and which shall protect American civilization from the contamination of Asiatic conditions.

The Independence party declares for peace and against aggression, and will promote the movement for the settlement of international disputes by arbitration.

We believe, however, that a small navy is poor economy, and that a strong navy is the best protection in time of war and the best preventive of war. We therefore favor the speedy building of a navy sufficiently strong to protect at the same time both the Atlantic and Pacific Coasts of the United States.

We rejoice in the adoption by both the Democratic and Republican platforms of the demand of the Independence party for improved national waterways and the Mississippi inland deep-waters project, to complete a ship canal from the Gulf to the Great Lakes. We favor the extension of this system to the tributaries of the Mississippi, by means of which thirty states shall be served and 20,000 miles added to the coast line of the United States. The reclamation of arid land should be continued and the irrigation programme now contemplated by the government extended and steps taken for the conservation of the country's natural resources, which should be guarded not only against devastation and waste, but against falling into the control of the monopoly.

The abuses growing out of the administration of our forest preserves must be corrected, and provisions should be made for free grazing from public lands outside of forest or other reservations. In behalf of the people residing in arid portions of our Western states we protest vigorously against the policy of the federal government in selling the exclusive use of water and electric power derived from public works to private corporations, thus creating a monopoly and subjecting citizens living in those sections to exorbitant charges for light and power, and diverting enterprises originally started for public benefit into channels for corporate greed and oppression, and we demand that no more exclusive contracts be made.

American citizens abroad, whether native born or naturalized, and of whatever race or creed, must be secured in the enjoyment of all rights and privileges under our treaties, and wherever such rights are withheld by any country on the ground of race or religious faith, steps should be taken to secure the removal of such unjust discrimination.

We advocate the popular election of United States Senators, and of judges, both state and federal, and favor a graduated income tax and any constitutional amendment necessary to these ends.

Equality of opportunity, the largest measure of individual liberty consistent with equal rights; the overthrow of the rule of special interest and the restoration of government by the majority

exercised for the benefit of the whole community; these are the purposes to which the Independence party is pledged, and we invite the coöperation of all patriots and progressive citizens, irrespective of party, who are in sympathy with these principles and in favor of their practical enforcement.

The nomination of a candidate for President was effected only on the third trial. The result on each vote was as follows:—

	<i>1st</i>	<i>2d</i>	<i>3d</i>
Thomas L. Hisgen, of Massachusetts	391	590	831
John T. Graves, of Georgia	213	189	77
Milford W. Howard, of Alabama	200	109	38
Reuben R. Lyon, of New York	71	—	—
William R. Hearst, of New York	49	49	2
Whole number of votes	924	937	948
Necessary to a choice (two thirds)	617	624	632

The customary vote to make the nomination of Mr. Hisgen unanimous was adopted. John Temple Graves, of Georgia, was nominated for Vice-President by acclamation.

Official and ceremonial notification of nominations has become a prominent feature of every presidential canvass. It is not technically the opening of the campaign, but is made the occasion of great popular demonstrations and enables candidates to sound a "keynote." Mr. Taft was informed of his nomination at Cincinnati, on July 28; Mr. Bryan, at his home in Lincoln, on August 12; Mr. Hisgen, in New York City, on August 31. Later came the notifications to the candidates for Vice-President, — Mr. Sherman, at Utica, Mr. Kern, at Indianapolis, and Mr. Graves, at Atlanta. There were great throngs of people at all these ceremonies. In some cases the attempt was made, with a certain amount of success, to make the occasion non-partisan.

Both Mr. Taft and Mr. Bryan intended originally not to make any political tours. It was announced at first that Mr. Bryan would conduct a "front porch" campaign, that he would stay at home and make speeches to such friends and supporters as might call upon him there. Mr. Taft, who made a long stay at Hot Springs, Virginia, caused it to be known that under no circumstances would he journey over the country on a stump-ing tour. Both of them changed their plans. Moreover, Mr. Hisgen and Mr. Chafin were seen on the stump in many States. Mr. Bryan was first in the field. He started on the 20th of

August on a seven-days' trip and spoke at many points in Indiana, Illinois, Iowa, and Kansas. On the 30th he began another tour which took him as far as Minnesota and the Dakotas. He made a third, much longer trip, beginning September 6, and before his return had spoken in States so far apart as Rhode Island and Colorado. The closing weeks of the canvass found him in the East, devoting much attention to New York, New Jersey, and Ohio.

Mr. Taft made his first political speech at Hot Springs, on August 21, and although he spoke a few times later in the month and early in September at various places in Ohio, did not enter upon an extended tour until September 23. From that time until the day of election he was almost constantly travelling and addressing rallies of his supporters. His itinerary carried him all over the Middle West, and he also visited Colorado, Wyoming, and the Dakotas, Missouri, and Nebraska. Toward the end of the campaign he was in the East, and spoke in several of the "border" States, Kentucky, West Virginia, Tennessee, Virginia, and North Carolina. Like Mr. Bryan he closed the campaign in New York and Ohio.

There was the usual optimism on both sides regarding the result. Vermont held its State election on September 1, and registered a little less — some two thousand — than the usual Republican majority, a result which gave the Democrats some encouragement. A week later Maine followed with a large reduction. The Republican plurality was but a little more than 8000, which was less than half the customary plurality. The Republicans explained that local conditions and local questions were answerable for the decline, and the assertion was true, but the Democrats believed that it presaged victory for them. But aside from the managers of the campaign, and those whom they could inspire with hopefulness, the belief that Mr. Taft was to be elected was general.

The election took place on November 3, and resulted in a Republican victory. The popular and electoral vote is shown in the accompanying table.

An analysis of the vote will reveal several points worthy of notice. The aggregate vote increased over that at the election of 1904 almost exactly ten per cent, — 1,362,881, — but it increased less than seven per cent over the enormous vote of 1896. But in the sixteen Southern States — from all the analyses Oklahoma is omitted, as it did not participate in any

STATES	POPULAR VOTE							ELECTORAL VOTE	
	Taft and Sherman Republican	Bryan and Kern Democratic	Chafin and Watkins Prohibition	Debs and Hanford Socialist	Gillhaus and Munro Socialist-Labor	Watson and Williams Populist	Hiegen and Graves Independence	Taft and Sherman	Bryan and Kern
Alabama	26283	74374	665	1399	-	1568	495	-	11
Arkansas	56760	87015	1194	5842	-	1026	289	-	9
California	214398	127492	11770	28659	-	-	4278	10	-
Colorado	123700	126644	5559	7974	-	-	-	-	5
Connecticut	112815	68255	2380	5113	608	-	728	7	-
Delaware	25014	22071	670	239	-	-	30	3	-
Florida	10654	31104	553	3747	-	1946	1356	-	5
Georgia	41692	72413	1059	584	-	16969	77	-	13
Idaho	52621	36162	1986	6400	-	-	119	3	-
Illinois	629932	450810	29364	34711	1680	633	7724	27	-
Indiana	348993	338262	18045	13476	643	1193	514	15	-
Iowa	275210	200771	9837	8287	-	261	404	13	-
Kansas	197216	161209	5033	12420	-	-	68	10	-
Kentucky	235711	244092	5887	4185	404	333	200	-	13
Louisiana	8958	63568	-	2538	-	-	82	-	9
Maine	66987	35403	1487	1758	-	-	700	6	-
Maryland	116513	115908	3302	2323	-	-	485	2	6
Massachusetts	265966	155543	4379	10781	1018	-	19239	16	-
Michigan	333313	174619	16795	11527	1086	-	734	14	-
Minnesota	195843	109401	11107	14527	-	-	426	11	-
Mississippi	4363	60287	-	978	-	1276	-	-	10
Missouri	347203	346574	4284	15431	868	1165	402	18	-
Montana	32333	29326	827	5855	-	-	481	3	-
Nebraska	126997	131099	5179	3524	-	-	-	-	8
Nevada	10775	11212	-	2103	-	-	436	-	3
New Hampshire	53149	33655	905	1299	-	-	584	4	-
New Jersey	265326	182567	4934	10253	1196	-	2922	12	-
New York	870070	667468	22667	38451	3877	-	35817	39	-
North Carolina	114887	136928	-	345	-	-	-	-	12
North Dakota	57680	32885	1496	2421	-	-	43	4	-
Ohio	572312	502721	11402	33795	721	162	439	23	-
Oklahoma	110558	122406	-	21779	-	434	244	-	7
Oregon	62530	38049	2682	7339	-	-	289	4	-
Pennsylvania	745779	448785	36694	33913	1222	-	1057	34	-
Rhode Island	43942	24706	1016	1365	183	-	1105	4	-
South Carolina	3965	62290	-	100	-	-	43	-	9
South Dakota	67536	40266	4039	2846	-	-	88	4	-
Tennessee	118324	135608	300	1870	-	1081	332	-	12
Texas	65666	217302	1634	7870	176	994	115	-	18
Utah	61165	42601	-	4890	-	-	92	3	-
Vermont	39552	11496	799	-	-	-	804	4	-
Virginia	52573	82946	1111	255	25	105	51	-	12
Washington	106062	58691	4700	14177	-	-	249	5	-
West Virginia	137869	111418	5139	3679	-	-	46	7	-
Wisconsin	247747	166662	11565	28147	314	-	-	13	-
Wyoming	20846	14918	66	1715	-	-	64	3	-
Total	7677738	6407982	252511	420890	14021	29146	83651	321	162

election before 1908—the vote was 434,800 less in 1908 than in 1896.

A comparison of the vote of 1908 with that of 1904, either

as a whole or by groups of States, seems to confirm the conclusions advanced in the previous chapter. It was there suggested that the "safe and sane" policy of the Democrats led a considerable body of that party to vote for Mr. Roosevelt in preference to Judge Parker, in the belief that the Republican candidate was the more radical of the two, and that it also caused a much larger number to withhold their votes altogether. The return of Mr. Bryan to the leadership detached from the Republicans those radical Democrats who had supported Mr. Roosevelt four years before, and it also drew to Mr. Taft some conservative Democrats who had voted for Judge Parker. At the same time the abstainers of 1904 now went to the polls for Mr. Bryan. As a result the gains and losses of the Republican candidate virtually offset each other, and the Democratic vote was largely increased. The aggregate vote does not contradict this theory. Mr. Taft's total vote was less than 50,000 more than Roosevelt's, but Mr. Bryan's was 1,323,000 more than Parker's.

Comparing, as in the last chapter, the vote for the leading candidates by groups of States, we find that in New England, which is rather more conservative than some other parts of the country, the change was small, as it was between 1900 and 1904. In round numbers the comparison stands thus:—

	1904	1908
Republican	569,600	576,400
Democratic	335,000	329,000

If any inference may be drawn it is that a larger number of conservative Democrats deserted their party than had been the case in 1904. But that assertion cannot be made of any other group of States. In New York, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania the comparison shows:—

	1904	1908
Republican	1,945,600	1,881,200
Democratic	1,184,000	1,298,800

Here the Republicans lost 64,400, and the Democrats gained 114,800. The change is not a large one, but so far as it goes it is the reversal of the tendency of the previous four years which we should expect. The tendency to a return to normal conditions is more strongly marked in the next group—Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, and Michigan,—as the reverse tendency was stronger four years before. In 1904 the change

in the four States was a gain of 170,000 by the Republicans, a loss of 420,000 by the Democrats. Now the change is indicated by the following figures:—

	1904	1908
Republican	1,963,900	1,875,600
Democratic	1,080,800	1,466,400

That is, a loss of 88,000 by the Republicans, and a gain of 385,000 by the Democrats. But the net result of the change in eight years is an increase of 114,000 in the Republican majority. The change in the seven States of Iowa, Kansas, Nebraska, Wisconsin, Minnesota, and the Dakotas, is still more pronounced. In 1904 the Republicans gained 116,400 over their vote in 1900; the Democrats lost 344,700. The comparison between 1904 and 1908 is as follows:—

	1904	1908
Republican	1,278,600	1,168,200
Democratic	501,300	842,300

That is, the Democrats gained 341,000; the Republicans lost 110,400; and the net result, as compared with 1900, was a gain of 6000 by the Republicans, and of 24,700 by the Democrats,—in short, an almost precise return to the former conditions. The other Western and the Pacific States—nine in number, growing in population more rapidly than the rest of the country—show the same tendency. In 1904 the Republicans cast 194,600 more votes than in 1900; the Democrats, 132,500 fewer. In 1908 the Republicans increased their vote by only 10,000; the Democrats by 163,600, as is indicated by the following statement:—

	1904	1908
Republican	674,400	684,400
Democratic	321,500	485,100

It is to be borne in mind, with reference to this last group of States, that in 1900 the effect of the Free Silver campaign had not disappeared altogether, and the Republicans had a net plurality of only 25,800, which was increased in 1904 to 352,900, and decreased in 1908 to 199,300.

Finally we have the sixteen Southern States. There are contests comparable to those in the North in Delaware, Maryland, West Virginia, Kentucky, and Missouri; the voting is of a more languid character in North Carolina, Tennessee, and

Arkansas, but elections have a certain appearance of being contested, which is not the case in Virginia, South Carolina, Georgia, Alabama, Florida, Mississippi, Louisiana, and Texas. But it is best to consider the whole group of sixteen States, without making the distinction. In 1900 the Democrats had a net majority in those States of 495,400; in 1904 their plurality was 412,200, the combined vote for the two leading parties having decreased 571,400. The record for the elections of 1904 and 1908 was:—

	1904	1908
Republican	1,244,400	1,366,400
Democratic	1,656,600	1,863,900

The Republican vote increased 122,000, but was still 122,100 below that of 1900. The Democratic vote increased 207,300, but was still 120,000 below the 1900 vote. The aggregate vote for the two leading candidates in 1908 exceeded that in 1904 by 299,300, of which number 167,700 was contributed by the five States which were closely contested, 89,600 by the three States of North Carolina, Tennessee, and Arkansas, and only 40,000 by the other eight.

It is a common impression that the aggregate vote given to the minor parties and candidates shows a tendency to increase. Such is not the fact. General Weaver received a larger popular vote in 1892 than the combined votes given to all the minor candidates in either 1904 or 1908. The Socialist party has increased its vote largely at the last two elections, and its vote was but slightly larger in 1908 than in 1904. The aggregate vote given to all the minor candidates at the last eight elections is given below:—

Year	Minor Candidates
1880	318,883
1884	325,736
1888	400,510
1892	1,318,259 ¹
1896	538,881 ²
1900	394,809 ³
1904	809,881 ⁴
1908	800,219 ⁵

¹ General Weaver, 1,040,886.

² Bryan and Watson, 222,583.

³ Socialist vote, 94,864.

⁴ Socialist vote, 402,895.

⁵ Socialist vote, 420,890.

In 1904 for the first time the Socialist candidates received some votes in every state. In 1908 they were voted for in every State except Vermont.

The count of the electoral votes took place on February 10, 1909. The proceedings were identical in form with those that were observed in 1905. The concurrent resolution prescribing the form was passed by both houses of Congress without a suggestion of amendment, without debate, and without opposition. The only incident of the count—and it is hardly worthy of mention—is that the electors for the State of Wisconsin were found to have certified that their votes for President were given to William H. Taft, of New York. The tellers were permitted to treat the error as an accident, and the votes were counted as for Mr. Taft, of Ohio.

The inauguration, which took place on March 4, 1909, possessed some features worthy of notice. Arrangements were made for unusual display and ceremony. The installation of a President in office has gradually become an occasion for spectacular effects and for immense gatherings of politicians and of supporters of the new President. It was estimated that on the great day in 1909 Washington contained more than a hundred thousand visitors who had been drawn to the capital city to witness the advent of a new administration.

The Weather Bureau predicted a fine day for the ceremony, but the weather is capricious in early March, and Washington awoke on that morning to find a severe storm raging — wind, and snow, and sleet, and rain. Most elaborate bunting decorations adorned the buildings along Pennsylvania Avenue. They were drenched and drooping long before the President and the President-elect entered the motor-car at the White House to proceed to the Capitol. When the distinguished company was assembled in the Senate Chamber — both houses of Congress, the Supreme Court, the Cabinet, and the Diplomatic Corps — the oath was administered to Mr. Sherman, the Vice-President-elect, who delivered a brief inaugural address, the Senate adjourned, the Senate as it was to be constituted for the ensuing two years was called to order, and the oath was administered to the new senators.

At this point it is customary for a procession to be formed to proceed to the east front of the Capitol, where the oath of office is taken by the new President in the presence of assembled tens of thousands of people. But owing to the extremely in-

clement weather and the age of many of those in official position who would take part in the procession, the inauguration took place in the Senate Chamber. The oath was administered to Mr. Taft by Chief Justice Fuller, — the sixth, and last, time that he inducted a President into office. The ambition of Mr. Taft, of which he made no secret, to occupy a seat on the bench of the Supreme Court, led to an interesting variation of the ceremony. It has been the custom of Presidents to take the oath on a Bible, usually presented to them for the purpose, and to retain the book. But Mr. Taft wished to make use of the Bible on which, for well-nigh a century, justices of the Supreme Court have placed a hand when taking the oath.

After the delivery of the inaugural address, Mr. Roosevelt, now a private citizen, retired from the Senate Chamber, hotly applauded as he withdrew, and under the escort of a large body of New Yorkers, went directly to the railway station, where he was soon joined by Mrs. Roosevelt, and took the train for his home at Oyster Bay.

That also was a departure from custom, for it has been usual for the retiring President to accompany his successor not only in going to the Capitol, but on the return to the White House. On this occasion both Mr. Taft and Mr. Sherman were accompanied by their wives on the return journey. The parade which had been planned was carried out in spite of slush in the street and sleet in the air, and the newly installed President and Vice-President reviewed it from a stand in front of the White House.

IV

THE REPUBLICAN SCHISM

ON the morning after the inauguration, in 1909, many of the daily newspapers of the country "featured" a photograph of the outgoing and incoming Presidents standing side by side, which was taken the moment before they left together the White House for the Capitol, where Mr. Taft was to take the oath of office. The two men, then so friendly, were nevertheless to be, willingly or unwillingly, the central figures in the most furiously waged contest that ever wrecked an American political party.

The breach, the division, seen after the event to have been inevitable, had many contributing causes, but the underlying cause was the strong personality of Theodore Roosevelt, which had won for him a countless host of followers, unalterably determined to accept none but him as a leader.

The Republican party was organized originally for a radical purpose, to stem the progress of slavery. Gradually, so soon as its chief objects — emancipation, restoration of the Union, reconstruction, and a protective tariff — had been achieved, it became essentially the conservative party of the country; and the Democrats, allying themselves successively with Greenbackers, Populists, and Free Silver men, fell completely under the control of a radical element. The Democratic party, nevertheless, still retained in its membership a considerable contingent of conservatives, many of whom manifested their independence by their support of Palmer and Buckner in 1896; others, in order to preserve their party standing and regularity, and in the hope of a revulsion sooner or later against radicalism, voted the straight ticket in that election, with great reluctance. Eight years later, after two party defeats, they were nominally allowed to assume control in order to test their strength in the country. The result was a third defeat and a resumption of leadership by the radicals.

Meantime, on the death of President McKinley, Theodore Roosevelt had succeeded to office. Although, during the remainder of the McKinley term, so far as his natural

disposition permitted he kept his promise to continue the policies — they were conservative policies — of his predecessor, he soon gave unmistakable indications that the bent of his mind was not merely mildly but strongly radical. Yet he had obtained an extraordinary hold upon the people of the country, and his nomination for a full term and his election were inevitable. The really conservative opinion within his party made little secret of its opposition to him, but there was no open opposition after he was nominated. There is no reason to think that any considerable number of Republicans, certainly no recognized leader, voted for Parker and Davis as representing a more conservative tendency. On the other hand, there is evidence, easily deducible from the election returns, that a great many radical Democrats, angry at the temporary self-effacement of their own wing of the party, deserted their candidates and supported Roosevelt as the candidate most nearly reflecting their own political principles and aspirations. Inasmuch as Mr. Roosevelt was enthusiastically supported by a great body of admirers in his own party, who were neither conservative nor radical by strong conviction, but ready to be carried in either direction by a powerful leader; also by the conservative rank and file because they could not do otherwise, however seriously they might distrust their candidate; and by a host of temporary recruits from the other party; his success at the polls was imposing — a popular majority of more than two and a half million votes and an electoral majority of much more than two to one, including the votes of every northern State and of two of those usually classed as southern.

It has already been carefully emphasized, in the preceding chapter, that the result of the election of 1904 was in effect, if not a mandate to the President then chosen to lead his party and the country to the enforcement of a radical programme, at least a certificate of permission to do so. He accepted the permission and acted upon it during his full term of four years. No criticism of him for so acting is just. Two consequences, which were not then foreseen, but which are now seen to have been inevitable, were the rending of the Republican party into two factions, and the organization of the mighty host of Roosevelt's followers, most of them previously indifferent as between radicalism and conservatism, into a body of eager and enthusiastic advocates of any extreme policy Mr. Roosevelt might urge, and looking to him as the one and only agent earnest enough

and strong enough to make the proposed reform effective and complete.

It was the loyalty, little short of idolatry, to Mr. Roosevelt that furnished a sufficient explanation of the prolonged attempt in the convention of 1908 to stampede it in his favor. There is in existence—it has never been published—absolutely convincing evidence of Mr. Roosevelt's sincerity in the wish that Mr. Taft should be nominated. Indeed, if there is any criticism to be made upon him in that contest it is that he transcended the bounds of propriety by his activity in Taft's behalf and by sanctioning tactics to accomplish his object which he condemned in no measured terms four years later, when the same tactics were employed against himself. The attempt to stampede the convention, whether carefully prepared in advance or spontaneous, was not prepared by him, but it was a proof of the fact that the throng of his admiring followers felt impatient and intolerant of being under any other leader, even under one chosen by the leader himself. In that respect Mr. Taft was less fortunate than the only other man in our political history who was placed in a similar situation. Van Buren also was chosen as his successor by the President, who was, like Roosevelt, a strong and domineering personality and the object of extraordinary political veneration. He too went down to defeat for a second election; but neither did Jackson turn against him, nor did Jackson's followers fail in their loyalty. Yet the two cases are so far parallel that it may be said that in neither case could any man of the same party as the retiring President have made a successful administration.

Let us consider the situation. In spite of the great popular and electoral majorities he had received, Mr. Taft was not in the ordinary sense a popular man, and did not enjoy the public confidence to a large degree. Not only were the thick-and-thin adherents of Roosevelt suspicious of his earnestness in carrying on the policies bequeathed to him, but the conservatives, in view of the fact that he was the choice of one whom they distrusted, had a more than vague apprehension that he would continue the warfare for changes which they did not approve and would prolong the period of business unrest. In short, the party which elected Taft was already divided when he took office, and there was laid upon him the hopeless task of satisfying both wings of it. At all events, that was the task he undertook and, hopeless though it was, one for which he had

unusual qualifications. For he was both a reformer and a conservative, by no means either a radical or, in the slang of the day, a "stand-patter." The task was hopeless because the radical wing of the party, created by Roosevelt, would be satisfied with nothing less than the whole programme of reform; because the other wing of the party always dreaded the next step and had not confidence in Taft's real conservatism. So the party, divided in March, 1909, remained divided up to the time of the catastrophe, in June, 1912.

It was naturally the radical wing that took the aggressive, and no time was lost in taking it. The war within the party on the President began as soon as the make-up of the Cabinet was announced. He was accused of treachery and breach of faith, if not of his pledged word, because he did not appoint certain of Mr. Roosevelt's secretaries. Irrefutable evidence exists that the charge was wholly untrue; but since it was made irresponsibly and without an attempt at proof, no one of those who were in a position to dispose of it summarily dignified it by a formal denial. Consequently those believed it who were prepared to believe anything evil of the President, and a foundation was laid for the distrust and animosity toward him that soon became chronic and widespread.

Examples might be given plentifully of the attacks made upon the President by newspapers nominally of his own party at the very outset, before the country had an opportunity to ascertain what to expect of him. Here are a few, which date from the second month of the administration — April, 1909. "Taft has surrounded himself with corporation attorneys." "Roosevelt policies are in the ditch, for sure." "Taft has made a studied effort to repudiate the things for which his predecessor stood." "The man goes about exactly as if he did not exist by the grace of another." "Roosevelt suffers from the deepest wound known to man — ingratitude."

It is the barest justice to Mr. Roosevelt to say that he had, and could have had, no part in such flings at the man whom he, more than any other, had helped to his present position. In fact the two men were then, and long afterward, carrying on a correspondence in the most friendly and even affectionate tone, in which public questions were discussed more or less freely, without a symptom of discord between them. Such intercourse was interrupted by Mr. Roosevelt's long absence on his hunting tour in Africa, but was resumed, in a more desul-

tory manner, to be sure, after his return. It must, nevertheless, be remarked as singular that, so far as is known, Mr. Roosevelt never rebuked his partisans as being over-officious, never denied accusations against the President which he knew to be untrue, nor in any way dissociated himself from the campaign which was carried on quite as much in his interest as in opposition to the President. Indeed, one journalist, as early as in the spring of 1909, announced with evident satisfaction that events were shaping themselves for a Republican defeat in the congressional elections of 1910, and then, nothing could prevent such a call for Roosevelt that he would be nominated in 1912. So far as Mr. Roosevelt himself was concerned, his policy all through the first three years of the administration was one of silence. Publicly, at least, he expressed neither approval nor disapproval of his successor's acts. The reason why he concealed his opinion must be left to conjecture. Meantime the campaign against Taft proceeded with increasing violence.

During the second term of President Roosevelt the question of the tariff was officially kept somewhat in the background. The President himself made no effort to bring it forward. He had many items on his programme which he deemed of more pressing importance. His opponents ascribed his apparent indifference to another motive, but that which is here suggested is an ample as well as a reasonable explanation. But however unmindful of the issue he and a majority of the members of Congress were, it was a very lively issue in the country. The "insurgent" group in the West, and many influential newspapers of that region, together with not a few in the East, were insistent in their "demands" for a revision of the tariff and a reduction of the rates of duty. The convention that nominated Taft could not and did not disregard the widespread sentiment. The paragraph in the platform dealing with the subject¹ should be carefully studied by those who would decide judicially whether or not the Republicans subsequently fulfilled the promise of the platform; but after they have done so there will still be two opinions on the subject. There are three chief features of the declaration: (1) The Republican party "declares unequivocally for a revision of the tariff"; (2) the true principle is the imposition of such duties as will equal the difference between the cost of production at home and abroad, together with

¹ See page 172.

reasonable profit to American industries; (3) a declaration against "excessive duties."

The platform also called for a special session of Congress at once to act on the tariff. The President accordingly summoned Congress to meet in extraordinary session on March 15. The Republican members of the House Committee on Ways and Means had been holding hearings and considering the schedules during most of the time since the election, and the bill was ready to be presented when Congress met. The membership of the Sixty-first Congress at its first meeting was made up as follows: Senate, Republicans, 60; Democrats, 32. House of Representatives, Republicans, 219; Democrats, 172. The Republicans had therefore a sufficient majority in both branches to carry their party measures, although there were even then as many as seven senators who classed themselves as Republicans who were energetically opposed to such a tariff policy as was to be expected from the leaders who controlled the Finance Committee of the Senate. Their number increased as time passed.

There was a prolonged debate upon the tariff bill in the House, which did not end until April 9, when it was passed, yeas 217, nays 161, — substantially a party vote. The discussion in the Senate occupied even more time, for the final vote did not come until July 8, when 45 votes were given for the bill and 34 against it. The conference committee acted deliberately. The House accepted its report by 195 ayes to 183 noes, twenty Republicans being in the negative. The Senate accepted it on August 5, and Congress adjourned on the same day. Its vote was ayes 47, noes 31. Seven Republicans voted no; one Democrat yes.

The Payne-Aldrich Act, as it has been called, contributed greatly to the defeat of the Republicans in 1912. Its severest critics were Republicans, who protested that the platform was understood by members of the party generally, indeed, universally, except by those who had betrayed them, as a promise of "downward" revision. As a matter of fact there were numerous reductions of duty in the act, and taken as a whole the rate of duty was somewhat lower. But it is unquestionably true that the great body of Republicans throughout the country, whether they were in favor of the Dingley rates or not, did understand that the party was pledged to a substantial reduction. Opponents of the act complained that the most objectionable duties had been maintained or increased, and that the items in

which reductions had been made were in many cases chosen to produce a false impression that it was a downward revision, but of no benefit to the consumer. On the other hand, the act was stoutly defended, but as usually happens, the defence was attributed to self-interest. President Taft, who made no secret of his wish for a decided reduction of rates, interfered little or not at all while the bill was in its progress through Congress, and later provided his enemies with a fresh count in the indictment against him, by declaring that it was the best tariff measure ever passed. But in that remark he referred not so much to the rates of duty levied, which were not satisfactory to him, as to the scientific classification of merchandise for purposes of the tariff, and to the administrative features of the act. It did, in fact, carry out explicitly the Republican platform promises collateral to the tariff issue. It introduced the principle of maximum and minimum rates as a device to furnish the government with the means to secure trade concessions from foreign countries; granted modified free trade to the Philippine Islands; gave authority to the President to appoint a tariff board to collect facts and statistics for use in framing tariff laws; made provision for a corporation tax; and created a court for customs appeals. All these collateral measures the President greatly desired. The tariff act was the only important product of the special session of Congress.

The early months of the administration saw the beginning of a fierce controversy, partly political, partly personal, which lasted many months, from which the President could not dissociate himself, in which he courageously espoused one side, and thereby earned for himself a group of unrelenting political enemies who took a leading part in accomplishing his downfall. The policy of the conservation of the natural resources of the country was one of Mr. Roosevelt's cherished schemes, and he was enthusiastically supported in it by the Chief Forester, Mr. Gifford Pinchot. Shortly before the change of administration the President withdrew from entry, location, and settlement about a million and a half acres of land in Montana and Wyoming. Upon the advice of Mr. Ballinger, the new Secretary of the Interior, President Taft cancelled the withdrawal as not authorized by existing law. It seems never to have been maintained by those who criticised the cancellation that the law did authorize the withdrawal order, but it was vehemently urged that the public good and the welfare of future genera-

tions required it to be done, law or no law. The action of Secretary Ballinger was accordingly roundly denounced; and was stoutly defended by the President. It was cited as an indication that the policies of the former President were to be abandoned. A little later serious charges were made against the Secretary of offences said to have been committed by him before he entered the Cabinet. In the previous administration he had been Commissioner of the General Land Office. In the interval between his retirement from that position and his appointment by Taft he was declared to have been connected improperly with certain Alaskan coal land claims. The matter attracted great attention in newspaper and private discussion, as well as in Washington, and the country was divided into two camps on the Ballinger question. The President sturdily defended his Secretary, and an investigation instituted by him resulted in a verdict of his innocence. But the opposition to him continued, and the investigation was stigmatized as a "white-wash." In the course of the controversy an act by Mr. Pinchot, which was held to be insubordination, led to his dismissal summarily from the office of Chief Forester. The whole incident, personal though it was to a great extent, increased the alienation from the President of the particular friends of Mr. Roosevelt, who were unitedly opposed to Ballinger. The dismissal of Mr. Pinchot did not take place until January, 1910, when Mr. Roosevelt was still absent on his African hunting tour. Nevertheless, it was intimated that Pinchot's conduct had been suggested to him by Roosevelt. Although the insinuation was manifestly untrue, in fact impossible, it served its purpose to increase the devotion of Roosevelt's followers and the opposition of his enemies. The affair was unworthy of the stir it created; but it is necessary to mention it as having had an appreciable influence upon the result of the canvass in 1912.

In the autumn of 1909 the President made an extensive speaking tour through the West. In his utterances there was no suggestion that he was not heartily in favor of and determined to carry out the policies of his predecessor. On the contrary, he adduced facts that implied that he was carrying them out; as, for example, his statistics of the prosecutions in progress against "trusts." His method of presenting problems of government, and of solving them, was different from Roosevelt's method, as the two men were different. But if the tone

in which purposes were announced was more conciliatory, it was not less resolute. Although his opponents proclaimed loudly that he had abandoned Roosevelt's policies, they did not find confirmation of the statement in his words; and his beginning a prosecution of the American Sugar Refining Company, as conducting a business in violation of the anti-trust law, was a proof that he had not entirely abandoned them.

In December, 1909, Congress met for the "long" session. The President had on his programme many measures which he wished to be passed. Although a part of the programme failed, it is quite true, as was remarked at the end of the session, that more constructive legislation was enacted than by any previous Congress since Reconstruction. Indeed, it may be questioned if the legislation of any Congress since the First, which organized the government, had a broader scope. Perhaps the most important measure passed was the railroad rate law, which found its way to the statute-book after a long agitation. It gave largely increased power to the Interstate Commerce Commission over both freight and passenger charges, and modified to a certain extent the provision of law on the "long and short haul." It greatly extended the restrictions upon common carriers, and included in that category pipe-lines, telegraphs, and telephones. A Commerce Court was established — to be abolished by the succeeding administration — for hearing and determining appeals from the Interstate Commerce Commission. Important changes were made in the laws relating to the public lands, in order to preserve such lands as contain valuable mineral deposits, or are essential to the conservation of water-power, from passing into private hands; and the rules relative to the withdrawal of tracts of the national domain from entry and settlement were improved. The law requiring the use of safety appliances on railway cars was amended and strengthened. A Bureau of Mines was established in the interest of the safety of miners. A stringent act dealing with the evil known as "white slavery" was passed. It will be observed that all the measures here enumerated were designed in one way or another to improve or protect the position of the people as a whole, or individually. They may all be classed as among the more or less direct results of the agitations of the few preceding years.

Other acts of that session, also of an important although different character should not be omitted from this incomplete

list. An enabling act was passed for the admission of Arizona and New Mexico as separate States, instead of as one, closing a controversy that came over from the previous administration. It may be said here, out of the chronological order, that the act required the constitutions adopted by the conventions of the two States to be submitted to the President and to Congress for approval. Admission was not to be effective unless that approval was given. Arizona introduced into its constitution the feature of the "recall" of judges. The President emphatically opposed that principle and withheld approval. Congress at its next session passed another act, prescribing certain conditions prior to the admission either of Arizona or of New Mexico. The people of Arizona were required to vote upon the ratification of an amendment to the paragraph providing for the recall of elective officers, containing the clause "except the judiciary." They did ratify it, and in due time the President proclaimed the admission of the two States.

In addition to the enactments already mentioned was one establishing postal saving banks; also a law requiring publication of all contributions to funds for promoting the election of candidates for Congress, and the expenditures therefrom; an act for the protection of the seal fisheries in the Bering Sea, afterward superseded by an agreement with Great Britain and Japan prohibiting altogether, for a term of years, the killing of seals in the Pacific; a law further regulating immigration by specifying more definitely the classes of persons who are not to be admitted to the country; a law to protect travel at sea by requiring passenger steamships of specified capacity and length of voyage to be equipped with the instruments of wireless telegraphy; and a law introducing the practice of paroling prisoners convicted under United States law after a certain term of imprisonment.

A striking manifestation of the prevailing spirit of "insurgency" at that time was the successful campaign that was waged in the House of Representatives to curb the power of the Speaker. By gradual steps taken by men of strong will who had from time to time held the position of Speaker, a measure of control over the proceedings which was excessive, in the opinion of many persons in and out of Congress, had been assumed and exercised, not infrequently in an arbitrary manner. The Speaker appointed all committees, without asking the approval of the House, as all his predecessors for a good

part of a century had done before him, and thus had the power to organize any committee so as to promote or obstruct any measure or class of measures as to the merit of which he held a strong opinion. He was himself chairman of the Committee on Rules, which proposed to the House the conditions under which important bills should be debated. By a stretch of authority he might practically deny to a majority of the body the right to bring forward for consideration a bill to which he was opposed. Mr. Speaker Reed, indeed, once assumed that authority and thwarted a clear majority of the House who were resolved to pass a free silver bill. Another power exercised by the Speaker was that of granting — by “recognizing” a member — or refusing an opportunity to ask for unanimous consent to take up and pass a bill. Mr. Cannon justified that use of his position on the ground that he was himself a member of the House, and as such, in case of his opposition to any measure, entitled, like any other member, to object to its consideration, in other words, to refuse unanimous consent. For some years there had been a growing impatience on the part of many members at these powers, which might be dictatorial and dangerous if placed in improper hands; and now the revolt against “Cannonism” broke out. The Democrats naturally favored it, but the leaders were a large group of persistent Republican “insurgents,” and they carried their point. The reform which they effected was not thorough, though it took out of the hands of the Speaker the right to appoint the Committee on Rules, and forbade him to be a member of it. The resolution to make the committee elective was offered on March 18, 1910, by a radical Republican, and was supported by the entire body of Democrats as well as by the insurgents. After a prolonged parliamentary struggle the Speaker ruled the resolution out of order, but the House reversed his decision, thirty-five Republicans voting against it. On the final vote 191 members voted for the resolution, 156 against it. Then a Democratic member offered a resolution to depose Mr. Cannon from the speakership, but on that question the Republicans were united, and the resolution was defeated, 155 to 192. The Speaker was personally popular, and the objection to him was aimed solely at his parliamentary dictatorship. No further action was taken to limit his power, but the next House of Representatives withdrew from the Speaker all power to appoint committees, and entrusted it nominally to the whole House, but really to an

elected (by a caucus of members of the majority) Committee on Ways and Means and to the minority leader.

Other events of the same period had an influence upon the political situation, and possibly also upon the election in 1912. Two of the veteran senators on the Republican side announced their prospective retirement from the Chamber — Nelson W. Aldrich, of Rhode Island, and Eugene Hale, of Maine. Each had served more than thirty years in Congress. They were both most prominent in the leadership of the Senate and were ranked among the uncompromising opponents of the innovations in Republican policy that were due to the public activities of Mr. Roosevelt. Mr. Aldrich for many years had had great influence in the framing of tariff acts, and was credited with an almost unrivalled store of knowledge on tariff questions. On that account he was particularly obnoxious to those Republicans who, while declaring themselves in favor of protective duties, protested against the recent tariff act. Mr. Hale shared with him the dislike of the insurgent body, in Congress and in the country, to a greater extent than any other senator, for he was a stanch and unyielding conservative. The retirement of two such men from the Senate was an event of considerable political importance.

The "high cost of living" became about this time a campaign issue, welcomed with enthusiasm by Democrats, dreaded by Republicans. "Hard times" can always be employed with effect by the opposition as a weapon to defeat the party in power. The United States was not, in 1910, suffering from hard times as that phrase is usually understood. Business was good and employment at fair wages was ample. But the prices of food, clothing, and other necessities of life were high and increasing, and it was easy to ascribe the movement to misrule by the dominant party. The cause of the existing condition was variously assigned to a dozen different causes by the eager disputants in the two parties; but those who held the tariff to blame had the ear of the public more than — for example — those who discovered a world-misfortune in an increased production of gold. That statement is fortified by the result of a bye-election in the Fourteenth Congressional District of Massachusetts, where a Democrat was elected by a rousing majority, on the tariff issue, in a strong Republican constituency.

The great event of the year, in a political sense, prior to the November elections, was the return of Colonel Roosevelt from

his African hunt. He sailed from New York on March 24, 1909, and arrived home on June 18, 1910, having closed his trip by receiving almost unexampled honors at the leading courts of Europe and in Great Britain. There was extraordinary interest in the country to learn what the ex-President thought of the administration of his successor, an interest that was not to be gratified for a long time. Perhaps the student of politics will never know his real sentiments at the time of his return and for many months afterward, since it is possible to adduce equally good and equally first-hand evidence on either side of the question. There is no doubt that Roosevelt and Taft were exchanging cordially friendly letters during that period, and Mr. Roosevelt paid a visit to the President at his summer home in Beverly. There is, on the other hand, no doubt that Mr. Roosevelt was in close conference with leading men of the faction that was heaping abuse upon the President and accusing him of treachery to the benefactor to whom he owed his office. His political purposes, if at the time he had formed any such purposes, were, so far as appears, unknown to any one. In February, 1910, the President of the New York State League of Republican Clubs quoted Mr. Roosevelt as having said, before his departure for Africa, that he was not a candidate for senator or for mayor, nor yet for the presidency in 1912; and he added, as of the time when he reported that statement, that Roosevelt's friendship for the President was as clear and cordial as at any other time. If the significance of the remark be understood as referring to personal as distinguished from political sentiments, it was undoubtedly true.

While the steamship on which he was returning to the United States was still at sea, Mr. Roosevelt sent a wireless message to the effect that "he will have nothing to say in the immediate future about politics, and will hold no interview whatever on the subject with any one." Whether or not he was able to adhere strictly to the spirit of that resolution in the privacy of conversation with his many political friends who made pilgrimages to Oyster Bay, it is certain that he made no public utterances on national affairs, and that if he did express opinions confidentially to those friends they loyally observed his wish that they should keep the fact to themselves. They neither quoted him as approving their course nor shifted any responsibility for their conduct to his shoulders. But his reticence was not and was not promised to be of long duration. There was a di-

vision of Republican sentiment in New York State, as there was throughout the country, and he could not hold back from joining in the fray. At the solicitation of Governor Hughes he undertook to promote the system of popular primary elections and other reforms urged by the governor. Accordingly he became a candidate for temporary chairman of the New York Republican State Convention. The State Central Committee proposed Vice-President Sherman for the position, and a fierce contest was thus precipitated. An attempt was made to create the impression that the President favored Mr. Sherman, but he promptly denied the truth of the rumor, and wrote, among other things, that when the suggestion came to him that Mr. Roosevelt should be the temporary chairman he not only acquiesced, but "it did not occur to me that any one would oppose it"; that he first learned of the Sherman movement from the newspapers; and that he had done all he could to prevent a contest.

But there was a contest. The State Committee was defeated, Mr. Roosevelt was made chairman, his friends controlled the convention and nominated the candidates. The split in the party — like that in other States — was not disruption, but it left one faction, the "Old Guard," sore and unenthusiastic for immediate party success. It became evident as the canvass proceeded that the real question in the New York election was the predominance of Roosevelt in the politics of the State. That gentleman, roused to activity, made a speaking tour through the Middle West, where his utterances were so radical that the cynics accused him of having "stolen Bryan's thunder."

Maine, in September, led the way in the Republican reverses in the elections of 1910. A Democratic governor and legislature were chosen, also two of the four congressmen. Local issues, in Maine that of the prohibitory law, brought about or made more complete the Republican defeats in several States, but it is doubtful if the general result would have been reversed if those local issues had not so pertinaciously conspired against a single party. The Democratic candidates for governor were elected in Massachusetts, Connecticut, New Jersey, Ohio, North Dakota, Colorado, and Oregon, all more or less trustworthy Republican States. In no less than nine States the legislatures chosen made certain the election of Democratic senators to succeed Republicans, thus reducing the nominal Republican majority by 18 and, considering the extremely independent atti-

tude of the insurgents, virtually wiping it out altogether. The official figures, after the senators were elected, gave the numbers as 51 Republicans; 41 Democrats. The House of Representatives suffered a revolution. The Republican majority of 40 disappeared, and the new House consisted of 223 Democrats and 168 Republicans — a Democratic majority of 55.

One of the most important events of the election, in its bearing upon the future political history of the country, was the election of the President of Princeton University as Governor of New Jersey. Mr. Woodrow Wilson, a southerner by birth, professor and afterward president of a university, had never before been a candidate for public office. Political conditions in New Jersey had been for many years regarded as intolerable by a large and increasing number of the voters on both sides; and although neither party was free from blame in the matter, it was the Republicans who were then in power, and were therefore held responsible, if not for introducing the evil, at least for not having removed it. Mr. Wilson, as the Democratic candidate for governor, made a stirring and successful campaign and, in a State which gave Taft, two years before, a plurality of nearly 83,000, obtained a plurality of 49,000 over his Republican opponent. His achievement as a campaigner was followed by even greater success in extorting from a reluctant legislature the enactments which he advocated and the defeat of men and measures that he opposed. He thus became in a short time one of the most conspicuous figures in the political world, and some political prophets already named him "the next President of the United States."

However little influence the real merits of the Taft administration may have had in determining the issue of the ensuing presidential election, it is but just to mention certain items that must be entered to its credit. Very early in the administration an appointment was made in one of the western States on the recommendation of the governor, who boasted openly that he had obtained it over the wishes of the two senators. Thereupon the President revoked the appointment, in order to make it known that he would not be a consenting party to factional contests in any State. It was an incidental result of his action in this case that the governor became one of the most violent opponents of Mr. Taft when his term was expiring. The President's opposition to the spoils system in the civil service was of long standing, and at no time was he

accused even of lukewarmness in favor of a non-partisan administration of that service. He took a long step in advance when he transferred the second- and third-class postmasterships to the classified service. His lack of extreme partisanship was exhibited conspicuously in his judicial appointments, for he promoted Mr. Justice White, a Louisiana Democrat, to the position of Chief Justice, and added two other southern Democrats to the court to fill vacancies. The designation of Governor Hughes to the bench, which was generally applauded, was, of course, not a non-partisan act.

During the final session of the Sixty-first Congress a new grievance against the administration made its appearance. The Postmaster-General strongly urged an increase of the postage rates on certain "second-class matter." The project was not new. Successive postmasters-general had asserted, backing up their statement with official statistics, that the rate of one cent a pound was a losing rate, and the sole cause of the postal deficit. But Mr. Hitchcock's bow was not drawn at a venture. His arrow had a definite aim at a group of cheap monthly magazines with a minimum of reading matter and a great bulk of advertising pages. At any rate, that was the way it was interpreted in many quarters. Some of those magazines had been conspicuously active and zealous in attacking the "trusts" and prominent "plutocrats" and in advocacy of the current progressive policies. It was bluntly charged that the movement to increase the postal rate was motivated and backed by the "interests" that would put a stop to the progressive campaign, and assisted by the "magazine trust," in order to render the publication of the cheap magazines unprofitable — an accusation that carried with it an implication that the administration was hopelessly reactionary. In the end the attempt to raise the postal rate failed.

The most important event in the larger politics of the year 1911 was the ultimately abortive attempt to establish reciprocity with the Dominion of Canada. The movement had its origin in that feature of the Payne-Aldrich tariff act of 1909 which provided maximum and minimum rates of duty according to the liberality of the tariff laws of other countries toward the admission of American products. Canada granted to Great Britain a preferential rate on a large variety of imports from the mother country. It became, therefore, a fair object for the maximum rates under the new law. But the trade of Canada

with the United States was exceedingly large in spite of the hostile tariffs of both countries, and there was really no desire on the part of any one to obstruct it further by heavier import duties. President Taft, in March, 1910, sounded Mr. Fielding, the Canadian Minister of Finance, on the subject, and found him favorably disposed to make some concessions that would enable this government to grant the minimum rates to the Dominion. Subsequently the two men met at Albany, and the suggestion of a reciprocity arrangement was made and received with favor. Representatives of both governments met in the autumn, and after long conferences reached an agreement on January 26, 1911. In brief, it provided for free trade between the two countries in most of the natural products and raw materials of manufacture, and substantial reductions of duties on a considerable list of manufactured goods. The President sent the agreement to Congress at once. Its form was skilfully chosen. It was not a treaty or convention, the ratification of which would require the consent of two-thirds of the Senate, the House of Representatives having no voice in the matter at that stage; yet it would not be effective until an act to carry it into effect should be passed by both branches. It was an agreement in identical language that both governments should endeavor to obtain from their legislatures the modifications of their tariffs proposed.

Opposition to the measure developed instantly. The extreme advocates of a protective tariff were against it as a matter of course, and they were joined by a great body of farmers in the Northwest who feared the competition of the Canadian grain and other agricultural products. The farmers were supported by the insurgent congressmen from that region, who were in favor of a low tariff, but not as applied to their local products. The Democrats generally favored the measure, and so did many Republicans. Except in interested and official circles the movement was received either with manifestations of approval or with indifference. The bill to carry the agreement into effect so far as the United States was concerned was debated for about a fortnight, and was then passed on February 14 by a vote of 221 to 93. The negative votes came almost altogether from the Republican side of the House. Only eighteen days of the session, and of the term of the Sixty-first Congress, remained, and the bill was not brought to a vote in the Senate.

The President was most earnest in the matter of Canadian reciprocity and summoned Congress to meet in special session on April 4. The new House of Representatives was strongly Democratic, as has been noted, and in the Senate the group of insurgent senators held the balance of power, which they exercised much more frequently in opposition to the party to which they were nominally attached than in its favor. The bill to make the agreement effective was introduced at once, promptly reported to the House, debated from April 13 to April 20, and then passed by a vote of 267 to 89, a division that closely resembled that in the preceding Congress, in that the minority consisted chiefly of Republicans, many of whom nevertheless supported the bill. The Senate passed it by a vote of 53 to 27. Twelve "regular" and twelve "insurgent" Republicans were in the negative.

The action, or rather the failure to act, on the agreement by the Canadian Parliament is not a part of American political history, yet the connection of American public men with the result cannot be omitted from the record. Sir Wilfrid Laurier, the premier under whose leadership the Dominion Cabinet consented to the agreement, had long been in favor of the reciprocity policy, and so was the Liberal majority of the House of Commons. Sir Wilfrid seems to have entertained no doubt of his ability to carry the measure through Parliament, particularly since it had at the outset not a little support from members of the Conservative party. But Mr. Borden, the leader of the Opposition, saw his opportunity to perform the appropriate duty of an opposition, to oppose, and made the most of it. The most formidable campaign of obstruction in the parliamentary history of the continent was organized. There is no limitation of debate in the Canadian House of Commons; there were ninety Conservative members of the body; and a speech, as long and tedious as he could make it, was expected from each of them. Sir Wilfrid, unable to bring the bill to a vote, was compelled to yield at last. He dissolved Parliament and ordered a general election.

It is probable that the government would have suffered defeat in any event, since it aroused the determined opposition of those interests in Canada that upheld the "national policy," that is, the system of protection, as essential to the well-being of the country. Moreover, many elements of discontent had developed during the long years of Liberal ascendancy. But

the victory of Mr. Borden was made certain by the adroit use of an appeal to Canadian patriotism, in two forms. Giving tariff favors to the United States was withdrawing favors already granted to the mother country. More important, it was vehemently urged that entering into the arrangement with this country was the first fatal step on the road that led to commercial subjection, and ultimately to annexation to the big republic. That was a triple appeal to national self-interest, patriotism, and fear. Much was made of one or two — there were hardly more — flamboyant speeches by Americans, which were taken as evidence that there was really a movement on foot to seize Canada, and that the reciprocity agreement was preliminary to it. Yet the speeches referred to were made by persons who were not in official or even party relations with the administration. The President, in a letter which was made public, declared that the agreement had no political significance, that no thought of future annexation was in the mind of the negotiators on either side, and “that Canada is now, and will remain, a political unit.” Nevertheless, the creators of Canadian suspicion caught up and repeated, as a refutation of the foregoing statement, a remark by the President, in one of his western speeches, that “Canada is at the parting of the ways,” a remark that, whatever it does mean, had in it no suggestion of future annexation. The patriotic campaign was successful. Mr. Borden was returned to Parliament in the September elections at the head of a majority of more than sixty opponents of reciprocity, and the policy was dropped.

The Democratic majority of the House of Representatives lost no time in letting its tariff purposes become known. Bills to reduce or abrogate the duties on many articles used by farmers, — “the farmers’ free list,” — a new wool and woolens tariff, and amendments to the cotton, chemical, steel, and machinery schedules, were passed, in the Senate, by the help of practically the whole body of progressive senators, were all vetoed by the President, and failed to pass over the veto. An act was passed apportioning representatives among the States according to the Census of 1910.

An important incident in the anti-trust campaign, at this time, the winter of 1910, — was the decision of the Supreme Court in the appealed cases of the American Tobacco Company and the Standard Oil Company, which companies were ordered to be dissolved. The judgments, which were delivered by

Chief Justice White, introduced the principle of the "rule of reason" in interpreting the "Sherman" act. That law condemns combinations and contracts "in restraint of trade" unequivocally, and attempted or accomplished monopoly indiscriminately. The Court held, however, in effect, that since the law applied to all kinds of contracts and combinations, it necessarily called for the exercise of judgment, and "that the criterion to be resorted to in any given case for the purpose of ascertaining whether violations of the section have been committed is the rule of reason guided by the established law and by the plain duty to enforce the provisions of the act." Eight of the nine justices concurred in the judgments, which were extremely displeasing to the advocates of uncompromising measures against all trusts, and led to violent political attacks upon the Court. Unsuccessful attempts were made to amend the law so as to apply its principles without exception to all combinations, eliminating "the rule of reason."

During the final session of the Sixty-first Congress, in January, 1911, the National Republican Progressive League was formed. Among its members and officers were nine senators, thirteen representatives, and five western governors, all of whom were nominally Republicans. Its political programme included the direct popular election of United States senators; popular primary elections in lieu of the caucus; direct election of delegates to national conventions; the initiative, referendum, and recall; and stringent laws against corrupt practices in elections. The most of those measures, if not all of them, were at that time hardly recognized as leading aspirations of the Republican party. In fact there was truth in the remark of one newspaper that the programme was "substantially identical, so far as it goes, with that of the now defunct Populist party." The League made no secret of its opposition to Taft. That the breach of 1912 was foreseen as a possibility in the spring of 1911, and that in some quarters it was contemplated with equanimity, is shown by a sentence in the May number of a magazine devoted to the Progressive cause: "It requires no very vivid imagination to see the Progressives in that convention, baffled in their efforts to control the party, marching out of the hall to form a convention of their own."

The League did not formally "endorse" any candidate for the presidency, but did let it be known that many of the members regarded Senator La Follette as the "logical" candidate.

Mr. La Follette, in June, announced his candidacy and his intention "to battle to the end with the 'intrenched army' of President Taft." Mr. Roosevelt gave no sign of his own intentions or preferences, but did give out, in April, that he was "not a candidate," and that if any of his real friends were to seek to make him a candidate they would do him a "cruel injustice." Still later, in December, he announced that he would not support any man for the nomination, neither Mr. Taft nor any one else, and that he never gave Mr. Taft any offer or pledge of support. "As for himself," it was declared in a Philadelphia newspaper devoted to his fortunes, "Colonel Roosevelt is not a candidate and has not been." He had repeatedly discouraged attempts to bring him forward. "He says, and wishes the statement to be accepted at its full value and unequivocal meaning, that he desires talk of his supposed candidacy to cease."

The President made an extensive tour of the country during the autumn of 1911 — a tour which involved thirteen thousand miles of travel and included visits to Seattle and Los Angeles on the Pacific. He made more than two hundred speeches in about half as many cities. Although he addressed sympathetic audiences he did not delude himself with the idea that all was well with him politically. Indeed, at the close of his journey he let it be known by a semi-jocular, semi-serious remark that he expected defeat in the election of the next year.

It was an "off-year" in politics. That is, there were no important State elections, and the result of those that took place was indecisive. The Republicans recovered the legislatures of New York and New Jersey, but suffered a severe defeat in Ohio. Maryland elected a Republican governor, but Massachusetts reelected Governor Foss, the Democratic candidate. National issues played a subordinate part in most of the States mentioned. Consequently the division in the Republican party, which was notorious, did not make itself manifest at the polls in November. Tammany, in New York; resentment in certain quarters of Governor Wilson's energetic management of the New Jersey legislature; the much-criticised candidacy of a Republican "boss" in Ohio; and other local issues in the other States fully account for the action of the voters.

The second session of the Sixty-second Congress began on December 4, 1911, and ended on the 12th of the following August. It was comparatively fruitless of important general

legislation, as is usually true of the "long" session preceding a presidential canvass. As before, the Democrats had no difficulty in obtaining a majority for their own party measures in either branch. Consequently the fiction that the House was Democratic and the Senate Republican does not account for the meagre toll of enactment. An eight-hour-law for government employes, an act for the government and management of the Panama Canal when it should be finished, and the submission to the State legislatures of an amendment to the Constitution providing for the popular election of senators, were the leading successful measures of the session. The Panama Canal act introduced the principle of the free use of the waterway by American vessels engaged in the "coastwise" trade, — that is, for ships sailing between such ports as New York and Seattle, — a provision which was abrogated during the next administration. Bills were also passed by both Houses reducing import duties in the wool, cotton, and steel schedules, all of which were supported by nearly all the Progressive Republican senators. They were all vetoed by the President. Some of them were passed by the House over the veto, but all failed in the Senate.

At the beginning of the year 1912 the situation in the Republican party seemed to be of the worst. Mr. La Follette was delivering a series of speeches in which he advocated all the constitutional innovations which are distinctively known as "Progressive," and assailed the President with virulence. The President, with his back to the wall, as he himself expressed it, declared that "nothing but death can keep me out of the fight now." Mr. Roosevelt, who, in December, had almost impatiently demanded that all talk of his candidacy should cease, in the middle of January let it be known to his friends through a Pittsburgh editor, his supporter, that he would not desert the Progressive cause, and that he would be found fighting side by side with them to the finish. At the end of the same month he made a public explanation that his statement, in 1904, that he would not accept another nomination really meant that he would not accept such a nomination while holding the office of President. "It had no application whatever to the candidacy of a man who was not at the time in office, whether he had or had not been President before." In February there came to him the famous request from seven Republican governors that he accept the nomination. They were the governors of West Virginia, Nebraska, New Hampshire, Wyoming, Michigan,

Kansas, and Missouri. They expressed their belief that a large majority of the Republican voters of the country favored his nomination, and a large majority of the people favored his election; that his candidacy would insure success; that "you represent, as no other man represents, those principles and policies upon which we must appeal for a majority of the votes of the American people, and which, in our opinion, are necessary for the happiness and prosperity of the country." On February 25 Mr. Roosevelt replied. "I will accept the nomination," he wrote, "if it is tendered to me, and I will adhere to this decision until the convention has expressed its preference."

Meanwhile, early in February, Senator La Follette suffered a severe nervous collapse which became manifest while he was making a speech in Philadelphia, and as a result of his condition some of his most prominent supporters transferred their allegiance to Mr. Roosevelt, to whose fortunes, indeed, they had always been attached. Mr. La Follette refused to withdraw his candidacy, and his friends were extremely resentful toward Roosevelt himself. His campaign manager published a statement that it was by the direct encouragement of the ex-President and that of his friends that Mr. La Follette had entered the field, that he had prepared the way for a successful Progressive canvass, and that Mr. Roosevelt's course was not giving La Follette "a square deal."

The factional antagonisms in the Republican party in the spring of 1912 clearly indicated that it had ceased to be in a real sense a single party. The two wings of the party were no longer fighting a common enemy, their traditional opponent, but were hurling at each other accusations and vituperative phrases exceeding in intensity of violence all that had been said against the Democrats. A volume might be filled with extracts from the speeches of public men and from the newspaper press to show the heated condition of the public mind, but this is no place for them. One specimen from each side, and those by no means the most savage in tone, will suffice. President Taft, in a speech before the New York Republican Clubs, on Lincoln's birthday, referred to those "who look upon the present situation as one of evil and corruption and as the tyranny of concentrated wealth," and who undertake to pull down the pillars of the temple of freedom and representative government, as "political emotionalists and neurotics." A Philadelphia newspaper supporting Mr. Roosevelt retorted, in

denunciation of the President, that what the Progressives "at first took for temporary weakness they have now discovered to be political paranoia." So each faction was — charitably — ascribing the conduct of the other to insanity, which is an unavoidable mental state, whether in an individual or in a party organization.

It was not all vituperation. The Progressives realized that victory was not to be achieved by passion alone. The President had been elected by a united party, and a reason was required why the confidence originally reposed in him should be withdrawn. Such reason was not necessary for all who styled themselves Progressives. There was a large number of men in all the northern States whose opinions may be thus summarized: "President Roosevelt aroused the country to the imperative need of certain reforms. Owing to his limitation, by his own act, to two terms of the presidency, his full progressive programme could not be carried into effect during his incumbency of that office. In order to insure its completion he chose Mr. Taft as his successor, and by his influence secured his nomination. The people, trusting to his judgment, believing that Taft shared the views and would continue the policies of his predecessor, elected him. They did not entrust to him his own interpretation of the condition of the country, the temper of the people, and the extent and limitation of the legislation required; they gave him a mandate to carry on the policies of the Roosevelt administration as Roosevelt himself would have done. In so far as he has failed to efface himself and substitute Roosevelt's judgment for his own, he is a traitor and an ingrate toward his 'creator.'"

Both those who took that peculiar view of the continuing authority of an ex-President, and those who realized that sound reasons must be presented for refusing to a President the usual renomination, were vague in their specifications of his shortcomings. They could not allege that there had been less vigor in the prosecution of the trusts than there had been in the previous administration, either in the number of suits or in the vigilance of the Department of Justice. If they asked why this trust or that was not indicted, precisely the same question might have been asked four years earlier. Moreover, the list of social and business reforms urged and accomplished under Taft was as long and as important as stood to the credit of Roosevelt; and where there had been failures they were to be charged against Congress and not against the President.

The truth was that wholly aside from his acts his Republican opponents suspected that the attitude of his mind was less radical than that of Roosevelt; and in that suspicion they were undoubtedly correct. Entertaining it, they were justified in their opposition to him. Furthermore, they were justified in turning to Roosevelt, for in their opinion, in which also they were correct, he was the only man who could be trusted to carry out his own policies. What may, with some reserve, be taken for Mr. Roosevelt's reasons for opposing Taft, are to be found in an article in the "Outlook" in February, 1912, before the extraordinary outbreak of passion on the one side and the other. For although the article does not appear as the work of Mr. Roosevelt, his relation of contributing editor to the periodical at least suggests that it would not have been printed without consultation with him. There were three counts in the indictment against the President: "that he has allowed himself to become identified in the public mind with those elements in his party which have been frankly opposed to progress"; "the people have come to regard the President as being interested more in the machinery of government than in the promotion of human welfare"; "the people have come to feel that President Taft is primarily an interpreter of laws rather than an administrator of laws." It all comes to a criticism of the bent of his mind, and implies—if, indeed, it was approved by the contributing editor—that Roosevelt found that he had been mistaken in his understanding of a man with whom he had been on terms of intimacy ever since 1890, when they were both officers of the government, in Washington, a period of more than twenty years.

The complete antagonism of their minds is illustrated by Roosevelt's speech in Columbus, February 21, before the Ohio Constitutional Convention, proposing the "recall of judicial decisions," and Taft's reply to it. But it is needless to follow the wordy warfare, which became more and more rancorous as the canvass proceeded, both before and after the sessions of the nominating conventions, until the election itself, and was characterized by far more recklessness of language on the part of the newspapers and of the irresponsible stump speakers than on that of the two chief controversialists.

Among the Progressive measures popular at the time was that of primary elections as a substitute for the caucus and the convention; and a favorite feature of the proposed modification

of the political machinery was the "preferential presidential primary," by means of which the members of a party in a State were to express by direct voting their choice of a candidate for President. The legislatures of several of the States had enacted laws for such expressions of preference. The idea was comparatively novel and had not been carefully thought out in any State. There was no uniformity in the laws, and one and all they developed defects. Some of them were so drawn that it was easy for adroit politicians to render it impossible for the members of a party to register their preferences freely. In other States the drafting was so loose — as in Massachusetts and Maryland — that a majority of the voters could express a preference for one candidate, and at the same election choose delegates pledged to the fortunes of the other. Inasmuch as in eleven of the twelve States in which primaries were held the result was adverse to Taft, and in nine of them strongly in favor of Roosevelt, the partisans of the former President naturally and justifiably made the most of it.

The State of North Dakota led off in the voting, on March 19, and gave a surprising result. Mr. La Follette had a plurality of more than ten thousand over Roosevelt, and Taft was "nowhere," — his total vote being less than four per cent of those cast for the three candidates. The other primary elections continued until May. There are some minor differences in the returns as published in the almanacs and year-books, but the following table is sufficiently accurate. It shows not only the vote for the several candidates and the total vote cast, but also the combined vote for Roosevelt and Taft at the election in November: —

STATE	PRIMARY ELECTIONS				NOVEMBER
	Roosevelt	Taft	La Follette	Total	Total
California.....	138,563	69,345	45,876	253,784	287,549
Illinois.....	266,917	127,481	42,692	437,090	631,091
Maryland.....	29,194	26,068	..	55,262	112,744
Massachusetts....	83,099	86,722	2,058	171,879	299,176
Nebraska.....	46,795	13,241	16,785	76,921	127,124
New Jersey.....	61,297	44,034	3,464	108,795	234,245
North Dakota.....	23,669	1,876	34,123	59,868	47,460
Ohio.....	165,809	118,362	15,570	299,741	506,403
Oregon.....	28,905	20,517	22,491	71,913	72,273
Pennsylvania.....	298,962	193,063	..	492,025	720,731
South Dakota.....	35,637	9,843	17,821	63,391	57,630
Wisconsin.....	628	47,514	133,354	181,496	189,539

A study of the table reveals some interesting features. The result in California, Oregon, and Wisconsin appears, comparing the total vote at the primaries and that at the subsequent election, to have been a fair expression of the will of the Republican voters, save that in Wisconsin those voters did not have a chance to vote for Roosevelt, because his name was not on the ballot. It may have been the fact that only 628 voters in that State were in favor of him, but it does not seem probable. It was openly charged that Democrats in large numbers took part in the Republican primaries in Oregon and the two Dakotas. The accusation derives some probability from the fact that twelve thousand more votes were cast in North Dakota in the primaries than in the keenly contested election in November, and more than five thousand more in South Dakota. Any other explanation leaves much to be explained.

The natural criticism upon the result in the other seven States is quite different. The primaries did not give a clear expression of the opinions of the Republican voters, because about two of every five did not take the opportunity to record his wish. The aggregate of votes at the primaries was 1,641,713, and at the election 2,631,514, — almost a million more. It is a commonplace in political campaigning that there is a great advantage to a party in the creation, or the existence, of a popular impression that it is having things all its own way, that "it is all over but the shouting." Such an impression among Republicans undoubtedly existed in the spring of 1912, and while it does not account for the general result in the choice of delegates to the national convention and in the subsequent election, it easily accounts for the sweeping character of the revolution in the party and the unexampled thoroughness of its defeat.

The Republican National Convention was called on December 12, 1911, to meet on the 18th of June following. In all the States there were exciting struggles between the opposing factions for the choice of delegates. Certain forms of political tactics which are fair and honorable, or unfair and dishonorable, according to your association with the faction that practises them or with that which suffers from them, were employed, possibly by each faction, as it had the opportunity: whence many contested elections the decision of which gave a foundation for the accusation of a fraudulent nomination — an accusation that would have been made by the other faction if the decisions had been against it.

The situation in the southern States was scandalous, — had been so for many years. In about half of them the Republican party was practically non-existent, yet under the uniform practice each State was entitled to twice as many delegates as its representation in Congress. Under that practice South Carolina, which gave Taft 3963 votes in 1908, was entitled to two more delegates than Connecticut, which gave him 112,815. The average vote for Taft in ten of the southern States, in 1908, was less than 4500 to a congressional district. In fact the Republican organization was maintained chiefly with a view to give its managers the offices, or the disposal of them, in the control of the national administration. The South was thus an attractive field to be worked by the agents or supporters of rival candidates for the presidential nomination, had been successfully worked on more than one occasion, was so worked in 1908. Undoubtedly the "organization" or the "machine," in those States was in the hands of the "regulars," who were, as beneficiaries of the existing administration, and anxious to retain what they had, favorable to the renomination of Taft, and in a position to control the local conventions. It was therefore obviously the indicated strategy of the supporters of Roosevelt to organize as many bolts and bring about as many contests for seats in the convention as possible; just as the opponents of the nomination of Mr. Taft had done four years before.

In accordance with custom the National Committee met some days before the date set for the convention, to prepare a temporary roll of delegates. That brought before the committee the question of contested seats, which were more numerous than ever before. A large number of them were so obviously trumped up that they were not pressed, but there were also many which gave room for reasonable doubt where justice lay. In making the temporary roll the committee decided nearly all the contests in favor of the Taft delegates. The Roosevelt party maintained that if the decisions had been justly made the organization of the convention would have been controlled by them and the whole course of the subsequent canvass would have been different. The subject of the contested seats is considered later; but it is as impossible now as it was when the convention was in session to ascertain where the ultimate truth lies.

A brief summary of the facts relating to the Republican

National Convention of 1912 will indicate the difficulty of presenting a clear and unchallengeable account of its proceedings within permissible limits of space. It was in session five days, June 18-22, and a little more than thirty-five hours in all. The official report of its proceedings fills a volume of 450 pages. On the first day the temporary chairman was elected, after a heated contest, in which the whole question of the temporary roll was the matter at issue. The wrangle on the same point was continued and concluded on the second day, after which the usual committees were appointed. The Committee on Credentials not being ready to report, no business was transacted on the third day. Consideration of that report and action upon contested seats occupied the whole of the fourth day and a part of the fifth, so that it was not until the middle of the last day of the convention that a permanent organization was effected. The adoption of the platform and the nomination of candidates — the real work of the convention — were the business of the few remaining hours.

To recur to the beginning of the session, an attempt was made immediately upon the opening to amend the temporary roll by substituting the names of seventy-two contestants, in eleven States, for those upon that roll. The motion was declared out of order, the first business being the choice of a temporary chairman. The roll — the temporary roll already mentioned — was called amid great confusion and shouts of "robbers," "thieves," "steam-roller," etc., with the following result: Elihu Root, of New York, had 558 votes; Francis E. McGovern, of Wisconsin, 501; scattering and not voting, 19. Governor McGovern was the candidate of the La Follette men, adopted by the supporters of Roosevelt. Mr. Root, on taking the chair, was greeted with cries of "Receiver of stolen goods!" In the opening of his address he referred, without partisanship, to the contest between the factions; but the rest of the speech was such as might have been delivered previous to the factional division of the party. Lafayette B. Gleason, of New York, was made temporary secretary, and several days later the temporary organization was made permanent.

Immediately after the business of organizing was completed, the motion for an amendment of the temporary roll was renewed, but was postponed until the next day, when a six hours' debate, equally divided between the two sides, was agreed upon. The point of order was raised that no delegate whose seat was con-

tested should be allowed to vote in the decision of the right to any contested seat; but the point was overruled. At the end of the debate the motion for a revision of the roll was defeated, 567 to 507, and the usual committees were then appointed. The most of the fourth and fifth days of the session were consumed in hearing and acting upon the reports of the Committee on Credentials on the contested seats. Although the action of the Convention was made the justification of the resolution of the Roosevelt faction to withdraw from participation in the further proceedings, there was not then, and of course there never can be, evidence so conclusive as to enable a candid and unbiassed student to prove absolutely whether justice was or was not done. Nevertheless, it will not be useless to make a brief analysis of the cases.

As nearly as can be made out, from the somewhat confused reports, there were in all 210 nominally contested seats in the full convention of 1078 members. Of the whole number, 108 were abandoned by the contestants, and were not even brought before the National Committee. All but two of those abandoned contests were in southern States — 24 from Georgia, 14 from Louisiana, 16 from Virginia, 10 from Florida. The evident purpose was to have as many contests as possible to be ready for contingencies. The Committee on Credentials passed separately upon the remaining 102 contests, and made reports upon them. No less than 62 again were from southern States: as to 40 of the whole number there was no minority report; the action of the committee and of the convention was unanimous. That leaves 62 as the maximum number on which a grievance seems possible. The Committee on Credentials presented statements in detail of the evidence on which it made its reports upon those contests. In the cases of 36 of them the minority made no contradictory statements, but contented themselves with protests against certain members of the committee, three of them as being chosen by delegates whose seats were contested, and five as having been members of the National Committee which prepared the temporary roll. In none of those cases did they dispute the statements on which the majority of the committee reached its decision, but in every one they reported that the contestant was entitled to the seat. Of course that does not make it certain that the statements made by the majority members were uniformly true, and that the decision was right, but it does create a presumption to that effect.

There are now left 26 of the total of 210 threatened contests that had substance enough to elicit contradictory statements by the committeemen representing the two candidates. Mr. Root had 38 majority over all others in the election of temporary chairman. If all the 26 really contested seats had been awarded to the contestants and had all voted for McGovern, he would have had 527 to Root's 532, and Root would still have been elected. The statement in that form assumes what can be neither proved nor disapproved, that every one of the 26 cases that were reported with "statements of facts," was wrongly decided, and that there was no merit in any of the 36 cases in regard to which the minority presented no contradiction of the statements by the majority of the committee.

The most of the genuine contests turned upon circumstances in the conduct of conventions, that in Texas on "boss-rule." The California case, the most interesting of all, and that which gave the Roosevelt men their most useful grievance, arose from these facts: The call for the National Convention provided that delegates should be chosen in conformity with State laws, but "that in no State can an election be so held as to prevent the delegates from any congressional district and their alternates being selected by the Republican electors of that district." After the call was issued the California legislature passed a law providing for the election of all the delegates to a party convention to which the State was entitled by primaries on a single ticket. At the primaries a full set of Roosevelt delegates was chosen over the Taft ticket, by a majority of about 77,000. The Republicans of the Fourth District then held a separate election, and chose Taft delegates. It was a fine question, capable of being reasonably decided either way, whether the State of California could reimpose upon a Republican National Convention the "unit rule," which it had deliberately and forever discarded in 1880, or whether the Republicans of the Fourth California District could defy and override the law of a "sovereign" State. Two votes only were at stake, but the delegates lined up for the most part as party men do on contested seats in a legislature. The vote was the closest during the entire sessions of the convention. The Taft delegates were seated, 542 to 529.

Immediately after the work of constituting the permanent convention was completed, the following statement from Theodore Roosevelt was read by a Kansas delegate: —

A clear majority of the delegates honestly elected to this convention were chosen by the people to nominate. Under the direction, and with the encouragement of Mr. Taft, the majority of the National Committee, by the so-called "steam roller" methods, and with scandalous disregard of every principle of elementary honesty and decency, stole eighty or ninety delegates, putting on the temporary roll-call a sufficient number of fraudulent delegates to defeat the legally expressed will of the people, and to substitute a dishonest for an honest majority.

The convention has now declined to purge the roll of the fraudulent delegates placed thereon by the defunct National Committee, and the majority which thus endorsed fraud was made a majority only because it included the fraudulent delegates themselves, who all sat as judges on one another's cases. If these fraudulent votes had not thus been cast and counted, the convention would have been purged of their presence. This action makes the convention in no proper sense any longer a Republican convention representing the real Republican party. Therefore I hope the men elected as Roosevelt delegates will now decline to vote on any matter before the convention. I do not release any delegate from his honorable obligation to vote for me if he votes at all, but under the actual conditions, I hope that he will not vote at all.

The convention as now composed has no claim to represent the voters of the Republican party. It represents nothing but successful fraud in overriding the will of the rank and file of the party. Any man nominated by the convention as now constituted would be merely the beneficiary of this successful fraud; it would be deeply discreditable to any man to accept the convention's nomination under these circumstances, and any man thus accepting it would have no claim to the support of any Republican on party grounds, and would have forfeited the right to ask the support of any honest man of any party on moral grounds.

During the further proceedings of the convention the Roosevelt delegates for the most part abstained from voting, in accordance with the foregoing statement.

The Committee on Resolutions reported the following platform:—

The Republican party, assembled by its representatives in national convention, declares its unchanging faith in government of the people, by the people, for the people. We renew our allegiance to the principles of the Republican party and our devotion to the cause of Republican institutions established by the fathers.

It is appropriate that we should now recall with a sense of veneration and gratitude the name of our first great leader, who was

nominated in this city, and whose lofty principles and superb devotion to his country are an inspiration to the party he honored — Abraham Lincoln.

In the present state of public affairs we should be inspired by his broad statesmanship and by his tolerant spirit toward men.

The Republican party looks back on its record with pride and satisfaction and forward to its new responsibilities with hope and confidence. Its achievements in government constitute the most luminous pages in our history. Our greatest national advance has been made during the years of its ascendancy in public affairs. It has been genuinely and always a party of progress; it has never been either stationary or reactionary. It has gone from the fulfilment of one great pledge to the fulfilment of another in response to the public need and to the popular will.

We believe in our self-controlled representative democracy, which is a government of laws, not of men, and in which order is the prerequisite of progress. The principles of constitutional government, which make provisions for orderly and effective expression of the popular will, for the protection of civil liberty and the rights of men and for the interpretation of the law by an untrammelled and independent judiciary, have proved themselves capable of sustaining the structure of a government which, after more than a century of development, embraces one hundred millions of people, scattered over a wide and diverse territory, but bound by common purpose, common ideals and common affection to the Constitution of the United States.

Under the Constitution and the principles asserted and vitalized by it the United States has grown to be one of the great civilized and civilizing powers of the earth. It offers a home and an opportunity to the ambitious and the industrious from other lands. Resting upon the broad basis of a people's confidence and a people's support, and managed by the people themselves, the government of the United States will meet the problems of the future as satisfactorily as it has solved those of the past.

The Republican party is now, as always, a party of advanced and constructive statesmanship. It is prepared to go forward with the solution of those new questions which social, economic and political development have brought into the forefront of the nation's interest. It will strive, not only in the nation but in the several states, to enact the necessary legislation to safeguard the public health; to limit effectively the labor of women and children; to protect wage earners engaged in dangerous occupations; to enact comprehensive and generous workman's compensation laws in place of the present wasteful and unjust system of employers' liability, and in all possible ways to satisfy the just demand of the

people for the study and solution of the complex and constantly changing problems of social welfare.

In dealing with these questions it is important that the rights of every individual to the freest possible development of his own powers and resources and to the control of his own justly acquired property, so far as those are compatible with the rights of others, shall not be interfered with or destroyed. The social and political structure of the United States rests upon the civil liberty of the individual; and for the protection of the liberty that people have wisely, in the national and state constitutions, put definite limitations upon themselves and upon their governmental officers and agencies. To enforce these limitations, to secure the orderly and coherent exercise of governmental powers and to protect the rights of even the humblest and least favored individual, are the function of independent courts of justice.

The Republican party reaffirms its intention to uphold at all times the authority and integrity of the courts, both state and federal, and it will ever insist that their powers to enforce their process and to protect life, liberty and property shall be preserved inviolate. An orderly method is provided under our system of government by which the people may, when they choose, alter or amend the constitutional provisions which underlie that government. Until these constitutional provisions are so altered or amended, in orderly fashion, it is the duty of the courts to see to it that when challenged they are enforced.

That the courts, both federal and state, may bear the heavy burden laid upon them to the complete satisfaction of public opinion, we favor legislation to prevent long delays and the tedious and costly appeals which have so often amounted to a denial of justice in civil cases and to a failure to protect the public at large in criminal cases.

Since the responsibility of the judiciary is so great, the standards of judicial action must be always and everywhere above suspicion and reproach. While we regard the recall of judges as unnecessary and unwise, we favor such action as may be necessary to simplify the process by which any judge who is found to be derelict in his duty may be removed from office.

Together with peaceful and orderly development at home, the Republican party earnestly favors all measures for the establishment and protection of the peace of the world and for the development of closer relations between the various nations of the earth. It believes most earnestly in the peaceful settlement of international disputes and in the reference of all justiciable controversies between nations to an international court of justice.

The Republican party is opposed to special privilege and to mo

nopoly. It placed upon the statute book the interstate commerce act of 1887 and the important amendments thereto, and the anti-trust act of 1890, and it has consistently and successfully enforced the provisions of these laws. It will take no backward step to permit the re-establishment in any degree of conditions which were intolerable.

Experience makes it plain that the business of the country may be carried on without fear or without disturbance and at the same time without resort to practices which are abhorrent to the common sense of justice. The Republican party favors the enactment of legislation supplementary to the existing anti-trust act which will define as criminal offences those specific acts that uniformly mark attempts to restrain and to monopolize trade, to the end that those who honestly intend to obey the law may have a guide for their action and that those who aim to violate the law may the more surely be punished. The same certainty should be given to the law prohibiting combinations and monopolies that characterize other provisions of commercial law; in other words, that no part of the field of business opportunity may be restricted by monopoly or combination, that business success honorably achieved may not be converted into crime and that the right of every man to acquire commodities, and particularly the necessities of life, in an open market, uninfluenced by the manipulation of trust or combination, may be preserved.

In the enforcement and administration of federal laws governing interstate commerce and enterprises impressed with a public use engaged therein, there is much that may be committed to a federal trade commission, thus placing in the hands of an administrative board many of the functions now necessarily exercised by the courts. This will promote promptness in the administration of the law and avoid delays and technicalities incident to court procedure.

We reaffirm our belief in a protective tariff. The Republican tariff policy has been of the greatest benefit to the country, developing our resources, diversifying our industries and protecting our workmen against competition with cheaper labor abroad, thus establishing for our wage earners the American standard of living. The protective tariff is so woven into the fabric of our industrial and agricultural life that to substitute for it a tariff for revenue only would destroy many industries and throw millions of our people out of employment. The products of the farm and of the mine should receive the same measure of protection as other products of American labor.

We hold that the import duties should be high enough, while yielding a sufficient revenue, to protect adequately American in-

dustries and wages. Some of the existing import duties are too high and should be reduced. Readjustment should be made from time to time to conform to changing conditions and to reduce excessive rates, but without injury to any American industry. To accomplish this correct information is indispensable. This information can best be obtained by an expert commission, as the large volume of useful facts contained in the recent reports of the Tariff Board has demonstrated.

The pronounced feature of modern industrial life is its enormous diversification. To apply tariff rates justly to these changing conditions requires closer study and more scientific methods than ever before. The Republican party has shown by its creation of a Tariff Board its recognition of this situation and its determination to be equal to it. We condemn the Democratic party for its failure either to provide funds for the continuance of this board or to make some other provision for securing the information requisite for intelligent tariff legislation. We protest against the Democratic method of legislating on these vitally important subjects without careful investigation.

We condemn the Democratic tariff bills passed by the House of Representatives of the Sixty-second Congress as sectional, as injurious to the public credit and as destructive of business enterprise.

The steadily increasing cost of living has become a matter not only of national but of worldwide concern. The fact that it is not due to the protective tariff system is evidenced by the existence of similar conditions in countries which have a tariff policy different from our own, as well as by the fact that the cost of living has increased while rates of duty have remained stationary or been reduced. The Republican party will support a prompt scientific inquiry into the causes which are operative, both in the United States and elsewhere, to increase the cost of living. When the exact facts are known it will take the necessary steps to remove any abuses that may be found to exist, in order that the cost of the food, clothing and shelter of the people may in no way be unduly or artificially increased.

The Republican party has always stood for a sound currency and for safe banking methods. It is responsible for the resumption of specie payments and for the establishment of the gold standard. It is committed to the progressive development of our banking and currency systems. Our banking arrangements to-day need further revision to meet the requirements of current conditions. We need measures which will prevent the recurrence of money panics and financial disturbances, and which will promote the prosperity of business and the welfare of labor by producing constant employment. We need better currency facilities

for the movement of crops in the West and South. We need banking arrangements under American auspices for the encouragement and better conduct of our foreign trade. In attaining these ends the independence of individual banks, whether organized under national or state charters, must be carefully protected, and our banking and currency system must be safeguarded from any possibility of domination by sectional, financial or political interests.

It is of great importance to the social and economic welfare of this country that its farmers have facilities for borrowing easily and cheaply the money they need to increase the productivity of their land. It is as important that financial machinery be provided to supply the demand of farmers for credit as it is that the banking and currency systems be reformed in the interest of general business. Therefore we recommend and urge an authoritative investigation of agricultural credit societies and corporations in other countries and the passage of state and federal laws for the establishment and capable supervision of organizations having for their purpose the loaning of funds to farmers.

We reaffirm our adherence to the principle of appointment to public office based on proved fitness, and tenure during good behavior and efficiency. The Republican party stands committed to the maintenance, extension and enforcement of the civil service law, and it favors the passage of legislation empowering the President to extend the competitive service as far as possible; the equitable retirement of disabled and superannuated members of the civil service, in order that a higher order of efficiency may be maintained.

We favor the amendment of the federal employers' liability law so as to extend its provisions to all government employes, as well as to provide a more liberal scale of compensation for injury and death.

We favor such additional legislation as may be necessary more effectually to prohibit corporations from contributing funds, directly or indirectly, to campaigns for the nomination or election of the President, the Vice-President, Senators and Representatives in Congress. We heartily approve the recent act of Congress requiring the fullest publicity in regard to all campaign contributions, whether made in connection with primaries, conventions or elections.

We rejoice in the success of the distinctive Republican policy of the conservation of our natural resources, for their use by the people without waste and without monopoly. We pledge ourselves to a continuance of such a policy. We favor such fair and reasonable rules and regulations as will not discourage or interfere with actual bona fide home-seekers, prospectors and miners in the acquisition of public lands under existing laws.

In the interest of the general public, and particularly of the agricultural or rural communities, we favor legislation looking to the establishment, under proper regulations, of a parcels post, the postal rates to be graduated under a zone system in proportion to the length of carriage.

We approve the action taken by the President and the Congress to secure with Russia, as with other countries, a treaty that will recognize the absolute right of expatriation and that will prevent all discrimination of whatever kind between American citizens, whether native born or aliens, and regardless of race, religion or previous political allegiance. The right of asylum is a precious possession of the people of the United States, and it is to be neither surrendered nor restricted.

We believe in the maintenance of an adequate navy for the national defence, and we condemn the action of the Democratic House of Representatives in refusing to authorize the construction of additional ships.

We believe that one of the country's most urgent needs is a revived merchant marine. There should be American ships, and plenty of them, to make use of the great American interoceanic canal now nearing completion.

The Mississippi River is the nation's drainage ditch. Its flood waters, gathered from thirty-one states and the Dominion of Canada, constitute an overpowering force which breaks the levees and pours its torrents over many million acres of the richest land in the Union, stopping mails, impeding commerce and causing great loss of life and property. These floods are national in scope, and the disasters they produce seriously affect the general welfare. The states unaided cannot cope with this giant problem; hence, we believe the federal government should assume a fair proportion of the burden of its control, so as to prevent the disasters from recurring floods.

We favor the continuance of the policy of the government with regard to the reclamation of arid lands; and for the encouragement of the speedy settlement and improvement of such lands we favor an amendment to the law that will reasonably extend the time within which the cost of any reclamation project may be repaid by the landowners under it.

We favor a liberal and systematic policy for the improvement of our rivers and harbors. Such improvements should be made upon expert information and after a careful comparison of cost and prospective benefits.

We favor a liberal policy toward Alaska to promote the development of the great resources of that district with such safeguards as will prevent waste and monopoly. We favor the opening of the

coal development through a law leasing the lands on such terms as will invite development and provide fuel for the navy and the commerce of the Pacific Ocean, while retaining title in the United States to prevent monopoly.

The Philippine policy of the Republican party has been and is inspired by the belief that our duty toward the Filipino people is a national obligation which should remain entirely free from partisan politics.

We pledge the Republican party to the enactment of appropriate laws to give relief from the constantly growing evil of induced or undesirable immigration, which is inimical to the progress and welfare of the people of the United States.

We favor the speedy enactment of laws to provide that seamen shall not be compelled to endure involuntary servitude and that life and property at sea shall be safeguarded by the ample equipment of vessels with lifesaving appliances and with full complements of skilled, able-bodied seamen to operate them.

The approaching completion of the Panama Canal, the establishment of a bureau of mines, the institution of postal savings banks, the increased provision made in 1912 for the aged and infirm soldiers and sailors of the Republic and for their widows, and the vigorous administration of laws relating to pure food and drugs, all mark the successful progress of Republican administration and are additional evidences of its effectiveness.

We commend the earnest effort of the Republican administration to secure greater economy and increased efficiency in the conduct of government business; extravagant appropriations and the creation of unnecessary offices are an injustice to the taxpayer and a bad example to the citizen.

We call upon the people to quicken their interest in public affairs, to condemn and punish lynchings and other forms of lawlessness and to strengthen in all possible ways a respect for law and the observance of it. Indifferent citizenship is an evil from which the law affords no adequate protection and for which legislation can provide no remedy.

We congratulate the people of Arizona and New Mexico upon the admission of those states, thus merging in the Union in final and enduring form the last remaining portion of our continental territory.

We ratify in all its particulars the platform of 1908 respecting citizenship for the people of Porto Rico.

We challenge successful criticism of the sixteen years of Republican administration under Presidents McKinley, Roosevelt and Taft. We heartily reaffirm the indorsement of President McKinley contained in the platforms of 1900 and 1904, and that of Pres-

ident Roosevelt contained in the platforms of 1904 and 1908. We invite the intelligent judgment of the American people upon the administration of William H. Taft. The country has prospered and been at peace under his Presidency.

During the years in which he had the co-operation of a Republican Congress an unexampled amount of constructive legislation was framed and passed in the interest of the people and in obedience to their wish. That legislation is a record on which any administration might appeal with confidence to the favorable judgment of history.

We appeal to the American electorate upon the record of the Republican party, and upon this declaration of its principles and purposes. We are confident that under the leadership of the candidates here to be nominated our appeal will not be in vain; that the Republican party will meet every just expectation of the people, whose servant it is; that under its administration and its laws our nation will continue to advance; that peace and prosperity will abide with the people, and that new glory will be added to the great Republic.

Two members of the Committee on Resolutions presented a substitute for the platform reported, representing the views of Senator La Follette. The substitute was rejected and the platform was adopted by a vote of 666 to 53; not voting, 343; absent, 21. The majority vote was the largest on any division during the whole session of the convention; it was 108 larger than the vote for Root as temporary chairman; and it will be remembered that the temporary and permanent rolls were identical.

Nominating speeches now being in order, the names of William H. Taft and Robert M. La Follette were presented in the usual way. Mr. Roosevelt's name was not formally presented. The roll-call resulted as follows:—

Whole number of delegates ¹	1078
Necessary for a choice	540
William H. Taft, of Ohio, had	651
Theodore Roosevelt, of New York, had	107
Robert M. La Follette, of Wisconsin, had	41
Albert B. Cummins, of Iowa, had	17
Charles E. Hughes, of New York, had	2
Present and not voting	344
Absent	6

¹ There are errors in the official report of the vote both for President and Vice-President. In both tables the total is given as 1550, whereas the whole convention numbered only 1078. The other errors are in addition, the numbers by States are correct.

The name of Vice-President Sherman only was presented for the second place on the ticket. The roll-call resulted as follows :—

Whole number of delegates	1078
Necessary for a choice	540
James S. Sherman, of New York, had	596
William E. Borah, of Idaho, had	21
Charles E. Merriam, of Illinois, had	20
Herbert S. Hadley, of Missouri, had	14
Albert S. Beveridge, of Indiana, had	2
Howard F. Gillette, of Illinois, had	1
Present and not voting	352
Absent	72

Even before the convention adjourned finally, an hour before midnight on June 22, the Roosevelt delegates and some of the contestants who had been refused seats in the convention assembled in a hall near by and offered a nomination to Mr. Roosevelt, who accepted it on certain conditions. The chief stipulation was that a new party should be formed. Arrangements were accordingly at once begun for the organization of such a party, and for the holding of a convention in Chicago in August.

There was an earnest and interesting contest for the Democratic nomination also, but totally different from that in the Republican party. Every Democrat was confident of his party's success in the coming election. Although those who had opposed Mr. Bryan at former elections had not become more radical, nor those who had withheld support from Judge Parker more conservative, yet the party was united in the prospect of victory over the hopelessly divided enemy. That situation invited the condition of a numerous candidacy for the nomination. The field was entered by leading statesmen of the party and by the usual group of "favorite sons." As will be seen, when it came to the roll-calls for nomination a "baker's dozen" of persons had votes; but those between whom the choice actually lay, unless a deadlock should require the selection of a "dark horse," were only five in number. Naming them in alphabetical order they were :—

William J. Bryan, already three times the candidate of the party. On this occasion he declared himself not a candidate;

but his friends and supporters were many, and there was always a possibility that, failing a two-thirds vote for any other, there might be a stampede in his favor.

Champ Clark, Speaker of the House of Representatives and favorite son of Missouri, who had a numerous following, chiefly in the West and Southwest, with scattering support in other regions.

Judson Harmon, Governor of Ohio, a former member of the Cabinet, and understood to be the choice of the most conservative element of the party.

Oscar W. Underwood, of Alabama, Chairman of the Committee on Ways and Means, — a distinctively southern candidate, but popular throughout the country.

Woodrow Wilson, Governor of New Jersey, and former President of Princeton University, whose spectacular campaign in New Jersey and subsequent success with the legislature of that State have already been noted.

In addition to these, in the class of favorite sons may be mentioned Thomas R. Marshall, Governor of Indiana; Eugene N. Foss, Governor of Massachusetts; Simeon E. Baldwin, Governor of Connecticut; and four other gentlemen for whom ultimately scattering votes were given. There were also some "booms" held in reserve for emergencies which did not occur.

The contest for the nomination, as it developed, was not so much a matter of personal preference as in the early stages it promised to be, but a struggle between radicalism and mild conservatism. Mr. Bryan was the most conspicuous figure in every part of the proceedings until the nomination was made, and carried his points triumphantly in every important matter. The few defeats he suffered did not count, as they had no influence on the grand result.

The National Committee, on January 12, issued the call for the convention to meet at Baltimore on June 25. The canvass in behalf of the several candidates began at once. That of Governor Wilson attracted the most attention, both because he himself led it by public speeches on the issues of the day, and because of one or two strange incidents which are here merely mentioned, — their importance having been quite transitory, — a letter by Mr. Wilson, written some years before, in which he referred to Mr. Bryan in uncomplimentary terms, and an admission by the governor, in a letter to the editor who was his first and most prominent champion as a candidate for the presi-

dency, that he regarded that editor's advocacy as injurious to his prospects. Mr. Bryan's participation in the preliminary canvass was limited for the most part to a public declaration against both Governor Harmon and Mr. Underwood, as conservative and reactionary. Between Speaker Clark and Governor Wilson he did not express a preference. The National Committee, in the call for the convention, permitted but did not require the choice of delegates by primary elections. Such elections as were held under the permissive clause present nothing worthy of notice. In fact the nature of the entire contest within the party is sufficiently brought to light in the proceedings of the convention.

Immediately after the opening prayer by Cardinal Gibbons, the National Committee presented to the convention as a candidate for temporary chairman Judge Alton B. Parker, of New York. Mr. Bryan at once interposed, and in a speech of some length proposed the name of Senator Kern, of Indiana, whom he praised, and opposed Judge Parker, whom he denounced as backed by Wall Street influence. He asked if such a man should be "forced on the convention to open a progressive campaign with a paralyzing speech that will dishearten every man in it?" Mr. Kern appealed for harmony, and suggested that if Judge Parker would not withdraw his name, Mr. Bryan himself was the man upon whom the opponents of the judge should unite. Mr. Bryan agreed to be the opposition candidate, and after some discussion the convention elected Parker by 579 votes to 508 for Bryan. In a general way the Parker vote was cast by the supporters of Underwood and Harmon; and a large number of Bryan's votes came from those who supported Wilson on the first vote to effect a nomination. Clark's followers were divided. The speech in which Judge Parker opened the proceedings of the convention by no means justified Mr. Bryan's premonitory misgivings. The only further business on the first day of the session was the completion of the temporary organization and the appointment of committees.

No business being ready on the morning of Wednesday, the 26th, the convention listened to general speechmaking for two hours, and then took a recess until the evening, when there was a four hours' struggle over the report of the Committee on Rules, on a question involving the "unit rule." The point arose in connection with the Ohio delegation. The situation was substantially the reverse of the California case in the Re-

publican Convention. The law of Ohio required the election of delegates to national conventions by congressional districts. Most of the districts were for Governor Harmon, but several of them chose men favorable to Clark or Wilson. The State convention, controlled strongly by the supporters of Harmon, instructed the delegation to vote as a unit. The Committee on Rules proposed a rule that all delegations instructed by the State convention to vote as a unit should so vote. A minority of the committee, consisting of nineteen members, offered a modification, providing for the exception where the State law required the choice to be made by districts, and did not put the district delegates under the authority of the State convention. After debate the minority report prevailed by 562½ votes against 492½. Those who spoke in favor of the minority report avowed their adherence to the time-honored unit rule, in principle, and based their yielding in this case upon their reverence for the authority of a "sovereign State."

The report of the Committee on Credentials was taken on the third day. There was an interesting but unimportant contest in the delegation from South Dakota. After that was decided the permanent organization was effected by the choice of Ollie M. James, of Kentucky, as president, and E. E. Britton, of North Carolina, as secretary. The convention then took a recess until evening when Mr. Bryan made a dramatic entry into the proceedings by offering the following resolution:—

Resolved, That in this crisis in our party's career and in our country's history this convention sends greeting to the people of the United States, and assures them that the party of Jefferson and Jackson is still the champion of popular government and equality before the law. As proof of our fidelity to the people we hereby declare ourselves opposed to the nomination of any candidate for President who is the representative of or under obligation to J. Pierpont Morgan, Thomas F. Ryan, August Belmont, or any other of the privilege-hunting and favor-seeking class.

Be it further resolved, That we demand the withdrawal from this convention of any delegate or delegates constituting or representing the above-named interests.

The rules of the convention required the reference of all resolutions to the Committee on the Platform, but Mr. Bryan asked unanimous consent for the immediate consideration of his resolution. That being refused, he moved that the rules be suspended. An excited debate took place, for both Mr.

Ryan and Mr. Belmont were members of the convention. Several of the speakers having expressed themselves as willing to vote for the first paragraph, but not for the second, Mr. Bryan withdrew that paragraph. Ultimately, under the operation of the previous question the rules were suspended and the resolution was adopted, 883 yeas to 201½ nays.

Nominating speeches being now in order, — for the Committee on Rules had deliberately provided, and the convention had voted, that the nominations should be made before the platform was reported, — the names of Messrs. Underwood, Clark, Baldwin, Wilson, Marshall, and Harmon were presented in that order, an order that was determined solely by the alphabetical position of the States presenting or seconding candidates. A single roll-call for a nomination was taken the same night — or rather morning; for when the announcement was made that there was no choice it was after 7.30 o'clock on Friday morning. The convention then adjourned until afternoon of the same day, when, and on the following days, until the afternoon of Tuesday, the seventh day of the session, forty-five more votes were taken. Woodrow Wilson was nominated on the forty-sixth trial. The following table gives the votes in detail. The convention nominally consisted of 1088 delegates, and two-thirds of that number, 726, were necessary for a choice. Several States sent to the convention twice as many delegates as they were entitled to elect, each with half a vote; and one State, Kentucky, sent a triple delegation, giving to each man a third of a vote. That is the explanation of the fractions below. Fractional votes have usually resulted from contests for seats; the convention, being unable or unwilling to decide against either party, has admitted both, with half a vote each.

During the contest for nomination thirteen persons in all received votes. They were: Woodrow Wilson, of New Jersey; Champ Clark, of Missouri; Judson Harmon, of Ohio; Oscar W. Underwood, of Alabama; Simeon E. Baldwin, of Connecticut; Thomas R. Marshall, of Indiana; Eugene N. Foss, of Massachusetts; William J. Bryan, of Nebraska; William Sulzer, of New York; John W. Kern, of Indiana; William J. Gaynor, of New York; James H. Lewis, of Illinois; and Ollie M. James, of Kentucky. The votes for the six last-named are not included in the table, as the number any one of them received only once exceeded five. On most of the trials Mr. Bryan had one or more votes — usually one; and Mr.

Kern also was favored with a single vote more than half of the time. The votes for the leading and secondary candidates were as follows :—

BALLOTS	Wilson	Clark	Underwood	Harmon	Marshall	Baldwin	Foss
First.....	324	440½	117½	148	31	22	..
Second.....	339½	446½	111½	141	31	14	..
Third.....	345	441	114½	140½	31	14	..
Fourth.....	349½	443	112	136½	31	14	..
Fifth.....	351	443	119½	141½	31	..	..
Sixth.....	354	445	121	135	31	..	..
Seventh.....	352½	449½	123½	129½	31	..	..
Eighth.....	351½	448½	123	130	31	..	..
Ninth.....	352½	452	122½	127	31	..	..
Tenth.....	350½	556	117½	31	31	..	..
Eleventh.....	354½	554	118½	29	30	..	..
Twelfth.....	35½	547½	123	29	30	..	..
Thirteenth.....	356	554½	115½	29	30	..	2
Fourteenth.....	361	553	111	29	30	..	..
Fifteenth.....	362½	552	110½	29	30	..	..
Sixteenth.....	362½	551	112½	29	30	..	..
Seventeenth.....	362½	545	112½	29	30	..	..
Eighteenth.....	361	535	125	29	30	..	..
Nineteenth.....	358	532	130	29	30	..	1
Twentieth.....	388½	512	121½	29	30	..	2
Twenty-first.....	395½	508	118½	29	30	..	5
Twenty-second.....	396½	500½	115	..	30	..	43
Twenty-third.....	399	497½	114½	..	30	..	45
Twenty-fourth.....	402½	496	115½	..	30	..	43
Twenty-fifth.....	405	469	108	29	30	..	43
Twenty-sixth.....	407½	463½	112½	29	30	..	43
Twenty-seventh.....	406½	469	112	29	30	..	38
Twenty-eighth.....	437½	468½	112½	29	..	..	38
Twenty-ninth.....	436	468½	112	29	..	..	38
Thirtieth.....	460	455	121½	19	..	..	30
Thirty-first.....	475½	446½	116½	17	..	..	30
Thirty-second.....	477½	446½	119½	14	..	..	28
Thirty-third.....	477½	447½	103½	29	..	..	28
Thirty-fourth.....	479½	447½	101½	29	..	..	28
Thirty-fifth.....	494½	433½	101½	29	..	..	28
Thirty-sixth.....	496½	434½	98½	29	..	..	28
Thirty-seventh.....	496½	432½	100½	29	..	..	28
Thirty-eighth.....	498½	425	106	29	..	..	28
Thirty-ninth.....	501½	422	106	29	..	..	28
Fortieth.....	501½	423	106	28	..	..	28
Forty-first.....	499½	424	106	27	..	..	28
Forty-second.....	494	430	104	27	..	..	28
Forty-third.....	602	329	98½	28	..	..	27
Forty-fourth.....	629	306	99	27	..	..	27
Forty-fifth.....	633	306	97	25	..	..	27
Forty-sixth.....	990	84	..	12	..	..	..

The nomination was made unanimous on the motion of Senator Stone, of Missouri, Mr. Clark's manager. The result was not reached without a sensational incident in which Mr. Bryan was again the most conspicuous figure. The ninety votes of New York, which were at first given to Harmon,

were transferred on the tenth roll-call to Clark. That change to the candidate whom Mr. Bryan was himself supporting led him to interpose, after the thirteenth trial, to explain his vote. The point which he made was that the change of its vote by New York was the work of Tammany, or rather of the leader of that organization; that if it were effectual in leading to the nomination of Clark it would put the nominee under obligation to that leader and to the three men named in his condemnatory resolution; and that it indicated the opinion of the man whom he believed to be in control of the delegation that Clark was more conservative and less progressive than Wilson. Accordingly he announced that so long as the vote of New York was given to Clark, he, who was under instructions to support Clark, would withhold his vote from that candidate and vote for Wilson.

On the roll-call that followed his intervention no other Nebraska delegate imitated Mr. Bryan's example; and, as will be seen from the table, Mr. Clark lost only one half-vote besides that of Bryan. But the decline in the Clark vote began at that point and continued to the end. On the twenty-seventh roll-call a prominent New York member made a vigorous defence of the honor of the delegation, and repudiated with indignation Mr. Bryan's outspoken accusation that the members were influenced and controlled by the Tammany leader. On a poll of the delegation Clark had 78 votes; Wilson, 9; Underwood, 2; not voting, 1. But under the unit rule which governed New York, its ninety votes were still cast for Clark.

The Committee on Resolutions interrupted the nominating speeches for Vice-President, in the evening, by reporting the following platform, which was unanimously adopted without discussion:—

We, the representatives of the Democratic party of the United States, in national convention assembled, reaffirm our devotion to the principles of Democratic government formulated by Thomas Jefferson and enforced by a long and illustrious line of Democratic Presidents.

We declare it to be a fundamental principle of the Democratic party that the federal government under the Constitution has no right or power to impose or collect tariff duties, except for the purpose of revenue, and we demand that the collection of such taxes shall be limited to the necessities of government honestly and economically administered.

The high Republican tariff is the principal cause of the unequal distribution of wealth; it is a system of taxation which makes the rich richer and the poor poorer; under its operations the American farmer and laboring man are the chief sufferers; it raises the cost of the necessities of life to them but does not protect their product or wages. The farmer sells largely in free markets and buys almost entirely in the protected markets. In the most highly protected industries, such as cotton and wool, steel and iron, the wages of the laborers are the lowest paid in any of our industries. We denounce the Republican pretence on that subject and assert that American wages are established by competitive conditions and not by the tariff.

We favor the immediate downward revision of the existing high and in many cases prohibitive tariff duties, insisting that material reductions be speedily made upon the necessities of life. Articles entering into competition with trust controlled products and articles of American manufacture which are sold abroad more cheaply than at home should be put upon the free list.

We recognize that our system of tariff taxation is intimately connected with the business of the country and we favor the ultimate attainment of the principles we advocate by legislation that will not injure or destroy legitimate industry.

We denounce the action of President Taft in vetoing the bills to reduce the tariff in the cotton, woolen, metals and chemical schedules and the farmers' free list bill, all of which were designed to give immediate relief to the masses from the exactions of the trusts.

The Republican party, while promising tariff revision, has shown by its tariff legislation that such revision is not to be in the people's interest and, having been faithless to its pledges of 1908, it should not longer enjoy the confidence of the nation. We appeal to the American people to support us in our demand for a tariff for revenue only.

The high cost of living is a serious problem in every American home. The Republican party, in its platform, attempts to escape from responsibility for present conditions by denying that they are due to a protective tariff. We take issue with them on this subject and charge that excessive prices result in a large measure from the high tariff laws enacted and maintained by the Republican party and from trusts and commercial conspiracies fostered and encouraged by such laws, and we assert that no substantial relief can be secured for the people until import duties on the necessities of life are materially reduced and these criminal conspiracies broken up.

A private monopoly is indefensible and intolerable. We, there-

fore, favor the vigorous enforcement of the criminal as well as the civil law against trusts and trust officials, and demand the enactment of such additional legislation as may be necessary to make it impossible for a private monopoly to exist in the United States.

We favor the declaration by law of the conditions upon which corporations shall be permitted to engage in interstate trade, including among others, the prevention of holding companies, of interlocking directors, of stock watering, of discrimination in price, and the control by any one corporation of so large a proportion of any industry as to make it a menace to competitive conditions.

We condemn the action of the Republican administration in compromising with the Standard Oil Company and the Tobacco Trust and its failure to invoke the criminal provisions of the anti-trust law against the officers of those corporations after the court had declared that from the undisputed facts in the record they had violated the criminal provisions of the law.

We regret that the Sherman anti-trust law has received a judicial construction depriving it of much of its efficacy and we favor the enactment of legislation which will restore to the statute the strength of which it has been deprived by such interpretation.

We believe in the preservation and maintenance in their full strength and integrity of the three coördinate branches of the federal government — the executive, the legislative and the judicial — each keeping within its own bounds and not encroaching upon the just powers of either of the others.

Believing that the most efficient results under our system of government are to be attained by the full exercise by the states of their reserved sovereign powers, we denounce as usurpation the efforts of our opponents to deprive the states of any of the rights reserved to them, and to enlarge and magnify by indirection the powers of the federal government.

We insist upon the full exercise of all the powers of the government, both state and national, to protect the people from injustice at the hands of those who seek to make the government a private asset in business. There is no twilight zone between the nation and the state in which exploiting interests can take refuge from both. It is as necessary that the federal government shall exercise the powers reserved to them, but we insist that federal remedies for the regulation of interstate commerce and for the prevention of private monopoly shall be added to and not substituted for state remedies.

We congratulate the country upon the triumph of two important reforms demanded in the last national platform, namely, the amendment of the federal Constitution authorizing an income tax and the amendment providing for the popular election of Senators,

and we call upon the people of all the states to rally to the support of the pending propositions and secure their ratification.

We note with gratification the unanimous sentiment in favor of publicity before the election of campaign contributions — a measure demanded in our national platform of 1908, and at that time opposed by the Republican party — and we commend the Democratic House of Representatives for extending the doctrine of publicity to recommendations, verbal and written, upon which Presidential appointments are made, to the ownership and control of newspapers and to the expenditures made by and in behalf of those who aspire to Presidential nominations, and we point for additional justification for this legislation to the enormous expenditures of money in behalf of the President and his predecessor in the recent contest for the Republican nomination for President.

The movement toward more popular government should be promoted through legislation in each state which will permit the expression of the preference of the electors for national candidates at Presidential primaries.

We direct that the national committee incorporate in the call for the next nominating convention a requirement that all expressions of preference for Presidential candidates shall be given and the selection of delegates and alternates made through a primary election conducted by the party organization in each state where such expression and election are not provided for by state law. Committeemen who are hereafter to constitute the membership of the Democratic National Committee, and whose election is not provided for by law, shall be chosen in each state at such primary elections, and the service and authority of committeemen, however chosen, shall begin immediately upon the receipt of their credentials, respectively.

We pledge the Democratic party to the enactment of a law prohibiting any corporation from contributing to a campaign fund and any individual from contributing any amount above a reasonable maximum.

We favor a single Presidential term, and to that end urge the adoption of an amendment to the Constitution making the President of the United States ineligible for reelection, and we pledge the candidate of this convention to this principle.

At this time, when the Republican party, after a generation of unlimited power in its control of the federal government, is rent into factions, it is opportune to point to the record of accomplishment of the Democratic House of Representatives in the Sixty-second Congress. We indorse its action and we challenge comparison of its record with that of any Congress which has been controlled by our opponents.

We call the attention of the patriotic citizens of our country to its record of efficiency, economy and constructive legislation.

It has, among other achievements, revised the rules of the House of Representatives so as to give to the Representatives of the American people freedom of speech and of action in advocating, proposing and perfecting remedial legislation.

It has passed bills for the relief of the people and the development of our country; it has endeavored to revise the tariff taxes downward in the interest of the consuming masses and thus to reduce the high cost of living.

It has proposed an amendment to the federal Constitution providing for the election of United States Senators by the direct vote of the people.

It has secured the admission of Arizona and New Mexico as two sovereign states.

It has required the publicity of campaign expenses, both before and after election, and fixed a limit upon the election expenses of United States Senators and Representatives.

It has also passed a bill to prevent the abuse of the writ of injunction.

It has passed a law establishing an eight-hour day for workmen on all national public work.

It has passed a resolution which forced the President to take immediate steps to abrogate the Russian treaty.

And it has passed the great supply bills which lessen waste and extravagance and which reduce the annual expenses of the government by many millions of dollars.

We approve of the measure reported by the Democratic leaders in the House of Representatives for the creation of a council of national defence which will determine a definite naval programme with a view to increased efficiency and economy.

The party that proclaimed and has always enforced the Monroe Doctrine and was sponsor for the new navy will continue faithfully to observe the constitutional requirements to provide and maintain an adequate and well proportioned navy, sufficient to defend American policies, protect our citizens and uphold the honor and dignity of the nation.

We denounce the profligate waste of the money wrung from the people by oppressive taxation through the lavish appropriations of recent Republican Congresses, which have kept taxes high and reduced the purchasing power of the people's toil. We demand a return to that simplicity and economy which befits a Democratic government and a reduction in the number of useless offices, the salaries of which drain the substance of the people.

We favor the efficient supervision and rate regulation of rail-

roads, express companies, telegraph and telephone lines engaged in interstate commerce. To this end we recommend the valuation of railroads, express companies, telegraph and telephone lines by the Interstate Commerce Commission, such valuation to take into consideration the physical value of the property, the original cost, the cost of reproduction and any element of value that will render the valuation fair and just.

We favor such legislation as will effectually prohibit the railroads, express, telegraph and telephone companies from engaging in business which brings them into competition with their shipper or patrons; also legislation preventing the overissue of stocks and bonds by interstate railroads, express companies, telegraph and telephone lines, and legislation which will assure such reduction in transportation rates as conditions will permit, care being taken to avoid reduction that would compel a reduction of wages, prevent adequate service or do injustice to legitimate investments.

We oppose the so-called Aldrich bill or the establishment of a central bank, and we believe the people of the country will be largely freed from panics and consequent unemployment and business depression by such a systematic revision of our banking laws as will render temporary relief in localities where such relief is needed, with protection from control or domination by what is known as the "money trust."

Banks exist for the accommodation of the public, and not for the control of business. All legislation on the subject of banking and currency should have for its purpose the securing of these accommodations on terms of absolute security to the public and of complete protection from the misuse of the power that wealth gives to those who possess it.

We condemn the present methods of depositing government funds in a few favored banks, largely situated in or controlled by Wall Street, in return for political favors, and we pledge our party to provide by law for their deposit by competitive bidding in the banking institutions of the country, national and state, without discrimination as to locality, upon approved securities and subject to call by the government.

Of equal importance with the question of currency reform is the question of rural credits or agricultural finance. Therefore, we recommend that an investigation of agricultural credit societies in foreign countries be made, so that it may be ascertained whether a system of rural credits may be devised suitable to conditions in the United States; and we also favor legislation permitting national banks to loan a reasonable proportion of their funds on real estate security.

We recognize the value of vocational education and urge fed-

eral appropriations for such training and extension teaching in agriculture in co-operation with the several states.

We renew the declaration in our last platform relating to the conservation of our natural resources and the development of our waterways. The present devastation of the Lower Mississippi Valley accentuates the movement for the regulation of river flow by additional bank and levee protection below, the division, storage and control of the flood waters above, their utilization for beneficial purposes in the reclamation of arid and swamp lands and the development of water power, instead of permitting the floods to continue as heretofore, agents of destruction.

We hold that the control of the Mississippi River is a national problem. The preservation of the depth of its water for the purpose of navigation, the building of levees to maintain the integrity of its channel and the prevention of the overflow of the land and its consequent devastation, resulting in the interruption of interstate commerce, the disorganization of the mail service and the enormous loss of life and property impose an obligation which alone can be discharged by the general government.

To maintain an adequate depth of water the entire year and thereby encourage water transportation is a consummation worthy of legislative attention and presents an issue national in its character. It calls for prompt action on the part of Congress, and the Democratic party pledges itself to the enactment of legislation leading to that end.

We favor the coöperation of the United States and the respective states in plans for the comprehensive treatment of all waterways with a view of coördinating plans for channel improvement, with plans for drainage of swamp and overflowed lands, and to this end we favor the appropriation by the federal government of sufficient funds to make surveys of such lands, to develop plans for draining of the same and to supervise the work of construction.

We favor the adoption of a liberal and comprehensive plan for the development and improvement of our inland waterways, with economy and efficiency, so as to permit their navigation by vessels of standard draft.

We favor national aid to state and local authorities in the construction and maintenance of post roads.

We repeat our declarations of the platform of 1908, as follows:—

“The courts of justice are the bulwarks of our liberties, and we yield to none in our purpose to maintain their dignity. Our party has given to the bench a long line of distinguished justices who have added to the respect and confidence in which this department must be jealously maintained. We resent the attempt of the Republican party to raise a false issue respecting the judiciary. It is

an unjust reflection upon a great body of our citizens to assume that they lack respect for the courts.

"It is the function of the courts to interpret the laws which the people enact, and if the laws appear to work economic, social or political injustice it is our duty to change them. The only basis upon which the integrity of our courts can stand is that of unswerving justice and protection of life, personal liberty and property. As judicial processes may be abused, we should guard them against abuse.

"Experience has proved the necessity of a modification of the present law relating to injunction, and we reiterate the pledges of our platforms of 1896 and 1904 in favor of a measure which passed the United States Senate in 1886, relating to contempt in federal courts and providing for trial by jury in cases of indirect contempt.

"Questions of judicial practice have arisen, especially in connection with industrial disputes. We believe that the parties to all judicial proceedings should be treated with rigid impartiality, and that injunctions should not be issued in any case in which an injunction would not issue if no industrial dispute were involved.

"The expanding organization of industry makes it essential that there should be no abridgment of the right of the wage earners and producers to organize for the protection of wages and the improvement of labor conditions, to the end that such labor organizations and their members should not be regarded as illegal combinations in restraint of trade.

"We pledge the Democratic party to the enactment of a law creating a department of labor represented separately in the President's Cabinet, in which department shall be included the subject of mines and mining."

We pledge the Democratic party, so far as the federal jurisdiction extends, to an employé's compensation law providing adequate indemnity for injury to body or loss of life.

We believe in the conservation and the development, for the use of all the people, of the natural resources of the country. Our forests, our sources of water supply, our arable and our mineral lands, our navigable streams, and all the other material resources with which our country has been so lavishly endowed, constitute the foundation of our national wealth. Such additional legislation as may be necessary to prevent their being wasted or absorbed by special or privileged interests should be enacted and the policy of their conservation should be rigidly adhered to.

The public domain should be administered and disposed of with due regard to the general welfare. Reservations should be limited to the purposes which they purport to serve and not extended to

include land wholly unsuited therefor. The unnecessary withdrawal from sale and settlement of enormous tracts of public land, upon which tree growth never existed and cannot be promoted, tends only to retard development, create discontent and bring reproach upon the policy of conservation.

The public land laws should be administered in a spirit of the broadest liberality toward the settler exhibiting a *bona fide* purpose to comply therewith, to the end that the invitation of this government to the landless should be as attractive as possible, and the plain provisions of the forest reserve act permitting homestead entries to be made within the national forests should not be nullified by administrative regulations which amount to a withdrawal of great areas of the same from settlement.

Immediate action should be taken by Congress to make available the vast and valuable coal deposits of Alaska under conditions that will be a perfect guarantee against their falling into the hands of monopolizing corporations, associations or interests.

We rejoice in the inheritance of mineral resources unequalled in extent, variety or value, and in the development of a mining industry unequalled in its magnitude and importance. We honor the men who, in their hazardous toil underground, daily risk their lives in extracting and preparing for our use the products of the mine, so essential to the industries, the commerce and the comfort of the people of this country. And we pledge ourselves to the extension of the work of the Bureau of Mines in every way appropriate for national legislation, with a view to safeguarding the lives of the miners, lessening the waste of essential resources and promoting the economic development of mining, which, along with agriculture, must in the future, even more than in the past, serve as the very foundation of our national prosperity and welfare and our international commerce.

We believe in encouraging the development of a modern system of agriculture and a systematic effort to improve the conditions of trade in farm products so as to benefit both the consumers and producers. And as an efficient means to this end we favor the enactment by Congress of legislation that will suppress the pernicious practice of gambling in agricultural products by organized exchanges or others.

We believe in fostering, by constitutional regulation of commerce, the growth of a merchant marine which shall develop and strengthen the commercial ties which bind us to our sister republics of the south, but without imposing additional burdens upon the people and without bounties or subsidies from the public treasury. We urge upon Congress the speedy enactment of laws for the greater security of life and property at sea; and we favor the re-

peal of all laws and the abrogation of so much of our treaties with other nations as provide for the arrest and imprisonment of seamen charged with desertion or with violation of their contract of service.

Such laws and treaties are un-American and violate the spirit, if not the letter, of the Constitution of the United States.

We favor the exemption from tolls of American ships engaged in coastwise trade passing through the Panama Canal.

We also favor legislation forbidding the use of the Panama Canal by ships owned or controlled by railroad carriers engaged in transportation competitive with the canal.

We reaffirm our previous declarations advocating the union and strengthening of the various governmental agencies relating to pure foods, quarantine, vital statistics and human health. Thus united and administered without partiality to or discrimination against any school of medicine or system of healing, they would constitute a single health service, not subordinated to any commercial or financial interests, but devoted exclusively to the conservation of human life and efficiency. Moreover, this health service should coöperate with the health agencies of our various states and cities, without interference with their prerogatives or with the freedom of individuals to employ such medical or hygienic aid as they may see fit.

The law pertaining to the civil service should be honestly and rigidly enforced, to the end that merit and ability should be the standard of appointment, and promotion, rather than service rendered to a political party; and we favor a reorganization of the civil service with adequate compensation commensurate with the class of work performed for all officers and employés. We also favor the extension to all classes of civil service employés of the benefits of the provisions of the employers' liability law. We also recognize the right of direct petition to Congress by employés for the redress of grievances.

We recognize the urgent need of reform in the administration of civil and criminal law in the United States, and we recommend the enactment of such legislation and the promotion of such measures as will rid the present legal system of the delays, expense and uncertainties incident to the system as now administered.

We reaffirm the position thrice announced by the Democracy in national convention assembled against a policy of imperialism and colonial exploitation in the Philippines and elsewhere. We condemn the experiment in imperialism as an inexcusable blunder, which has involved us in enormous expense, brought us weakness instead of strength and laid our nation open to the charge of abandonment of the fundamental doctrine of self-government. We

favor an immediate declaration of the nation's purpose to recognize the independence of the Philippine Islands as soon as a stable government can be established, such independence to be guaranteed by us until the neutralization of the islands can be secured by treaty with other Powers. In recognizing the independence of the Philippines our government should retain such land as may be necessary for coaling stations and naval bases.

We welcome Arizona and New Mexico to the sisterhood of states, and heartily congratulate them upon their auspicious beginnings of great and glorious careers.

We demand for the people of Alaska the full enjoyment of the rights and privileges of a territorial form of government, and we believe that the officials appointed to administer the government of all our territories and the District of Columbia should be qualified by previous *bona fide* residence.

We commend the patriotism of the Democratic members of the Senate and House of Representatives which compelled the termination of the Russian treaty of 1832, and we pledge ourselves anew to preserve the sacred rights of American citizenship at home and abroad. No treaty should receive the sanction of our government which does not recognize the equality of all of our citizens, irrespective of race or creed, and which does not expressly guarantee the fundamental right of expatriation.

The constitutional rights of American citizens should protect them on our borders and go with them throughout the world, and every American citizen residing or having property in any foreign country is entitled to and must be given the full protection of the United States government, both for himself and his property.

We favor the establishment of a parcels post or postal express, and also the extension of the rural delivery system as rapidly as practicable.

We hereby express our deep interest in the great Panama Canal Exposition to be held in San Francisco in 1915, and favor such encouragement as can be properly given.

We commend to the several states the adoption of a law making it an offence for the proprietors of places of public amusement and entertainment to discriminate against the uniform of the United States similar to the law passed by Congress applicable to the District of Columbia and the territories in 1911.

We renew the declaration of our last platform relating to a generous pension policy.

We call attention to the fact that the Democratic party's demand for a return to the rule of the people, expressed in the national platform four years ago, has now become the accepted doctrine of a large majority of the electors. We again remind the

country that only by a larger exercise of the reserved power of the people can they protect themselves from the misuse of delegated power and the usurpation of governmental instrumentalities by special interests. For this reason, the national convention insisted on the overthrow of Cannonism and the inauguration of a system by which United States Senators could be elected by direct vote. The Democratic party offers itself to the country as an agency through which the complete overthrow and extirpation of corruption, fraud and machine rule in American politics can be effected.

Our platform is one of principles which we believe to be essential to our national welfare. Our pledges are made to be kept when in office as well as relied upon during the campaign, and we invite the coöperation of all citizens, regardless of party, who believe in maintaining unimpaired the institutions and traditions of our country.

Two roll-calls for the nomination of a candidate for Vice-President resulted in no choice, as follows:—

	<i>First</i>	<i>Second</i>
Whole number of the convention . . .	1088	
Two-thirds, necessary to a choice . . .	726	
Thomas R. Marshall, of Indiana	389	644½
John Burke, of North Dakota	304½	386½
George E. Chamberlain, of Oregon	157	12½
Elmore W. Hurst, of Illinois	78	—
James W. Preston, of Maryland	58	—
M. J. Wade, of Iowa	26	—
William F. McCombs, of New York	18	—
John E. Osborne, of Wyoming	8	—
William Sulzer, of New York	3	—
Not voting	46½	44½

After the second vote the name of Governor Burke was withdrawn, and Governor Marshall, of Indiana, was unanimously nominated by acclamation.

Before the convention adjourned a resolution was adopted which does away in the future with the practice of duplicating or triplicating a delegation, with a fraction of a vote for each person. The new rule provides for two delegates, with one vote each, for each senator or representative in Congress to which a State is entitled. The convention was in session seven days—seventy-four hours in all.

The first party to make nominations for President and Vice-President in the canvass of 1912 was the Socialist Labor party, which held its convention in Arlington Hall, New York City, on April 7 (Sunday) and the following days. The number of delegates reported was "about forty," representing eighteen States, but only twenty-seven votes were recorded on the nominations. The convention was presided over by a different chairman each day. The following platform was adopted: —

The Socialist Labor Party of the United States of America in National Convention assembled in New York on April 10th, 1912, re-affirming its previous platform pronouncements, and in accord with the International Socialist Movement, declares: —

Social conditions, as illustrated by the events that crowded into the last four years, have ripened so fast that each and all the principles, hitherto proclaimed by the Socialist Labor Party, and all and each of the methods that the Socialist Labor Party has hitherto advocated, stand to-day most conspicuously demonstrated.

The Capitalist Social System has wrought its own destruction. Its leading exponents, the present incumbent in the presidential chair, and his "illustrious predecessor," however seemingly at war with each other on principles, cannot conceal the identity of their political views. The oligarchy proclaimed by the tenets of the one, the monarchy proclaimed by the tenets of the other, jointly proclaim the conviction of the foremost men of the Ruling Class that the Republic of Capital is at the end of its tether.

True to the economic laws from which Socialism proceeds, dominant wealth has to such an extent concentrated into the hands of a select few, the Plutocracy, that the lower layers of the Capitalist Class feel driven to the ragged edge, while the large majority of the people, the Working Class, are being submerged.

True to the sociologic laws, by the light of which Socialism reads its forecasts, the Plutocracy is breaking through its republic-democratic shell and is stretching out its hands towards Absolutism in government; the property-holding layers below it are turning at bay; the proletariat is awakening to its consciousness of class, and thereby to the perception of its historic mission.

In the midst of this hurly, all the colors of the rainbow are being projected upon the social mists from the prevalent confusion of thought.

From the lower layers of the Capitalist Class the boldest, yet foolhardy, portion bluntly demands that "the Trust be smashed."

Even if the Trust could, it should not be smashed; even if it should, it cannot. The law of social progress pushes toward a sys-

tem of production that shall crown the efforts of man, without arduous toil, with an abundance of the necessities for material existence, to the end of allowing leisure for mental and spiritual expansion. The Trust is a mechanical contrivance wherewith to solve the problem. To smash the contrivance were to re-introduce the days of small-fry competition, and set back the hands of the dial of time. The mere thought is foolhardy. He who undertakes the feat might as well brace himself against the cascade of Niagara. The cascade of Social Evolution would whelm him.

The less bold among the smaller property-holding element proposes to "curb" the Trust with a variety of schemes. The very forces of social evolution that propel the development of the Trust stamp the "curbing" schemes, whether political or economic, as childish. They are attempts to hold back a runaway horse by the tail. The laws by which the attempt has been tried strew the path of the runaway. They are splintered to pieces with its kicks, and serve only to furnish a livelihood for the Corporation and the Anti-Corporation lawyer.

From still lower layers of the same property-holding class, social layers that have sniffed the breath of Socialism and imagine themselves Socialists, comes the iridescent theory of capturing the Trust for the people by the ballot only. The "capture of the Trust for the people" implies the Social Revolution. To imply the Social Revolution with the ballot only, without the means to enforce the ballot's fiat, in case of Reaction's attempt to override it, is to fire blank cartridges at a foe. It is worse. It is to threaten his existence without the means to carry out the threat. Threats of revolution, without provisions to carry them out, result in one of two things only — either the leaders are bought out, or the revolutionary class, to which the leaders appeal and which they succeed in drawing after themselves, are led like cattle to the shambles. The Commune disaster of France stands a monumental warning against the blunder.

An equally iridescent hue of the rainbow is projected from a still lower layer, a layer that lies almost wholly within the submerged class — the theory of capturing the Trust for the Working Class with the fist only. The capture of the Trust for the people implies something else besides revolution. It implies revolution carried on by the masses. For reasons parallel to those that decree the day of small-fry competition gone by, mass-revolutionary conspiracy is, to-day, an impossibility. The Trust-holding Plutocracy may successfully put through a conspiracy of physical force. The smallness of its numbers makes a successful conspiracy possible on its part. The hugeness of the numbers requisite for a revolution against the Trust-holding Plutocracy excludes Conspiracy

from the arsenal of the Revolution. The idea of capturing the Trust with physical force only is a wild chimera.

Only two programs — the program of the Plutocracy and the programme of the Socialist Labor Party — grasp the situation.

The Political State, another name for the Class State, is worn out in this, the leading capitalist nation of the world, most prominently. The Industrial or Socialist State is throbbing for birth. The Political State, being a Class State, is government separate and apart from the productive energies of the people; it is government mainly for holding the ruled class in subjection. The Industrial or Socialist State, being the denial of the Class State, is government that is part and parcel of the productive energies of the people.

As their functions are different, so are the structures of the two States different.

The structure of the Political State contemplates territorial "representation" only; the structure of the Industrial State contemplates representation of industries, of useful occupations only.

The economic or industrial evolution has reached that point where the Political State no longer can maintain itself under the forms of democracy. While the Plutocracy has relatively shrunk, the enemies it has raised against itself have become too numerous to be dallied with. What is still worse, obedient to the law of its own existence the Political State has been forced not merely to multiply enemies against itself; it has been forced to recruit and group the bulk of these enemies, the revolutionary bulk, at that.

The Working Class of the land, the historically revolutionary element, is grouped by the leading occupations, agricultural as well as industrial, in such manner that the "autonomous craft union" one time the palladium of the workers, has become a harmless scarecrow upon which the capitalist birds roost at ease, while the Industrial Unions cast ahead of them the constituencies of the government of the future, and, jointly, point to the Industrial State.

Nor yet is this all. Not only has the Political State raised its own enemies; not only has itself multiplied them; not only has itself recruited and drilled them; not only has itself grouped them into shape and form to succeed it; it is, furthermore, driven by its inherent necessities, prodding on the Revolutionary Class by digging ever more fiercely into its flanks the harpoon of exploitation.

With the purchasing power of wages sinking to ever lower depths; with certainty of work hanging on ever slenderer threads; with an ever more gigantically swelling army of the unemployed; with the need of profits pressing the Plutocracy harder and harder

recklessly to squander the workers' limbs and life; what with all this and the parallel process of merging the workers of all industries into one interdependent solid mass, the final break-up is rendered inevitable and at hand.

No wild schemes and no rainbow-chasing will stead in the approaching emergency. The Plutocracy knows this — and so does the Socialist Labor Party — and logical is the programme of each.

The programme of the Plutocracy is feudal Autocracy, translated into Capitalism. Where a Social Revolution is pending, and, for whatever reason, is not enforced, reaction is the alternative.

The programme of the Socialist Labor Party is revolution — the Industrial or Socialist Republic, the Social Order where the Political State is overthrown; where the Congress of the land consists of the representatives of the useful occupations of the land; where, accordingly, a government is an essential factor in production; where the blessings to a man that the Trust is instinct with are freed from the trammels of the private ownership that now turn the potential blessings into a curse; where, accordingly, abundance can be the patrimony of all who work; and the shackles of wage slavery are no more.

In keeping with the goals of the different programmes are the means of their execution.

The means in contemplation by reaction is the bayonet. To this end reaction is seeking, by means of the police spy and other agencies, to lash the proletariat into acts of violence that may give a color to the resort to the bayonet. By its manoeuvres, it is egging the Working Class on to deeds of fury. The capitalist press echoes the policy, while the pure and simple political Socialist Party press, generally, is snared into the trap.

On the contrary, the means firmly adhered to by the Socialist Labor Party is the constitutional method of political action, backed by the industrially and class-consciously organized proletariat, to the exclusion of Anarchy, and all that thereby hangs.

At such a critical period in the Nation's existence the Socialist Labor Party calls upon the Working Class of America, more deliberately serious than ever before, to rally at the polls under the Party's banner. And the Party also calls upon all intelligent citizens to place themselves squarely upon the ground of Working Class interests, and join us in this mighty and noble work of human emancipation, so that we may put summary end to the existing barbarous class conflict by placing the land and all the means of production, transportation and distribution into the hands of the people as a collective body, and substituting for the present state of planless production, industrial war, and social disorder, the

Social or Industrial Commonwealth — a commonwealth in which every worker shall have the free exercise and full benefit of his faculties, multiplied by all the modern factors of civilization.

Arthur E. Reimer, of Massachusetts, was nominated for President, receiving 26 votes, to 1 for John M. Francis. The official organ of the party reports that the nomination was received with "thunderous applause." For Vice-President, August Gilhaus, of New York, had 21 votes to 6 for Mr. Francis.

The convention of the Socialist party was held at Indianapolis, May 12 to 18. As usual it began on Sunday, and was conducted on the *unconventional* system already described — everything discussed, every proposition open to amendment, the proceedings as informal as those of a school debating club, committees elected by free ballot, a new chairman every day, and so on.

Every State except Tennessee was represented, some of them by a single delegate only, other States in varying numbers up to 18 for California, 23 for New York, and 24 for Pennsylvania. The rule of apportionment is that the representation is based upon the comparative amount of dues paid by the States to the national organization. The whole number of delegates was 294, of whom 18, at least, were women, and the women took their full share in the debates. A Socialist convention has many other functions than the draft of a platform and the nomination of candidates — the only functions that now concern us. It is a general governing body of all the State and local Socialist units that make the whole. Rather, it is the body that prepares and submits to all the Socialists in the country propositions which become effective only when ratified by a majority of those voting. Thus, a new constitution that was agreed upon at the May convention was submitted to a general referendum, and approved in August, 1912.

Twenty-three members were nominated for membership of the Committee on the Platform, and the nine who were elected had votes varying between 219 and 118. The committee made its report on Thursday, the 16th, and the long evening session of that day was devoted to a consideration of it, paragraph by paragraph. Some significance that does not belong to it might be attached to the vote, 117 ayes to 94 nays, to strike out a clause urging "the gradual reduction of all tariff duties." The

result was not — at any rate not precisely — an indication of the tariff views of the delegates. The rejection of the clause was advocated on the ground that the tariff is not a Socialist issue at all. Several delegates spoke of the controversy between the Republicans and the Democrats in the most sarcastic terms. One lady delegate spurned “free trade, the open door, protection, reciprocity, and all the other fool things the old parties have been giving us.” She had, she declared, been as poor under free trade as she then was under a protective tariff. “For goodness’ sake, don’t split on the tariff question.”

After full discussion the platform as a whole was adopted, as follows : —

The representatives of the Socialist party in national convention at Indianapolis, declare that the capitalist system has outgrown its historical function, and has become utterly incapable of meeting the problems now confronting society. We denounce this outgrown system as incompetent and corrupt and the source of unspeakable misery and suffering to the whole working class.

Under this system the industrial equipment of the Nation has passed into the absolute control of a plutocracy which exacts an annual tribute of millions of dollars from the producers. Unafraid of any organized resistance, it stretches out its greedy hands over the still undeveloped resources of the Nation — the land, the mines, the forests and the water powers of every State in the Union.

In spite of the multiplication of labor-saving machines and improved methods in industry which cheapen the cost of production, the share of the producers grows ever less, and the prices of all the necessities of life steadily increase. The boasted prosperity of this Nation is for the owning class alone. To the rest it means only greater hardship and misery. The high cost of living is felt in every home. Millions of wage-workers have seen the purchasing power of their wages decrease until life has become a desperate battle for mere existence.

Multitudes of unemployed walk the streets of our cities or trudge from State to State awaiting the will of the masters to move the wheels of industry.

The farmers in every State are plundered by the increasing prices exacted for tools and machinery and by extortionate freight rates and storage charges.

Capitalist concentration is mercilessly crushing the class of small business men and driving its members into the ranks of propertyless wage-workers. The overwhelming majority of the people of America are being forced under a yoke of bondage by this soulless industrial despotism.

It is this capitalist system that is responsible for the increasing burden of armaments, the poverty, slums, child labor, most of the insanity, crime and prostitution, and much of the disease that afflicts mankind.

Under this system the working class is exposed to poisonous conditions, to frightful and needless perils to life and limb, is walled around with court decisions, injunctions and unjust laws, and is preyed upon incessantly for the benefit of the controlling oligarchy of wealth. Under it also, the children of the working class are doomed to ignorance, drudging toil and darkened lives.

In the face of these evils, so manifest that all thoughtful observers are appalled at them, the legislative representatives of the Republican and Democratic parties remain the faithful servants of the oppressors. Measures designed to secure to the wage-earners of this Nation as humane and just treatment as is already enjoyed by the wage-earners of all other civilized nations have been smothered in committee without debate, and laws ostensibly designed to bring relief to the farmers and general consumers are juggled and transformed into instruments for the exaction of further tribute. The growing unrest under oppression has driven these two old parties to the enactment of a variety of regulative measures, none of which has limited in any appreciable degree the power of the plutocracy, and some of which have been perverted into means for increasing that power. Anti-trust laws, railroad restrictions and regulations, with the prosecutions, indictments and investigations based upon such legislation, have proved to be utterly futile and ridiculous.

Nor has this plutocracy been seriously restrained or even threatened by any Republican or Democratic executive. It has continued to grow in power and insolence alike under the administrations of Cleveland, McKinley, Roosevelt and Taft.

In addition to this legislative juggling and this executive connivance, the courts of America have sanctioned and strengthened the hold of this plutocracy as the Dred Scott and other decisions strengthened the slave power before the civil war.

We declare, therefore, that the longer sufferance of these conditions is impossible, and we purpose to end them all. We declare them to be the product of the present system in which industry is carried on for private greed, instead of for the welfare of society. We declare, furthermore, that for these evils there will be and can be no remedy and no substantial relief except through socialism, under which industry will be carried on for the common good and every worker receive the full social value of the wealth he creates.

Society is divided into warring groups and classes, based upon material interests. Fundamentally, this struggle is a conflict be-

tween the two main classes, one of which, the capitalist class, owns the means of production, and the other, the working class, must use these means of production on terms dictated by the owners.

The capitalist class, though few in numbers, absolutely controls the Government — legislative, executive and judicial. This class owns the machinery of gathering and disseminating news through its organized press. It subsidizes seats of learning — the colleges and schools — and even religious and moral agencies. It has also the added prestige which established customs give to any order of society, right or wrong.

The working class, which includes all those who are forced to work for a living, whether by hand or brain, in shop, mine or on the soil, vastly outnumbers the capitalist class. Lacking effective organization and class solidarity, this class is unable to enforce its will. Given such class solidarity and effective organization, the workers will have the power to make all laws and control all industry in their own interest.

All political parties are the expression of economic class interests. All other parties than the Socialist party represent one or another group of the ruling capitalist class. Their political conflicts reflect merely superficial rivalries between competing capitalist groups. However they result, these conflicts have no issue of real value to the workers. Whether the Democrats or Republicans win politically, it is the capitalist class that is victorious economically.

The Socialist party is the political expression of the economic interests of the workers. Its defeats have been their defeats and its victories their victories. It is a party founded on the science and laws of social development. It proposes that, since all social necessities to-day are socially produced, the means of their production and distribution shall be socially owned and democratically controlled.

In the face of the economic and political aggressions of the capitalist class the only reliance left the workers is that of their economic organizations and their political power. By the intelligent and class-conscious use of these, they may resist successfully the capitalist class, break the fetters of wage slavery, and fit themselves for the future society, which is to displace the capitalist system. The Socialist party appreciates the full significance of class organization and urges the wage-earners, the working farmers and all other useful workers to organize for economic and political action, and we pledge ourselves to support the toilers of the fields as well as those in the shops, factories and mines of the Nation in their struggles for economic justice.

In the defeat or victory of the working class party in this new struggle for freedom lies the defeat or triumph of the common

people of all economic groups, as well as the failure or the triumph of popular government. Thus the Socialist party is the party of the present-day revolution, which marks the transition from economic individualism to socialism, from wage slavery to free coöperation, from capitalist oligarchy to industrial democracy.

As measures calculated to strengthen the working class in its fight for the realization of its ultimate aim, the Coöperative Commonwealth, and to increase its power of resistance against capitalist oppression, we advocate and pledge ourselves and our elected officers to the following programme :—

First: The collective ownership and democratic management of railroads, wire and wireless telegraphs and telephones, express service, steamboat lines and all other social means of transportation and communication and of all large-scale industries.

Second: The immediate acquirement by the municipalities, the States or the Federal Government, of all grain elevators, stock yards, storage warehouses, and other distributing agencies, in order to reduce the present extortionate cost of living.

Third: The extension of the public domain to include mines, quarries, oil wells, forests and water power.

Fourth: The further conservation and development of natural resources for the use and benefit of all the people :—

(a) By scientific forestation and timber protection.

(b) By the reclamation of arid and swamp tracts.

(c) By the storage of flood waters and the utilization of water power.

(d) By the stoppage of the present extravagant waste of the soil and of the products of mines and oil wells.

(e) By the development of highway and waterway systems.

Fifth: The collective ownership of land wherever practicable, and in cases where such ownership is impracticable, the appropriation by taxation of the annual rental value of all land held for speculation or exploitation.

Sixth: The collective ownership and democratic management of the banking and currency system.

The immediate Government relief of the unemployed by the extension of all useful public works. All persons employed on such works to be engaged directly by the Government under a work day of not more than eight hours and at not less than the prevailing union wages. The Government also to establish employment bureaus; to lend money to States and municipalities, without interest, for the purpose of carrying on public works, and to take such other measures within its power as will lessen the widespread misery of the workers caused by the misrule of the capitalist class.

INDUSTRIAL DEMANDS

The conservation of human resources, particularly of the lives and well-being of the workers and their families: —

First: By shortening the work day in keeping with the increased productiveness of machinery.

Second: By securing to every worker a rest period of not less than a day and a half in each week.

Third: By securing a more effective inspection of workshops, factories and mines.

Fourth: By forbidding the employment of children under sixteen years of age.

Fifth: By abolishing the brutal exploitation of convicts under the contract system and prohibiting the sale of goods so produced in competition with other labor.

Sixth: By forbidding the interstate transportation of the products of child labor, of convict labor and of all uninspected factories and mines.

Seventh: By abolishing the profit system in Government work, and substituting either the direct hire of labor or the awarding of contracts to coöperative groups of workers.

Eighth: By establishing minimum wage scales.

Ninth: By abolishing official charity and substituting a non-contributory system of old-age pensions, a general system of insurance by the State of all its members against unemployment and invalidism and a system of compulsory insurance by employers of their workers, without cost to the latter, against industrial diseases, accidents and death.

POLITICAL DEMANDS

First: The absolute freedom of press, speech and assemblage.

Second: The adoption of a graduated income tax, the increase of the rates of the present corporation tax and the extension of inheritance taxes, graduated in proportion to the value of the estate and to nearness of kin — the proceeds of these taxes to be employed in the socialization of industry.

Third: The gradual reduction of all tariff duties, particularly those on the necessities of life. The government to guarantee the reëmployment of wage-earners who may be disemployed by reason of changes in tariff schedules.

Fourth: The abolition of the monopoly ownership of patents and the substitution of collective ownership, with direct rewards to inventors by premiums or royalties.

Fifth: Unrestricted and equal suffrage for men and women.

Sixth: The adoption of the initiative, referendum and recall and of proportional representation, nationally as well as locally.

Seventh: The abolition of the Senate and of the veto power of the President.

Eighth: The election of the President and Vice-President by direct vote of the people.

Ninth: The abolition of the power usurped by the Supreme Court of the United States to pass upon the constitutionality of the legislation enacted by Congress. National laws to be repealed only by act of Congress or by a referendum vote of the whole people.

Tenth: The abolition of the present restrictions upon the amendment of the Constitution, so that that instrument may be made amendable by a majority of the voters in a majority of the States.

Eleventh: The granting of the right of suffrage in the District of Columbia with representation in Congress and a democratic form of municipal government for purely local affairs.

Twelfth: The extension of Democratic government to all United States territory.

Thirteenth: The enactment of further measures for general education and particularly for vocational education in useful pursuits. The Bureau of Education to be made a department.

Fourteenth: The enactment of further measures for the conservation of health. The creation of an independent Bureau of Health, with such restrictions as will secure full liberty to all schools of practice.

Fifteenth: The separation of the present Bureau of Labor from the Department of Commerce and Labor and its elevation to the rank of a department.

Sixteenth: Abolition of all Federal District Courts and the United States Circuit Courts of Appeals. State courts to have jurisdiction in all cases arising between citizens of the several States and foreign corporations. The election of all judges for short terms.

Seventeenth: The immediate curbing of the power of the courts to issue injunctions.

Eighteenth: The free administration of justice.

Nineteenth: The calling of a convention for the revision of the Constitution of the United States.

Such measures of relief as we may be able to force from capitalism are but a preparation of the workers to seize the whole powers of government, in order that they may thereby lay hold of the whole system of socialized industry and thus come to their rightful inheritance.

Although the foregoing platform covers a wide range of subjects, it does not comprise all the political creed of the Socialist party. For besides the Platform Committee there was also a Committee on Resolutions, which made more than a dozen reports, each on a single topic, supplementing or amplifying the points mentioned in the platform. The resolutions were discussed and adopted, and should really form a part of the platform; but they are too voluminous to be here reproduced. Among the positions taken by the party in those resolutions were: opposition to the restriction of immigration by excluding avowed anarchists; condemnation of the prosecution of the I.W.W. leaders for inciting strikers to violence; approval of a Socialist propaganda in the enlisted forces of the army and navy; recommending temperance, but opposing prohibition; and condemning "white slavery" as a "by-product of capitalism."

The convention adopted a rule forbidding nominating speeches for a candidate for President, because, as one delegate put it, "nominating speeches are in most instances of such a character as to turn the convention from a deliberative body into a howling mob,"—a fact which members of other parties have perceived without its leading to the obvious remedy. On Friday afternoon, the 17th, the convention voted, without preliminaries, for a candidate for President, with the following result:—

Whole number of votes	275
Necessary to a choice	138
Eugene V. Debs, of Illinois	165
Emil Seidel, of Wisconsin	56
Charles Edward Russell, of New York	54

Mr. Seidel moved, and Mr. Russell seconded the motion, to make the nomination unanimous, which was carried.

The vote for a candidate for Vice-President resulted:—

Whole number of votes	256
Necessary to a choice	129
Emil Seidel, of Wisconsin	159
Dan Hogan, of Arkansas	73
John W. Slayton, of Pennsylvania	24

Mr. Seidel's nomination was made unanimous, on motion of Mr. Hogan, seconded by Mr. Slayton.

The convention of the Prohibition party was held at Atlantic City, New Jersey, July 10-12, in a hall at the end of a long pier jutting into the ocean. Some of the delegates felicitated themselves on the appropriateness of meeting in a place wholly surrounded by water. It was reported that all the States were represented "by a thousand or more delegates," but the facts were not more definitely stated. Indeed, the proceedings of the convention were so meagrely reported in the daily newspapers that details are not easily accessible. Clinton N. Howard, of New York, was the temporary chairman, and Dr. Charles H. Mead, of New Jersey, was the permanent president of the convention. There were two quite active contests in the course of the proceedings. An "insurgent" movement was successful, by an amendment of the rules, in giving to the convention the right by a free ballot to make choice of the chairman of the national committee; and complaint that the prohibition plank of the platform as reported by the Committee on Resolutions was inadequate, led to the addition of the concluding clause of the first paragraph of the platform, which, as agreed upon, was as follows:—

The Prohibition Party of the United States of America, in convention at Atlantic City, New Jersey, July 11, 1912, recognizing God as the source of all governmental authority, makes the following declaration of principles:—

The alcoholic drink traffic is wrong, the most serious drain upon the Nation's wealth and resources, detrimental to the general welfare, destructive of the inalienable rights of life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness, and therefore, all laws taxing or licensing a traffic that produces crime, poverty, and political corruption, and spreads disease and death, should be repealed. To destroy such traffic there must be elected to power a political party which will administer the Government from the standpoint that the alcoholic drink traffic is a crime and not a business, and we pledge that the manufacture, importation, exportation, transportation, and sale of alcoholic beverages shall be prohibited.

We favor the election of United States Senators by direct vote of the people.

Presidential terms of six years and one term only.

Uniform marriage and divorce laws.

The extermination of polygamy and the complete suppression of the traffic in girls.

Suffrage for women upon the same terms as to men.

Court review as to post-office decisions.

The absolute protection of the rights of labor without impairment of the rights of capital.

The settlement of all international disputes by arbitration.

The initiative and referendum.

The tariff is a commercial question and should be fixed on the basis of accurate knowledge secured by a permanent omnipartisan tariff commission with ample powers.

An elastic currency system adequate to our industrial needs.

The complete and permanent separation of Church and State.

We oppose the appropriation of public funds for any sectarian purposes.

The abolition of child labor in the mines, workshops, and factories, with rigid enforcement of laws now flagrantly violated.

Equitable graduated income and inheritance taxes.

Conservation of our mineral and forest reserves, reclamation of arid and waste lands, and we urge that all mineral and timber lands and water-power now owned by the Government be held perpetually and leased for revenue purposes.

Clearly defined laws for the regulation and control of corporations transacting an interstate business.

Greater efficiency and economy in government service.

To these fundamental principles the National Prohibition Party renews its long allegiance and on these issues invites the coöperation of all citizens, to the end that the true objects of popular government may be attained ; i. e., equal and exact justice to all.

Five candidates were proposed for President: Eugene W. Chafin, of Arizona; F. W. Emerson, of California; Finley C. Hendrickson, of Maryland; Aaron S. Watkins, of Ohio; and Andrew Jackson Houston, of Texas. After one vote all the candidates except Mr. Chafin were withdrawn, and he was nominated by acclamation. The leading candidates for Vice-President were Aaron S. Watkins, of Ohio; F. W. Emerson, of California; and George E. Stockwell, of New York. Again one vote was taken, and then Mr. Watkins was nominated by acclamation. The ticket was therefore the same as in 1904 and 1908.

It has already been recorded that immediately after the close of the Republican Convention the supporters of Mr. Roosevelt met in Orchestra Hall and laid preliminary plans for the formation of a new party to be led by him. Governor Johnson, of California, presided. Senator Clapp, of Minnesota, declared that those present "represented a clear

majority of the voters of the Republican party in the United States, and a clear majority of the delegates and alternates legally elected to the convention." The meeting tendered a nomination as President to Mr. Roosevelt, and he accepted it. The chairman of the meeting was empowered to name a committee to form plans for a temporary organization and for the holding of a delegate convention. A call for such a convention, to meet at Chicago on August 5, was issued on July 8, addressed "to the people of the United States, without regard to past political differences, who, through repeated betrayals, realize that to-day the power of the crooked political bosses and of the privileged classes behind them is so strong in the two old party organizations that no helpful movement in the real interest of our country can come out of either."

In the organization of a new party the preliminaries are necessarily informal, and must be undertaken by men who have no previous authority. It was so on this occasion. There was neither an enrolment of members nor any of the ordinary party machinery for the choice of delegates. But all difficulties arising from the lack of such preparatory aids were surmounted in one way or another, and a convention undoubtedly representative of the movement was duly assembled. It is not feasible to give so detailed a report of the proceedings as is possible in giving those of the Republican and Democratic conventions. So far as a somewhat careful search reveals, no newspaper in the country gave a connected, or even an intelligible, account of the proceedings, although the newspapers did print many pages of picturesque statements about it. Inasmuch as no official report was published, some facts regarding the convention are not available. It is probable, nevertheless, that all the States were represented, though even that cannot be stated positively; and of course, since there was no roll-call and no division on any question, the total number of delegates cannot be stated. Among the delegates were many women.

Previous to the meeting of the convention Mr. Roosevelt made known his wishes on a certain point regarding the representation of the extreme southern States. He urged that the choice of colored men as delegates, which should be encouraged in the northern States, should be discouraged in the South. He gave several reasons for his opinion, based on the conditions in that part of the country, and the scandals that had accompanied the manipulation of caucuses and conven-

tions by the Republican organizations in recent years. His declaration practically called for delegations exclusively of white men from all the States where the laws or the attitude of those in control of the governments prevented the free exercise of the right of suffrage by the negroes. Undoubtedly Mr. Roosevelt had also in his mind, as a consequence of what is known as a "lily white" policy, that although the Progressives would probably lose some votes of colored men, they would gain many from the Democratic party; and it was a hope and expectation that the movement would tend to create a breach in the "solid South." Indeed, there were some delegates, former Democrats, in the convention from southern States, who brought assurances that Mr. Roosevelt would make great inroads upon the Democratic vote in that region, with good prospect of carrying some of the States. There was a certain amount of opposition to the proposition. It was feared, by some delegates from northern States where the Negro vote was an important element, that the discrimination against the race would cause a loss of strength where such a loss might make the difference between success and failure. But on this matter, as on every other, of principle, policy, or action, the will of the leader was decisive. The National Committee decided to exclude certain colored delegates from southern States, and the convention confirmed its acts. It will be seen, on an examination of the popular vote in November, that so far from the Progressive movement making a breach in the solid South, the combined vote for Roosevelt and Taft in those States was less than the Taft vote in 1908.

In many respects the convention was unique. It would be easy and true to describe it as a Roosevelt convention, but it was much more than that. There could be no mistaking the fervor and enthusiasm of the delegates for the principles of Mr. Roosevelt and for the political and social crusade to which he was leading them. They firmly believed that they were entering upon a movement for the regeneration and emancipation of the American people, and the renovation and purification of American life. They were continually breaking forth into song — religious and patriotic song — "Onward Christian Soldiers," the "Battle Hymn of the Republic"; "America"; and most appropriately they closed the sessions of the convention by singing the Doxology — "Praise God from whom all blessings flow." Psychologically their attitude might be likened to

the militant spirituality of a Salvation Army host, and the likeness is emphasized by the concluding sentence of Mr. Roosevelt's "confession of faith," "We stand at Armageddon, and we battle for the Lord."

The convention was called to order by Senator Dixon, of Montana, who read the call and made a brief address. Albert J. Beveridge, of Indiana, was the temporary chairman, and spoke at great length. He continued to act as presiding officer during all the sessions of the convention. On the second day Mr. Roosevelt was received with extraordinary enthusiasm, and made his "confession of faith." On the third day the platform, which had previously been submitted to Mr. Roosevelt, and approved by him, was reported and unanimously adopted, as follows:—

The conscience of the people in a time of grave national problems has called into being a new party, born of the nation's awakened sense of justice.

We of the Progressive Party here dedicate ourselves to the fulfillment of the duty laid upon us by our fathers to maintain that government of the people, by the people, and for the people, whose foundations they laid.

We hold, with Thomas Jefferson and Abraham Lincoln, that the people are the masters of their Constitution, to fulfill its purposes and to safeguard it from those who, by perversion of its intent, would convert it into an instrument of injustice. In accordance with the needs of each generation the people must use their sovereign powers to establish and maintain equal opportunity and industrial justice, to secure which this government was founded and without which no republic can endure.

This country belongs to the people who inhabit it. Its resources, its business, its institutions, and its laws should be utilized, maintained, or altered in whatever manner will best promote the general interest. It is time to set the public welfare in the first place.

Political parties exist to secure responsible government and to execute the will of the people. From these great tasks both the old parties have turned aside. Instead of instruments to promote the general welfare, they have become the tools of corrupt interests which use them impartially to serve their selfish purposes. Behind the ostensible government sits enthroned an invisible government owning no allegiance and alleging no responsibility to the people. To destroy this invisible government, to dissolve the unholy alliance between corrupt business and corrupt politics, is the first task of the statesmanship of the day.

The deliberate betrayal of its trust by the Republican Party, the

fatal incapacity of the Democratic Party to deal with the new issues of the new time, have compelled the people to forge a new instrument of government through which to give effect to their will in laws and institutions. Unhampered by tradition, uncorrupted by power, undismayed by the magnitude of the task, the new party offers itself as the instrument of the people to sweep away old abuses, to build a new and nobler commonwealth.

This declaration is our covenant with the people, and we hereby bind the party and its candidates in state and nation to the pledges made herein.

The Progressive Party, committed to the principle of government by a self-controlled democracy expressing its will through representatives of the people, pledges itself to secure such alterations in the fundamental law of the several states and of the United States as shall insure the representative character of the government. In particular, the party declares for direct-primaries for the nomination of state and national officers, for nation-wide preferential primaries for candidates for the Presidency, for the direct election of United States Senators by the people; and we urge on the states the policy of the short ballot with responsibility to the people secured by the initiative, referendum, and recall.

The Progressive Party, believing that a free people should have the power from time to time to amend their fundamental law so as to adopt it progressively to the changing needs of the people, pledges itself to provide a more easy and expeditious method of amending the Federal Constitution.

Up to the limit of the Constitution and later by amendment of the Constitution, if it was found necessary, we advocate bringing under effective national jurisdiction those problems which have expanded beyond reach of the individual states.

It is as grotesque as it is intolerable that the several states should by unequal laws in matter of common concern become competing commercial agencies, barter the lives of their children, the health of their women, and the safety and well-being of their working people for the profit of their financial interests.

The extreme insistence on state's rights by the Democratic Party in the Baltimore platform demonstrates anew its inability to understand the world into which it has survived or to administer the affairs of a union of states which have in all essential respects become one people.

The Progressive Party, believing that no people can justly claim to be a true democracy which denies political right on account of sex, pledges itself to the task of securing equal suffrage to men and women alike.

We pledge our party to legislation that will compel strict lim-

itation of all campaign contributions and expenditures and detailed publicity of both, before as well as after primaries and elections.

We pledge our party to legislation compelling the registration of lobbyists ; publicity of committee hearings, except on foreign affairs, and recording of all votes in committee, and forbidding federal appointees from holding office in state or national political organizations or taking part as officers or delegates in political conventions for the nomination of elective state or national officials.

The Progressive Party demands such restriction of the power of the courts as shall leave to the people the ultimate authority to determine fundamental questions of social welfare and public policy. To secure this end, it pledges itself to provide : —

(1) That when an act passed under the police power of the state is held unconstitutional under the state constitution by the courts, the people, after an ample interval for deliberation, shall have an opportunity to vote on the question whether they desire the act to become law, notwithstanding such decision.

(2) That every decision of the highest appellate court of a state declaring an act of the legislature unconstitutional on the ground of its violation of the Federal Constitution shall be subject to the same review by the Supreme Court of the United States as is now accorded to decisions sustaining such legislation.

The Progressive Party, in order to secure to the people a better administration of justice and by that means to bring about a more general respect for the law and the courts, pledges itself to work unceasingly for the reform of legal procedure and judicial methods.

We believe that the issuance of injunctions in cases arising out of labor disputes should be prohibited when such injunctions would not apply when no labor disputes existed.

We also believe that a person cited for contempt in labor disputes, except when such contempt was committed in the actual presence of the court or so near thereto as to interfere with the proper administration of justice, should have a right to trial by jury.

The supreme duty of the nation is the conservation of human resources through an enlightened measure of social and industrial justice. We pledge ourselves to work unceasingly in state and nation for —

Effective legislation looking to the prevention of industrial accidents, occupational diseases, overwork, involuntary unemployment, and other injurious effects incident to modern industry ;

The fixing of minimum safety and health standards for the various occupations, and the exercise of the public authority of state and nation, including the federal control over interstate commerce and the taxing power, to maintain such standards ;

The prohibition of child labor; minimum wage standards for working women; to provide a "living wage" in all industrial occupations;

The general prohibition of night work for women, and the establishment of an eight-hour day for women and young persons;

One day's rest in seven for all wage-workers;

The eight-hour day in continuous twenty-four hour industries;

The abolition of the convict contract labor system; substituting a system of prison production for governmental consumption only; and the application of prisoners' earnings to the support of their dependent families;

Publicity as to wages, hours, and conditions of labor; full reports upon industrial accidents and diseases, and the opening to public inspection of all tallies, weights, measures, and check systems on labor products.

We pledge our party to establish a Department of Labor with a seat in the Cabinet, and with wide jurisdiction over matters affecting the conditions of labor and living.

The development and prosperity of country life are as important to the people who live in the cities as they are to the farmers. Increase of prosperity on the farm will favorably affect the cost of living and promote the interests of all who dwell in the country, and all who depend upon its products for clothing, shelter, and food.

We pledge our party to foster the development of agricultural credit and coöperation, the teaching of agriculture in schools, the agricultural college extension, the use of mechanical power on the farm, and to reestablish the Country Life Commission, thus directly promoting the welfare of the farmers and bringing the benefits of better farming, better business, and better living within their reach.

The high cost of living is due partly to world-wide and partly to local causes; partly to natural and partly to artificial causes. The measures proposed in this platform on various subjects, such as the tariff, the trusts, and conservation, will of themselves remove the artificial causes. There will remain other elements, such as the tendency to leave the country for the city, waste, extravagance, bad system of taxation, poor methods of raising crops, and bad business methods in marketing crops.

To remedy these conditions requires the fullest information and, based on this information, effective government supervision and control to remove all the artificial causes. We pledge ourselves to such full and immediate inquiry and to immediate action to deal with every need such inquiry discloses.

We favor the union of all the existing agencies of the Federal

Government dealing with the public health into a single national health service without discrimination against or for any one set of therapeutic methods, school of medicine, or school of healing, with such additional powers as may be necessary to enable it to perform efficiently such duties in the protection of the public from preventable disease as may be properly undertaken by the federal authorities; including the executing of existing laws regarding pure food; quarantine and cognate subjects; the promotion of appropriate action for the improvement of vital statistics and the extension of the registration area of such statistics; and coöperation with the health activities of the various states and cities of the nation.

We believe that true popular government, justice, and prosperity go hand in hand, and, so believing, it is our purpose to secure that large measure of general prosperity which is the fruit of legitimate and honest business, fostered by equal justice and by sound progressive laws.

We demand that the test of true prosperity shall be the benefits conferred thereby on all the citizens, not confined to individuals or classes, and that the test of corporate efficiency shall be the ability better to serve the public; that those who profit by control of business shall justify that profit and control by sharing with the public the fruits thereof.

We therefore demand a strong national regulation of interstate corporations. The corporation is an essential part of modern business. The concentration of modern business in some degree is both inevitable and necessary for national and international business efficiency. But the existing concentration of vast wealth under a corporate system, unguarded and uncontrolled by the nation, has placed in the hands of a few men enormous, secret, irresponsible power over the daily life of the citizen — a power insufferable in a free government and certain of abuse.

This power has been abused, in monopoly of national resources, in stock-watering, in unfair competition and unfair privileges, and finally in sinister influences on the public agencies of state and nation. We do not fear commercial power, but we insist that it shall be exercised openly, under public supervision and regulation of the most efficient sort which will preserve its good while eradicating and preventing its ill.

To that end we urge the establishment of a strong federal administrative commission of high standing which shall maintain permanent active supervision over industrial corporations engaged in interstate commerce, doing for them what the Government now does for the national banks, and what is now done for the railroads by the Interstate Commerce Commission.

Such a commission must enforce the complete publicity of those corporate transactions which are of public interest; must attack unfair competition, false capitalization, and special privilege, and by continuous, trained watchfulness guard and keep open, equally to all, the highways of American commerce. Thus the business man will have certain knowledge of the law, and will be able to conduct his business easily in conformity therewith; the investor will find security for his capital; dividends will be rendered more certain, and the savings of the people will be drawn naturally and safely into the channels of trade.

Under such a system of constructive regulation legitimate business, freed from confusion, uncertainty, and fruitless litigation, will develop normally in response to the energy and enterprise of the American business man.

We favor strengthening the Sherman Law by prohibiting agreements to divide territory or limit output; refusing to sell to customers who buy from business rivals; to sell below cost in certain areas while maintaining higher prices in other places; using the power of transportation to aid or injure special business concerns, and other unfair trade practices.

We pledge ourselves to the enactment of a patent law which will make it impossible for patents to be suppressed or used against the public welfare in the interests of injurious monopolies.

We pledge our party to secure to the Interstate Commerce Commission the power to value the physical property of railroads. In order that the power of the commission to protect the people may not be impaired or destroyed, we demand the abolition of the Commerce Court.

We believe there exists imperative need for prompt legislation for the improvement of our national currency system. We believe the present method of issuing notes through private agencies is harmful and unscientific. The issue of currency is fundamentally a government function and the system should have as basic principles soundness and elasticity. The control should be lodged with the Government and should be protected from domination or manipulation by Wall Street or any special interests.

We are opposed to the so-called Aldrich Currency Bill because its provisions would place our currency and credit system in private hands, not subject to effective public control.

The time has come when the Federal Government should coöperate with manufacturers and producers in extending our foreign commerce. To this end we demand adequate appropriations by Congress and the appointment of diplomatic and consular officers solely with a view to their special fitness and worth, and not in consideration of political expediency.

It is imperative to the welfare of our people that we enlarge and extend our foreign commerce. In every way possible our Federal Government should coöperate in this important matter. Germany's policy of coöperation between government and business has in comparatively few years made that nation a leading competitor for the commerce of the world.

The natural resources of the nation must be promptly developed and generously used to supply the people's needs, but we cannot safely allow them to be wasted, exploited, monopolized, or controlled against the general good. We heartily favor the policy of conservation, and we pledge our party to protect the national forests without hindering their legitimate use for the benefit of all the people. Agricultural lands in the national forests are and should remain open to the genuine settler. Conservation will not retard legitimate development. The honest settler must receive his patent promptly without hindrance, rules, or delays.

We believe that the remaining forests, coal and oil lands, water-powers, and other natural resources, still in state or national control (except agricultural lands), are more likely to be wisely conserved and utilized for the general welfare if held in the public hands. In order that consumers and producers, managers and workmen, now and hereafter, need not pay toll to private monopolies of power and raw material, we demand that such resources shall be retained by the state or nation, and opened to immediate use under laws which will encourage development and make to the people a moderate return for benefits conferred.

In particular we pledge our party to require reasonable compensation to the public for water-power rights hereafter granted by the public. We pledge legislation to lease the public grazing lands under equitable provisions now pending which will increase the production of food for the people and thoroughly safeguard the rights of the actual homemakers. Natural resources whose conservation is necessary for the national welfare should be owned or controlled by the nation.

We recognize the vital importance of good roads, and we pledge our party to foster their extension in every proper way, and we favor the early construction of national highways. We also favor the extension of the rural free delivery service.

The coal and other natural resources of Alaska should be opened to development at once. They are owned by the people of the United States and are safe from monopoly, waste, or destruction only while so owned. We demand that they shall neither be sold nor given away except under the Homestead Law, but while held in government ownership shall be opened to use promptly upon liberal terms requiring immediate development.

Thus the benefit of cheap fuel will accrue to the Government of the United States and to the people of Alaska and the Pacific Coast; the settlement of extensive agricultural lands will be hastened; the extermination of the salmon will be prevented, and the just and wise development of Alaskan resources will take the place of private extortion of monopoly. We demand also that extortion or monopoly in transportation shall be prevented by the prompt acquisition, construction, or improvement by the Government of such railroads, harbor, and other facilities for transportation as the welfare of the people may demand.

We promise the people of the Territory of Alaska the same measure of local self-government that was given to other American Territories, and that federal officials appointed there shall be qualified by previous *bona fide* residence in the territory.

The rivers of the United States are the natural arteries of this continent. We demand that they shall be opened to traffic as indispensable parts of a great nation-wide system of transportation, in which the Panama Canal will be the central link, thus enabling the whole interior of the United States to share with the Atlantic and Pacific seaboards in the benefit derived from the canals.

It is a national obligation to develop our rivers, and especially the Mississippi and its tributaries, without delay, under a comprehensive general plan covering each river system from its source to its mouth, designed to secure its highest usefulness for navigation, irrigation, domestic supply, water-power, and the prevention of floods. We pledge our party to the immediate preparation of such a plan which should be made and carried out in close and friendly coöperation between the nation, the state, and the cities affected.

Under such a plan, the destructive floods of the Mississippi and other streams, which represent a vast and needless loss to the nation, would be controlled by forest conservation and water storage at the headwaters and by levees below, land sufficient to support millions of people would be reclaimed from the deserts and swamps, water-power enough to transform the industrial standing of whole states would be developed, adequate water terminals would be provided, transportation would revive, and the railroads would be compelled to coöperate as freely with the boat lines as with each other.

The equipment, organization, and experience acquired in constructing the Panama Canal soon will be available for the lakes-to-the-gulf deep waterway and other portions of this great work, and should be utilized by the nation in coöperation with the various states, at the lowest net cost to the people.

The Panama Canal, built and paid for by the American people,

must be used primarily for their benefit. We demand that the canal shall be so operated as to break the transportation monopoly now held and misused by the transcontinental railroads by maintaining sea competition with them, that ships directly or indirectly owned or controlled by American railroad corporations shall not be permitted to use the canal, and that American ships engaged in coastwise trade shall pay no tolls.

The Progressive Party will favor legislation having for its aim the development of friendship and commerce between the United States and Latin-American nations.

We believe in a protective tariff which shall equalize conditions of competition between the United States and foreign countries, both for the farmer and the manufacturer, and which shall maintain for labor an adequate standard of living. Primarily the benefit of any tariff should be disclosed in the pay envelope of the laborer. We declare that no industry deserves protection which is unfair to labor or which is operating in violation of federal law. We believe that the presumption is always in favor of the consuming public.

We demand tariff revision because the present tariff is unjust to the people of the United States. Fair dealing toward the people requires an immediate downward revision of those schedules wherein duties are shown to be unjust or excessive.

We pledge ourselves to the establishment of a non-partisan scientific tariff commission, reporting both to the President and to either branch of Congress, which shall report, — first, as to the costs of production, efficiency of labor, capitalization, industrial organization and efficiency, and the general competitive position in this country and abroad of industries seeking protection from Congress; second, as to the revenue-producing power of the tariff and its relation to the resources of Government; and third, as to the effect of the tariff on prices, operations of middle men, and on the purchasing power of the consumer. We believe that this commission should have plenary power to elicit information and for this purpose to prescribe a uniform system of accounting for the great protected industries. The work of the commission should not prevent the immediate adoption of acts reducing those schedules generally recognized as excessive.

We condemn the Payne-Aldrich Tariff Bill as unjust to the people. The Republican organization is in the hands of those who have broken, and cannot be again trusted to keep, the promise of necessary downward revision. The Democratic Party is committed to the destruction of the protective system through a tariff for revenue only — a policy which would inevitably produce widespread industrial and commercial disaster. We demand the immediate repeal of the Canadian Reciprocity Act.

We believe in a graduated inheritance tax as a national means of equalizing the obligations of holders of property to government, and we hereby pledge our party to enact such a federal law as will tax large inheritances, returning to the states an equitable percentage of all amounts collected. We favor the ratification of the pending amendment to the Constitution giving the Government power to levy an income tax.

The Progressive Party deplores the survival in our civilization of the barbaric system of warfare among nations, with its enormous waste of resources even in time of peace and the consequent impoverishment of the life of the toiling masses.

We pledge the party to use its best endeavors to substitute judicial and other peaceful means of settling international differences.

We favor an international agreement for the limitation of naval forces. Pending such an agreement, and as the best means of preserving peace, we pledge ourselves to maintain for the present the policy of building two battleships a year.

We pledge our party to protect the rights of American citizenship at home and abroad. No treaty should receive the sanction of our Government which discriminates between American citizens because of birthplace, race, or religion, or that does not recognize the absolute right of expatriation.

Through the establishment of industrial standards we propose to secure to the able-bodied immigrant and to his native fellow-workers, a larger share of American opportunity.

We denounce the fatal policy of indifference and neglect which has left our enormous immigrant population to become the prey of chance and cupidity. We favor governmental action to encourage the distribution of immigrants away from the congested cities, to rigidly supervise all private agencies dealing with them, and to promote their assimilation, education, and advancement.

We pledge ourselves to a wise and just policy of pensioning American soldiers and sailors and their widows and children by the Federal Government.

And we approve the policy of the Southern States in granting pensions to the ex-Confederate soldiers and sailors and their widows and children.

We pledge our party to the immediate creation of a parcels post with rates proportionate to distance and service.

We condemn the violations of the Civil Service Law under the present administration, including the coercion and assessment of subordinate employes, and the President's refusal to punish such violation after a finding of guilty by his own commission; his distribution of patronage among subservient Congressmen, while withholding it from those who refuse to support administration.

measures; his withdrawal of nominations from the Senate until political support for himself was secured, and his open use of the offices to reward those who voted for his renomination.

To eradicate these abuses, we demand not only the enforcement of the Civil Service Act in letter and spirit, but also legislation which will bring under the competitive system postmasters, collectors, marshals, and all other non-political officers, as well as the enactment of an equitable retirement law, and we also insist upon continued service during good behavior and efficiency.

We pledge our party to readjustment of the business methods of the National Government and a proper coördination of the federal bureaus which will increase the economy and efficiency of the government service, prevent duplications, and secure better results to the taxpayers for every dollar expended.

The people of the United States are swindled out of many millions of dollars every year, through worthless investments. The plain people, the wage-earner, and the men and women with small savings, have no way of knowing the merit of concerns sending out highly colored prospectuses offering stock for sale, prospectuses that make big returns seem certain and fortunes easily within grasp.

We hold it to be the duty of the Government to protect its people from this kind of piracy. We, therefore, demand wise, carefully-thought-out legislation that will give us such governmental supervision over this matter as will furnish to the people of the United States this much-needed protection, and we pledge ourselves thereto.

On these principles and on the recognized desirability of uniting the progressive forces of the nation into an organization which shall unequivocally represent the progressive spirit and policy we appeal for the support of all American citizens, without regard to previous political affiliations.

After the adoption of the platform Mr. Roosevelt was nominated as candidate for President, by acclamation. The nominating speeches were extremely laudatory. A striking feature of that part of the proceedings was the speech of Miss Jane Addams, seconding the nomination. Hardly less interesting were the speeches proposing Governor Hiram W. Johnson, of California, as candidate for Vice-President, who also was nominated by acclamation.

The ensuing canvass, that culminated in the election of November, 1912, forms a chapter in our political history of which no American should be proud. It was an era of misrepresentation, unreasoning rancor, and mud-throwing. There

was no need of active campaigning on the part of the Democrats; their divided enemies relieved them of anxiety, for the two factions, or rather the two parties, were too much occupied with mutual denunciation to spare any time in warfare against the party that both had previously held up to popular condemnation as the embodiment of evil. Republicans affected to belittle the Progressive movement, to sneer at it as a one-man affair, and persuaded themselves that it would end in complete failure. The Progressives were truly amazed at the spontaneous thronging of old-time Republicans to their banner, and exultingly announced that the future was theirs. They firmly believed that the Republican party was dead beyond resurrection, like the Whig party of the nineteenth century. Their devotion to the cause they had espoused was almost fanatical in its intensity. Something of the tone, akin to religious fervor, that had characterized their convention, survived in their campaigning. They seemed to regard those from whom they had but just separated themselves — party associates of a lifetime — somewhat as a fresh convert feels toward the bad companions of the past — bad only because they have not followed him to the “anxious seat.” “Thou shalt not steal!” — often uttered on the platform and in the press — was both their favorite rebuke to those with whom they could no longer keep company and a self-comforting assumption of virtue.

“Thou shalt not bear false witness!” was the retort. The accusation of theft had reference, of course, to the refusal of the Chicago convention to seat two or three score of Roosevelt contestants. The evidence in all those cases was available, and the Republican Committee published it, and — to its own satisfaction at least — proved that the contests were decided rightly. The Progressives made no attempt to put forth a counter-analysis of the contested election evidence. Their accusation had accomplished all that they had hoped from it.

Upon a careful study of the whole affair one can see that the manner in which the Republican party was to break in twain is the only thing that could not have been foreseen. The breach itself was inevitable. If the upshot of the convention had been different, if the control had been in the hands of the Roosevelt faction, and if they had placed the ex-President in nomination on such a platform as they framed in August, those who supported Mr. Taft could not have transferred their support

to the extreme Progressive candidate and his declaration of principles. There was no personal, no moral, no political bond that held the two factions together.

It would not be useful to give a detailed history of the canvass, from August to November. All the candidates made political speeches, and each advanced reasons more to the point why the others should not be elected than why he himself should be. The only dramatic incident of the time was an attempt upon the life of Mr. Roosevelt while he was making a political speech. He was shot and injured somewhat, but not very seriously. The assault created sympathy for him, and there was some suspension of political campaigning for a short time. But he was soon again active on the stump.

Since the Ten Commandments were so often quoted as political maxims, it is strange that it did not occur to the partisans either of Mr. Taft or of Mr. Roosevelt to hurl the tenth at the Democrats: "Thou shalt not covet!" For they were eagerly and gleefully preparing to seize and appropriate that which was still their neighbors', while those neighbors were wrathfully quarrelling over it. And they were sure of gaining possession of it. Queerly enough, both of the factions whom they were opposing also professed confidence in the result. The conflicting claims did not deceive dispassionate observers. A correspondent who accompanied Mr. Roosevelt on his western tour published, a full month before the election, a forecast that proved to be remarkably accurate. His main conclusions were that in all the western States except Utah Taft was out of the race, and would run "a bad third"; that Wilson was well in the lead; that through fear of Roosevelt many Taft men would vote for Wilson; that the rural districts were stronger for Roosevelt than the cities; and so on. Practically every one of those predictions was ultimately verified by the result.

The Republicans were in an exasperating situation in several of the States by reason of the fact that candidates for electors had been nominated by the same conventions that chose delegates to the national convention. In those States where Roosevelt delegates had been successful the proposed electors were also partisans of the Progressive candidate. Some of them, even after the split, declined to withdraw. If they had persisted, and if the issue of the election had been different, a very singular situation might have developed, that, namely, of electors chosen by one party supporting the candidates for President

and Vice-President of a violently hostile party. In no less than sixteen States there were Roosevelt men on the Republican electoral tickets, and it was only two or three weeks before the fateful day in November that those tickets were "purged" in all the States except California. The Progressives were in full control of the Republican "machine" in that State, and refused to allow any ticket to be voted therein that was composed of men loyal to the candidates of the party.

The popular and electoral votes are shown on page 302.

The total vote for all candidates in 1912 was 14,937,351, as compared with 14,885,989 in 1908. The increase in four years, only 51,362, was less than the 73,098 votes of the new States of Arizona and New Mexico. Indeed, the Roosevelt and Taft strength combined was not as great as the Taft vote four years before by 109,335; and the Democratic vote was less by 145,724. Considering the undoubted fact that there was a large increase in the number of persons entitled to vote, the special increase of potential and actual voters in the Pacific States by the extension of the franchise to women, and the extremely active campaigning with the object of drawing out a full vote, the result in this particular is not easily explained. An examination of a table which follows will show that the only regions of the country where the aggregate poll was as large for the two—or three—parties, were New England and the Pacific States.

One would be rash to analyze the results of the election with confidence. Of course every one knows that there were waves and counter-waves great and small, in the political ocean, but to assign its definite effect to each and thus to discover the resultant of forces would be an act of political temerity. The grand wave was that which separated the Progressives from the Republican party, but the bare figures do not indicate accurately the magnitude of that wave. The vote for Roosevelt exceeded that for Taft by 634,551. Does that mean that more than four million Republicans deserted their party—more than one-half of those who voted for Taft in 1908? Possibly, but not certainly. For there were two other movements, at least, at the same time, one of which increased the Roosevelt vote at the expense of the Democrats; the other, and probably the larger, diminished the vote for Taft for the benefit of the Democrats. There were Democrats of the radical faction who feared the conservatism of their own candidate

STATES	POPULAR VOTE						ELECTORAL VOTE		
	Wilson and Marshall Democratic	Roosevelt and Johnson Progressive	Taft and Sherman Republican	Chafin and Watkins Prohibition	Debs and Seidel Socialist	Reimer and Francis Socialist Labor	Wilson and Marshall	Roosevelt and Johnson	Taft and Sherman
Alabama.....	82,439	22,689	9,731	..	3,029	..	12	..	..
Arizona.....	10,324	6,949	3,021	265	3,163	..	3	..	..
Arkansas.....	68,838	21,673	24,297	898	8,153	..	9	..	..
California.....	283,436	283,610	3,914	23,366	79,201	..	2	11	..
Colorado.....	114,223	72,306	58,386	5,063	16,418	..	6	..	..
Connecticut....	74,561	34,129	68,324	2,068	70,056	475	7	..	..
Delaware.....	22,631	8,886	15,998	623	556	1,260	3	..	..
Florida.....	36,417	4,535	4,279	1,854	4,806	..	6	..	..
Georgia.....	93,171	22,010	5,190	147	1,014	..	14	..	..
Idaho.....	33,921	25,527	32,810	1,537	11,960	..	4	..	..
Illinois.....	405,048	386,478	253,613	15,710	81,273	4,066	29	..	..
Indiana.....	281,890	162,007	151,267	19,249	36,931	3,130	15	..	..
Iowa.....	185,325	161,819	119,805	8,440	16,967	..	13	..	..
Kansas.....	143,670	120,123	74,844	..	26,807	..	10	..	..
Kentucky.....	219,584	102,766	115,512	3,233	11,647	956	13	..	..
Louisiana.....	60,966	9,323	3,834	..	5,249	..	10	..	..
Maine.....	51,113	48,493	26,545	945	2,541	..	6	..	..
Maryland.....	112,674	57,786	54,956	2,244	3,996	322	8	..	..
Massachusetts..	173,408	142,228	155,948	2,754	12,616	1,102	18	..	..
Michigan.....	150,751	214,584	152,244	8,934	23,211	1,252	..	15	..
Minnesota.....	106,426	125,856	64,334	7,886	27,505	2,212	..	12	..
Mississippi.....	57,164	3,627	1,511	..	2,017	..	10	..	..
Missouri.....	330,746	124,371	207,821	5,380	28,466	1,778	18	..	..
Montana.....	27,941	22,456	18,512	32	10,885	..	4	..	..
Nebraska.....	109,008	72,689	54,216	3,383	10,885	..	8	..	..
Nevada.....	7,986	5,620	3,196	..	3,313	..	3	..	..
New Hampshire..	34,724	17,794	32,927	535	1,981	..	4	..	..
New Jersey.....	178,289	145,410	88,835	2,878	15,801	1,321	14	..	..
New Mexico.....	20,437	8,347	17,733	..	2,859	..	3	..	..
New York.....	655,475	390,021	455,428	19,427	63,381	4,251	45	..	..
North Carolina..	144,507	69,130	29,139	117	1,025	..	12	..	..
North Dakota..	29,555	25,726	23,090	1,243	6,966	..	5	..	..
Ohio.....	423,152	229,327	277,066	11,459	89,930	2,623	24	..	..
Oklahoma.....	119,156	..	90,786	2,185	42,262	..	10	..	..
Oregon.....	47,064	37,600	34,673	4,360	13,343	..	5	..	..
Pennsylvania...	395,619	447,426	273,305	19,533	83,164	704	..	38	..
Rhode Island...	30,142	16,878	27,703	616	2,049	236	5	..	..
South Carolina..	48,355	1,293	536	..	164	..	9	..	..
South Dakota....	48,942	58,811	..	3,910	4,662	..	..	5	..
Tennessee.....	130,335	53,725	59,444	825	3,492	..	12	..	..
Texas.....	221,589	26,755	28,853	1,738	25,743	442	20	..	..
Utah.....	36,579	24,174	42,100	..	9,023	509	..	..	4
Vermont.....	15,350	22,070	23,305	1,154	928	..	..	..	4
Virginia.....	90,332	21,777	23,288	709	820	50	12	..	..
Washington....	86,840	113,698	70,445	9,810	40,134	1,872	..	7	..
West Virginia...	113,197	79,112	56,754	4,517	15,248	..	8	..	..
Wisconsin.....	164,409	58,661	130,878	8,467	34,163	698	13	..	..
Wyoming.....	15,310	9,232	14,560	434	2,760	..	3	..	..
Total.....	6,293,019	4,119,507	3,484,956	207,828	901,873	29,259	435	88	8

and who admired Mr. Roosevelt. On the other hand there were Republicans who, either from dissatisfaction with Mr. Taft on account of his reciprocity policy or on account of his action on other public questions, or from fear that Mr. Roosevelt would be successful, voted for Mr. Wilson. It would be mere guess-work to estimate the relative importance of these several movements; or to determine definitely whether it is true, as has been hastily assumed, that more than one-half of the Republicans went over to the Progressive candidate; or to account for a decrease of one-fifth in the Prohibition vote, at a time when the prohibitionist idea seemed to be carrying all before it in many parts of the country; or to explain the doubling of the Socialist vote when there was no outward manifestation of a growth of political socialism.

Nevertheless it may interest some students of the political tendencies of the time to seek enlightenment by extracting whatever significance there may be in the subjoined table, which shows the vote at the last two elections by geographical sections. The only explanation of the grouping of the States that is necessary, aside from that given in the notes, is that the States designated as the "extreme South" are those where it is not deemed worth while for either Democrats or Republicans to go to the polls. The total number of votes cast in the eight States for all candidates was 926,079; the population in 1910 was more than sixteen and a half million; that is, about fifty-five votes to a thousand of the population.

	Bryan 1908	Wilson 1912	Taft 1908	Roosevelt 1912	Taft 1912
New England.....	329,058	379,298	582,411	281,592	334,752
Middle States ¹	1,298,820	1,229,383	1,881,175	982,857	817,568
Middle South ²	1,322,020	1,261,668	1,262,839	517,449	654,707
Extreme South ³ ..	664,284	690,433	214,154	112,009	77,222
Near West ⁴	2,126,153	1,863,253	2,731,720	1,405,688	1,213,933
Middle West ⁵	305,559	298,674	488,024	291,782	195,406
Pacific ⁶	362,088	539,549	517,465	512,834	170,614
Total	6,407,982	6,262,258	7,677,788	4,104,211	3,464,202

¹ New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania.

² Arkansas, Delaware, Kentucky, Maryland, Missouri, North Carolina, Oklahoma, Tennessee, West Virginia.

³ Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, South Carolina, Texas, Virginia.

⁴ Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Nebraska, Ohio, Wisconsin.

⁵ Idaho, Montana, Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah, Wyoming.

⁶ California, Colorado, Nevada, Oregon, Washington.

There was a quick subsidence of excitement at once after the election. Indeed, the result had been generally foreseen, and the only occasion for surprise was the extent of the Progressive inroad upon the Republican forces and the insignificant electoral vote given to Taft. The count of the vote in the joint session of Congress presented no incident worthy of mention.

The inauguration of Wilson and Marshall on the 4th of March, 1913, was an unusually brilliant occasion. Democrats throughout the country celebrated the return of their party to full control of the government after a long period of exclusion. The throng of strangers in Washington was reported to have been larger than at any previous inauguration, and the visitors were favored with pleasant weather. Mr. Wilson passed from his hotel to the White House, before the ceremony, through a double line of Princeton students. President Taft accompanied him to the Capitol, and also on his return to the White House. The ceremonies followed the usual course. The oath of office was administered to Vice-President Marshall in the Senate Chamber; and the Supreme Court, the President-elect, and both Houses of Congress then proceeded to the east front of the Capitol, where the oath was administered to Mr. Wilson by Chief Justice White. A procession of many thousands escorted the new President to his official residence, where he reviewed it, and the new administration had come into being.

V

THE EVOLUTION OF THE PRESIDENCY

IF a member of the Convention that framed the Constitution were to come back to earth, what feature of the present situation would most astonish him? That the population of less than four millions in 1790 had grown into a nation of more than ninety millions in 1910? That the area of the Union had increased from 900,000 square miles to more than 3,000,000, — not to mention island possessions in two oceans? That the government which the Convention devised had endured for nearly a century and a quarter, and was more united and more stable at the end of the period than at the beginning? That the government had not only endured, but had remained unchanged, so far as the written Constitution was concerned, except in minor and unimportant details?

After all, is not that last-mentioned fact the most astonishing of all? The fathers undoubtedly expected expansion and growth, for they provided for it. They might have hoped, with many a doubt, that their work would be lasting, for they declared their purpose to be the creation of "a more perfect union." But they could not have anticipated that — granted such a growth as the country has experienced — radical changes would not be found necessary, that their Constitution would prove self-adaptable to conditions enormously modified. In that fact more than in any other lies the explanation of the political miracle of the American Republic — the adaptability of the Constitution.

Each of the three great divisions of the government has found an elasticity in the terms of the Constitution which has enabled it to discharge duties and to meet conditions that could not have been foreseen when that Constitution was framed. The Supreme Court, in which resides the plenary form of the Judicial Department, has assumed and exercises without question the power of construing the laws according to the spirit of the Constitution, — a power which even Hamilton declared¹ was not directly conferred, and which he thought it

¹ See No. LXXXI of the *Federalist*.

unlikely that the Court would exercise. Congress has discovered implied powers in the specific grants contained in the eighth section of the first article, by the use of which it takes complete and undisputed jurisdiction over matters and industries unknown to the eighteenth century. One can fancy Luther Martin, the great objector, opening his eyes over a construction of the clause granting power to lay taxes, under which Congress passes an act having for its sole object a prohibition of the use of a certain ingredient in the manufacture of matches; or of the clause granting power to regulate commerce as justifying a requirement that railway cars shall be equipped with air brakes.

But it is in the Executive Department that the largest development has taken place, and the development of the greatest constitutional significance. It is the only one of the three departments in which development has been in any degree at the expense of either of the other two. The Constitution does not make an absolute separation of powers, but it defines the limits of the participation of each department in the field assigned to the other two. Of the Executive Department alone can it be asserted that it has exceeded those limits. Whether the assertion be true or false — and upon that no opinion is at present expressed — two remarks may be made: first, that there has been no violation of the letter of the Constitution in the evolution of the presidency; and, second, that there has been no general, indeed, hardly an occasional and sporadic, objection to the increase of the President's power. As in the cases of the Supreme Court and of Congress, popular acquiescence may be held to have justified a real constitutional change which has not found expression in an amendment.

Nevertheless it is necessary to make note of the changes that have taken place, and to follow them historically.

The founders of our republics . . . seem never for a moment to have turned their eyes from the danger to liberty from the overgrown and all-grasping prerogative of an hereditary magistrate, supported and fortified by an hereditary branch of the legislative authority. They seem never to have recollected the danger from legislative usurpations, which, by assembling all power into the same hands, must lead to the same tyranny as is threatened by executive usurpations.

So wrote Madison in number XLVII of the "Federalist." He was discussing the distribution of powers between the

President and Congress, in the Constitution which the writers of the "Federalist" were endeavoring to persuade the people of New York to ratify. His argument was, in effect, that encroachments upon liberty are always to be guarded against, whether the offender be the executive, concentrated in a single person, or in the legislature; and that the Constitution provided ample security against the danger in either form. His opinion evidently was that the more immediate danger was that from legislative usurpation. "The legislative department is everywhere extending the sphere of its activity, and drawing all power into its impetuous vortex."¹ That the peril thus signalized was foremost in the minds of the framers of the Constitution, is made plainly evident by an examination of the document itself. The functions which were conferred upon Congress, and those which were forbidden to it, are specified with minuteness. On the other hand, the powers of the President are expressed in broad and general terms, and are accompanied by no prohibitions. Let us see what, exactly, those powers are: in conjunction with the Senate, to make treaties, and to appoint all officers; to receive ambassadors; to be commander-in-chief of the army and navy; to grant pardons; to give to Congress information of the state of the union; to summon Congress in extraordinary session; to recommend measures to the consideration of Congress; to exercise a qualified veto upon legislation. These are all powers, as distinguished from duties, because all of them call for the exercise of a discretion whether on any given occasion to use them or not. The sole duty imposed upon him is to "take care that the laws be faithfully executed."

It is a commonplace to all who have studied the political history of the country that the early Presidents took a modest view of their power in the government. No President has ever assumed direct personal command of the army or the navy. From the beginning the Presidents exercised a controlling power over the foreign relations, and maintained with spirit their

¹ In this passage Mr. Madison unconsciously or deliberately, repeated himself. In his diary of the Convention for July 17, 1787, occurs the following: "Mr. Madison was not apprehensive of being thought to favor any step towards monarchy. The real object with him was to prevent its introduction. Experience had proved a tendency in our governments to throw all power into the legislative vortex. The executives of the States are in general little more than cyphers; the legislatures omnipotent. If no effectual check be devised for restraining the instability & encroachments of the latter, a revolution of some kind or other would be inevitable."

prerogative of initiative in all such matters as the negotiation of treaties and the recognition of foreign governments. They have also successfully resisted, as well as resented, attempts to draw from them the details of instructions to American plenipotentiaries, and of correspondence with foreign governments, which they deemed it to be for the public welfare to withhold. But there were in the early days no other than the most formal official relations between the President and the Congress. It was the function of Congress to initiate and pass laws; that of the President to approve or disapprove them when presented to him.

Students of public and official life in New York and Philadelphia during Washington's presidency know that the partisan opposition to the Father of his Country dwelt upon his asserted liking for the fashions of a monarchical court; upon his firmness in the conduct of foreign affairs, as in the matter of the Jay Treaty; upon the vigor displayed in the suppression of the Whiskey insurrection in Pennsylvania. Senator William Maclay criticised him as wishing to "subjugate" the Senate because it was not provided in the bills creating the executive departments that the Senate was to be consulted in the matter of the removal of the heads of those departments.¹

The makers of the Constitution devoted much time and discussion to the Executive Department, but hardly any to the consideration of matters with which we are now concerned. They made many contradictory decisions upon the questions whether there should be a single Executive; how he should be chosen; the length of his tenure of the office; whether he should or should not be eligible for reëlection; whether his veto should be absolute or qualified, and if qualified whether a two-thirds or three-fourths vote should be required to override it. There was almost no discussion of the clauses specifying his powers and duties — of the clauses in the phraseology finally agreed upon, no discussion at all.

Let us now consider in what directions and to what extent the presidency has been extended and developed since the Con-

¹ It is interesting to note, as illustrating the great differences of opinion as to the effect of the Constitution before that effect had manifested itself in practice, that Mr. James Wilson, also of Pennsylvania, in discussing this very subject of the participation of the Senate in appointments by the President, — in the session of September 6, in the Convention of 1787, — maintained that the proposed Constitution created an aristocracy, by "throwing a dangerous power into the hands of the Senate."

stitution was put in operation. As for certain plenary powers there could be no expansion. The general command of the military and naval forces; the grant of pardons; the summoning of one or both houses of Congress in extraordinary session; the negotiation of treaties to be ratified by the Senate; and the nomination of officers to be confirmed by the Senate; — these are all powers explicitly conferred without qualification; and the duty of seeing that the laws be faithfully executed also rests upon the President alone. The right to receive ambassadors, as has been said already, was, in early days, construed to give the President power to recognize, or to refuse to recognize, a revolutionary government, by deciding whether or not to receive a person accredited as a diplomatic representative of that government. His right thus to fix its relation — or want of relation — to the government of the United States has been often disputed on the floor of both houses of Congress, but there is believed to be no example of an effective overruling of the President's decision. The exercise of the power may be treated as a natural and not unreasonable extension of a power specifically conferred, and the power itself as one which — not being derivable from any grant to Congress, and yet necessarily within the jurisdiction of some department of every sovereign government — falls obviously to that department which has primarily the oversight of foreign relations.

Outside of the powers and duties just mentioned, as to only one of which has there ever been any dispute, there are three directions in which the presidency has extended itself largely: in the matter of removals from office; in the use of the veto power; and in the relations between the President and Congress. We will take them in the above order.

The Convention of 1787 discussed repeatedly the method of appointment of the civil officers of the United States, but did not once consider the general subject of removals. Mr. Gouverneur Morris submitted a plan for a Council of State, consisting of the Chief Justice and five heads of departments, each of whom was to "be liable to impeachment and removal from office, for neglect of duty, malversation, or corruption"; but it was merely referred to the Committee of Detail and heard from no more. With that exception, and with the further exception of some consideration of the removability of judges, the corollary that appointment in numerous cases implies previous

removal from office, was not once mentioned. The omission was quickly perceived by the opponents of the Constitution, who made the objection that whereas appointments were required to have the consent of the Senate, the President would exercise the right of removal alone. Hamilton¹ held the opposite opinion. "The consent of that body [the Senate] would be necessary," he wrote, "to displace as well as to appoint." So evidently thought Mr. Justice Story, although he expressed himself in guarded language. His "Commentaries on the Constitution" was written during the administration of Andrew Jackson, whose wholesale removals from office — characterized by Story as an "extraordinary change of system" — has, he says, "awakened general attention, and brought back the whole controversy with regard to the executive power of removal to a severe scrutiny. Many of the most eminent statesmen in the country have expressed a deliberate opinion that it is utterly indefensible, and that the only sound interpretation of the Constitution is that avowed upon its adoption; that is to say, that the power of removal belongs to the appointing power."

Chancellor Kent wrote his "Commentaries" a few years earlier, in the administration of John Quincy Adams, before the "extraordinary change of system" took place, and his opinion was different. He held that the construction in favor of the President's exclusive power of removal was "supported by the weighty reason that the subordinate officers in the Executive Department ought to hold at the pleasure of the head of that Department, because he is invested generally with the executive authority, and every participation in that authority by the Senate was an exception to a general principle, and ought to be taken strictly. The President is the great responsible officer for the faithful execution of the law, and the power of removal was incidental to that duty, and might often be requisite to fulfil it."

Both Kent and Story refer, with expressions of amazement to the strangely haphazard way in which the current interpretation of the Constitution became effective. But they do not mention the occasion on which the question was first raised. For information on that point we are indebted to the frank and racy diary of William Maclay, one of the first senators from Pennsylvania. Less than two months after the inauguration of

¹ In No. LXXVII of the *Federalist*.

Washington as President (April 30, 1789), Mr. John Jay — who at that time held no office¹ — “came in,” wrote Mr. Maclay, and informed the Senate that Mr. Jefferson wished to return from France, and that the President nominated William Short as his successor as Minister to France. This was on June 17. Apparently it was the first nomination ever sent to the Senate, for Mr. Maclay says that the Vice-President immediately began telling the senators how they were to give their “advice and consent.” Two days later Mr. Maclay made a speech on the constitutional problem involved. Had the President a right, by himself alone, to give Mr. Jefferson leave of absence? If the Senate should choose to negative his return it would be necessary only to refuse to confirm Mr. Short or any one else in his place.

In July the bill for organizing the Department of Foreign Affairs came up to the Senate from the House of Representatives. It contained a clause, innocent at first sight, providing that the Secretary should appoint a chief clerk who was to discharge the duties of the office “whenever the said principal officer shall be removed from office by the President of the United States.” The clause had been vigorously attacked in the House of Representatives, but had been allowed to stand. Now a renewed attack was made upon it. From Mr. Maclay’s account of the debate, which lasted several days, it is easy to see that the discussion was animated and angry. There are references in the diary to the efforts of the “court party” to save the clause, and certain senators are mentioned by name as having “recanted” and become supporters of the clause after speaking against it. When the vote was taken it was a tie — ten to ten.² “The Vice-President with joy cried out, ‘It is not a vote!’ without giving himself time to declare the division of the House and give his vote in order.” The interpretation thus casually put upon the Constitution by the casting vote of the Vice-President was not seriously challenged for more than three quarters of a century.

The early Presidents used the power of removal sparingly. Washington removed only nine officers during his eight years of service, and in every case the removal was for cause. The two Adamses, Madison, and Monroe also exercised great forbearance. Jefferson used his power a little more freely, but he

¹ Unless his appointment as Foreign Secretary under the Articles of Confederation was still effective.

² See discussion of this vote by Learned in *Am. Hist. Rev.*, Apr., 1915, p. 571.

expressly disclaimed the right to remove for differences of political opinion, or otherwise than for some clear public good. During the administration of Monroe, the "era of good feelings," there were not two parties. All men professed themselves to be Republicans. Party spirit was reinvoked in the administration of the second Adams; but he refused to punish with dismissal officers who placed themselves in opposition to his administration, and the officers whom he left in office at the end of his term were not generally men whom he had appointed, and they were by no means persons selected with a view to promoting his own political future. There was therefore no reason, other than to reward those who had supported him in the canvass of 1828, that can be assigned as the motive of General Jackson's immediate and radical change of system. Within one year from the time of his entry upon office he dismissed two hundred and forty-three officers, including nearly all in the diplomatic, treasury, and civil court services, and his Postmaster-General removed four hundred and ninety-one postmasters. Story, who gives these figures in a note,¹ credits them to a speech of Mr. Clayton in the Senate, March 4, 1830, and says that they are "confessedly imperfect." He also says that it is not probable that the aggregate of removals during the forty years preceding Jackson's administration amounted to one third of the number of Jackson's removals in a single year.

The opponents of the President regarded his action as a great scandal, but the theory on which it was based was defended by his supporters. The classic defence was contained in a speech by William L. Marcy in the Senate in January, 1832.² In speaking of the politicians of the time he said, "When they are contending for victory, they avow the intention of enjoying the fruits of it. If they are defeated, they expect to retire from office. If they are successful, they claim, as a matter of right, the advantages of success. They see nothing wrong in the rule that to the victor belong the spoils of the enemy." Although the opposition party protested strongly against the "rule," they followed it when their turn came. Jackson set a fashion which was followed by his successors. For more than forty years every change in the party control of the national government was made the occasion of a political mas-

¹ *Commentaries*, book III, chapter XXXVII.

² During his first month of service in that body. He took his seat in December, 1831, and resigned to become governor of New York in July, 1832.

sacre. Possibly there was a better excuse for it when Lincoln became President than on some former occasions, since there was real reason to doubt the loyalty of officers, high and low, in the North as well as in the South. But the rule that offices were a legitimate perquisite not merely of the party in power but of the particular persons who happened to be in the exercise of power as well, engrafted itself upon the simpler rule, and was carried out in a relentless manner during the administration of General Grant. It was not enough that one holding an office should be a loyal, even an active, member of the Republican party. He must also be *persona grata* to the President, or to the senator to whose share that particular piece of patronage fell. No more scandalous chapter of political history can be cited than that which covers the story of the New York custom-house in Grant's time.

The Jackson régime ended when Harrison and Tyler were installed. Polk turned out all the Whigs who had survived until his time. Taylor and Fillmore gave the Whigs a four years' taste of office, but they all went out under Pierce. Lincoln made a clean sweep of the Democrats,¹ — and then came Johnson.

His breach with the party that elected him was gradual, but by the autumn of 1866 it was complete, and he began to wreak vengeance upon those who were opposing him in Congress by turning out of office those whom they had recommended, and filling their places with supporters of his "policy," — who, of course, were Democrats. The removals — there were said to be 1283 postmasters and a corresponding number of officers of other departments, in the list — were made during the recess of the Senate, for Congress adjourned on July 28, and did not meet again until December. But when the second session of the Thirty-ninth Congress began there was immediate action to limit the President's power in this as in other directions. The Tenure of Office Act was passed, was vetoed, and was passed again notwithstanding the objections of the President, on the last day of the session — March 2, 1867. It was a comprehensive measure. It enacted that persons holding office by and with the advice and consent of the Senate were entitled to hold such office until their successors should be

¹ During that period, when the spoils system prevailed without dispute, 917 removals were made by two successive collectors of the port of New York. The average number of employés in the custom-house was less than 700.

duly appointed in like manner, and qualified; that the members of the Cabinet should hold their respective offices during the term of the President by whom they may have been appointed, and for one month thereafter, subject to removal by and with the advice and consent of the Senate; that, during a recess of the Senate, for specified reasons, the President might suspend officers and designate persons to hold their places temporarily, but he was required to report such suspensions to the Senate, and if the Senate did not concur, the suspended officer resumed his office; that when the President, in pursuance of his constitutional power, filled vacancies which might happen — not those caused by removal — during a recess of the Senate, if no appointment by and with the advice and consent of the Senate should be made during the ensuing session, the office was to remain in abeyance until an appointment should be made by the constitutional method. It was declared to be a high misdemeanor to accept or exercise the duties of an office in violation of the provisions of the act, punishable by a heavy fine or by imprisonment.

The bill was introduced by Thaddeus Stevens, but in its final form was quite different from the original text. In the long debates which took place in both houses of Congress, the point most discussed was the application of the principle of the bill to cabinet officers, though the general constitutional question was considered in academical arguments. It was contended by the more conservative Republicans that the President should have a free hand so far as the heads of the executive departments were concerned. But although the Senate struck out the clause relating to the secretaries, it was restored by the Committee of Conference. The bill was passed by both branches by votes of about three to one. It was vetoed by President Johnson, and passed over his veto by a majority even greater than that on accepting the report of the conference committee. This was the only instance in the constitutional history of the country when the veto power was invoked for what — as will be seen — Mr. Gerry and Hamilton himself regarded as the chief object of granting the President a “revisionary” power, namely, to enable him to resist encroachments on his constitutional authority.

Inasmuch as Congress held the purse, and could discontinue the salary attached to any office which the President might attempt to fill in violation of the provisions of the act, he was

forced to comply with it, — though his action in the matter of the removal of Secretary Stanton disregarded it.

The debates in the two houses of Congress over the measure make it plain that many members were dragged into the support of it against their better judgment. There were few — were there any? — members who repudiated the theory that the spoils belonged to the victors. The law which they were asked to pass would stand in the way of the next President whom the Republicans were sure to elect. But aside from that sordid argument, many of the members felt that it was a rather mean revenge which was planned for a political enemy. Some of them showed their reluctance to vote for it, but none except the "Johnson Republicans" gave their votes in the negative. Mr. Blaine, who voted for the bill, says in his "Twenty Years of Congress" that "the history of its operation, and of its subsequent modification, which amounted to repeal, is one to which the Republican party cannot recur with any sense of pride or satisfaction."¹ Even before the close of Johnson's administration a movement began to repeal the Tenure of Office Act. The occasion for the measure was about to be a thing of the past. General Grant was soon to succeed the President who had made himself and his acts obnoxious to the party in power. In January, 1869, the House of Representatives, with no debate, passed a bill to repeal the law. The Senate was not willing to concur. The law of 1867 gave that body a power over removals which it was reluctant to relinquish. A committee reported a substitute for the repealing bill, which did little more than exempt cabinet ministers from the operation of the act. Nothing more was done at that session, but at the extraordinary session which began simultaneously with General Grant's term, the modification of the law which found favor with the Senate was reluctantly accepted by the House of Representatives which had, a second time, by a majority of five or six to one, voted for repeal. In that form the law stood until — during the first administration of President Cleveland, March 2, 1887 — the sections of the Revised Statutes covering the whole subject of removals from office were repealed, and by omission of all legislation on the point, the system which prevailed from Washington to Johnson was restored.

But during the period following the Civil War the public conscience was awakened to the great evils and the political

¹ Vol. II, p. 274.

demoralization that attended the treatment of office as a reward of party activity. Only those who are familiar with political conditions prior to that time can be aware of the universal indifference to the scandal, not merely of the active politicians but of the people at large as well. In fact, the spoils system was taken as a matter of course by all, and was vigorously applied by those even who might be classed as statesmen. The early reformers were regarded as idealists, too good for this wicked world, and they made slow progress. Indeed, so strongly rooted in the minds of politicians was the spoils doctrine, that opposition to the reform has not yet ceased. In some recent cases of the organization of new departments or bureaus, "deserving" politicians have been admitted to the classified service by a back door, without competitive examination.

Fortunately the Presidents have been, on the whole, upholders of a better, the merit system. Congress passed an act in 1871 which authorized the President to cause the proper means to be taken to ascertain the fitness of candidates for office. Under that act President Grant appointed a commission which instituted competitive examinations in the departments at Washington; but after two years Congress refused to make further appropriations to enable the commission to continue its work, although the President praised the work already done and informed Congress that "it would be a source of mortification to himself" if the appropriation should be withheld. The President thereupon, in 1875, suspended the rules, and the reform came to an end for the time being. But the reformers persisted, and after nearly eight years more of agitation succeeded in persuading Congress to pass the act of January 16, 1883, which President Arthur promptly approved. Under that law a classified service was established, in a small way at first, and covering only a comparatively few of the clerical officers in the executive departments and in large post-offices. The list has been increased by every President since that time and now includes almost the whole civil service. The important exception is the offices that are still filled by appointment by the President with the concurrence of the Senate.

Thus the presidential office has developed in two opposite directions. From the policy of abstention from removals under the Presidents from Washington to the second Adams, it turned to the system of wholesale proscription under Jackson, and to that system it adhered until the reform which began

under Grant was continued and extended by every President to the present time. Not that there have not been many violations of the spirit of the reform. "Turn the rascals out" has been a party motto when there has been a change of administration, the "rascals" of course being all officers who supported the defeated party. Clerks and others appointed under the competitive system were secure, but consuls, collectors, postmasters, chief clerks, and others of that class were subject to removal, and in many cases were removed. To cite but one example, purely by way of example, and not to be invidious, the ravages wrought in the consular service under President Cleveland were inexcusable. But, as has been said, one President after another has cut out class after class of officers who have been appointed as reward for party service, and brought them under the rules of the reformed civil service, and has thus diminished the number of those whom it will ever be worth while to displace in order to provide a position and a salary for some one more agreeable than the incumbent to the existing administration.

One clause of the Constitution which has not heretofore been mentioned was much discussed in 1904 in connection with certain "recess" appointments made by President Roosevelt. The clause reads: —

The President shall have power to fill up all vacancies that may happen during the recess of the Senate, by granting commissions which shall expire at the end of their next session.

The President, in January, 1903, nominated William D. Crum, a colored man, to be collector of the port of Charleston, South Carolina. An adverse report upon the nomination was made by the Senate Committee on Finance, but no action was taken on the report, and the session, and the Fifty-seventh Congress, came to an end on the 4th of March. The Senate met in special session on the same day, and the President again sent in the name of Mr. Crum. Again the Senate adjourned without action on the nomination. On the 20th of March the President, "during the recess of the Senate" issued a commission to Mr. Crum. Congress met in extraordinary session in November, 1903, and the nomination was sent in a third time. Again no action was taken. The extraordinary session of Congress ended at noon on December 2, and at the same time, without any intermission, the regular session of the Senate be-

gan. It appeared from an official letter from the Secretary of the Treasury that "precisely at twelve o'clock" on that day the President issued a new commission to Mr. Crum. At the same time he issued fresh commissions to one hundred and sixty-eight officers of the army. All those officers held recess appointments, and had been nominated to the Senate, and the Senate had not acted on them. The list of military officers was headed by the name of Brigadier-General Wood, nominated to be major-general, and all the other promotions were dependent upon that. His promotion was the only one to which there was opposition. The theory upon which the new commissions were issued was that between the end of the extraordinary session and the beginning of the regular session there was a "constructive" recess.

There were two constitutional questions involved in this case, although one of them was discussed but little on that occasion. For it seems to have been tacitly agreed, long ago, that the word "happen" in the clause quoted above is to be interpreted to signify *happen to be existing*. That is to say, a vacancy actually occurring in November, before Congress meets, may be filled by the President in the following July if the Senate has not confirmed any appointee. A contrary view was taken in an able report of the Judiciary Committee of the Senate in 1863, during Mr. Lincoln's presidency, and the Tenure of Office Act expressly provided that if the Senate did not confirm an appointment the office should remain in abeyance until it should be filled by an appointment to which the Senate consented. But the usual practice before the Civil War, and after the repeal of the Tenure of Office Act, was to permit the President to fill any office in which a vacancy existed, — no matter when it first "happened," — when the Senate was not in session.

But President Roosevelt's action raised a new problem, and gave rise to much hair-splitting argument. No one, on either side of the Senate, openly maintained that there was anything in the idea of a constructive recess, but some of the senators held that as the two sessions merged into each other the original recess appointments held until the adjournment of the Senate at the close of the regular session. Even that construction was a virtual condemnation of the reissue of commissions and the renewal of the nominations. It was brought out in the Senate debate by Mr. Tillman, of South Carolina, who took

the lead in opposing the new gloss on the Constitution, that in 1867 the Senate refused to close the final session of the Thirty-ninth Congress at half-past eleven o'clock on March 4, because that would leave a recess of half an hour before the meeting of the Fortieth Congress, in which time President Johnson, whom Senator Sumner characterized as "a bad man," might work mischief by recess appointments. At the close of the Senate debate in 1904 the resolution offered by Mr. Tillman was adopted. It directed the Committee on the Judiciary to report "what constitutes a 'recess of the Senate,' and what are the powers and limitations of the Executive in making appointments in such cases." The committee did not report, and the whole subject was dropped, probably with the idea that the publicity given to the matter and the unanimity of the Senate on the question, would be sufficient to render unlikely similar action by any future President.

The extension of the use of the veto power is the second large development of the presidential office. There is no doubt that the intention of the framers of the Constitution would not have sanctioned the present interpretation of the clause granting the power. There is equally, of course, no doubt that the intention of the fathers cannot and ought not to control, to the prevention of anything that circumstances render necessary, and that Congress and the people sanction by their acquiescence. More especially is that true if the change is clearly admissible under the language of the Constitution.

The provision which gives the President a qualified veto upon legislation was discussed many times in the Convention. The votes upon it were far more consistent than those upon many other features of the Constitution. In fact, the Convention hardly wavered at any time from the decision that the power should reside in the President alone, and that his veto should be overruled by a two-thirds vote of each branch of the legislature. But several other propositions were made and urged with earnestness: that the veto should be absolute; that it should require a three-fourths vote to pass bills over the veto; that a council of revision, with a negative power, should be formed to consider bills; and that some of the judges should be joined with the President to exercise the power. The last-mentioned modification was that which was most frequently brought forward, most persistently pressed, and supported by the strong-

est authority. Mr. Madison favored it and spoke many times in its support. Mr. Gouverneur Morris and Mr. Ellsworth were on the same side. It is in connection with this proposition that we get the most light as to the motives of the members of the Convention in providing a veto on congressional legislation. Almost the sole object seems to have been to prevent encroachment by the legislative department upon the Executive and the Judiciary. That fact explains Mr. Madison's repeated efforts to have judges associated with the President. Mr. Gerry, who opposed the participation of judges in the veto power, said that "the object, he conceived, of the revisionary power was merely to secure the Executive Department against legislative encroachment. The Executive, therefore, who will best know and be ready to defend his rights, ought alone to have the defence of them." Mr. Morris—in the same debate¹—"concurred in thinking the public liberty in greater danger from legislative usurpation than from any other source." Colonel Mason, and he alone, suggested "that the defence of the Executive was not the sole object of the revisionary power. He expected even greater advantages from it. Notwithstanding the precautions taken in the constitution of the Legislature, it would still so much resemble that of the individual States, that it must be expected frequently to pass unjust and pernicious laws. This restraining power was therefore essentially necessary. It would have the effect not only of hindering the final passage of such laws, but would discourage demagogues from attempting to get them passed."

Hamilton in the "Federalist"² takes precisely the view of Colonel Mason. In one place he refers to "the case for which it is chiefly designed, that of an immediate attack upon the constitutional rights of the Executive," and in another to "the propensity of the Legislative department to intrude upon the rights and to absorb the powers of the other departments," but he also says:—

The power in question has a further use. It not only serves as a shield to the Executive, but it furnishes an additional security against the enactment of improper laws. It establishes a salutary check upon the legislative body, calculated to guard the community against the effects of faction, precipitancy, or of any impulse unfriendly to the public good, which may happen to influence a majority of that body.

¹ July 21, 1787.

² No. LXXIII.

He thought that "the negative would generally be employed with great caution," and maintained "that there would be greater danger of his not using the power when necessary than of his using it too often or too much."

Such was the commonly accepted theory of the veto power when the Constitution went into operation. The President was armed with a power to resist encroachment on his constitutional rights, and that power might also be employed to defeat bad laws. The early Presidents — in fact, no President before Andrew Johnson — were not forced to use it to resist encroachments upon the constitutional rights of the Executive. They interpreted the phrase "bad laws" to mean only unconstitutional measures, and measures obviously objectionable because passed without due consideration. Washington vetoed only two bills during his eight years of service. The first of them was an apportionment bill based on the first census. He was urged to disapprove the bill not only because it was — in the view of Jefferson, but not in that of Hamilton — violative of the Constitution, but in order to assert a power which the people might come to believe was never to be exercised. The other bill was hastily drawn and self-contradictory in one clause. Neither John Adams nor Jefferson vetoed any bill. Madison sent in six vetoes in eight years, — four on the ground of unconstitutionality, or because — among other reasons — it "introduces an unsuitable relation of members of the Judiciary Department to a discretionary authority of the Executive Department" — virtually a constitutional objection; and the sixth because of a defect in drafting. Monroe, in eight years, vetoed one bill only, — an "internal improvements" bill, — and that on the ground that it was unconstitutional. John Quincy Adams, although dealing with a Congress politically hostile to him, did not once exercise the power.

Andrew Jackson vetoed nine bills. Six of them were objected to as being repugnant to the Constitution. The others did not commend themselves to him as being wise. He was thus the first to treat the constitutional power of veto as one which authorized the President to interpose his judgment on a question of public policy to defeat a congressional enactment. No doubt he had ample warrant in the text of the Constitution and in the opinions of its original interpreters for holding that he possessed authority so to do. Jackson was also the first to employ the "pocket" veto, but he did not employ it

in the same way as became habitual with later Presidents. In 1812 Madison returned to Congress a bill which was submitted to him too late in the previous session to be returned with his objections. That, therefore, was the first approach to a "pocket" veto. Jackson, in like manner, sent a message to the Senate, in 1833, giving the reasons why he had not approved a bill submitted to him just before the close of the previous session. The next year he incorporated in his annual message his reasons for not approving another bill which reached him too late for his consideration. Still later, he prepared a message giving his objections to another bill, submitted under similar circumstances; but that message he never sent to Congress, but filed it with the Secretary of State. In none of these cases was there anything irregular, or anything to which even a violent partisan could take exception. It is not the duty of a President to sign a bill to which he has objections, if Congress has not given him the full time for consideration allowed by the Constitution. If the bill fails it is the fault of Congress. In these early cases the President made public, and in every instance except the last mentioned he sent to Congress, his reasons for disapproval. That formality is not observed in the modern practice of the pocket veto. The President does not sign the bill; he does not give reasons for withholding his approval. He had no opportunity to do so before adjournment. Whether the spirit of the Constitution would be better observed if he were to communicate his objections to Congress at the ensuing session, is a fair question for argument. But the practice, acquiesced in for many years, has taken the question out of the realm of practical politics.

Van Buren's only veto was a pocket veto of a harmless resolution which was submitted to him after the final adjournment of Congress, and which was not attested as required by the Constitution. Even Tyler, having to consider the legislation of a Congress angrily hostile to him, vetoed but eight bills — two of them pocket vetoes like those of Jackson. That is to say the bills were returned to Congress with objections at the session following that when they were passed. To be sure the vetoes by Tyler were most important, dealing as they did with the tariff, the custody of the public revenues, and such matters. Five of his vetoes were based on constitutional objections. The record of his successors up to the outbreak of the Civil War was as follows: Polk vetoed three bills, two of

them for constitutional reasons; Fillmore, none; Pierce ten, eight for constitutional reasons;¹ Buchanan seven, four for constitutional reasons. There is no record of any "pocket" vetoes, in the sense that the President left a bill unsigned and said nothing about it. In two instances, declining to sign he filed his reasons with the Secretary of State; in the other cases he sent the bill back with his objections at the beginning of the next session.

A summary of the use of the power in the seventy-two years from Washington to Lincoln shows a total of forty-seven vetoes, of which thirty-one were based on the opinion of the President that the proposed measure was unconstitutional. About one half of the others were on unimportant matters, involving no principle, and the objection was rather to the form than to the substance of the bill or resolution returned for reconsideration. But the Presidents, on occasion, did not hesitate to take the ground that they were entitled to make their judgment as to the expediency of a measure a valid "objection" under the terms of the Constitution. Tyler claimed that right, in his message of September 9, 1841, vetoing the "Fiscal corporation" bill. Pierce, in his veto of the French Spoliation Claims bill, in February, 1855, entered into an argument on the subject: —

While the Constitution thus confers on the legislative bodies the complete power of legislation in all cases, it proceeds, in the spirit of justice, to provide for the protection of the responsibility of the President. It does not compel him to affix the signature of approval to any bill unless it actually have his approbation; for while it requires him to sign if he approve, it, in my judgment, imposes upon him the duty of withholding his signature if he do not approve. In the execution of his official duty in this respect he is not to perform a merely mechanical part, but is to decide and act according to conscientious convictions of the rightfulness or wrongfulness of the proposed law. In a matter as to which he is doubtful in his own mind he may well defer to the majority of the two Houses. . . . When, however, he entertains a decisive and fixed conclusion, not merely of the unconstitutionality, but of the impropriety, or injustice in other respects of any measure, if he declares that he approves it he is false to his oath, and he deliberately disregards his constitutional obligation.

¹ The most of Polk's and Pierce's vetoes were aimed at bills which violated the Democratic doctrine that the Constitution gave no power to use the public money for purposes of "internal improvement."

Enough has been said to show that for more than seventy years the Presidents acted upon the principles laid down in the *Federalist* that the veto power was to be employed rarely and with caution; that it was granted chiefly for the defence of the Constitution against encroachment; but that it might also be exercised to prevent the enactment of bad laws, and of laws inspired by partisanship.

Lincoln vetoed two bills — one because he had already signed one accomplishing the same purpose — and one joint resolution — a “pocket” veto — because, in correcting an error in legislation it left other errors in the same act uncorrected. The advent of Mr. Johnson marked the beginning of a new era. He, and all Presidents since his time, interpreted the clause giving the veto power far more liberally than any of their predecessors. They have offset their own judgment against that of Congress not merely on great questions involving the public welfare, and on disputed constitutional questions, but on trivial matters whereon their means of information are not greater or better than those at the command of Congress, and whereon their individual judgment does not appear to be superior to that of the average congressman or senator. Two examples, among a great number that might be cited, will suffice. President Harrison, in 1890, returned a bill authorizing the city of Ogden, Utah — Utah was then a Territory — to increase its municipal debt. He thought the measure was “unwise,” and perhaps it was. But is it the duty of a President to busy himself with such trumpery matters? President Cleveland once vetoed a resolution providing for the printing of additional copies of a certain map of the United States, on the ground that a better map would soon be available. The intimate participation of the Presidents in legislation in recent times is seen in the following record: President Johnson vetoed 22 bills; President Grant, 47; President Hayes, 11; President Arthur, 4; President Cleveland, 346,¹ beside 12 pocket vetoes; President Harrison, 17; President McKinley, 5; President Roosevelt, 40. It will be observed that Mr. Cleveland in his first term vetoed more than six times as many bills as were returned by all the Presidents from 1789 to 1865, — seventy-six years.

The foregoing review of the history of the veto power indicates that there has been a distinct change in the theory and practice of Presidents. As at present understood it is much

¹ 305 in his first term, — most of them pension bills.

more than a weapon put in the hands of the Executive to defend himself against legislative encroachment ; much more than a revisory power to prevent violations of the Constitution ; much more than a security against laws due to "faction, precipitancy, or any impulse unfriendly to the public good." It has become a general revisory power, which is applied to all the legislation of Congress, whether important or not, whether concerning public laws or private and personal interests. Some Presidents use the power more frequently and upon more trivial matters than others, but they all use it to the fullest extent, and upon any matter whatsoever, when so minded.

The question has been frequently discussed whether the veto of the President is a legislative power. Von Holst says it is not, because the Constitution declares that "all legislative power herein granted is vested" in Congress. That seems a little like begging the question. At any rate it assumes that an inconsistency in the Constitution is impossible and unthinkable. Is it not reasonable to hold that the veto power as Hamilton understood it, and as all the Presidents, not even excepting Jackson, understood it until after the Civil War, was not a legislative power ; but as understood and practised to-day it does make the President in effect a third member of the legislative body ?

That question can best be considered in connection with the extension of the President's exercise of power in the third general direction. The Constitution, in its general enumeration of the functions which it assigns to the President, provides : —

He shall from time to time give to the Congress information of the state of the Union, and recommend to their consideration such measures as he shall judge necessary and expedient.

There was not one word of debate on this clause at any time in the Convention of 1787. The Federalist makes no comment whatever upon it. Kent merely quotes the clause, without remark. Story, although he enlarges on the subject, uses colorless language : —

The first part, relative to the President's giving information and recommending measures to Congress, is so consonant with the structure of the executive departments of the colonial and State governments, with the usage and practice of other free governments, with the general convenience of Congress, and with a due

share of responsibility on the part of the executive, that it may well be presumed to be above all real objection. From the nature and duties of the executive department he must possess more extensive sources of information, as well in regard to domestic as foreign affairs, than can belong to Congress. The true working of the laws; the defects in the nature or arrangements of the general systems of trade, finance, and justice; and the military, naval, and civil establishments of the Union, are more readily seen and more constantly under the view of the executive than they can possibly be of any other department. There is great wisdom, therefore, in not merely allowing, but in requiring the President to lay before Congress all facts and information which may assist their deliberations; and in enabling him at once to point out the evil and to suggest the remedy. He is thus justly made responsible not merely for a due administration of the existing systems, but for due diligence and examination into the means for improving them.

It is not intended, in a discussion of the extension of the President's power under this clause, any more than in a consideration of the power of removal from office, and of the veto power, to suggest that any President has gone a step further than is permissible under a strict literal interpretation of the Constitution; but rather to signalize the extension that has taken place, and to note its effect upon the system of government. As in the other two cases the change has been gradual and has not been seriously opposed by Congress. The enlargement of the President's power has, in each case, been at the expense of Congress. It was an "encroachment," in the sense that it was not what the framers of the Constitution intended when they defined the limits of the three departments; and yet, as being strictly permissible under the language of the Constitution, it could not have been successfully resisted by Congress.

As in the former cases we should naturally begin by detailing the practice of the earliest Presidents. But in order so to illustrate fully the change that has taken place it would be necessary to make copious extracts from the messages of those Presidents. Suffice it here to say that they put the simplest and most natural interpretation on the power conferred on them. They gave information of the state of the Union and recommended measures — which they understood to be subjects — for the consideration of Congress. One paragraph from a message of James Madison will indicate what is meant.

A revision of the militia laws for the purpose of rendering them more systematic and better adapting them to emergencies of the war, is at this time particularly desirable.

It must be left to those who are sufficiently interested in the evolution of our government, to study comparatively the tone and general character of the recommendations by the Presidents in the first fifty years of our national history, and in the last twenty years. In the earlier messages the attention of Congress was called to certain defects in existing laws, or to the need of new laws on other subjects, and it was left to the wisdom of Congress to frame enactments on those and other points. The modern system is to discuss the defects or the requirements in great detail, to argue upon the necessary remedy, including safeguards and exceptions, and virtually to insist that the case shall be met in a way precisely indicated, — if not, that a veto will be launched at the bill agreed upon by Congress.

The practice of recommending to Congress measures in a definite form — complete schemes of legislation which must be passed as indicated by the executive or not passed at all, save as may be agreed upon between the executive and the legislative departments in minor details, which may be the subject of compromise — that practice is supplemented by another. The President now feels it to be his privilege, nay, his duty, to bring pressure to bear upon Congress, that is to say upon certain congressmen. He invites them to call upon him to discuss the terms of the bills which he has recommended. He indicates to them what is and what is not admissible. Certain senators and representatives are recognized in the two Houses as spokesmen for the President. Others, men of the President's political party, who oppose a presidential measure as a whole, or certain features of it, are invited to the White House, and listen to the President's reasons for urging his policy. The President is the sole dispenser of public offices. Long custom has made it a rule that senators and members of the ruling party shall be consulted, shall even be permitted, to suggest the names of proper persons, when officers are to be appointed in their State or district. There is not the least evidence that any President ever intimated even vaguely that the privilege of designating officers would be withdrawn or curtailed in the case of any senator or representative who might oppose the President on any matter on which he had

set his heart. Nor, without evidence, is there any reason to suspect that any President ever did so. But that does not signify that the fear of losing "patronage" plays no part in the campaign which modern Presidents carry on to promote the success of their policies. Politicians in office are not the boldest of men. A senator taking his seat for the first time is not above shaping his course with a view to his election again six years later. It is not necessary to threaten a man with the loss of patronage if he is so constructed as to fear that he will lose it if he sets his will against that of the President.

The executive has still another weapon. He has the power to summon Congress in extraordinary session. He can say — of course privately and unofficially — that unless Congress shall pass this bill or that, he will call the two Houses to meet again. Whether this weapon has ever been used or not cannot be asserted with confidence. It has been reported, with how much or how little truth is unknown. But the use of it is possible. It has been employed more than once by another executive — the governor of New York.

Indeed it would not be difficult to sustain the proposition that the extension of executive power and influence which we are here considering, was imported into Washington by those who had filled the executive chair at Albany. The country saw little or none of it before the time of Mr. Cleveland, and it did not see very much of it then. Mr. Cleveland carried with him to the chief place in the national government the New York governor's idea of the veto power and of the proper use of it. Instances might be cited, if it was worth while, of his interposition to an unusual extent — which signifies neither an unconstitutional nor even an improper extent — to secure the enactment of legislation which he desired. And the readiness of senators and members to heed the wishes of a President even when doing so involves political inconsistency, can be seen in the votes of avowed free silver men on the bill to repeal the Silver-Purchase act, in 1893. What Mr. Cleveland did occasionally, Mr. Roosevelt did frequently, almost constantly. Congress, the men of his own party, were not in favor of many of the measures he wished to be passed. It is not too much to say that he extorted their consent to many of them, and endeavored persistently but in vain to obtain their consent to the rest. He sent an unprecedented number of special messages in advocacy of his policies, many of them covering each but a sin-

gle subject, in which the nature and form of the legislation desired were elaborated as systematically and with as much detail as would be employed by a senator in a three-day speech. He urged members individually and in groups, who were invited to meet him in his office at the White House, to support those measures. He gave to the press statements of his position on pending legislation.

The presidential pressure of which the foregoing measures are examples has been continued and even extended by his successors. Mr. Taft encountered much opposition to his legislative programme; but although possessing a personality much less pugnacious and strong-willed than Mr. Roosevelt, he was able to get from an unwilling or a half-willing Congress the most of the measures on that programme. Was it not the first occurrence of the kind when Mr. Aldrich announced to the Senate, — as though the statement were an argument in favor of the pending measure, — “This is the President’s bill”? And surely the pressure has never been greater or more openly exerted than it has been during the administration now (1916) in power. No closer attention was given to certain important items in the tariff act of 1913 at either end of the Capitol than in the White House. Mr. Wilson did not intend that Congress should send to him — as was sent to Mr. Cleveland — a tariff bill which he might regard as a betrayal of the principles of his party. What should be done about the duty, or no duty, on wool and sugar was not simply agreed upon between the President and the congressional leaders in conference; it was dictated by the President. Let us remember, too, — neither in approval nor in criticism of the President’s views, — his almost dictatorial insistence upon the passage of the ship-purchase bill and the Philippines bill.

Does such use of the office constitute the President a third branch of the legislative department? That question is not to be answered by saying that the early Presidents recommended measures to Congress and vetoed objectionable bills passed by Congress, yet that they certainly did not constitute themselves a coördinate branch of the legislative department, and in fact were not; and that Presidents now only do more frequently, more in detail, and more by the use of personal force and official position, as they did. Each branch of the legislature — in this case Congress — originates measures, considers them clause by clause as to their specific provisions, and passes

or rejects them. When the two branches do not agree upon details the matter is decided by a committee of conference. In a very real sense a President who presses upon Congress measures in which he is interested, in the manner of recent Presidents, exercises every power in legislation which is conferred by the Constitution on the two Houses of Congress. He originates measures and gives them definite form. It is true they can go no further unless one or the other House of Congress takes them up. But neither does a bill introduced in the Senate or the House of Representatives, and passed by that body, get further unless the other branch agrees to it. The White House meetings for the discussion of specific provisions and amendments correspond to the committees of conference; and finally the President, by his approval or veto, takes action which is identical with the passage or rejection of a bill by one of the Houses of Congress. If it be said that the President does not interpose in all cases, with respect to all the measures acted upon by Congress, before both branches have agreed and have sent the bill to him for his approval, it may be replied on the other hand that hundreds of House bills are passed by the Senate, and hundreds of Senate bills are passed by the House of Representatives, in the same perfunctory way that the President affixes his signature to them after both branches have passed them. As a matter of fact, since the veto power has been regarded as a power to be employed whenever the President's judgment of the wisdom or the expediency of a measure contravenes that of Congress — this in connection with his intimate participation in the origination and definite construction of measures, and also with his public and personal activity in the promotion of those measures — the President is a potent factor in legislation, and in effect, though not nominally, as really a branch of the legislative department as either House of Congress.

That is the chief development of the presidential office. It has taken place without opposition, one may even say without observation. Opposition, indeed, would have been in vain, for there is no suggestion here that any violation of the language of the Constitution has been committed in anything that the recent Presidents have done. Whether there has been a violation of the spirit of the Constitution is another matter; and on that point the present author goes no further than to say that the framers of the Constitution seem not to have anticipated

the development of the office which we have witnessed, and that the Presidents for nearly a hundred years made no movement toward such an expansion of their office.

The justification for the change, if it is to be justified, lies in the contention that in modern times the executive of the State or the nation is placed, in popular estimation, and by the popular will, in the position of a leader. He is expected to do things, and to get things done. Our legislatures and Congress are leaderless, in the sense that there are no leaders possessing authority, no leaders whom the rank and file of the party follow. The party system is by no means the perfect machine it is in most countries having a parliamentary government. Just as we were beginning to develop a system whereby the Speaker was the party leader in the House of Representatives, and leadership in the Senate was in the hands of the veterans who constituted a "steering committee," there was a revolt against both. The power of the Speaker was annulled; and first insurgency and then the defeat or death of the Senate veterans abolished leadership altogether and introduced in its stead the tyranny of the caucus.

That is not precisely the argument that has been offered to justify the assumption of leadership by the executive. Nor has any President deemed it necessary or worth while to justify it, or even to intimate that he regarded himself as a leader. But it would be idle to deny that modern democracies no less than those of the ancient world crave leaders. There is no explanation of the springing up and growth of the "boss" system, or of the power which self-chosen bosses exert in politics, which does not rest in the last analysis on the willingness, even the eagerness of the multitude to follow strong men, and to seek for a new leader though he may not be a strong man, when the old leader dies or retires.

The principle upon which the assumption of leadership by an elected executive in a republican state, founded on a separation of the three departments of government, was explained and advocated by the Hon. Charles E. Hughes, later a justice of the Supreme Court and now (1916) the Republican candidate for President of the United States, in an oration delivered before the Phi Beta Kappa of Harvard College, June 30, 1910.¹ Mr. Hughes, was the Governor of New York — another governor of that State, it will be observed — and was one who had carried

¹ *Harvard Graduates' Magazine*, September, 1910.

the theory of leadership by the executive further, perhaps, than any of his predecessors. For he had urged his measures upon the legislature in definite form, and when his recommendations were disregarded had called the legislature back to Albany and, strengthened by public sentiment, had practically forced the legislature to yield. His argument is here given in full: —

In considering the trend of our democracy we cannot fail to note at the present time the tendency to increase the relative importance and influence of the executive department, the difficulty of maintaining party coherence, and the larger measure of direct control exercised by the people over the instrumentalities of government.

The scope of administration has increased rapidly during the past few years, not only with respect to the multiplication of the demands traditionally associated with it, but also in the provision that has been made to secure adequate supervision of activities related to the public interest. This extension of administrative burdens and facilities would of itself enhance in public estimation the importance of the chief administrators in Nation and State.

But the aggrandizement of the Executive is not to be accounted for simply in this way. It is rather that out of the conflicts between competing interests or districts the Executive emerges as the representative of the people as a whole. Within the State, for example, each representative in the Legislature is endeavoring to obtain something for his own district in order that he may stand well at home. He naturally looks at every general question with more regard to his political fortunes than with respect to the opinion or the interest of the State as a whole. It is well, of course, that each district should have its interests well represented. But in this rivalry of purely local concerns, a proper perspective with regard to matters of general policy is often lost. The general sentiment must find a voice, and in the course of our experience the people have come to look to the Chief Executive for that voice. By his authority to recommend measures which he believes to be of general importance, and by his freedom to support his recommendations with argument and appeal, he commands a position of influence which is not embarrassed by district limitations. Having this opportunity, he is necessarily under the obligations which it imposes, and when there is a preponderant sentiment in favor of a measure or policy believed to be just, the people look to the Executive to speak in their behalf and to present that measure or policy as cogently as he may within the limits of his constitutional authority. This is the result of the natural demand for lead-

ership which the functions of the office afford. It also carries with it direct accountability to the people, and in fact is only a phase of the tendency toward a greater measure of direct popular control.

The Executive is elected as a candidate of a political party, and represents the policies of his party. He is, however, more than a party leader. The loyalty of the people, irrespective of party, toward their government, which he in its chief office so largely personifies, tends to establish a relation between the Executive and the people at large quite distinct from that which he sustains to his party. Here again there come into play the influences resulting from the extension of administration and the demand on the part of the community for proper standards of administrative conduct. There is a wide field of executive action in which partisan questions have no place. Good administration is impartial, and with respect to it the matters as to which our citizens differ are of small account compared to those as to which they agree. In the just and honorable conduct of public affairs the Executive finds the opportunity, as well as the duty, faithfully to represent the common sentiment.

But assuming that improper methods are not used, the Executive is strong in meeting the responsibilities thus assigned to him, only as he does in fact represent public opinion. As the people are entitled to look to him to lead, he is entitled to look to the people for support. Upon public opinion his leadership depends, and in fair appeal he finds the strongest instrument at his command. Thus, within his constitutional limitations, the influence of the Executive broadens and, while wholesome and beneficial results may be secured, he enjoys no arbitrary power, for he is constantly under the check of public criticism and the common sentiment, which he ignores at his peril.

The theory is easily understood, and Mr. Justice Hughes has put it clearly and cogently. But after all is it not the argument for government by a "good despot"? If despots had all been good, mankind would never have invented and established republics. Moreover there is in the passage above quoted a certain amount of unproved assumption. "The people have come to look to the Chief Executive" to represent the general sentiment? Is it not rather that the Chief Executive has been the agent in creating the idea that he is their proper leader; and is not that the way it has always been when a nation was preparing itself for a dictator?

There seems also to be an assumption which experience does

not justify in the suggestion that the Chief Executive knows by some process of intuition what is the popular sentiment and the popular desire, and that, knowing it, he will infallibly endeavor to secure the triumph of that sentiment and the fruition of that desire. Have we not had perverse governors and self-willed Presidents? History tells us that Executives have often been woefully deceived as to the wishes of their people, and that other Executives, strong in their own convictions, and confident of their own opinions, have withstood public sentiment of which they were fully conscious. Nor is it quite true that a governor or President setting himself up as a leader "is constantly under the check of public criticism and the common sentiments which he ignores at his peril." For he has been elected for a definite term, and can continue to defy public criticism, unless he is moved to follow, as well as to direct, public opinion in order to win a reëlection.

It can hardly be denied that the aim of every true republican government, and of every government by a constitutional monarchy, is to avoid giving great power of leadership into the hands of one man. That is so obvious that it requires no argument and no citation of examples. If it be admitted it follows that "the aggrandizement of the Executive" is a departure from the universally accepted policy of free governments, upon the road that leads toward despotism. It is not — at all events — in accordance with the noble principle enunciated in the Constitution of Massachusetts: —

In the government of this commonwealth, the legislative department shall never exercise the executive and judicial powers, or either of them; the executive shall never exercise the legislative and judicial powers, or either of them; the judicial shall never exercise the legislative and executive powers, or either of them: to the end it may be a government of laws and not of men.

The development of the presidency into a national leadership has naturally brought about another change — a change which had a beginning before the final evolution we have been considering, but has been greatly accentuated in most recent times. Prior to the time of President Andrew Johnson it is doubtful if any President in office ever made a political harangue to a party or a miscellaneous audience. All the Presidents, from Washington onward, were accustomed to travel over the country and to make patriotic and non-partisan addresses. The sentiment that a candidate for the office, who

might soon be the President of all parties, should refrain from everything of the nature of stump speaking, was also prevalent, but in process of time was rather weakly held. Mr. Blaine, in 1884, was the first prominent candidate who made an extensive stumping tour. Since then, as has been shown in the preceding chapters, it has become the regular and ordinary practice of candidates to spend nearly all the time between nomination and election day, in touring the country, addressing great audiences in the cities, and showing themselves to throngs of admiring supporters from the rear platform of a railway car at every stopping-place. In the canvass of 1912, which is not discussed in detail there was an intensive modification of the custom, for the candidates for party nomination, including a President and an ex-President, engaged in "whirlwind" campaigns in many States, in competition for the favor of the National Conventions.

It results from the situation that has been created that a President possesses and exercises a power transcending that of any hereditary monarch of a constitutional government, at the same time that by his direct and intimate association with the people — "the common people," he may be the most democratic of sovereigns. Among all the unique creations of the American Constitution there is nothing more remarkable than the presidency as it exists in the Twentieth Century.

Has the presidency reached its ultimate development? That is a question for the future. But if we can take a lesson from history the tentative answer must be in the negative. It is the teaching of experience that power always tends to its own increase, at the expense of a weaker power. It has taken centuries for the British House of Commons to rise from its feeble beginnings to its present supremacy over King and Lords, the elder estates of the realm. But it has risen by successive steps and has never lost an advantage once gained. The history of the speakership of our own House of Representatives is a case closely in point. Originally the Speaker was merely a presiding officer without special authority of any sort. It was deemed unbecoming in him to show any partisan leaning in his action in the chair. But when a strong man was made Speaker he assumed certain powers, and the House did not resent his so doing. His successor, who might not be a strong man, claimed and exercised all the authority he inherited. So it went on until another Speaker, endowed with a capacity for leadership, and

with ambition, came to the chair. Thus the Speaker became more and more a party leader and a controlling power in the House. Henry Clay was the first to take a long step in that direction. The progress was not great but was gradual for thirty or forty years, mainly because the speakers were not generally men of great force. But consider the development of the powers of the Speaker under Colfax, Blaine, Carlisle, Reed, and Cannon. It was so great that it produced a revolution.

So it has been with the presidency. Changed but little in the first forty years, it was transformed into a potent force in the government by Jackson. None of his successors has yielded a particle of power which Jackson claimed and exercised. In the foregoing pages the successive steps have been outlined by which the Presidents have increased their power and influence in the government. In no instance has there been a surrender of anything previously gained, or a recurrence to earlier standards. President Roosevelt carried his conception of the powers and prerogatives of his office to the highest point yet reached. Since his retirement from office he has given his view of the extent of the power of the Executive in his "Notes for a Possible Autobiography," in which he takes the position that all powers not granted to any other department of the government and not denied to it, may rightfully be assumed and exercised by the President. He calls attention to his "insistence upon the theory that the executive power was limited only by specific restrictions and prohibitions appearing in the Constitution or imposed by Congress under its constitutional powers." In another form he puts it as his "belief that it was not only his right but his duty to do anything that the needs of the nation demanded unless such action was forbidden by the Constitution or by the laws." It is a long way to that view of the President's power from the provision of the Constitution itself that all powers not delegated to the United States — and of course that includes all powers not granted to any officer of the United States — are reserved to the States and the people.

Mr. Roosevelt's successors have had no opportunity to show by their action in concrete cases, as he had in the coal strike and the Panama canal, whether they would agree with his theory. But Mr. Taft says frankly in his "Presidency" that he regards it as "unsafe doctrine." Yet although neither of the last two Presidents has been called upon to assume any powers not directly derivable from the Constitution, both of them

have not only made use, as a matter of right, of all the powers and all the methods by which President Roosevelt undertook to impose his will on the government, but have refined those methods to such an extent that by a little further advance in the same direction the constitutional initiative of Congress on important matters will disappear, and an executive initiative will take its place. That will be an introduction not of the British system, where the executive is but a committee of Parliament, but of a system not unlike that of the German Empire.

The Constitution is still adaptable to the emergencies that will arise, and there will still be masterful men at the head of affairs. Fortunately there are and will still be wise and far-seeing men who will not suffer the people to be led blindfold, and who will guard the country from permitting too large a share of the government to fall to any man — for in that direction lies the danger to American liberty.

THE END

APPENDIX

CONVENTIONS, CANDIDATES, AND PLATFORMS CANVASS OF 1916

SOCIALIST LABOR PARTY CONVENTION, HELD AT
NEW YORK, APRIL 23

Candidates

For President, Arthur Reimer, of Massachusetts.

For Vice-President, Caleb Harrison, of Illinois.

Platform

THE Socialist Labor Party, in national convention assembled, reaffirming its previous platform declarations, reasserts the right of man to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness.

We hold that the purpose of government is to secure to every citizen the enjoyment of this right; but taught by experience we hold furthermore that such right is illusory to the majority of the people, to wit, the working class, under the present system of economic inequality that is essentially destructive of their life, their liberty, and their happiness.

We hold that the true theory of economics is that the means of production must be owned, operated, and controlled by the people in common. Man cannot exercise his right of life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness without the ownership of the land on, and the tool with which to work. Deprived of these, his life, his liberty, and his fate fall into the hands of that class which owns these essentials for work and production.

We hold that the existing contradiction between social production and capitalist appropriation — the latter resulting from the private ownership of the natural and social opportunities — divides the people into two classes: the Capitalist Class and the Working Class; throws society into the convulsions of the Class Struggle; and perverts government in the interests of the Capitalist Class.

Thus Labor is robbed of the wealth it alone produces, is denied the means of self-employment, and by compulsory idleness in wage-slavery, is even deprived of the necessities of life.

Against such a system the Socialist Labor Party raises the ban-

ner of revolt, and demands the unconditional surrender of the Capitalist Class.

In place of such a system the Socialist Labor Party aims to substitute a system of social ownership of the means of production, industrially administered by the Working Class — the workers to assume control and direction as well as operation of their industrial affairs.

This solution of necessity requires the organization of the Working Class as a class upon revolutionary political and industrial lines.

We therefore call upon the wage workers to organize themselves into a revolutionary political organization under the banner of the Socialist Labor Party; and to organize themselves likewise upon the industrial field into a revolutionary industrial union in keeping with their political aims.

And we also call upon all other intelligent citizens to place themselves squarely upon the ground of Working Class interests, and join us in this mighty and noble work of human emancipation, so that we may put summary end to the existing barbarous class conflict by placing the land and all the means of production, transportation, and distribution into the hands of the people as a collective body, and substituting the Coöperative Commonwealth for the present state of planless production, industrial war, and social disorder — a commonwealth in which every worker shall have the free exercise and full benefit of his faculties, multiplied by all the factors of modern civilization.

REPUBLICAN PARTY CONVENTION, HELD AT CHICAGO, JUNE 7

Candidates

For President, Charles Evans Hughes, of New York.

For Vice-President, Charles Warren Fairbanks, of Indiana.

Platform

In 1861 the Republican party stood for the Union. As it stood for the Union of States, it now stands for a united people, true to American ideals, loyal to American traditions, knowing no allegiance except to the Constitution, to the Government, and to the Flag of the United States. We believe in American policies at home and abroad.

We declare that we believe in and will enforce the protection of every American citizen in all the rights secured to him by the Constitution, treaties, and the law of nations, at home and abroad,

by land and by sea. These rights, which, in violation of the specific promise of their party made at Baltimore in 1912, the Democratic President and the Democratic Congress have failed to defend, we will unflinchingly maintain.

We desire peace, the peace of justice and right, and believe in maintaining a straight and honest neutrality between the belligerents in the great war in Europe. We must perform all our duties and insist upon all our rights as neutrals without fear and without favor. We believe that peace and neutrality, as well as the dignity and influence of the United States, cannot be preserved by shifty expedients, by phrase-making, by performances in language, or by attitudes ever changing in an effort to secure votes or voters. The present Administration has destroyed our influence abroad and humiliated us in our own eyes. The Republican party believes that a firm, consistent, and courageous foreign policy, always maintained by Republican Presidents in accordance with American traditions, is the best, as it is the only true, way to preserve our peace and restore us to our rightful place among the nations. We believe in the pacific settlement of international disputes and favor the establishment of a world court for that purpose.

We deeply sympathize with the fifteen million people of Mexico who, for three years have seen their country devastated, their homes destroyed, their fellow citizens murdered, and their women outraged, by armed bands of desperadoes led by self-seeking, conscienceless agitators who, when temporarily successful in any locality, have neither sought nor been able to restore order or establish and maintain peace.

We express our horror and indignation at the outrages which have been and are being perpetrated by bandits upon American men and women who were or are in Mexico by invitation of the laws and of the Government of that country, and whose rights to security of person and property are guaranteed by solemn treaty obligations. We denounce the indefensible methods of interference employed by this Administration in the internal affairs of Mexico and refer with shame to its failure to discharge the duty of this country as next friend to Mexico, its duty to other Powers, who have relied upon us as such friend, and its duty to our citizens in Mexico, in permitting the continuance of such conditions, first, by failure to act promptly and firmly, and second, by lending its influence to the continuation of such conditions through recognition of one of the factions responsible for these outrages.

We pledge our aid in restoring order and maintaining peace in Mexico. We promise to our citizens on and near our border, and to those in Mexico, wherever they may be found, adequate and absolute protection in their lives, liberty, and property.

We reaffirm our approval of the Monroe Doctrine, and declare its maintenance to be a policy of this country essential to its present and future peace and safety and to the achievement of its manifest destiny.

We favor the continuance of Republican policies, which will result in drawing more and more closely the commercial, financial, and social relations between this country and the countries of Latin America.

We renew our allegiance to the Philippine policy inaugurated by McKinley, approved by Congress, and consistently carried out by Roosevelt and Taft. Even in this short time it has enormously improved the material and social conditions of the islands, given the Philippine people a constantly increasing participation in their Government, and if persisted in will bring still greater benefits in the future.

We accepted the responsibility of the islands as a duty to civilization and the Filipino people. To leave with our task half done would break our pledges, injure our prestige among nations, and imperil what has already been accomplished.

We condemn the Democratic Administration for its attempt to abandon the Philippines, which was prevented only by the vigorous opposition of Republican members of Congress, aided by a few patriotic Democrats.

We reiterate our unqualified approval of the action taken in December, 1911, by the President and Congress to secure with Russia, as with other countries, a treaty that will recognize the absolute right of expatriation and prevent all discrimination of whatever kind between American citizens, whether native-born or alien, and regardless of race, religion, or previous political allegiance. We renew the pledge to observe this principle and to maintain the right of asylum, which is neither to be surrendered nor restricted, and we unite in the cherished hope that the war which is now desolating the world may speedily end, with a complete and lasting restoration of brotherhood among the nations of the earth and the assurance of full equal rights, civil and religious, to all men in every land.

In order to maintain our peace and make certain the security of our people within our own borders, the country must have not only adequate but thorough and complete national defence ready for any emergency. We must have a sufficient and efficient regular army, and a provision for ample reserves, already drilled and disciplined, who can be called at once to the colors when the hour of danger comes.

We must have a navy so strong and so well proportioned and equipped, so thoroughly ready and prepared, that no enemy can

gain command of the sea and effect a landing in force on either our western or our eastern coast. To secure these results we must have a coherent, continuous policy of national defence, which even in these perilous days the Democratic party has utterly failed to develop, but which we promise to give to the country.

The Republican party stands now, as always, in the fullest sense for the policy of tariff protection to American industries and American labor and does not regard an anti-dumping provision as an adequate substitute. Such protection should be reasonable in amount, but sufficient to protect adequately American industry and American labor and be so adjusted as to prevent undue exactions by monopolies or trusts. It should, moreover, give special attention to securing the industrial independence of the United States, as in the case of dye-stuffs.

Through wise tariff and industrial legislation our industries can be so organized that they will become not only a commercial bulwark but a powerful aid to national defence.

The Underwood Tariff Act is a complete failure in every respect. Under its administration imports have enormously increased in spite of the fact that the intercourse with foreign countries has been largely cut off by reason of the war, while the revenues of which we stand in such dire need have been greatly reduced. Under normal conditions which prevailed prior to the war, it was clearly demonstrated that this act deprived the American producer and the American wage-earner of that protection which entitled them to meet their foreign competitors, and but for the adventitious conditions created by the war, would long since have paralyzed all forms of American industry and deprived American labor of its just reward.

It has not in the least reduced the cost of living, which has constantly advanced from the date of its enactment. The welfare of our people demands its repeal and the substitution of a measure which in peace as well as in war will produce ample revenue and give reasonable protection to all forms of American production in mine, forest, field, and factory.

We favor the creation of a tariff commission with complete power to gather and compile information for the use of Congress in all matters relating to the tariff.

The Republican party has long believed in the rigid supervision and strict regulation of the transportation and great corporations of the country. It has put its creed into its deeds and all really effective laws regulating the railroads and the great industrial corporations are the work of Republican Congresses and Presidents. For this policy of regulation and supervision the Democrats, in a stumbling and piecemeal way, are undertaking to involve the

Government in business which should be left within the sphere of private enterprise and in direct competition with its own citizens, a policy which is sure to result in waste, great expense to the taxpayer and in an inferior product.

The Republican party firmly believes that all who violate the laws in regulation of business should be individually punished. But prosecution is very different from persecution, and business success, no matter how honestly attained, is apparently regarded by the Democratic party as in itself a crime. Such doctrines and beliefs choke enterprise and stifle prosperity. The Republican party believes in encouraging American business, as it believes in and will seek to advance all American interests.

We favor an effective system of rural credits as opposed to the ineffective law proposed by the present Democratic Administration.

We favor the extension of the rural free delivery system and condemn the Democratic Administration for curtailing and crippling it.

In view of the policies adopted by all the maritime nations to encourage their shipping interests, and in order to enable us to compete with them for the ocean-carrying trade, we favor the payment to ships engaged in the foreign trade of liberal compensation for services actually rendered in carrying the mails, and such further legislation as will build up an adequate American merchant marine and give us ships which may be requisitioned by the Government in time of national emergency.

We are utterly opposed to the Government ownership of vessels, as proposed by the Democratic party, because Government-owned ships, while effectively preventing the development of the American merchant marine by private capital, will be entirely unable to provide for the vast volume of American freights, and will leave us more helpless than ever in the hard grip of foreign syndicates.

Interstate and intrastate transportation have become so interwoven that the attempt to apply two and often several sets of laws to its regulation has produced conflicts of authority, embarrassment in operation and inconvenience and expense to the public.

The entire transportation system of the country has become essentially national. We therefore favor such action by legislation, or, if necessary, through an amendment to the Constitution of the United States, as will result in placing it under exclusive federal control.

The increasing cost of the National Government and the need for the greatest economy of its resources in order to meet the growing demands of the people for Government service call for the severest condemnation of the wasteful appropriations of this Democratic Administration, of its shameless raids on the Treasury, and

of its opposition to and rejection of President Taft's oft-repeated proposals and earnest efforts to secure economy and efficiency through the establishment of a simple, businesslike budget system to which we pledge our support and which we hold to be necessary to effect a real reform in the administration of national finances.

We believe in a careful husbandry of all the natural resources of the nation — a husbandry which means development without waste; use without abuse.

The Civil Service Law has always been sustained by the Republican party and we renew our repeated declaration that it shall be thoroughly and honestly enforced and extended wherever practicable. The Democratic party has created since March 4, 1913, thirty thousand offices outside of the Civil Service Law at an annual cost of forty-four million dollars to the taxpayers of the country.

We condemn the gross abuse and the misuse of the law by the present Democratic Administration and pledge ourselves to a reorganization along lines of efficiency and economy.

Reaffirming the attitude long maintained by the Republican party, we hold that officials appointed to administer the government of any territory should be *bona fide* residents of the territory in which their duties are to be performed.

We pledge the Republican party to the faithful enforcement of all federal laws passed for the protection of labor. We favor vocational education, the enactment and rigid enforcement of a federal child labor law; the enactment of a generous and comprehensive workmen's compensation law, within the commerce power of Congress, and an accident compensation law, covering all Government employees. We favor the collection and collation, under the direction of the Department of Labor, of complete data relating to industrial hazards for the information of Congress, to the end that such legislation may be adopted as may be calculated to secure the safety, conservation, and protection of labor from the dangers incident to industry and transportation.

The Republican party, reaffirming its faith in government of the people, by the people, for the people, as a measure of justice to one half the adult people of this country, favors the extension of the suffrage to women, but recognizes the right of each State to settle this question for itself.

Such are our principles, such are our purposes and policies. We close as we began. The times are dangerous and the future is fraught with peril. The great issues of the day have been confused by words and phrases. The American spirit, which made the country and saved the Union, has been forgotten by those charged with the responsibility of power. We appeal to all Americans,

whether naturalized or native-born, to prove to the world that we are Americans in thought and deed, with one loyalty, one hope, one aspiration. We call on all Americans to be true to the spirit of America, to the great traditions of their common country, and above all things, to keep the faith.

PROGRESSIVE PARTY CONVENTION, HELD AT CHICAGO,
JUNE 7

Candidates

For President, Theodore Roosevelt, of New York.

For Vice-President, John M. Parker, of Louisiana.

(Mr. Roosevelt declined the nomination, and the National Committee thereupon "endorsed" the nominee of the Republicans, Mr. Hughes. Mr. Parker did not decline.)

Platform

This is the year of decision for the nation's future. As we now decide, so we shall go forward in righteousness and power, or backward in degradation and weakness.

Of necessity we deal now with the foundations of our national life. We are facing elemental facts of force, of right and wrong, of extreme national peril. Our present choice of path will be irrevocable. The tradition of isolation has been ended. The United States is now part of a world-system of civilization. We stand or fall as we prepare now to take our part in peace or war and hold our own therein.

As members of an international community, we are subject to certain basic duties : —

To secure the rights and equal treatment of our citizens, native or naturalized, on land and sea, without regard to race, creed, or nativity ;

To guard the honor and uphold the just influence of our nation ;

To maintain the integrity of international law.

These are the corner-stones of civilization. We must be strong to defend them.

The present war shows that it is the supreme duty of civilization to create conditions which will make peace permanent. Our country must be able and ready to take its part in that work. The peace which we desire for our country is not the peace of submission and cowardice, but the peace of justice. War and its evils

will not be done away with by suffering injustice to ourselves or others, nor by pledging ourselves to drastic action for international right if we do not prepare the forces which would sustain such action. We can perform our rightful part in promoting permanent international peace only by a willingness and a prepared ability to defend our own rights and the rights of other nations.

We earnestly desire to keep the peace, but there are higher things which we must keep if we would keep the faith as Washington and Lincoln kept it. Peace at the price of submission and cowardice is not desirable, nor is it the peace of justice which alone would make it permanent. Supine submission to the invasion of our rights or indifference to the wrongs of weaker nations will not long maintain peace, nor will mere threat of action enforce our rights under international law. There must be an unfaltering determination and a personal ability to defend our rights and to fulfil our international obligations. In such a readiness lies the sure safeguard of both national honor and continued peace. Failure to deal firmly and promptly with the menace of Mexican disorders has brought conditions worse than warfare, and has weakened our national self-respect. Every resource of Government should forthwith be used to end those conditions, and protect from outrage the lives, honor, and property of American men and women in Mexico.

Whatever our country can legitimately do to attain peace for war-stricken Europe and to aid in the procurement of equal rights without discrimination because of race or creed to all men in all lands should be done.

Adequate provision for the common defence has become the task of foremost national concern.

Beneath the structure of military and economic strength there must be a unified spirit of this cosmopolitan people, a deep loyalty and undivided allegiance to America, the land which has welcomed us and our immigrant forefathers. Back of any adequate national preparedness in arms or in industry must remain the democratic soul of an undivided people, determined to keep America's great heritage and traditions unfalteringly in first place. American problems must be faced and solved, and solely in the light of American ideals. American political action must be taken in the service of American ends. Unwavering patriotism and unfaltering fidelity to America is the only spirit which should animate our citizens. If in this melting-pot of a hundred nations the children of any fail to find our common destiny worthy of common devotion and defence we shall sustain irreparable loss of national character.

In this spirit of Americanism, action must be taken for the common defence.

We must be ready, in spirit, arms, and industry. Preparation in arms requires:—

A navy restored to at least second rank in battle efficiency;

A regular army of 250,000 men, fully armed and trained, as a first line of land defence;

A system of military training adequate to organize with promptness, behind that first line of the army and navy, a citizen soldiery, supplied, armed, and controlled by the National Government.

In our democracy every male citizen is charged with the duty of defending his country. This duty is not new. It has existed from the foundation of the Government. Under modern conditions, it cannot be performed without military training; service without training means slaughter and disaster. As the nation has always recognized and exercised the right to enforce compulsory military service in time of war, so should there be universal military training for that service during times of peace.

We believe in preparedness for defence, but never for aggression. We must not sacrifice the lives of men for the glory or gain of military conquest. And we believe that the women of the country, who share with the men the burdens of Government in times of peace and make equal sacrifice in time of war, should be given the full political right of suffrage either by state or federal action.

Arms alone cannot maintain a nation. Of far greater permanent importance must stand a national industry efficient for the general welfare, a prosperity justly distributed, a national life organized in all points for national ends. Four years ago this party was born of a nation's awakened sense of these fundamental truths. In the platform then adopted we set forth our position on public questions. We here reaffirm the declarations there made on national issues.

A nation to survive must stand for the principles of social and industrial justice. We have no right to expect continued loyalty from an oppressed class. We must remove the artificial causes of the high cost of living; prevent the exploitation of men, women, and children in industry by extension of the Workmen's Compensation Law to the full limit permitted under the Constitution, and by a thoroughgoing child labor law; protect the wage-earner, and by a properly regulated system of rural credits encourage the former and give to the landless man opportunity to acquire land. A country must be worth living in to be worth fighting for.

To make possible social justice, to maintain our position in peace and war, we must insure business and industrial prosperity. This can be done—

By a regulation of industry aimed at promoting its growth and

prosperity, and a just distribution of its returns and a healthy expansion of foreign trade ;

By a conservation and development of our national resources for the good of all ;

By the reëstablishment of our merchant marine ;

By the development of a system of interstate national highways ;

By making a new standard of governmental efficiency through a complete civil service system, a national budget, and the destruction of "pork barrel" legislation.

By the creation of a permanent expert tariff commission, with a view of intelligently and scientifically adjusting the tariff, so as to build up, rather than destroy, American industry.

The protective system is essential to our national prosperity. Tremendous new pressures will be thrown upon our industries after the war by the highly mobilized production of Europe. At all times conditions of competition must be equalized between our own and foreign countries. We can only get the protection we need through the use of exact and complete knowledge, unaffected by prejudice or politics. We can secure that knowledge at all times and when needed only through such a commission.

The industrial issues are chiefly national. The present and certain future make it imperative that the regulation and promotion of industry, and especially of transportation and foreign trade, be national, not local. Only federal power can work justice to capital and labor throughout the nation. Only national authority can mobilize industry for defence as the nation's need demands it.

We have set forth in this platform plain essentials of national existence. They are not new in principle. Most men agree with them. Any man may propose them. The urgent and immediate need is for their performance. We have had ample experience with the promiser ; with words and the bitter taste of words retracted. We must choose a man, who, not alone by words, but by past deeds, gives guaranty that he can and will make these things good. The issue is one of men. In the midst of world-changes unparalleled in history we cannot forecast the problems which will confront our Government during the war and at its end. We therefore need as President a leader who knows the nations, a man who acts. If we continue longer to stand for words as above deeds, for fancies as above facts, we shall receive and merit the fate that surely awaits the man or people who do not face the truth.

We will meet and work with any man or party who sees the nation's need and puts forward a leader fit to meet it. We will accept no less, in plan or in the man, and we solemnly charge upon any who place partisan politics above country the responsibility for a nation's future sacrificed to self-interest and spoils.

DEMOCRATIC PARTY CONVENTION, HELD AT ST. LOUIS,
JUNE 13

Candidates

For President, Woodrow Wilson, of New Jersey.

For Vice-President, Thomas R. Marshall, of Indiana.

Platform

The Democratic party in annual convention assembled adopts the following declaration, to the end that the people of the United States may both realize the achievements wrought by four years of Democratic Administration and be apprised of the policies to which the party is committed for the further conduct of national affairs.

We endorse the Administration of Woodrow Wilson. It speaks for itself. It is the best exposition of sound Democratic policy at home and abroad.

We challenge comparison of our record, our keeping of pledges, and our constructive legislation, with those of any party of any time.

We found our country hampered by special privilege, a vicious tariff, obsolete banking laws, and an inelastic currency. Our foreign affairs were dominated by commercial interests for their selfish ends. The Republican party, despite repeated pledges, was impotent to correct abuses which it had fostered. Under our Administration, under a leadership which has never faltered, these abuses have been corrected and our people have been freed therefrom.

Our archaic banking and currency system, prolific of panic and disaster under Republican administrations, — long the refuge of the money trust, — has been supplanted by the Federal Reserve Act, a true democracy of credit under Government control, already proved a financial bulwark in a world-crisis, mobilizing our resources, placing abundant credit at the disposal of legitimate industry, and making a currency panic impossible.

We have created a Federal Trade Commission to accommodate the perplexing questions arising under the anti-trust laws, so that monopoly may be strangled at its birth and legitimate industry encouraged. Fair competition in business is now assured.

We have effected an adjustment of the tariff, adequate for revenue under peace conditions, and fair to the consumer and to the producer. We have adjusted the burdens of taxation so that swollen incomes bear their equitable share. Our revenues have been sufficient in times of world stress.

We have lifted human labor from the category of commodities and have secured to the workingman the right of voluntary association for his protection and welfare. We have protected the rights of the laborer against the unwarranted issuance of writs of injunction, and have guaranteed to him the right of trial by jury in cases of alleged contempt committed outside the presence of the court.

We have advanced the parcel post to genuine efficiency, enlarged the postal savings system, added ten thousand rural delivery routes and extensions, thus reaching two and one half millions additional people, improved the postal service in every branch, and, for the first time in our history, placed the Post-Office system on a self-supporting basis, with actual surplus in 1913, 1914, and 1916.

The reforms which were most obviously needed to clear away privilege, prevent unfair discrimination, and release the energies of men of all ranks and advantages, have been effected by recent legislation. We must now remove, so far as possible, every remaining element of unrest and uncertainty from the path of the business men of America, and secure for them a continued period of quiet, assured, and confident prosperity.

We reaffirm our belief in the doctrine of a tariff for the purpose of providing sufficient revenue for the operation of the Government economically administered, and unreservedly endorse the Underwood Tariff Law as truly exemplifying that doctrine. We recognize that tariff rates are necessarily subject to change to meet changing conditions in the world's productions and trade. The events of the last two years have brought about many momentous changes. In some respects their effects are yet conjectural and wait to be disclosed, particularly in regard to our foreign trade. Two years of a war which has directly involved most of the chief industrial nations of the world, and which has indirectly affected the life and industry of all nations, are bringing about economic changes more varied and far-reaching than the world has ever before experienced. In order to ascertain just what those changes may be, the Democratic Congress is providing for a non-partisan tariff commission to make impartial and thorough study of every economic fact that may throw light either upon our past or upon our future fiscal policy, with regard to the imposition of taxes on imports, or with regard to the changing and changed conditions under which our trade is carried on. We cordially endorse this timely proposal and declare ourselves in sympathy with the principle and purpose of shaping legislation within that field in accordance with clearly established facts rather than in accordance with the demands of selfish interests, or upon information provided largely, if not exclusively, by them.

Immediate provision should be made for the development of

the carrying trade of the United States. Our foreign commerce has in the past been subject to many unnecessary and vexatious obstacles in the way of legislation of Republican Congresses. Until the recent Democratic tariff legislation it was hampered by unreasonable burdens of taxation. Until the recent banking legislation, it had at its disposal few of the necessary instrumentalities of international credit and exchange. Until the formulation of the pending act to promote the construction of a merchant marine, it lacked even the prospect of adequate carriage by sea. We heartily endorse the purposes and policy of the pending shipping bill, and favor all such additional measures of constructive or remedial legislation as may be necessary to restore our flag to the seas and to provide further facilities for our foreign commerce, particularly such laws as may be made to remove unfair conditions of competition in the dealings of American merchants and producers with competitors in foreign markets.

The part that the United States will play in the new day of international relationships which is now upon us will depend upon our preparation and our character. The Democratic party, therefore, recognizes the assertion and triumphant demonstration of the indivisibility and coherent strength of the nation as the supreme issue of this day in which the whole world faces the crisis of manifold change. It summons all men, of whatever origin or creed, who would count themselves Americans to join in making clear to all the world the unity and consequent power of America.

This is an issue of patriotism. To taint it with partisanship would be to defile it. In this day of test, America must show itself, not a nation of partisans, but a nation of patriots. There is gathered here in America the best of the blood, the industry, and the genius of the whole world, the elements of a great race and a magnificent society to be melted into a mighty and splendid nation.

Whoever, actuated by the purpose to promote the interest of a foreign power, in disregard of our own country's welfare or to injure this Government in its foreign relations or cripple or destroy its industries at home, and whoever by arousing prejudices of a racial, religious, or other nature creates discord and strife among our people so as to obstruct the wholesome process of unification, is faithless to the trust which the privileges of citizenship repose in him and disloyal to his country.

We therefore condemn as subversive of this nation's unity and integrity, and as destructive of its welfare, the activities and designs of every group or organization, political or otherwise, that has for its object the advancement of the interest of a foreign power, whether such object is promoted by intimidating the Government, a political party, or representatives of the people, or which

is calculated and tends to divide our people into antagonistic groups, and thus to destroy that complete agreement and solidarity of the people and that unity of sentiment and national purpose so essential to the perpetuity of the nation and its free institutions.

We condemn all alliances and combinations of individuals in this country, of whatever nationality or descent, who agree and conspire together for the purpose of embarrassing or weakening our Government or of improperly influencing or coercing our public representatives in dealing or negotiating with any foreign power. We charge that such conspiracies exist and have been instigated for the purpose of advancing the interests of foreign countries to the prejudice and detriment of our own country. We condemn any political party which, in view of the activity of such conspirators, surrenders its integrity or modifies its policy.

Along with the proof of our character as a nation must go the proof of our power to play the part that legitimately belongs to us. The people of the United States love peace. They respect the rights and covet the friendship of all other nations. They desire neither any additional territory nor any advantage which cannot peacefully be gained by their skill, their industry, or their enterprise; but they insist upon having absolute freedom of national life and policy and feel that they owe it to themselves and to the rôle of spirited independence which it is their sole ambition to play that they should render themselves secure against the hazard of interference from any quarter, and should be able to protect their rights upon the seas or in any part of the world. We therefore favor the maintenance of an army fully adequate to the requirements of order, of safety, and of the protection of the nation's rights, the fullest development of modern methods of seacoast defence, and the maintenance of an adequate reserve of citizens trained to arms and prepared to safeguard the people and territory of the United States against any danger of hostile action which may unexpectedly arise; and a fixed policy for the continuous development of a navy worthy to support the great naval traditions of the United States and fully equal to the international tasks which the United States hopes and expects to take a part in performing. The plans and enactments of the present Congress afford substantial proof of our purpose in this exigent matter.

The Democratic Administration has throughout the present war scrupulously and successfully held to the old paths of neutrality and of peaceful pursuit of the legitimate objects of our national life, which statesmen of all parties and creeds have prescribed for themselves in America since the beginning of our history. But the circumstances of the last two years have revealed necessities of international action which no former generation can have foreseen.

We hold that it is the duty of the United States to use its power, not only to make itself safe at home, but also to make secure its just interests throughout the world, and both for this end and in the interest of humanity to assist the world in securing settled peace and justice. We believe that every people has the right to choose the sovereignty under which it shall live; that the small States of the world have a right to enjoy from other nations the same respect for their sovereignty and for their territorial integrity that great and powerful nations expect and insist upon; and that the world has a right to be free from every disturbance of its peace that has its origin in aggression or disregard of the rights of peoples and nations; and we believe that the time has come when it is the duty of the United States to join the other nations of the world in any feasible association that will effectively serve these principles, to maintain inviolate the complete security of the highway of the seas for the common and unhindered use of all nations.

The present Administration has consistently sought to act upon and realize, in its conduct of the foreign affairs of the nation, the principle that should be the object of any association of the nations formed to secure the peace of the world and the maintenance of national and individual rights. It has followed the highest American traditions. It has preferred respect for the fundamental rights of smaller States, even to property interests, and has secured the friendship of the people of these States for the United States by refusing to make a more material interest an excuse for the assertion of our superior power against the dignity of their sovereign independence. It has regarded the lives of its citizens and the claims of humanity as of greater moment than material rights, and peace as the best basis for the just settlement of commercial claims. It has made the honor and ideals of the United States its standard alike in negotiation and action.

We recognize now, as we have always recognized, a definite and common interest between the United States with the other peoples and republics of the Western Hemisphere in all matters of national independence and free political development. We favor the establishment and maintenance of the closest relations of amity and mutual helpfulness between the United States and the other republics of the American continents for the support of peace and the promotion of a common prosperity. To that end we favor all measures which may be necessary to facilitate intimate intercourse and promote commerce between the United States and her neighbors to the south of us, and such international understandings as may be practicable and suitable to accomplish these ends.

We commend the action of the Democratic Administration in holding the Pan-American financial conference at Washington in May, 1915, and organizing the International High Commission, which represented the United States in the recent meeting of representatives of the Latin American Republics at Buenos Aires, April, 1916, which have so greatly promoted the friendly relations between the people of the Western Hemisphere.

The Monroe Doctrine is reasserted as a principle of Democratic faith. That doctrine guarantees the independent republics of the two Americas against aggression from another continent. It implies, as well, the most scrupulous regard upon our part for the sovereignty of each of them. The want of a stable, responsible Government in Mexico, capable of repressing and punishing marauders and bandit bands, who have not only taken the lives and seized and destroyed the property of American citizens in that country, but have insolently invaded our soil, made war upon and murdered our people thereon, has rendered it necessary temporarily to occupy, by our armed forces, a portion of the territory of that friendly State. Until, by the restoration of law and order therein, a repetition of such incursions is improbable, the necessity for their remaining will continue.

Intervention, implying as it does, military subjugation, is revolting to the people of the United States, notwithstanding the provocation to that course has been great and should be resorted to, if at all, only as a last resort. The stubborn resistance of the President and his advisers to every demand and suggestion to enter upon it, is creditable alike to them and to the people in whose name he speaks.

For the safeguarding and quickening of the life of our own people, we favor the conservation and development of the natural resources of the country through a policy which shall be positive rather than negative — a policy which shall not withhold such resources from development, but which, while permitting and encouraging their use, shall prevent both waste and monopoly in their exploitation, and we earnestly favor the passage of acts which will accomplish these objects and we reaffirm the declaration of the platform of 1912 on this subject.

The policy of reclaiming our arid lands should be steadily adhered to.

We favor the vigorous prosecution of investigations and plans to render agriculture more profitable and country life more healthful, comfortable, and attractive, and we believe that this should be a dominant aim of the nation as well as of the States. With all its recent improvement, farming still lags behind other occupations in development as a business, and the advantages of an

advancing civilization have not accrued to rural communities in a fair proportion. Much has been accomplished in this field under the present Administration — far more than under any previous administration. In the Federal Reserve Act of the last Congress, and the Rural Credits Act of the present Congress, the machinery has been created which will make credit available to the farmer constantly and readily, and he has at last been put upon a footing of equality with the merchant and the manufacturer in securing the capital necessary to carry on his enterprises. Grades and standards necessary to the intelligent and successful conduct of the business of agriculture have also been established, or are in the course of establishment by law. The long-needed Cotton Futures Act, passed by the Sixty-Third Congress, has now been in successful operation for nearly two years. A Grain Grades Bill, long needed, and a permissive Warehouse Bill, intended to provide better storage facilities, and to enable the farmer to obtain certificates upon which he may secure advances of money, have been passed by the House of Representatives, have been favorably reported to the Senate, and will probably become law during the present session of the Congress. Both houses have passed a good-roads measure, which will be of far-reaching benefit to all agricultural communities. Above all, the most extraordinary and significant progress has been made, under the direction of the Department of Agriculture, in extending and perfecting practical farm demonstration work which is so rapidly substituting scientific for empirical farming. But it is also necessary that rural activities should be better directed through coöperation and organization, that unfair methods of competition should be eliminated, and the conditions requisite for the just, orderly, and economical marketing of farm products created. We approve the Democratic Administration for having emphatically directed attention for the first time to the essential interests of agriculture involved in farm marketing and finance, for creating the Office of Markets and Rural Organization in connection with the Department of Agriculture, and for extending the coöperative machinery necessary for conveying information to farmers by means of demonstrations. We favor continued liberal provision, not only for the benefit of production, but also for the study and solution of problems of farm marketing and finance and for the extension of existing agencies for improving country life.

The happiness, comfort, and prosperity of rural life and the development of the city are alike conserved by the construction of public highways. We, therefore, favor national aid in the construction of post-roads and roads for like purposes.

We hold that the life, health, and strength of the men, women,

and children of the nation are its greatest asset and that in the conservation of these the Federal Government, wherever it acts as the employer of labor, should, both on its own account and as an example, put into effect the following principles of just employment:—

1. A living wage for all employees.
2. A working day not to exceed eight hours, with one day of rest in seven.
3. The adoption of safety appliances and the establishment of thoroughly sanitary conditions of labor.
4. Adequate compensation for industrial accidents.
5. The standards of the "Uniform Child Labor Law," wherever minors are employed.
6. Such provisions for decency, comfort and health in the employment of women as should be accorded the mothers of the race.
7. An equitable retirement law providing for the retirement of superannuated and disabled employees of the civil service, to the end that a higher standard of efficiency may be maintained.

We believe also that the adoption of similar principles should be urged and applied in the legislation of the States with regard to labor within their borders, and that through every possible agency the life and health of the people of the nation should be conserved.

We declare our faith in the Seamen's Act, passed by the Democratic Congress, and we promise our earnest continuance of its enforcement.

We favor the speedy enactment of an effective Federal Child Labor Law and the regulation of the shipment of prison-made goods in interstate commerce.

We favor the creation of a Federal Bureau of Safety in the Department of Labor, to gather facts concerning industrial hazards and to recommend legislation concerning the maiming and killing of human beings.

We favor the extension of the powers and functions of the Federal Bureau of Mines.

We favor the development upon a systematic scale of the means already begun under the present Administration, to assist laborers throughout the nation to seek and obtain employment, and the extension by the Federal Government of the same assistance and encouragement as is now given to agricultural training.

We heartily commend our newly established Department of Labor for its excellent record in settling industrial strikes by personal advice and through conciliating agents.

We favor a thorough reconsideration of the means and methods by which the Federal Government handles questions of public health, to the end that human life may be conserved by the elimi-

nation of loathsome disease, the improvement of sanitation, and the diffusion of a knowledge of disease prevention.

We favor the establishment by the Federal Government of tuberculosis sanitariums for needy tubercular patients.

We favor such an alteration of the rules of procedure of the Senate of the United States as will permit the prompt transaction of the nation's legislative business.

We demand careful economy in all expenditures for the support of the Government and to that end favor a return by the House of Representatives to its former practice of initiating and preparing all appropriation bills through a single committee chosen from its membership, in order that responsibility may be centred, expenditures standardized and made uniform, and waste and duplication in the public service as much as possible avoided. We favor this as a practicable first step towards a budget system.

We reaffirm our declarations for the rigid enforcement of the civil service laws.

We heartily endorse the provisions of the bill recently passed by the House of Representatives, further promoting self-government in the Philippine Islands as being in fulfilment of the policy declared by the Democratic party in its last national platform, and we reiterate our endorsement of the purpose of ultimate independence for the Philippine Islands, expressed in the preamble of that measure.

We recommend the extension of the franchise to the women of the country by the States upon the same terms as to men.

We again declare the policy that the sacred rights of American citizenship must be preserved at home and abroad, and that no treaty with any other Government shall receive the sanction of our Government which does not expressly recognize the absolute equality of all our citizens, irrespective of race, creed, or previous nationality, and which does not recognize the right of expatriation. The American Government should protect American citizens in their rights, not only at home, but abroad, and any country having a government should be held to strict accountability for any wrongs done them, either to person or property. At the earliest practical opportunity, our country should strive earnestly for peace among the warring nations of Europe and seek to bring about the adoption of the fundamental principle of justice and humanity, that all men shall enjoy equality of right and freedom from discrimination in the lands wherein they dwell.

We demand that the modern principles of prison reform be applied in our federal penal system. We favor such work for prisoners as shall give them training in remunerative occupations, so that they may make an honest living when released from prison;

the setting apart of the net wages of the prisoner, to be paid to his dependent family or to be reserved for his own use upon his release; the liberal extension of the principles of the Federal Parole Law, with due regard both to the welfare of the prisoner and the interests of society; the adoption of the probation system, especially in the case of first offenders not convicted of serious crimes.

We renew the declarations of recent Democratic platforms relating to generous pensions for soldiers and their widows, and call attention to our record of performance in this particular.

We renew the declaration in our last two platforms relating to the development of our waterways. The recent devastation of the lower Mississippi Valley and several other sections by floods accentuates the movement for the regulation of river flow by additional bank and levee protection below, and diversion, storage, and control of the flood waters above, and their utilization for beneficial purposes in the reclamation of arid and swamp lands, and development of water-power, instead of permitting the floods to continue, as heretofore, agents of destruction. We hold that the control of the Mississippi River is a national problem. The preservation of the depth of its waters for purposes of navigation, the building of levees and works of bank protection to maintain the integrity of its channel and prevent the overflow of its valley resulting in the interruption of interstate commerce, the disorganization of the mail service, and the enormous loss of life and property, impose an obligation which alone can be discharged by the National Government.

We favor the adoption of a liberal and comprehensive plan for the development and improvement of our harbors and inland waterways with economy and efficiency, so as to permit their navigation by vessels of standard draft.

It has been and will be the policy of the Democratic party to enact all laws necessary for the speedy development of Alaska and its great natural resources.

We favor granting to the people of Alaska, Hawaii, and Porto Rico the traditional Territorial Government accorded to the Territories of the United States since the beginning of our Government, and we believe the officials appointed to administer the Government of those several Territories should be qualified by previous *bona fide* residence.

We unreservedly endorse our President and Vice-President, Woodrow Wilson of New Jersey, and Thomas Riley Marshall of Indiana, who have performed the functions of their great offices faithfully and impartially and with distinguished ability.

In particular, we commend to the American people the splendid diplomatic victories of our great President, who has preserved the

vital interests of our Government and its citizens and kept us out of war.

Woodrow Wilson stands to-day the greatest American of his generation.

This is a critical hour in the history of America, a critical hour in the history of the world. Upon the record above set forth, which shows great constructive achievement in following out a consistent policy for our domestic and internal development; upon the record of the Democratic Administration, which has maintained the honor, the dignity, and the interests of the United States and at the same time retained the respect and friendship of all the nations of the world, and upon the great policies for the future strengthening of the life of our country, the enlargement of our national vision, and the ennobling of our international relations, as set forth above, we appeal with confidence to the voters of the country.

SOCIALIST PARTY

[The Socialist party held no national convention, but nominated its candidates and adopted its platform by mail referendum.]

Candidates

For President, Allan L. Benson, of New York.

For Vice-President, George R. Kirkpatrick, of New Jersey.

Platform

In the midst of the greatest crisis and bloodiest struggle of all history the Socialist Party of America reaffirms its steadfast adherence to the principles of internationalism, world peace, and industrial democracy.

The great war which has engulfed so much of civilization and cost millions of lives is one of the natural fruits of the capitalist system of production. Fundamentally, it is the desire and effort of competing national groups of capitalists to grasp and control the opportunities for profitable investment which brought about the war, and it is that same desire which prompts the present organized effort to fasten upon this country the crushing burdens of militarism. Not until the capitalist system of production is destroyed and replaced by industrial democracy will wars for markets cease and international peace be securely established.

Hideous as they are, the horrors of the far-stretched battlefields of the old world are dwarfed by the evil results of the capitalist system, even in normal times. Instead of being organized to pro-

vide all the members of society with an abundance of food, clothing, and shelter, and the highest attainable freedom and culture, industry is at present organized and conducted for the benefit of a parasitic class. All the powers of government, and all our industrial genius, are directed to the end of securing to the relatively small class of capitalist investors the largest amount of profit which can be wrung from the labor of the ever increasing class whose only property is muscle and brawn, manual and mental labor power.

The dire consequences of this system are everywhere apparent. The workers are oppressed to the very limit of their endurance and deprived of all that makes for physical, mental, and moral well-being. Year by year poverty destroys more lives than all the armies and navies of the world and the lives destroyed and broken by industry in normal years in this country exceed those of all the battlefields of Europe and Asia.

To preserve their privilege and power is the most vital interest of the possessing class, while it is the most vital interest of the working class to resist oppression, improve its position, and struggle to obtain security of life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. Hence there exists a conflict of interests, a social war within the nation which is capable of no truce or compromise. So long as the few own and control the economic life of the nation, the many must be enslaved, poverty must exist, and riotous luxury and civil strife prevail.

The Socialist Party would end these conditions by reorganizing the life of the nation upon the basis of Socialism. Contrary to the charge made by the hired retainers and defenders of Privilege, it would not abolish private property, but greatly extend it. We believe that every human being should have and own all the things which that individual can use to advantage, for the enrichment of his own life, without imposing disadvantage or burden upon any other human being. Socialism requires the private ownership and individual direction of all things, tools, economic processes and functions which are individualistic in character equally with the collective ownership and democratic control and direction of those which are social or collectivistic in character. Private ownership and direction of wheelbarrows imperils no man's freedom or well-being; private ownership and direction of railways, mines, and factories makes their owners masters of the lives of their fellow men.

We hold that this country cannot enjoy happiness and prosperity at home and maintain lasting peace with other nations, so long as its industrial wealth is monopolized by a capitalist oligarchy. In this as in every other campaign all special issues arising from

temporary situations, whether domestic or foreign, must be subordinated to the major issue — the need of such a reorganization of our economic life as will remove the land, the mines, forests, railroads, mills, and factories, all the things required for our physical existence, from the clutches of industrial and financial freebooters and place them securely and permanently in the hands of the people.

We demand the immediate abandonment of the Monroe Doctrine as a danger so great that even its advocates are agreed that it constitutes perhaps our greatest single danger of war. The Monroe Doctrine was originally intended to safeguard the peace of the United States. Though the doctrine has changed from a safeguard to a menace, the capitalist class still defends it for the reason that our great capitalists desire to retain South and Central America as their private trade preserve. We favor the cultivation of social and political friendship with all other nations in the Western Hemisphere as an approach to a world confederation of nations, but we oppose the Monroe Doctrine because it takes from our hands the peace of America and places it in the custody of any nation that would attack the sovereignty of any state in the Western World.

If men were free to labor to satisfy their desires there could be in this country neither poverty nor involuntary unemployment. But men in this country are not free to labor to satisfy their desires. The great industrial population can labor only when the capitalist class, who own the industries, believe they can market their product at a profit. The needs of millions are based upon the greeds of a few. The situation is not unlike that of a pyramid balanced upon its apex. Oftentimes this pyramid tumbles and industrial depression comes. There was such a crash in 1907. If the capitalist owners had been willing to get out of the way, industry could have been revived in a day. But the capitalist owners are never willing to get out of the way. Their greed comes first — the people's needs, if at all, afterward. Therefore business did not quickly revive after the industrial depression of 1907. Mr. Taft was elected to bring good times, but in four years failed to bring them. Mr. Wilson was elected to bring good times, but not all of the measures he advocated had the slightest effect upon industry. It was only when the breaking out of war in Europe brought to this country tremendous orders for military supplies that the country entered upon what is called "prosperity" and which is really prosperity for the few who are profiting from the trade in ammunition, food, and other goods. We deny, however, that for the masses of the people there is any real prosperity, and we assert that for millions there is real poverty. As against the boast of the present National Ad-

ministration that its political programme, now fully in force, has brought prosperity to the masses, we place Federal Public Health Bulletin No. 76, issued in the spring of 1916 and signed by Dr. B. S. Warren, surgeon in the United States Public Health Service, in which the statement is made that eight hundred dollars a year is required to enable a family to avoid physical deterioration through lack of food; that more than half the families of workingmen receive less than that amount; that nearly a third receive less than five hundred dollars a year and that one family in twelve receives less than three hundred dollars a year. As proof of what insufficient food is doing to the bodies of millions of people, we point to the fact that medical examiners in the army are this year rejecting four fifths of the young men who seek to enter the army, and that this tremendous percentage of physically unfit, while alarming, is not unusually large. At Ludlow, Youngstown, Calumet, Bayonne, and in West Virginia, labor has fought against great odds for its right to exist, while capitalist government, by persecuting labor leaders, has lent itself to the subjugation of the workers.

The capitalist class, for a great many years has been trying to saddle upon this country a greater army and a greater navy. A greater army is desired to keep the working class of the United States in subjection.

A greater navy is desired to safeguard the foreign investments of American capitalists and to "back up" American diplomacy in its efforts to gain foreign markets for American capitalists. The war in Europe, which diminished and is still diminishing the remote possibility of European attack upon the United States, was nevertheless seized upon by capitalists and by unscrupulous politicians as a means of spreading fear throughout the country to the end that, by false pretences, great military establishments might be obtained. We denounce such "preparedness" as both false in principle, unnecessary in character, and dangerous in its plain tendencies toward militarism. We advocate that sort of social preparedness which expresses itself in better homes, better bodies, and better minds, which are alike the products of plenty and the necessity of effective defence in war.

The Socialist Party maintains its attitude of unalterable opposition to war. But upon behalf of the working class we demand that the power be taken from the President by which he may lead the nation into a position from which there is no escape from war. No man, however exalted in official station, should have the power to decide the question of peace or war for a nation of a hundred millions. To give one man such power is neither democratic nor safe. Yet the President has such power when he exercises the sole right to determine what shall be the nation's foreign policies and

what shall be the nature and tone of its diplomatic intercourse with other nations. We, therefore, demand that the power to fix foreign policies and conduct diplomatic negotiations shall be lodged in the Congress, the people reserving the right by referendum to order the Congress, at any time, to change its foreign policy. We also reiterate and emphasize the fact that Socialism will abolish the causes of war and thereby make war a thing of the past.

The Socialist Party is in favor of and demands the immediate recognition of the independence of the Philippine Islands, as a measure of justice both to the Filipinos and to ourselves. The Filipinos are entitled to self-government; we are entitled to be freed from the necessity of building and maintaining enough dreadnoughts to defend them in the event of war.

As measures calculated to strengthen the working class in its fight for the realization of its ultimate aim, the Coöperative Commonwealth, and to increase its power of resistance against capitalist oppression, we advocate and pledge ourselves and our elected officers to the following programme:—

(1) The immediate repeal of all laws and appropriations for the increase of the military and naval forces of the United States.

(2) The power to establish the relations of this country with foreign nations shall be taken from the President and vested in Congress. All diplomatic negotiations shall be conducted publicly. No war shall be declared by the United States without a referendum vote of the entire people, except in the case of an invasion of its territory.

(3) The Government of the United States shall call a congress of all neutral nations to mediate between the belligerent powers in an effort to establish an immediate and lasting peace without indemnities or annexation of territory, except as based upon popular vote of the territory involved, and based upon a binding and enforceable international treaty, which shall provide for concerted disarmament on land and sea and for an international congress with power to adjust all disputes between nations.

(4) The Philippine Islands shall immediately be given full political freedom and independence, the United States surrendering all claims to the possession and government of any part of their territory.

(5) Unrestricted and equal suffrage for men and women. The immediate adoption of the Susan B. Anthony amendment to the Constitution of the United States granting the suffrage to women on equal terms with men.

(6) The adoption of the initiative, referendum, and recall, and proportional representation, nationally as well as locally.

(7) The abolition of the Senate and of the veto power of the President.

(8) The election of the President and the Vice-President by direct vote of the people.

(9) The abolition of the present constitution upon the amendment of the Constitution so that that instrument may be made amenable by a majority of the people in the country.

(10) The calling of a convention for the revision of the Constitution of the United States.

(11) The abolition of the power usurped by the Supreme Court of the United States to give force and constitutionality of legislative measures by Congress. Federal laws to be repealed only by act of Congress or by a referendum vote of the whole people.

(12) The immediate ending of the power of the courts to issue injunctions.

(13) The election of all judges of the United States Courts for short terms.

(14) The free administration of the law.

(15) The granting of the right of suffrage to the District of Columbia with representation in Congress and a democratic form of municipal government for purely local affairs.

(16) The extension of democratic form of government to all United States territory.

(17) The freedom of press, speech, and assembly.

(18) The removal of the rates of the present income tax and corporation tax and the extension of inheritance taxes, graduated in proportion to the value of the estate and to nearest of kin — the purpose of these taxes to be employed in the civilization of America.

(19) The enactment of further measures for general education and provision for vocational education in useful pursuits. The Bureau of Education to be made a department.

(20) The enactment of further measures for the conservation of nature and the creation of an independent Department of Health.

(21) The abolition of the monopoly ownership of patents and the introduction of constructive ownership, with direct rewards to inventors by purchase of royalties.

(22) The collective ownership and democratic management of railroads, telegraphs and telephones, express service, steamboat lines, and all other natural means of transportation and communication and of all large public utilities.

(23) The introduction of government in the municipalities, the States, or the Federal Government of all great educational, scientific, literary, manufacturing and other instructing agencies in order to relieve

the farmer from the extortionate charges of the middleman and to reduce the present high cost of living.

(3) The extension of the public domain to include mines, quarries, oil wells, forests and water-power.

(4) The further conservation and development of natural resources for the use and benefit of all the people : —

(a) By scientific forestation and timber protection.

(b) By the reclamation of arid and swamp tracts.

(c) By the storage of flood waters and the utilization of water-power.

(d) By the stoppage of the present extravagant waste of the soil and of the products of mines and oil wells.

(e) By the development of highway and waterway systems.

(5) The collective ownership of land wherever practicable, and in cases where such ownership is impracticable, the appropriation by taxation of the annual rental value of all land held for speculation or exploitation.

(6) All currency shall be issued by the Government of the United States and shall be legal tender for the payment of taxes and impost duties and for the discharge of public and private debts. The Government shall lend money on bonds to counties and municipalities at a nominal rate of interest for the purpose of taking over or establishing public utilities and for building or maintaining public roads and highways and public schools — up to twenty-five per cent of the assessed valuation of such counties or municipalities. Said bonds are to be repaid in twenty equal and annual installments, and the currency issued for the purpose by the Government is to be cancelled and destroyed *seriatim* as the debt is repaid. All banks and banking institutions shall be owned by the Government of the United States or by the States.

(7) Government relief for the unemployed by the extension of all useful public works. All persons employed on such works to be engaged directly by the Government under a work day of not more than eight hours and at not less than the prevailing union wages. The Government also to establish employment bureaus; to lend money to States and municipalities without interest for the purpose of carrying on public works; to contribute money to unemployment funds of labor unions and other organizations of workers; and to take such other measures within its power as will lessen the widespread misery of the workers caused by the misrule of the capitalistic class.

The conservation of human resources, particularly of the lives and well-being of the workers and their families : —

(1) By shortening the work day in keeping with the increased productiveness of machinery.

(2) By securing the freedom of political and economic organization and activity.

(3) By securing to every worker a rest period of not less than a day and half each week.

(4) By securing a more effective inspection of workshops, factories, and mines.

(5) By forbidding the employment of children under eighteen years of age.

(6) By forbidding the interstate transportation of the products of child labor and of all uninspected factories and mines.

CONVENTION OF THE PROHIBITION PARTY, HELD AT ST. PAUL, JULY 18

Candidates

For President, J. Frank Hanly, of Indiana.

For Vice President, Ira Landrith, of Massachusetts.

Platform

The Prohibition Party, assembled in its Twelfth National Convention in the city of St. Paul, Minnesota, on this Twentieth day of July, 1916, grateful to Almighty God for the blessings of liberty, for our institutions and the multiplying signs of early victory for the cause for which the Party stands, in order that the people may know the source of its faith and the basis of its action, should it be clothed with governmental power, challenges the attention of the Nation and asks the votes of the people on this Declaration of principles.

We denounce the traffic in intoxicating liquors. We believe in its abolition. It is a crime — not a business — and should not have governmental sanction.

We demand — and if given power, we will effectuate the demand — that the manufacture, importation, exportation, transportation and sale of alcoholic beverage purposes shall be prohibited.

To the accomplishment of that end, we pledge the exercise of all governmental power and amendment of statutes and the amendment of constitutions, State and National. Only by a political party committed to this purpose can such policy be made effective. We call upon all voters, so believing, to place the Prohibition Party in power upon this issue as a necessary step in the solution of the liquor problem.

The right of citizens of the United States to vote should not be denied or abridged by the United States or by any State on account of sex. We declare in favor of the enfranchisement of women by amendments to State and Federal Constitutions.

We condemn the Republican and Democratic parties for their failure to submit an equal suffrage amendment to the National Constitution. We remind the four million women voters that our Party was the first to declare for their political rights, which it did in 1872. We invite their coöperation in electing the Prohibition Party to power.

We are committed to the policy of peace and friendliness with all nations. We are unalterably opposed to the wasteful military programme of the Democratic and Republican Parties. Militarism protects no worthy institution. It endangers them all. It violates the high principles which have brought us as a Nation to the present hour. We are for a constructive programme in preparedness for peace. We declare for and will promote a world court, to which national differences shall be submitted, so maintained as to give its decrees binding force.

We will support a compact among nations to dismantle navies and disband armies, but until such court and compact are established we pledge ourselves to maintain an effective army and navy and to provide coast defenses entirely adequate for national protection.

We are opposed to universal military service, and to participation in the rivalry that has brought Europe to the shambles and now imperils the civilization of the race.

Private profit, so far as constitutionally possible, should be taken out of the manufacture of war munitions and all war equipment.

In normal times we favor the employment of the army in vast reclamation plans, in reforesting hills and mountains, in building State and National highways, in the construction of an inland waterway from Florida to Maine, in the opening of Alaska and in unnumbered other projects which will make our soldiers constructive builders of peace. For such service there should be paid an adequate individual wage.

Those units of our navy which are capable of being converted into merchantmen and passenger vessels should be constructed with that purpose in view, and chiefly so utilized in time of peace.

We condemn the political parties, which for more than thirty years have allowed munition and war equipment manufacturers to plunder the people and to jeopardize the highest interest of the Nation by furnishing honey-combed armour plate and second rate battleships which the Navy League now declares are wholly inadequate.

We will not allow the country to forget that the first step toward physical, economic, moral and political preparedness is the enactment of National Prohibition.

The countries at war are preparing for a fierce industrial struggle to follow the cessation of hostilities. As a matter of commercial economy, international friendliness, business efficiency, and as a

help to peace, we demand that reciprocal trade treaties be negotiated with all nations with which we have trade relations. A Commission of specialists, free from the control of any party, should be appointed with power to gather full information of all phases of the questions of tariff and reciprocity, and to recommend such legislation as it deems necessary for the welfare of American business and labor.

The necessity of legislation to enable American ship builders or owners to meet foreign competition, on the most favorable terms, is obvious.

Materials for construction should be admitted free of duty.

The purchase of ships abroad, when low prices invite, should be allowed and, when so purchased, should be admitted to American registry.

Harbor rules and charges and navigation laws should not be onerous, but favorable to the highest degree.

Liberal payment should be made by the Government for the carrying of mails or for transport services.

All shipping from the United States to any of our possessions should be reserved to ships of American registry.

The people should not overlook the fact that the effect of Nation-wide Prohibition, on labor and industry generally, will be such as to lower the cost of ship building per unit, and at the same time permit the payment of higher wages. The increased volume of trade and commerce, which will result, when the wastage of the liquor traffic is stopped, will quicken our shipping on every sea and send our flag on peaceful missions into every port. This is urged as an incidental effect of wise action on the liquor question, but is none the less to be desired and will aid in the solution of the problem of our merchant marine.

Mexico needs not a conqueror, but a good Samaritan. We are opposed to the violation of the sovereignty of the Mexican people, and we will countenance no war of aggression against them. We pledge the help of this country in the suppression of lawless bands of marauders and murderers, who have taken the lives of American citizens, on both sides of the border, as well as of Mexicans in their own country.

The lives and property of our citizens, when about their lawful pursuits, either in the United States or in Mexico, must and will be protected. In the event of a break-down of government across the border, we would use, in the interests of civilization, the force necessary for the establishment of law and order.

In this connection we affirm our faith in the Monroe Doctrine, proclaimed in the early days of the Nation's life and unswervingly maintained for nearly a hundred years.

We cannot claim the benefits of the Doctrine and refuse to as-

sume or discharge the responsibility and the duties which inhere therein and flow therefrom.

Those duties have long been unmet in Mexico. We should meet them now, acting, not for territory, not for conquest or for ourselves alone, but for and with all the nations of North and South America.

The Democratic party has blundered, and four years ago the Republican party evaded and passed on the problem it now asks the opportunity to solve.

The abandonment of the Philippines at this time would be an injustice to them and a violation of our plain duty. As soon as they are prepared for self-government, by education and training, they should be granted their independence on terms just to themselves and us.

We reaffirm our declaration in favor of conservation of forests, water power and other natural resources.

Departmental decisions ought not to be final, but the rights of the people should be protected by provision for court review.

In order that the public service may be of the highest standard, the government should be a model employer in all respects. To enforce the civil service law in spirit as well as in letter, all promotions should be non-political, based only upon proven fitness; all recommendations for demotions or removals from the service should be subjected to the review of a non-partisan board or commission.

The merit system should be extended to cover all postmasters, collectors of revenue, marshals and other such public officials whose duties are purely administrative.

We reaffirm our allegiance to the principle of secure tenure of office, during good behavior and capable effort, as the means of obtaining expert service. We declare for the enactment of an equitable retirement law for disabled and superannuated employees, in return for faithful service rendered, to maintain a high degree of efficiency in public office.

We stand for Americanism. We believe this country was created for a great mission among the nations of the earth. We rejoice in the fact that it has offered asylum to the oppressed of other lands and for those, more fortunately situated, who yet wished to improve their condition. It is the land of all peoples and belongs not to any one — it is the heritage of all. It should come first in the affections of every citizen, and he who loves another land more than this is not fit for citizenship here, but he is a better citizen who, loving his country, has reverence for the land of his fathers and gains from its history and traditions that which inspires him to nobler service to the one in which he lives.

The Federal Government should interest itself in helping the

newcomer into that vocation and locality where he shall most quickly become an American. Those fitted by experience and training for agricultural pursuits should be encouraged to develop the millions of acres of rich and idle land.

We favor uniform marriage and divorce laws, the extermination of polygamy and the complete suppression of the traffic in women and girls.

Differences between capital and labor should be settled through arbitration, by which the rights of the public are conserved as well as those of the disputants. We declare for the prohibition of child labor in factories, mines and workshops; an eight-hour maximum day, with one day of rest in seven; for more rigid sanitary requirements and such working conditions as shall foster the physical and moral well-being of the unborn; for the protection of all who toil, by the extension of Employers' Liability Acts; for the adoption of safety appliance for the safeguarding of labor; and for laws that will promote the just division of the wealth which labor and capital jointly produce. Provision should be made for those who suffer from industrial accidents and occupational diseases.

We pledge a business-like administration of the Nation's affairs; the abolition of useless offices, bureaus and commissions; economy in the expenditure of public funds; efficiency in governmental service; and the adoption of the budget system. The President should have power to veto any single item or items of an appropriation bill.

We condemn, and agree when in power to remedy, that which is known as "pork barrel" legislation, by which millions of dollars have been appropriated for rivers where there is no commerce, harbors where there are no ships and public buildings where there is no need.

We are in favor of a single presidential term of six years.

Public utilities and other resources that are natural monopolies are at the present time exploited for personal gain under a monopolistic system. We demand the public ownership or control of all such utilities by the people and their operation and administration in the interests of all the people.

We stand for the preservation and development of our free institutions and for absolute separation of church and state with the guaranty of full religious and civil liberty.

We stand for the rights, safety, justice and development of humanity; we believe in the equality of all before the law; in old-age pensions and insurance against unemployment and in help for needy mothers, all of which could be provided from what is now wasted in drink.

While it is admitted that grain and cotton are fundamental

factors in our national life, it cannot be denied that proper assistance and protection are not given these commodities at terminal markets, in the course of inter-state commerce.

We favor and pledge our efforts to obtain grain elevators at necessary terminal markets, such elevators to be owned and operated by the Federal Government; also to secure Federal grain inspection under a system of civil service and to secure the abolition of any Board of Trade, Chamber of Commerce, or other place of gambling in grain or trading in "options" or "futures" or "short-selling," or any other form of so-called speculation wherein products are not received or delivered, but wherein so-called contracts are settled by the payment of "margins" or "differences" through clearing houses or otherwise.

This Party stands committed to free and open markets based upon legitimate supply and demand, absolutely free from questionable practices of market manipulation. We also favor government warehouses for cotton at proper terminals where the interests of producers require the same; and the absolute divorce of all railroad elevators or warehouses owned by railroad companies, either public or private, from operation and control of private individuals in competition with the public in merchandising grain, cotton or other farm products.

We furthermore endorse all proper methods among producers of those means of coöperative mutual enterprise, which tend toward broader and better markets for both producer and consumer.

This is the day of opportunity for the American people. The triumph of neither old political party is essential to our safety or progress. The defeat of either will be no public misfortune. They are one party. By age and wealth, by membership and traditions, by platforms and in the character of their candidates, they are the Conservative Party of the United States. The Prohibition Party as the promoter of every important measure of social justice presented to the American people in the last two generations, and as the originator of nearly all such legislation, remains now the only great Progressive Party.

The patriotic voters, who compose the Republican and Democratic parties, can, by voting the Prohibition ticket this year, elect the issue of National Prohibition.

To those, in whatever party, who have the vision of a land redeemed from drink, we extend a cordial invitation to join with us in carrying the banner of Prohibition to Nation-wide victory.

THE CANVASS OF 1916

The Approach of War

The election of 1916 had an unusual setting. President Wilson's strong personality satisfied the country as a whole, and had united his party. At the Democratic Convention held in St. Louis on June 12 his will dominated the delegates, and both he and Marshall were nominated by acclamation. The platform, written by him, met with some opposition in Committee for its clear-cut advocacy of Woman Suffrage, but it was adopted without open friction.

The Republican gathering at Chicago on June 7 had several able men as candidates for the nomination, but Charles E. Hughes of the Supreme Court was clearly in the lead. The ballots:

	1st	2d	3d
Charles E. Hughes, of New York.....	253 $\frac{1}{2}$	328 $\frac{1}{2}$	949 $\frac{1}{2}$
John W. Weeks, of Massachusetts....	105	79	3
Elihu Root, of New York.....	103	98 $\frac{1}{2}$	0
Albert B. Cummins, of Iowa.....	85	85	0
Theodore E. Burton, of Ohio.....	77 $\frac{1}{2}$	76 $\frac{1}{2}$	0
Charles W. Fairbanks, of Indiana....	74 $\frac{1}{2}$	88 $\frac{1}{2}$	0
Lawrence Y. Sherman, of Illinois.....	66	65	0
Theodore Roosevelt, of New York....	65	81	18 $\frac{1}{2}$

Thirteen other candidates received votes

On the third ballot Hughes received the nomination. Senator Fairbanks became the candidate for Vice-President.

A few days later the Progressive Party nominated Theodore Roosevelt for President and John M. Parker, of Louisiana, for Vice-President. Roosevelt on June 26 declined the nomination and urged his friends to vote for Hughes. The reunited Republican Party seemed likely to win, for Wilson had embittered many in the East by protesting in notes — able though they were — Germany's submarine policy instead of declaring war. But the West was not yet ready for the conflict, and a phrase in the Democratic platform, "He kept us out of war," added thousands of votes to his standard. The campaign was carried on in a tense atmosphere. Mr. Wilson remained at the summer White House in New Jersey, except for a speech in Chicago. Mr. Hughes toured the country. The election took place on November 7, and the issue hung in the balance for three days, because of the close contest in California which finally by 3773 votes gave her electoral votes and the election to Wilson. Voters in that State believed that Mr. Hughes had slighted Senator Hiram Johnson in a San Francisco hotel, although the Republican candidate seems to have been unaware that the popular Senator was there at the time.

Wilson and Marshall lost their home States of New Jersey and Indiana, but had 277 electoral votes to 254 for Hughes and Fairbanks.

STATES	POPULAR VOTE — 1916						ELECTORAL VOTE	
	Hughes and Fairbanks Republican	Wilson and Marshall Democratic	J. M. Parker for V.-Pres. Progressive	Hanly and Landrith Prohibition	Benson and Kirkpatrick Socialist	Reimer and Harrison Socialist Labor	Hughes and Fairbanks	Wilson and Marshall
Alabama.....	22,809	99,409		1,034	1,925			12
Arizona.....	20,524	33,170		1,153	3,174			3
Arkansas.....	47,148	112,148		2,015	6,999			9
California.....	462,394	466,200		27,698	43,259			13
Colorado.....	102,308	178,816		2,793	10,049			6
Connecticut.....	106,514	99,786		1,789	5,179	606	7	
Delaware.....	26,011	24,753		566	480		3	
Florida.....	14,611	55,984		4,855	5,353			6
Georgia.....	11,225	125,845	20,653		987			14
Idaho.....	55,368	70,054		1,127	8,066			4
Illinois.....	1,152,549	950,229		26,047	61,394	2,488	29	
Indiana.....	341,005	334,063	3,898	16,368	21,855	1,659	15	
Iowa.....	280,449	221,699		3,371	10,976		13	
Kansas.....	277,658	314,588		12,882	24,685			10
Kentucky.....	241,854	269,990		3,036	4,734	333		13
Louisiana.....	6,466	79,875	6,349		292			10
Maine.....	69,506	64,127		597	2,177		6	
Maryland.....	117,347	138,359		2,903	2,674	756		8
Massachusetts.....	268,784	247,885		2,993	11,058	1,097	18	
Michigan.....	339,097	285,151		8,139	16,120		15	
Minnesota.....	179,544	179,152	290	7,793	20,117	468	12	
Mississippi.....	4,253	80,422			1,484			10
Missouri.....	369,339	398,025		3,884	14,612	902		18
Montana.....	66,750	101,063	298		9,564			4
Nebraska.....	117,257	158,827		2,952	7,141			8
Nevada.....	12,127	17,776		348	3,065			3
New Hampshire.....	43,723	43,779		303	1,318			4
New Jersey.....	269,352	211,645		3,187	10,462	890	14	
New Mexico.....	31,163	33,693		112	1,999			3
New York.....	869,115	759,426	10,172	19,031	45,944	2,666	45	
North Carolina.....	120,988	168,383		51	490			12
North Dakota.....	53,471	55,206						5
Ohio.....	514,753	604,161		8,080	38,092			24
Oklahoma.....	97,233	148,113	234	1,646	45,190			10
Oregon.....	126,813	120,087		4,729	9,711		5	
Pennsylvania.....	703,734	521,784		28,525	42,637	417	38	
Rhode Island.....	44,858	40,394		470	1,914	180	5	
South Carolina.....	1,550	61,846			135			9
South Dakota.....	64,217	59,191		1,774	3,760		5	
Tennessee.....	116,223	153,282		147	2,542			12
Texas.....	64,999	286,514		1,985	18,963			20
Utah.....	54,137	84,025		149	4,460	144		4
Vermont.....	40,250	22,708		709	798		4	
Virginia.....	49,356	102,824		783	1,060	67		12
Washington.....	167,244	183,388		6,868	22,800	730		7
West Virginia.....	143,124	140,403		175	6,140			1
Wisconsin.....	221,323	193,042		7,166	27,846		13	
Wyoming.....	21,698	28,316		373	1,453			3
Total.....	8,538,221	9,129,606	41,894	220,506	585,113	13,403	254	277

CONVENTIONS, CANDIDATES, AND PLATFORMS

CANVASS OF 1920

REPUBLICAN PARTY CONVENTION, BEGUN AT CHICAGO, JUNE 8

Candidates

For President, Warren Gamaliel Harding, of Ohio

For Vice-President, Calvin Coolidge, of Massachusetts

Platform

THE Republican Party, assembled in representative national convention, reaffirms its unyielding devotion to the Constitution of the United States, and to the guarantees of civil, political and religious liberty therein contained. It will resist all attempts to overthrow the foundations of the Government or to weaken the force of its controlling principles and ideals, whether these attempts be made in the form of international policy or domestic agitation.

For seven years the National Government has been controlled by the Democratic Party. During that period a war of unparalleled magnitude has shaken the foundations of civilization, decimated the population of Europe, and left in its train economic misery and suffering second only to the war itself.

The outstanding features of the Democratic Administration have been complete unpreparedness for war and complete unpreparedness for peace.

Inexcusable failure to make timely preparation is the chief indictment against the Democratic Administration in the conduct of the war. Had not our associates protected us, both on land and sea, during the first twelve months of our participation, and furnished us to the very day of the armistice with munitions, planes and artillery, this failure would have been punished with disaster. It directly resulted in unnecessary losses to our gallant troops, in the imperilment of victory itself, and in an enormous waste of public funds literally poured into the breach created by gross neglect. To-day it is reflected in our huge tax burden and in the high cost of living.

Peace found the Administration as unprepared for peace as war found it unprepared for war. The vital needs of the country demanded the early and systematic return to a peace-time basis.

This called for vision, leadership and intelligent planning. All three have been lacking. While the country has been left to shift for itself, the Government has continued on a war-time basis. The Administration has not demobilized the army of place holders. It continued a method of financing which was indefensible during the period of reconstruction. It has used legislation passed to meet the emergency of war to continue its arbitrary and inquisitorial control

over the life of the people in time of peace, and to carry confusion into industrial life. Under the despot's plea of necessity or superior wisdom, Executive usurpation of legislative and judicial functions still undermines our institutions. Eighteen months after the armistice with its war-time powers unabridged, its war-time departments undischarged, its war-time army of place holders still mobilized, the Administration continues to flounder helplessly.

The demonstrated incapacity of the Democratic Party has destroyed public confidence, weakened the authority of the Government, and produced a feeling of distrust and hesitation so universal as to increase enormously the difficulties of readjustment and to delay the return to normal conditions.

Never has our Nation been confronted with graver problems. The people are entitled to know in definite terms how the parties purpose solving these problems. To that end, the Republican Party declares its policies and programme to be as follows:

We undertake to end Executive autocracy and to restore to the people their constitutional government.

The policies herein declared will be carried out by the Federal and State Governments, each acting within its constitutional powers.

The foreign policy of the Administration has been founded upon no principle and directed by no definite conception of our Nation's rights and obligations. It has been humiliating to America and irritating to other nations, with the result that after a period of unexampled sacrifice, our motives are suspected, our moral influence impaired, and our Government stands discredited and friendless among the nations of the world.

We favor a liberal and generous foreign policy founded upon definite moral and political principles, characterized by a clear understanding of and a firm adherence to our own rights, and unflinching respect for the rights of others. We should afford full and adequate protection to the life, liberty, property and all international rights of every American citizen, and should require a proper respect for the American flag; but we should be equally careful to manifest a just regard for the rights of other nations. A scrupulous observance of our international engagements when lawfully assumed is essential to our own honor and self-respect, and the respect of other nations. Subject to a due regard for our international obligations, we should leave our country free to develop its civilization along lines most conducive to the happiness and welfare of its people, and to cast its influence on the side of justice and right should occasion require.

The ineffective policy of the present Administration in Mexican matters has been largely responsible for the continued loss of American lives in that country and upon our border; for the enormous loss of American and foreign property; for the lowering of American standards of morality and social relations with Mexicans, and for the bringing of American ideals of justice, national honor and political integrity into contempt and ridicule in Mexico and throughout the world.

The policy of wordy, futile written protests against the acts of Mexican officials, explained the following day by the President himself as being meaningless and not intended to be considered seriously, or enforced, has but added in degree to that contempt, and has earned for us the sneers and jeers of Mexican bandits, and added insult upon insult against our national honor and dignity.

We should not recognize any Mexican Government, unless it be a responsible Government willing and able to give sufficient guarantees that the lives and property of American citizens are respected and protected, that wrongs will be promptly corrected and just compensation will be made for injury sustained. The Republican Party pledges itself to a consistent, firm and effective policy toward Mexico that shall enforce respect for the American flag and that shall protect the rights of American citizens lawfully in Mexico to security of life and enjoyment of property in accordance with established principles of international law and our treaty rights.

The Republican Party is a sincere friend of the Mexican people. In its insistence upon the maintenance of order for the protection of American citizens within its borders a great service will be rendered the Mexican people themselves; for a continuation of present conditions means disaster to their interests and patriotic aspirations.

We condemn President Wilson for asking Congress to empower him to accept a mandate for Armenia. We commend the Republican Senate for refusing the President's request to empower him to accept the mandate for Armenia. The acceptance of such mandate would throw the United States into the very maelstrom of European quarrels. According to the estimate of the Harbor Commission, organized by authority of President Wilson, we would be called upon to send 59,000 American boys to police Armenia and to expend \$276,000,000 in the first year and \$756,000,000 in five years. This estimate is made upon the basis that we would have only roving bands to fight; but in case of serious trouble with the Turks or with Russia, a force exceeding 200,000 would be necessary.

No more striking illustration can be found of President Wilson's disregard of the lives of American boys or of American interests.

We deeply sympathize with the people of Armenia and stand ready to help them in all proper ways, but the Republican Party will oppose now and hereafter the acceptance of a mandate for any country in Europe or Asia.

The Republican Party stands for agreement among the nations to preserve the peace of the world. We believe that such an international association must be based upon international justice, and must provide methods which shall maintain the rule of public right by the development of law and the decision of impartial courts, and which shall secure instant and general international conference whenever peace shall be threatened by political action, so that the nations pledged to do and insist upon what is just and fair may exercise their influence and power for the prevention of war.

We believe that all this can be done without the compromise of national independence, without depriving the people of the United States in advance of the right to determine for themselves what is just and fair when the occasion arises, and without involving them as participants and not as peacemakers in a multitude of quarrels, the merits of which they are unable to judge.

The covenant signed by the President at Paris failed signally to accomplish this great purpose, and contains stipulations, not only intolerable for an independent people, but certain to produce the injustice, hostility, and controversy among nations which it proposed to prevent.

That covenant repudiated, to a degree wholly unnecessary and unjustifiable, the time-honored policies in favor of peace declared by Washington, Jefferson, and Monroe, and pursued by all American Administrations for more than a century, and it ignored the universal sentiment of America for generations past in favor of international law and arbitration, and it rested the hope of the future upon mere expediency and negotiation.

The unfortunate insistence of the President upon having his own way, without any change and without any regard to the opinions of a majority of the Senate, which shares with him in the treaty-making power, and the President's demand that the treaty should be ratified without any modification, created a situation in which Senators were required to vote upon their consciences and their oaths according to their judgment against the treaty as it was presented, or submit to the commands of a dictator in a matter where the authority and the responsibility under the Constitution were theirs, and not his.

The Senators performed their duty faithfully. We approve their conduct and honor their courage and fidelity. And we pledge the coming Republican Administration to such agreements with the other nations of the world as shall meet the full duty of America to civilization and humanity, in accordance with American ideals, and without surrendering the right of the American people to exercise its judgment and its power in favor of justice and peace.

Despite the unconstitutional and dictatorial course of the President and the partisan obstruction of the Democratic Congressional minority, the Republican majority has enacted a programme of constructive legislation which in great part, however, has been nullified by the vindictive vetoes of the President.

The Republican Congress has met the problems presented by the Administration's unpreparedness for peace. It has repealed the greater part of the vexatious war legislation. It has enacted a Transportation Act making possible the rehabilitation of the railroad systems of the country, the operation of which under the present Democratic Administration has been wasteful, extravagant and inefficient in the highest degree. The Transportation Act made provision for the peaceful settlement of wage disputes, partially nullified, however, by the President's delay in appointing the Wage Board

created by the act. This delay precipitated the outlaw railroad strike.

We stopped the flood of public treasure, recklessly poured into the lap of an inept Shipping Board, and laid the foundations for the creation of a great merchant marine: we took from the incompetent Democratic Administration the administration of the telegraph and telephone lines of the country and returned them to private ownership; we reduced the cost of postage and increased the pay of the postal employés — the poorest paid of all public servants; we provided pensions for superannuated and retired civil servants; and for an increase in pay of soldiers and sailors. We reorganized the army on a peace footing, and provided for the maintenance of a powerful and efficient navy.

The Republican Congress established by law a permanent Woman's Bureau in the Department of Labor; we submitted to the country the constitutional amendment for Woman Suffrage, and furnished twenty-nine of the thirty-five legislatures which have ratified it to date.

Legislation for the relief of the consumers of print paper, for the extension of the powers of the Government under the Food Control Act, for broadening the scope of the War Risk Insurance Act, better provision for the dwindling number of aged veterans of the Civil War and for the better support of the maimed and injured of the Great War, and for making practical the Vocational Rehabilitation Act, has been enacted by the Republican Congress.

We passed an oil leasing and water power bill to unlock for the public good the great pent-up resources of the country; we have sought to check the profligacy of the Administration, to realize upon the assets of the Government and to husband the revenues derived from taxation. The Republicans in Congress have been responsible for cuts in the estimates for Government expenditure of nearly \$3,000,000,000 since the signing of the armistice.

We enacted a national executive budget law; we strengthened the Federal Reserve Act to permit banks to lend needed assistance to farmers; we authorized financial incorporations to develop export trade; and finally, amended the rules of the Senate and House, which will reform evils in procedure and guarantee more efficient and responsible government.

The farmer is the backbone of the Nation. National greatness and economic independence demand a population distributed between industry and the farm, and sharing on equal terms the prosperity which is wholly dependent upon the efforts of both. Neither can prosper at the expense of the other without inviting joint disaster.

The crux of the present agricultural condition lies in prices, labor and credit.

The Republican Party believes that this condition can be improved by: Practical and adequate farm representation in the appointment of governmental officials and commissions: the right to form co-operative associations for marketing their products, and protection against dis-

crimination; the scientific study of agricultural prices and farm production costs at home and abroad with a view to reducing the frequency of abnormal fluctuations; the uncensored publication of such reports; the authorization of associations for the extension of personal credit; a national inquiry on the co-ordination of rail, water and motor transportation with adequate facilities for receiving, handling and marketing food; the encouragement of our export trade; an end to unnecessary price-fixing and ill considered efforts arbitrarily to reduce prices of farm products which invariably result to the disadvantage both of producer and consumer; and the encouragement of the production and importation of fertilizing material and of its extensive use.

The Federal Farm Loan Act should be so administered as to facilitate the acquisition of farm land by those desiring to become owners and proprietors and thus minimize the evils of farm tenantry, and to furnish such long time credits as farmers may need to finance adequately their larger and long time production operations.

There are two different conceptions of the relations of capital and labor. The one is contractual and emphasizes the diversity of interests of employer and employé. The other is that of co-partnership in a common task.

We recognize the justice of collective bargaining as a means of promoting good will, establishing closer and more harmonious relations between employers and employées, and realizing the true ends of industrial justice.

The strike of the lockout, as a means of settling industrial disputes inflicts such loss and suffering on the community as to justify Government initiative to reduce its frequency and limit its consequences.

We deny the right to strike against the Government; but the rights and interests of all Government employées must be safeguarded by impartial laws and tribunals.

In public utilities we favor the establishment of an impartial tribunal to make an investigation of the facts and to render a decision to the end that there may be no organized interruption of service necessary to the lives, health and welfare of the people. The decisions of the tribunals should be morally but not legally binding, and an informed public sentiment be relied on to secure their acceptance. The tribunals, however, should refuse to accept jurisdiction except for the purpose of investigation, as long as the public service be interrupted. For public utilities we favor the type of tribunal provided for in the Transportation Act of 1920.

In private industries we do not advocate the principle of compulsory arbitration, but we favor impartial commissions and better facilities for voluntary mediation, conciliation and arbitration, supplemented by that full publicity which will enlist the influence of an aroused public opinion. The Government should take the initiative in inviting the establishment of tribunals or commissions for the purpose of voluntary arbitration and of investigation of disputed issues.

We demand the exclusion from interstate commerce of the products of convict labor.

A Republican Congress reduced the estimates submitted by the Administration almost \$3,000,000,000. Greater economies could have been effected had it not been for the stubborn refusal of the Administration to co-operate with Congress in an economy programme. The universal demand for an executive budget is a recognition of the incontrovertible fact that leadership and sincere assistance on the part of the executive departments are essential to effective economy and constructive retrenchment.

The Overman Act invested the President of the United States with all the authority and power necessary to restore the Federal Government to a normal peace basis and to reorganize, retrench and demobilize. The dominant fact is that eighteen months after the armistice, the United States Government is still on a war-time basis, and the expenditure programme of the Executive reflects war-time extravagance rather than rigid peace-time economy.

As an example of the failure to retrench which has characterized the post-war policy of the Administration, we cite the fact that not including the War and Navy Departments, the executive departments and other establishments at Washington actually record an increase subsequent to the armistice of 2184 employes. The net decrease in payroll costs contained in the 1921 demands submitted by the Administration is only 1 per cent under that of 1920. The annual expenses of the Federal Government can be reduced hundreds of millions of dollars without impairing the efficiency of the public service.

We pledge ourselves to a carefully planned readjustment to a peace-time basis and to a policy of rigid economy, to the better co-ordination of departmental activities, to the elimination of unnecessary officials and employes, and to the raising of the standard of individual efficiency.

We congratulate the Republican Congress on the enactment of a law providing for the establishment of an executive budget as a necessary instrument for a sound and businesslike administration of the national finances; and we condemn the veto of the President which defeated this great financial reform.

We advocate a thorough investigation of the present organization of the Federal departments and bureaus, with a view to securing consolidation, a more businesslike distribution of functions, the elimination of duplication, delays and overlapping of work, and the establishment of an up-to-date and efficient administrative organization.

The President clings tenaciously to his autocratic war-time powers. His veto of the resolution declaring peace and his refusal to sign the bill repealing war-time legislation, no longer necessary, evidence his determination not to restore to the Nation and to the States the form of government provided for by the Constitution. This usurpation is intolerable and deserves the severest condemnation.

The burden of taxation imposed upon the American people is staggering; but in presenting a true statement of the situation we must face the fact that, while the character of the taxes can and should be changed, an early reduction of the amount of revenue to be raised is not to be expected. The next Republican Administration will inherit from its Democratic predecessor a floating indebtedness of over \$3,000,000,000, the prompt liquidation of which is demanded by sound financial considerations. Moreover, the whole fiscal policy of the Government must be deeply influenced by the necessity of meeting obligations in excess of \$5,000,000,000 which mature in 1923. But sound policy equally demands the early accomplishment of that real reduction of the tax burden which may be achieved by substituting simple for complex tax laws and procedure; prompt and certain determination of the tax liability for delay and uncertainty; tax laws which do not, for tax laws which do, excessively mulct the consumer or needlessly repress enterprise and thrift.

We advocate the issuance of a simplified form of income return; authorizing the Treasury Department to make changes in regulations effective only from the date of their approval; empowering the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, with the consent of the taxpayer, to make final and conclusive settlements of tax claims and assessments barring fraud, and the creation of a Tax Board consisting of at least three representatives of the taxpaying public and the heads of the principal divisions of the Bureau of Internal Revenue to act as a standing committee on the simplification of forms, procedure and law, and to make recommendations to the Congress.

The fact is that the war, to a great extent, was financed by a policy of inflation through certificate borrowing from the banks, and bonds issued at artificial rates sustained by the low discount rates established by the Federal Reserve Board. The continuance of this policy since the armistice lays the Administration open to severe criticism. Almost up to the present time, the practices of the Federal Reserve Board as to credit control have been frankly dominated by the convenience of the Treasury. The results have been a greatly increased war cost, a serious loss to the millions of people who in good faith bought Liberty Bonds and Victory Notes at par, and extensive post-war speculation, followed to-day by a restricted credit for legitimate industrial expansion. As a matter of public policy, we urge all banks to give credit preference to essential industries.

The Federal Reserve System should be free from political influence, which is quite as important as its independence of domination by financial combinations.

The prime cost of the "High Cost of Living" has been first and foremost a fifty per cent depreciation in the purchasing power of the dollar, due to a gross expansion of our currency and credit. Reduced production, burdensome taxation, swollen profits and the increased demand for goods arising from a fictitious but enlarged buying power have been contributing causes in a greater or less degree.

We condemn the unsound fiscal policies of the Democratic Administration which have brought these things to pass, and their attempts to impute the consequences to minor and secondary causes. Much of the injury wrought is irreparable. There is no short way out, and we decline to deceive the people with vain promises or quack remedies. But as the political party that throughout its history has stood for honest money and sound finance, we pledge ourselves to earnest and consistent attack upon the high cost of living by rigorous avoidance of further inflation in our government borrowing, by courageous and intelligent deflation of over-expanded credit and currency, by encouragement of heightened production of goods and services, by prevention of unreasonable profits, by exercise of public economy and stimulation of private thrift and by revision of war-imposed taxes unsuited to peace-time economy.

We condemn the Democratic Administration for failure impartially to enforce the anti-profiteering laws enacted by the Republican Congress.

We are opposed to Government ownership and operation or employé operation of the railroads. In view of the conditions prevailing in this country, the experience of the last two years, and the conclusions which may fairly be drawn from an observation of the transportation systems of other countries, it is clear that adequate transportation service both for the present and future can be furnished more certainly, economically and efficiently through private ownership and operation under proper regulation and control.

There should be no speculative profit in rendering the service of transportation; but in order to do justice to the capital already invested in railway enterprises, to restore railway credit, to induce future investment at a reasonable rate, and to furnish enlarged facilities to meet the requirements of the constantly increasing development and distribution, a fair return upon actual value of the railway property used in transportation should be made reasonably sure, and at the same time provide constant employment to those engaged in transportation service, with fair hours and favorable working conditions, at wages or compensation at least equal to those prevailing in similar lines of industry.

We endorse the Transportation Act of 1920 enacted by the Republican Congress as a most constructive legislative achievement.

We declare it to be our policy to encourage and develop water transportation service and facilities in connection with the commerce of the United States.

We approve in general the existing Federal legislation against monopoly and combinations in restraint of trade, but since the known certainty of a law is the safety of all, we advocate such amendment as will provide American business men with better means of determining in advance whether a proposed combination is or is not unlawful. The Federal Trade Commission, under a Democratic Administration, has not accomplished the purpose for which it was created. This

Commission properly organized and its duties efficiently administered should afford protection to the public and legitimate business interests. There should be no persecution of honest business, but to the extent that circumstances warrant we pledge ourselves to strengthen the law against unfair practices.

We pledge the party to an immediate resumption of trade relations with every country with which we are at peace.

The uncertain and unsettled condition of international balances, the abnormal economic and trade situation of the world, and the impossibility of forecasting accurately even the near future, preclude the formulation of a definite programme to meet conditions a year hence. But the Republican Party reaffirms its belief in the protective principle and pledges itself to a revision of the tariff as soon as conditions shall make it necessary for the preservation of the home market for American labor, agriculture and industry.

The national defense and our foreign commerce require a merchant marine of the best type of modern ship flying the American flag, manned by American seamen, owned by private capital and operated by private energy. We endorse the sound legislation recently enacted by the Republican Congress that will insure the promotion and maintenance of the American merchant marine.

We favor the application of the Workmen's Compensation Acts to the merchant marine.

We recommend that all ships engaged in coastwise trade and all vessels of the American merchant marine shall pass through the Panama Canal without payment of tolls.

The standard of living and the standard of citizenship of a nation are its most precious possessions, and the preservation and elevation of those standards is the first duty of our Government. The immigration policy of the United States should be such as to insure that the number of foreigners in the country at any time shall not exceed that which can be assimilated with reasonable rapidity, and to favor immigrants whose standards are similar to ours.

The selective tests that are at present applied should be improved by requiring a higher physical standard, a more complete exclusion of mental defectives and of criminals, and a more effective inspection applied as near the source of immigration as possible, as well as at the port of entry. Justice to the foreigner and to ourselves demands provision for the guidance, protection and better economic distribution of our alien population. To facilitate government supervision, all aliens should be required to register annually until they become naturalized.

The existing policy of the United States for the practical exclusion of Asiatic immigrants is sound and should be maintained.

There is urgent need of improvement in our Naturalization Law. No alien should become a citizen until he has become genuinely American, and adequate tests for determining the alien's fitness for American citizenship should be provided for by law.

We advocate, in addition, the independent naturalization of married women. An American woman, resident in the United States, should not lose her citizenship by marriage to an alien.

We demand that every American citizen shall enjoy the ancient and constitutional right of free speech, free press and free assembly and the no less sacred right of the qualified voter to be represented by his duly chosen representatives; but no man may advocate resistance to the law, and no man may advocate violent overthrow of the Government.

Aliens within the jurisdiction of the United States are not entitled of right to liberty of agitation directed against the Government or American institutions.

Every government has the power to exclude and deport those aliens who constitute a real menace to its peaceful existence. But in view of the large numbers of people affected by the immigration acts and in view of the vigorous malpractice of the Departments of Justice and Labor, an adequate public hearing before a competent administrative tribunal should be assured to all.

We urge Congress to consider the most effective means to end lynching in this country which continues to be a terrible blot on our American civilization.

We favor liberal appropriations in co-operation with the States for the construction of highways, which will bring about a reduction in transportation costs, better marketing of farm products, improvement in rural postal delivery, as well as meet the needs of military defense.

In determining the proportion of Federal aid for road construction among the States, the sums lost in taxation to the respective States for the setting apart of large portions of their area as forest reservations should be considered as a controlling factor.

Conservation is a Republican policy. It began with the passage of the Reclamation Act signed by President Roosevelt. The recent passage of the Coal, Oil and Phosphate Leasing Act by a Republican Congress and the enactment of the Water Power Bill fashioned in accordance with the same principle are consistent landmarks in the development of the conservation of our national resources. We denounce the refusal of the President to sign the Water Power Bill, passed after ten years of controversy. The Republican Party has taken an especially honorable part in saving our national forests and in the effort to establish a national forest policy. Our most pressing conservation question relates to our forests. We are using our forest resources faster than they are being renewed. The result is to raise unduly the cost of forest products to consumers and especially farmers, who use more than half the lumber produced in America, and in the end to create a timber famine. The Federal Government, the States and private interests must unite in devising means to meet the menace.

We favor a fixed and comprehensive policy of reclamation to increase national wealth and production.

We recognize in the development of reclamation through Federal action with its increase of production and taxable wealth a safeguard for the Nation.

We commend to Congress a policy to reclaim lands and the establishment of a fixed national policy of development of natural resources in relation to reclamation through the now designated government agencies.

We feel the deepest pride in the fine courage, the resolute endurance, the gallant spirit of the officers and men of our Army and Navy in the World War. They were in all ways worthy of the best traditions of the Nation's defenders, and we pledge ourselves to proper maintenance of the military and naval establishments upon which our national security and dignity depend.

We hold in imperishable remembrance the valor and the patriotism of the soldiers and sailors of America who fought in the great war for human liberty, and we pledge ourselves to discharge to the fullest the obligations which a grateful nation justly should fulfill, in appreciation of the services rendered by its defenders on sea and on land.

Republicans are not ungrateful. Throughout their history they have shown their gratitude toward the Nation's defenders. Liberal legislation for the care of the disabled and infirm and their dependents has ever marked Republican policy toward the soldier and sailor of all the wars in which our country has participated. The present Congress has appropriated generously for the disabled of the World War.

The amounts already applied and authorized for the fiscal year 1920-21 for this purpose reached the stupendous sum of \$1,180,571,-893. This legislation is significant of the party's purpose in generously caring for the maimed and disabled men of the recent war.

We renew our repeated declaration that the civil service law shall be thoroughly and honestly enforced and extended wherever practicable. The recent action of Congress in enacting a comprehensive civil service retirement law and in working out a comprehensive employment and wage policy that will guarantee equal and just treatment to the army of government workers, and in centralizing the administration of the new and progressive employment policy in the hands of the Civil Service Commission is worthy of all praise.

We condemn the present Administration for its destruction of the efficiency of the postal service and the telegraph and telephone service when controlled by the Government and for its failure to properly compensate employees whose expert knowledge is essential to the proper conduct of the affairs of the postal system. We commend the Republican Congress for the enactment of legislation increasing the pay of postal employees, who up to that time were the poorest paid in the Government service.

We welcome women into full participation in the affairs of government and the activities of the Republican Party. We earnestly hope that Republican Legislature in States which have not yet acted on the Suffrage Amendment will ratify the amendment to the end that all

the women of the Nation of voting age may participate in the election of 1920 which is so important to the welfare of our country.

The supreme duty of the Nation is the conservation of human resources through an enlightened measure of social and industrial justice. Although the Federal jurisdiction over social problems is limited, they affect the welfare and interest of the Nation as a whole. We pledge the Republican Party to the solution of these problems through National and State legislation in accordance with the best progressive thought of the country.

We endorse the principle of Federal aid to the States for the purposes of vocational and agricultural training.

Wherever Federal money is devoted to education, such education must be so directed as to awaken in the youth the spirit of America and a sense of patriotic duty to the United States.

A thorough system of physical education for all children up to the age of nineteen, including adequate health supervision and instruction, would remedy conditions revealed by the draft and would add to the economic and industrial strength of the Nation. National leadership and stimulation will be necessary to induce the States to adopt a wise system of physical training.

The public health activities of the Federal Government are scattered through numerous departments and bureaus, resulting in inefficiency, duplication and extravagance. We advocate a greater centralization of the Federal functions, and in addition urge the better co-ordination of the work of the Federal, State and local health agencies.

The Republican Party stands for a Federal child labor law and for its rigid enforcement. If the present law be found unconstitutional or ineffective, we shall seek other means to enable Congress to prevent the evils of child labor.

Women have special problems of employment which make necessary special study. We commend Congress for the permanent establishment of the Women's Bureau in the United States Department of Labor to serve as a source of information to the States and to Congress.

The principle of equal pay for equal service should be applied throughout all branches of the Federal Government in which women are employed.

Federal aid for vocational training should take into consideration the special aptitudes and needs of women workers.

We demand Federal legislation to limit the hours of employment of women engaged in intensive industry the product of which enters into interstate commerce.

The housing shortage has not only compelled careful study of ways of stimulating building, but it has brought into relief the unsatisfactory character of the housing accommodations of large numbers of the inhabitants of our cities. A nation of home owners is the best guarantee of the maintenance of those principles of liberty, law and

order upon which our Government is founded. Both National and State Governments should encourage in all proper ways the acquiring of homes by our citizens. The United States Government should make available the valuable information on housing and town planning collected during the war. This information should be kept up to date and made currently available.

For Hawaii we recommend Federal assistance in Americanizing and educating their greatly disproportionate foreign population; home rule; and the rehabilitation of the Hawaiian race.

Pointing to its history and relying on its fundamental principles, we declare that the Republican Party has the genius, courage and constructive ability to end executive usurpation and restore constitutional government; to fulfill our world obligations without sacrificing our national independence; to raise the national standards of education, health and general welfare; to re-establish a peace-time administration and to substitute economy and efficiency for extravagance and chaos; to restore and maintain the national credit; to reform unequal and burdensome taxes; to free business from arbitrary and unnecessary official control; to suppress disloyalty without the denial of justice; to repel the arrogant challenge of any class and to maintain a Government of all the people as contrasted with Government for some of the people; and finally, to allay unrest, suspicion and strife, and to secure the co-operation and unity of all citizens in the solution of the complex problems of the day, to the end that our country, happy and prosperous, proud of its past, sure of itself and of its institutions, may look forward with confidence to the future.

DEMOCRATIC PARTY CONVENTION, BEGUN AT SAN FRANCISCO,
JUNE 28

Candidates

For President, James Middleton Cox, of Ohio

For Vice-President, Franklin Delano Roosevelt, of New York

Platform

THE Democratic Party, in its National Convention now assembled, sends greetings to the President of the United States, Woodrow Wilson, and hails with patriotic pride the great achievements for country and the world wrought by a Democratic Administration under his leadership. It salutes the mighty people of this great Republic, emerging with imperishable honor from the severe tests and grievous strains of the most tragic war in history, having earned the plaudits and the gratitude of all free nations.

It declares its adherence to the fundamental progressive principles of social, economic and industrial justice and advance, and purposes

to resume the great work of translating these principles into effective laws, begun and carried far by the Democratic Administration and interrupted only when the war claimed all the national energies for the single task of victory.

The Democratic Party favors the League of Nations as the surest, if not the only, practicable means of maintaining the peace of the world and terminating the insufferable burden of great military and naval establishments. It was for this that America broke away from traditional isolation and spent her blood and treasure to crush a colossal scheme of conquest. It was upon this basis that the President of the United States, in pre-arrangement with our Allies, consented to a suspension of hostilities against the Imperial German Government; the armistice was granted and a treaty of peace negotiated upon the definite assurance to Germany, as well as to the powers pitted against Germany, that "a general association of nations must be formed, under specific covenant, for the purpose of affording mutual guarantees of political independence and territorial integrity to great and small states alike." Hence, we not only congratulate the President on the vision manifested and the vigor exhibited in the prosecution of the war, but we felicitate him and his associates on the exceptional achievement at Paris involved in the adoption of a League and treaty so near akin to previously expressed American ideals and so intimately related to the aspirations of civilized peoples everywhere.

We commend the President for his courage and his high conception of good faith in steadfastly standing for the covenant agreed to by all the Associated and Allied Nations at war with Germany, and we condemn the Republican Senate for its refusal to ratify the treaty merely because it was the product of Democratic statesmanship, thus interposing partisan envy and personal hatred in the way of the peace and renewed prosperity of the world. By every accepted standard of international morality the President is justified in asserting that the honor of the country is involved in this business; and we point to the accusing fact that, before it was determined to initiate political antagonism to the treaty, the now Republican Chairman of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee himself publicly proclaimed that any proposition for a separate peace with Germany, such as he and his party associates thereafter reported to the Senate, would make us "guilty of the blackest crime." On May 15 last the Knox substitute for the Versailles Treaty was passed by the Republican Senate; and this convention can contrive no more fitting characterization of its obloquy than that made in the *Forum* magazine of June, 1918, by Henry Cabot Lodge, when he said:

"If we send our armies and young men abroad to be killed and wounded in Northern France and Flanders with no result but this, our entrance into war with such an intention was a crime which nothing can justify. The intent of Congress and the intent of the President were that there could be no peace until we could create a situation where no such war as this could recur. We cannot make peace except,

in company with our allies. It would brand us with everlasting dishonor and bring ruin to us also if we undertook to make a separate peace."

Thus to that which Mr. Lodge, in saner moments, considered "the blackest crime" he and his party in madness sought to give the sanctity of law; that which eighteen months ago was of "everlasting dishonor" the Republican Party and its candidates to-day accept as the essence of faith. We endorse the President's view of our international obligations and his firm stand against reservations designed to cut to pieces the vital provisions of the Versailles Treaty, and we commend the Democrats in Congress for voting against resolutions for separate peace which would disgrace the Nation. We advocate the immediate ratification of the treaty without reservations which would impair its essential integrity; but do not oppose the acceptance of any reservations making clearer or more specific the obligations of the United States to the League associates. Only by doing this may we retrieve the reputation of this Nation among the powers of the earth and recover the moral leadership which President Wilson won and which Republican politicians at Washington sacrificed. Only by doing this may we hope to aid effectively in the restoration of order throughout the world and to take the place which we should assume in the front rank of spiritual, commercial and industrial advancement. We reject as utterly vain, if not vicious, the Republican assumption that ratification of the treaty and membership in the League of Nations would in any wise impair the integrity or independence of our country. The fact that the covenant has been entered into by twenty-nine nations all as jealous of their independence as we are of ours, is a sufficient refutation of such charge. The President repeatedly has declared, and this convention reaffirms, that all our duties and obligations as a member of the League must be fulfilled in strict conformity with the Constitution of the United States, embodied in which is the fundamental requirement of declaratory action by the Congress before this Nation may become a participant in any war.

During the war President Wilson exhibited the very broadest conception of liberal Americanism. In his conduct of the war, as in the general administration of his high office, there was no semblance of partisan bias. He invited to Washington as his councillors and coadjutors hundreds of the most prominent and pronounced Republicans in the country. To these he committed responsibilities of the gravest import and most confidential nature. Many of them had charge of vital activities of the Government. And yet, with the war successfully prosecuted and gloriously ended, the Republican Party in Congress, far from applauding the mastery leadership of the President and felicitating the country on the amazing achievements of the American Government, has meanly requited the considerate course of the Chief Magistrate by savagely defaming the Commander-in-Chief of the Army and Navy and by assailing nearly every public officer of

every branch of the service intimately concerned in winning the war abroad and preserving the security of the Government at home. We express to the soldiers and sailors and marines of America the admiration of their fellow countrymen. Guided by the genius of such commanders as Gen. John J. Pershing, the armed forces of America constituted a decisive factor in the victory and brought new lustre to the flag. We commend the patriotic men and women who sustained the efforts of their Government in the crucial hours of the war and contributed to the brilliant administrative success achieved under the broad-visioned leadership of the President.

A review of the record of the Democratic Party during the Administration of Woodrow Wilson presents a chapter of substantial achievements unsurpassed in the history of the Republic. For fifty years before the advent of this Administration periodical convulsions had impeded the industrial progress of the American people and caused inestimable loss and distress. By the enactment of the Federal Reserve Act the old system, which bred panics, was replaced by a new system, which insured confidence. It was an indispensable factor in winning the war, and to-day it is the hope and inspiration of business. Indeed, one vital danger against which the American people should keep constantly on guard is the commitment of this system to partisan enemies who struggled against its adoption and vainly attempted to retain in the hands of speculative bankers a monopoly of the currency credits of the Nation. Already there are well-defined indications of an assault upon the vital principles of the system in the event of Republican success in the elections in November. Under Democratic leadership the American people successfully financed their stupendous part in the greatest war of all time. The Treasury wisely insisted during the war upon meeting an adequate portion of the war expenditure from current taxes and the bulk of the balance from popular loans, and, during the first full fiscal year after fighting stopped, upon meeting current expenditures from current receipts, notwithstanding the new and unnecessary burden thrown upon the Treasury by the delay, obstruction and extravagance of a Republican Congress.

The non-partisan Federal Reserve authorities have been wholly free of political interference or motive; and, in their own time and their own way, have used courageously, though cautiously, the instruments at their disposal to prevent undue expansion of credit in the country. As a result of these sound Treasury and Federal Reserve policies, the inevitable war inflation has been held down to a minimum, and the cost of living has been prevented from increasing here in proportion to the increase in other belligerent countries and in neutral countries which are in close contact with the world's commerce and exchanges. After a year and a half of fighting in Europe, and despite another year and a half of Republican obstruction at home, the credit of the Government of the United States stands unimpaired, the Federal Reserve note is the unit of value throughout

all the world, and the United States is the one great country in the world which maintains a free gold market. We condemn the attempt of the Republican Party to deprive the American people of their legitimate pride in the financing of the war — an achievement without parallel in the financial history of this or any other country, in this or any other war. And in particular we condemn the pernicious attempt of the Republican Party to create discontent among the holders of the bonds of the Government of the United States and to drag our public finance and our banking and currency system back into the arena of party politics.

We condemn the failure of the present Congress to respond to the oft-repeated demand of the President and the Secretaries of the Treasury to revise the existing tax laws. The continuance in force in peace times of taxes devised under pressure of imperative necessity to produce a revenue for war purposes is indefensible and can only result in lasting injury to the people. The Republican Congress persistently failed, through sheer political cowardice, to make a single move toward a readjustment of tax laws which it denounced before the last election and was afraid to revise before the next election. We advocate tax reform and a searching revision of the war revenue acts to fit peace conditions so that the wealth of the Nation may not be withdrawn from productive enterprise and diverted to wasteful or non-productive expenditure. We demand prompt action by the next Congress for a complete survey of existing taxes and their modification and simplification with a view to secure greater equity and justice in tax burden and improvement in administration.

Claiming to have effected great economies in Government expenditures, the Republican Party cannot show the reduction of one dollar in taxation as a corollary of this false pretence. In contrast, the last Democratic Congress enacted legislation reducing the taxes from eight billions, designed to be raised, to six billions for the first year after the armistice, and to four billions thereafter; and there the total is left undiminished by our political adversaries. Two years after Armistice Day a Republican Congress provides for expending the stupendous sum of \$5,403,390,327.30. Affecting great paper economies by reducing departmental estimates of sums which would not have been spent in any event, and by reducing formal appropriations, the Republican statement of expenditures omits the pregnant fact that the Congress authorized the use of one and a half billion dollars in the hands of various departments and bureaus which otherwise would have been covered into the Treasury, and which should be added to the Republican total of expenditures.

The high cost of living and the depreciation of bond values in this country are primarily due to war itself, to the necessary governmental expenditures for the destructive purpose of war, to private extravagance, to the world's shortage of capital, to the inflation of foreign currencies and credits and, in large degree, to conscienceless profiteering. The Republican Party is responsible for the failure to restore

peace and peace conditions in Europe, which is a principal cause of post-armistice inflation the world over. It has denied the demand of the President for necessary legislation to deal with secondary and local causes. The sound policies pursued by the Treasury and the Federal Reserve System have limited in this country, though they could not prevent, the inflation which was world-wide. Elected upon specific promises to curtail public expenditures and to bring the country back to a status of effective economy, the Republican Party in Congress wasted time and energy for more than a year in vain and extravagant investigations, costing the tax-payers great sums of money, while revealing nothing beyond the incapacity of Republican politicians to cope with the problems. Demanding that the President, from his place at the Peace Table, call the Congress into extraordinary session for imperative purposes of readjustment, the Congress when convened spent thirteen months in partisan pursuits, failing to repeal a single war statute which harassed business or to initiate a single constructive measure to help business. It busied itself making a pre-election record of pretended thrift, having not one particle of substantial existence in fact. It raged against profiteers and the high cost of living without enacting a single statute to make the former afraid or doing a single act to bring the latter within limitations.

The simple truth is that the high cost of living can only be remedied by increased production, strict governmental economy and a relentless pursuit of those who take advantage of post-war conditions and are demanding and receiving outrageous profits. We pledge the Democratic Party to a policy of strict economy in government expenditures, and to the enactment and enforcement of such legislation as may be required to bring profiteers before the bar of criminal justice.

We reaffirm the traditional policy of the Democratic Party in favor of a tariff for revenue only, and we confirm the policy of basing tariff revisions upon the intelligent research of a non-partisan commission, rather than upon the demands of selfish interests, temporarily held in abeyance.

In the interest of economy and good administration, we favor the creation of an effective budget system that will function in accord with the principles of the Constitution. The reform should reach both the executive and legislative aspects of the question. The supervision and preparation of the budget should be vested in the Secretary of the Treasury as the representative of the President. The budget, as such, should not be increased by the Congress except by a two-thirds vote, each House, however, being free to exercise its constitutional privilege of making appropriations through independent bills. The appropriation bills should be considered by single Committees of the House and Senate. The audit system should be consolidated and its powers expanded so as to pass upon the wisdom of, as well as the authority for, expenditures. A budget bill was passed in the closing

days of the second session of the Sixty-sixth Congress which, invalidated by plain constitutional defects and defaced by considerations of patronage, the President was obliged to veto. The House amended the bill to meet the Executive objection. We condemn the Republican Senate for adjourning without passing the amended measure, when by devoting an hour or two more to this urgent public business a budget system could have been provided.

We favor such alteration of the rules of procedure of the Senate of the United States as will permit the prompt transaction of the Nation's legislative business.

To the great agricultural interests of the country the Democratic Party does not find it necessary to make promises. It already is rich in its record of things actually accomplished. For nearly half a century of Republican rule not a sentence was written into the Federal Statutes affording one dollar of bank credits to the farming interest of America. In the first term of this Democratic Administration the National Bank Act was so altered as to authorize loans of five years' maturity on improved farm lands. Later was established a system of farm loan banks, from which the borrowings already exceed three hundred millions of dollars and under which the interest rate to farmers has been so materially reduced as to drive out of business the farm loan sharks who formerly subsisted by extortion upon the great agricultural interests of the country. Thus it was a Democratic Congress in the Administration of a Democratic President which enabled the farmers of America for the first time to obtain credit upon reasonable terms and insured their opportunity for the future development of the Nation's agricultural resources. Tied up in Supreme Court proceedings, in a suit by hostile interests, the Federal Farm Loan system, originally opposed by the Republican candidate for the Presidency, appealed in vain to a Republican Congress for adequate financial assistance to tide over the interim between the beginning and the ending of the current year, awaiting a final decision of the highest court on the validity of the contested act. We pledge prompt and consistent support of sound and effective measures to sustain, amplify and perfect the Rural Credits Statutes and thus to check and reduce the growth and course of farm tenancy.

Not only did the Democratic Party put into effect a great Farm Loan system of land mortgage banks but it passed the Smith-Lever Agricultural Extension Act, carrying to every farmer in every section of the country, through the medium of trained experts and by demonstration farms the practical knowledge acquired by the Federal Agricultural Department in all things relating to agriculture, horticulture and animal life; it established the Bureau of Markets, the Bureau of Farm Management, and passed the Cotton Futures Act, the Grain Grades Bill, the Co-operative Farm Administration Act and the Federal Warehouse Act. The Democratic Party has vastly improved the rural mail system and has built up the parcel post system to such an extent as to render its activities and its practical service indispens-

able to the farming community. It was this wise encouragement and this effective concern of the Democratic Party for the farmers of the United States that enabled this great interest to render such essential service in feeding the armies of America and the Allied Nations of the war and succoring starving populations since Armistice Day.

Meanwhile the Republican leaders at Washington have failed utterly to propose one single measure to make rural life more tolerable. They have signalized their fifteen months of Congressional power by urging schemes which would strip the farms of labor; by assailing the principles of the Farm Loan system and seeking to impair its efficiency; by covertly attempting to destroy the great nitrogen plant at Mussel Shoals upon which the Government has expended \$70,000,000 to supply American farmers with fertilizers at reasonable cost; by ruthlessly crippling nearly every branch of agricultural endeavor, literally cramping the productive mediums through which the people must be fed. We favor such legislation as will confirm to the primary producers of the Nation the right of collective bargaining and the right of co-operative handling and marketing of the products of the workshop and the farm and such legislature as will facilitate the exportation of our farm products. We favor comprehensive studies of farm production costs and the uncensored publication of facts found in such studies.

The Democratic Party is now, as ever, the firm friend of honest labor and the promoter of progressive industry. It established the Department of Labor at Washington and a Democratic President called to his official council board the first practical workingman who ever held a Cabinet portfolio. Under this Administration have been established employment bureaus to bring the man and the job together; have been peaceably determined many bitter disputes between capital and labor; were passed the Child-Labor Act, the Workingman's Compensation Act (the extension of which we advocate so as to include laborers engaged in loading and unloading ships and in interstate commerce), the Eight-Hour Law, the Act for Vocational training and a code of other wholesome laws affecting the liberties and bettering the conditions of the laboring classes. In the Department of Labor the Democratic Administration established a Woman's Bureau, which a Republican Congress destroyed by withholding appropriations. Labor is not a commodity; it is human. Those who labor have rights and the national security and safety depend upon a just recognition of those rights and the conservation of the strength of the workers and their families in the interest of sound-hearted and sound-headed men, women and children. Laws regulating hours of labor and conditions under which labor is performed when passed in recognition of the conditions under which life must be lived to attain the highest development and happiness, are just assertions of the national interest in the welfare of the people. At the same time, the Nation depends upon the products of labor; a cessation of production means a loss and, if long continued, disaster. The whole people,

therefore, have a right to insist that justice shall be done to those who work, and in turn that those whose labor creates the necessities upon which the life of the nation depends must recognize the reciprocal obligation between the worker and the State. They should participate in the formulation of sound laws and regulations governing the conditions under which labor is performed, recognize and obey the laws so formulated and seek their amendment when necessary by the processes ordinarily addressed to the laws and regulations affecting the other relations of life.

Labor, as well as capital, is entitled to adequate compensation. Each has the indefeasible right of organization, of collective bargaining and of speaking through representatives of their own selection. Neither class, however, should at any time nor in any circumstances take action that will put in jeopardy the public welfare. Resort to strikes and lockouts which endanger the health or lives of the people is an unsatisfactory device for determining disputes, and the Democratic Party pledges itself to contrive, if possible, and put into effective operation a fair and comprehensive method of composing differences of this nature. In private industrial disputes, we are opposed to compulsory arbitration as a method plausible in theory but a failure in fact. With respect to government service we hold distinctly that the rights of the people are paramount to the right to strike. However, we profess scrupulous regard for the conditions of public employment and pledge the Democratic Party to instant inquiry into the pay of Government employees and equally speedy regulations designed to bring salaries to a just and proper level.

We endorse the proposed 19th Amendment of the Constitution of the United States granting equal suffrage to women. We congratulate the legislatures of the 35 States which have already ratified said Amendment and we urge the Democratic Governors and legislatures of Tennessee, North Carolina and Florida and such States as have not yet ratified the Federal Suffrage Amendment to unite in an effort to complete the process of ratification and secure the 36th State in time for all the women of the United States to participate in the Fall election. We commend the effective advocacy of the measure by President Wilson.

We urge co-operation with the States for the protection of child life through infancy and maternity care; in the prohibition of child labor and by adequate appropriations for the Children's Bureau and the Women's Bureau in the Department of Labor.

We advocate full representation of women on all commissions dealing with women's work or women's interests and a reclassification of the Federal Civil Service free from discrimination on the ground of sex; a continuance of appropriations for education in sex hygiene; Federal legislation which shall insure that American women residents in the United States, but married to aliens, shall retain their American citizenship and that the same process of naturalization shall be required for women as for men.

Co-operative Federal assistance to the States is immediately required for the removal of illiteracy, for the increase of teachers' salaries and instruction in citizenship for both native and foreign-born; increased appropriation for vocational training in home economics, re-establishment of joint Federal and State employment service with women's departments under the direction of technically qualified women.

The Federal Government should treat with the utmost consideration every disabled soldier, sailor and marine of the World War whether his disability be due to wounds received in line of action or to health impaired in service; and for the dependents of the brave men who died in line of duty the Government's tenderest concern and richest bounty should be their requital. The fine patriotism exhibited, the heroic conduct displayed by American soldiers, sailors and marines at home and abroad, constitute a sacred heritage of posterity, the worth of which can never be recompensed from the Treasury and the glory of which must not be diminished. The Democratic Administration wisely established a War Risk Insurance Bureau, giving four and a half millions of enlisted men insurance at unprecedentedly low rates and through the medium of which compensation of men and women injured in service is readily adjusted, and hospital facilities for those whose health is impaired are abundantly afforded. The Federal Board for Vocational Education should be made a part of the War Risk Insurance Bureau, in order that the task may be treated as a whole, and this machinery of protection and assistance must receive every aid of law and appropriation necessary to full and effective operation. We believe that no higher or more valued privilege can be afforded to an American citizen than to become a freeholder in the soil of the United States and to that end we pledge our party to the enactment of soldier settlements and home aid legislation which will afford to the men who fought for America the opportunity to become land and home owners under conditions affording genuine Government assistance unencumbered by needless difficulties of red tape or advance financial investment.

The railroads were subjected to Federal control as a war measure without other idea than the swift transport of troops, munitions and supplies. When human life and national hopes were at stake profits could not be considered and were not. Federal operation, however, was marked by an intelligence and efficiency that minimized loss and resulted in many and marked reforms. The equipment taken over was not only grossly inadequate, but shamefully outworn. Unification practices overcame these initial handicaps and provided additions, betterments and improvements. Economies enabled operation without the rate raises that private control would have found necessary, and labor was treated with an exact justice that secured the enthusiastic co-operation that victory demanded. The fundamental purpose of Federal control was achieved fully and splendidly, and at far less cost to the taxpayer than would have been the case under

private operation. Investments in railroad properties were not only saved by Government operation, but Government management returned these properties vastly improved in every physical and executive detail. A great task was greatly discharged.

The President's recommendation of return to private ownership gave the Republican majority a full year in which to enact the necessary legislation. The House took six months to formulate its ideas, and another six months was consumed by the Republican Senate in equally vague debate. As a consequence, the Esch-Cummins bill went to the President in the closing hours of the time limit prescribed, and he was forced to a choice between the chaos of a veto and acquiescence in the measure submitted, however grave may have been his objection to it. There should be a fair and complete test of the law and until careful and mature action by Congress may cure its defects and insure a thoroughly effective transportation system under private ownership without Government subsidy at the expense of the taxpayers of the country.

Improved roads are of vital importance not only to commerce and industry, but also to agriculture and rural life. The Federal Road Act of 1916, enacted by a Democratic Congress, represented the first systematic effort of the Government to insure the building of an adequate system of roads in this country. The act, as amended, has resulted in placing the movement for improved highways on a progressive and substantial basis in every State in the Union and in bringing under actual construction more than 13,000 miles of roads suited to the traffic needs of the communities in which they are located. We favor a continuance of the present Federal aid plan under existing Federal and State agencies amended so as to include as one of the elements in determining the ratio in which the several States shall be entitled to share in the fund, the area of any public lands therein.

Inasmuch as the postal service has been extended by the Democratic Party to the door of practically every producer and every consumer in the country (rural free delivery alone having been provided for 6,000,000 additional patrons within the past eight years without material added cost), we declare that this instrumentality can and will be used to the maximum of its capacity to improve the efficiency of distribution and reduce the cost of living to consumers while increasing the profitable operations of producers. We strongly favor the increased use of the motor vehicle in the transportation of the mails and urge the removal of the restrictions imposed by the Republican Congress on the use of motor devices in mail transportation in rural territories.

We desire to congratulate the American people upon the re-birth of our Merchant Marine which once more maintains its former place in the world. It was under a Democratic Administration that this was accomplished after seventy years of indifference and neglect, thirteen million tons having been constructed since the act was passed, in 1916. We pledge the policy of our party to the continued growth of our

Merchant Marine under proper legislation so that American products will be carried to all ports of the world by vessels built in American yards, flying the American flag.

The urgent demands of the war for adequate transportation of war material as well as for domestic need, revealed the fact that our port facilities and rate adjustments were such as to seriously affect the whole country in times of peace as well as war.

We pledge our party to stand for equality of rates, both import and export, for the ports of the country, to the end that there may be adequate and fair facilities and rates for the mobilization of the products of the country offered for shipment.

We call attention to the failure of the Republican National Convention to recognize in any way the rapid development of barge transportation on our inland waterways, which development is the result of the constructive policies of the Democratic Administration. And we pledge ourselves to the further development of adequate transportation facilities on our rivers and to the further improvement of our inland waterways; and we recognize the importance of connecting the Great Lakes with the sea by way of the Mississippi River and its tributaries, as well as by the St. Lawrence River. We favor an enterprising Foreign Trade Policy with all nations, and in this connection we favor the full utilization of all Atlantic, Gulf and Pacific ports, and an equitable distribution of shipping facilities between the various ports. Transportation remains an increasingly vital problem in the continued development and prosperity of the Nation. Our present facilities for distribution by rail are inadequate and the promotion of transportation by water is imperative. We therefore favor a liberal and comprehensive policy for development and utilization of our harbors and interior waterways.

We commend the Democratic Congress for the redemption of the pledge contained in our last platform by the passage of the Flood Control Act of March 1, 1917, and point to the successful control of floods of the Mississippi River and the Sacramento River, California, under the policy of that law, for its complete justification. We favor the extension of this policy to other flood control problems wherever the Federal interest involved justifies the expenditure required.

By wise legislation and progressive administration, we have transformed the Government reclamation projects, representing an investment of \$100,000,000, from a condition of impending failure and loss of confidence in the ability of the Government to carry through such large enterprises, to a condition of demonstrated success, whereby formerly arid and wholly unproductive lands now sustain 40,000 prosperous families and have an annual crop production of over \$70,000,000, not including the crops grown on a million acres outside the projects supplied with storage water from Government works. We favor ample appropriations for the continuation and extension of this great work of home-building and internal improvement along the same general lines, to the end that all practical projects shall be built,

and waters now running to waste shall be made to provide homes and add to the food supply, power resources, and taxable property, with the Government ultimately reimbursed for the entire outlay.

The Democratic Party heartily endorses the creation and work of the Federal Trade Commission in establishing a fair field for competitive business, free from restraints of trade and monopoly, and recommends amplification of the statutes governing its activities so as to grant it authority to prevent the unfair use of patents in restraint of trade.

For the purpose of insuring just and fair treatment in the great interstate live stock market, and thus instilling confidence in growers through which production will be stimulated and the price of meats to consumers be ultimately reduced, we favor the enactment of legislation for the supervision of such markets by the National Government.

The United States is the neighbor and friend of the nations of the three Americas. In a very special sense, our international relations in this hemisphere should be characterized by good will and free from any possible suspicion as to our national purpose. The Administration, remembering always that Mexico is an independent nation and that permanent stability in her Government and her institutions could come only from the consent of her own people to a Government of their own making, has been unwilling either to profit by the misfortunes of the people of Mexico or to enfeeble their future by imposing from the outside a rule upon their temporarily distracted councils. As a consequence, order is gradually reappearing in Mexico; at no time in many years have American lives and interests been so safe as they now are; peace reigns along the border and industry is resuming. When the new Government of Mexico shall have given ample proof of its ability permanently to maintain law and order, signified its willingness to meet its international obligations and written upon its statute books just laws under which foreign investors shall have rights as well as duties, that Government should receive our recognition and sympathetic assistance. Until these proper expectations have been met, Mexico must realize the propriety of a policy that asserts the right of the United States to demand full protection for its citizens.

The Democratic Party recognizes the importance of the acquisition by Americans of additional sources of supply of petroleum and other minerals and declares that such acquisition both at home and abroad should be fostered and encouraged. We urge such action, legislative and executive, as may secure to American citizens the same rights in the acquirement of mining rights in foreign countries as are enjoyed by the citizens or subjects of any other nation.

The Democratic Party expresses its active sympathy with the people of China, Czecho-Slovakia, Finland, Jugo-Slavia, Poland, Persia and others who have recently established representative government and who are striving to develop the institutions of true Democracy.

The great principle of national self-determination has received constant reiteration as one of the chief objectives for which this country entered the war and victory established this principle. Within the limitations of international comity and usage, this Convention repeats the several previous expressions of the sympathy of the Democratic Party of the United States for the aspirations of Ireland for self-government.

We express our deep and earnest sympathy for the unfortunate people of Armenia, and we believe that our Government, consistent with its Constitution and principles, should render every possible and proper aid to them in their efforts to establish and maintain a government of their own.

We favor the granting of independence without delay to the 10,-500,000 inhabitants of the Philippine Islands.

We favor a liberal policy of homesteading public lands in Hawaii to promote a larger middle-class citizen population, with equal rights to all citizens. The importance of Hawaii as an outpost on the Western Frontier of the United States demands adequate appropriations by Congress for the development of our harbors and highways there.

We favor granting to the people of Porto Rico the traditional territorial form of government, with a view to ultimate statehood, accorded to all territories of the United States since the beginning of our Government, and we believe that the officials appointed to administer the government of such territories should be qualified by previous bona fide residence therein.

We commend the Democratic Administration for inaugurating a new policy as to Alaska as evidenced by the construction of the Alaska railroad and opening of the coal and oil fields. We declare for the modification of the existing coal land law, to promote development without disturbing the features intended to prevent monopoly. For such changes in the policy of forestry control as will permit the immediate initiation of the paper pulp industry. For relieving the territory from the evils of long-distance government by arbitrary and interlocking bureaucratic regulation, and to that end we urge the speedy passage of a law containing the essential features of the Lane-Curry bill now pending co-ordinating and consolidating all federal control of natural resources under one department to be administered by a non-partisan board permanently resident in the territory. For the fullest measure of territorial self-government with the view to ultimate statehood, with jurisdiction over all matters not of purely federal concern, including fisheries and game, and for an intelligent administration of federal control we believe that all officials appointed should be qualified by previous bona fide residence in the territory. For a comprehensive system of road construction with increased appropriations and the full extension of the Federal Road Act to Alaska. For the extension to Alaska of the Federal Farm Loan Act.

The policy of the United States with reference to the non-admission of Asiatic immigrants is a true expression of the judgment of our

people, and to the several states whose geographical situation or internal conditions make this policy and the enforcement of the laws enacted pursuant thereto of particular concern, we pledge our support.

The efficiency of the Post Office Department has been vindicated against a malicious and designing assault by the efficiency of its operation. Its record refutes its assailants. Their voices are silenced and their charges have collapsed. We recommend the work of the Joint Commission on the Re-classification of Salaries of Postal Employees, recently concluded, which Commission was created by a Democratic Administration. The Democratic Party has always favored and will continue to favor the fair and just treatment of all Government employees.

We resent the unfounded reproaches directed against the Democratic Administration for alleged interference with the freedom of the press and freedom of speech. No utterance from any quarter has been assailed, and no publication has been repressed which has not been animated by treasonable purpose, and directed against the Nation's peace, order and security in time of war. We reaffirm our respect for the great principles of free speech and a free press, but assert as an indisputable proposition that they afford no toleration of enemy propaganda or the advocacy of the overthrow of the Government of the State or Nation by force or violence.

The shocking disclosure of the lavish use of money by aspirants for the Republican nomination for the highest office in the gift of the people has created a painful impression throughout the country. Viewed in connection with the recent conviction of a Republican Senator from the State of Michigan for the criminal transgression of the law limiting expenditures on behalf of a candidate for the United States Senate, it indicates the re-entry, under Republican auspices, of money as an influential factor in elections, thus nullifying the letter and flaunting the spirit of numerous laws, enacted by the people, to protect the ballot from the contamination of corrupt practices. We deplore these delinquencies and invoke their stern popular rebuke, pledging our earnest efforts to a strengthening of the present statutes against corrupt practices, and their rigorous enforcement. We remind the people that it was only by the return of a Republican Senator in Michigan, who is now under conviction and sentence for the criminal misuse of money in his election, that the present organization of the Senate with a Republican majority was made possible.

Believing that we have kept the Democratic faith and resting our claims to the confidence of the people not upon grandiose promises, but upon the solid performances of our party, we submit our record to the nation's consideration and ask that the pledges of this platform be appraised in the light of that record.

SINGLE TAX CONVENTION, HELD AT CHICAGO, JULY 12

Candidates

For President, Robert Colvin Macauley, of Pennsylvania

For Vice-President, Richard C. Barnum, of Ohio

Platform

WE, the Single Tax Party, in National Convention assembled, recognizing that the earth was created for all the people for all time, and that all have an equal and inalienable right to live on it and to produce from it the things that they require for their welfare and happiness;

Recognizing that all wealth, whatever its form, is produced only by labor applied to land, or to the products of land, and that the denial of the equal access to land is a denial of the right to produce and thus a denial of the right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness, as proclaimed by the Declaration of Independence;

Recognizing further that under our tax laws and our system of land tenure a small number of the people own most of the land of our country, and exact tribute in the form of ground rent from all the rest of the people in exchange for the mere permission to work and to produce, thus not only reaping where they have not sown but also holding idle the greater part of the earth's surface and restricting the amount of wealth we otherwise easily could and would produce;

Recognizing further that the value of the land, as expressed in its ground rentals or in its capitalized selling price, is a community value created by the presence of the people and, therefore, belongs to the people and not to the individual;

We, therefore, demand that the full rental value of the land be collected by the Government instead of all taxes, and that all buildings, implements and improvements on land, all industry, thrift and enterprise, all wages, salaries, incomes, and every product of labor be entirely exempt from taxation.

And we pledge ourselves that, if entrusted with the power to do so, we will express in law and enforce to the utmost such measures as will make effective these demands to the end that involuntary poverty and want may be abolished and economic and civic freedom for all be assured.

SOCIALIST PARTY CONVENTION, HELD AT NEW YORK, MAY 8-15

Candidates

For President, Eugene Victor Debs,¹ of Indiana

For Vice-President, Seymour Stedman, of Illinois

Platform

IN the national campaign of 1920 the Socialist Party calls upon all American workers of hand and brain, and upon all citizens who believe in political liberty and social justice, to free the country from the oppressive misrule of the old political parties, and to take the Government into their own hands under the banner and upon the programme of the Socialist Party. The outgoing administration, like Democratic and Republican administrations of the past, leaves behind it a disgraceful record of solemn pledges unscrupulously broken and public confidence ruthlessly betrayed. It obtained the suffrage of the people on a platform of peace, liberalism and social betterment, but drew the country into a devastating war, and inaugurated a régime of despotism, reaction and oppression unsurpassed in the annals of the republic. It promised to the American people a treaty which would assure to the world a reign of international right and true democracy. It gave its sanction and support to an infamous pact formulated behind closed doors by predatory elder statesmen of European and Asiatic imperialism. Under this pact territories have been annexed against the will of their populations and cut off from their sources of sustenance; nations seeking their freedom in the exercise of the much heralded right of self-determination have been brutally fought with armed force, intrigue and starvation blockades.

To the million of young men who staked their lives on the field of battle, to the people of the country who gave unstintingly of their toil and property to support the war, the Democratic Administration held out the sublime ideal of a union of the peoples of the world organized to maintain perpetual peace among nations on the basis of justice and freedom. It helped create a reactionary alliance of imperialistic governments, banded together to bully weak nations, crush working-class governments and perpetuate strife and warfare. While thus furthering the ends of reaction, violence and oppression abroad, our administration suppressed the cherished and fundamental rights and civil liberties at home. Upon the pretext of war-time necessity, the Chief Executive of the republic and the appointed heads of his administration were clothed with dictatorial powers (which were often exercised arbitrarily), and Congress enacted laws in open and direct violation of the constitutional safeguards of freedom of expression. Hundreds of citizens who raised their voices for

¹ Then "Convict 2253" at Federal Prison, Atlanta, Georgia.

the maintenance of political and industrial rights during the war were indicted under the Espionage Law, tried in an atmosphere of prejudice and hysteria, and many of them are now serving inhumanly long jail sentences for daring to uphold the traditions of liberty which once were sacred in this country. Agents of the Federal Government unlawfully raided homes and meeting places and prevented or broke up peaceable gatherings of citizens.

The Postmaster General established a censorship of the press more autocratic than that ever tolerated in a régime of absolutism, and has harassed and destroyed publications on account of their advanced political and economic views, by excluding them from the mails. And after the war was in fact long over, the administration has not scrupled to continue a policy of repression and terrorism under the shadow and hypocritical guise of war-time measures.

It has practically imposed involuntary servitude and peonage on a large class of American workers by denying them the right to quit work and coercing them into acceptance of inadequate wages and onerous conditions of labor. It has dealt a foul blow to the traditional American right of asylum by deporting hundreds of foreign-born workers, by administrative order, on the mere suspicion of harboring radical views, and often for the sinister purpose of breaking labor strikes. In the short span of three years our self-styled liberal administration has succeeded in undermining the very foundation of political liberty and economic rights, which this republic has built up in more than a century of struggle and progress. Under the cloak of a false and hypocritical patriotism and under the protection of governmental terror the Democratic administration has given the ruling classes unrestrained license to plunder the people by intensive exploitation of labor, by the extortion of enormous profits, and by increasing the cost of all necessities of life. Profiteering has become reckless and rampant, billions have been coined by the capitalists out of the suffering and misery of their fellow men. The American financial oligarchy has become a dominant factor in the world, while the condition of the American workers has grown more precarious. The responsibility does not rest upon the Democratic Party alone. The Republican Party, through its representatives in Congress and otherwise, has not only openly condoned the political misdeeds of the last three years, but has sought to outdo its Democratic rival in the orgy of political reaction and repression. Its criticism of the Democratic administrative policy is that it is not reactionary and drastic enough.

America is now at the parting of the roads. If the outraging of political liberty, and concentration of economic power into the hands of the few is permitted to go on, it can have only one consequence, the reduction of the country to a state of absolute capitalist despotism. We particularly denounce the militaristic policy of both old parties, of investing countless hundreds of millions of dollars in armaments after the victorious completion of what was to have been the "last

war." We call attention to the fatal results of such a programme in Europe, carried on prior to 1914, and culminating in the Great War; we declare that such a policy, adding unbearable burdens to the working class and to all the people, can lead only to the complete Prussianization of the nation, and ultimately to war; and we demand immediate and complete abandonment of this fatal programme. The Socialist Party sounds the warning. It calls upon the people to defeat both parties at the polls, and to elect the candidates of the Socialist Party to the end of restoring political democracy and bringing about a complete industrial freedom. The Socialist Party of the United States therefore summons all who believe in this fundamental doctrine to prepare for a complete reorganization of our social system, based upon public ownership of public necessities; upon government by representatives chosen from occupational as well as from geographical groups, in harmony with our industrial development; and with citizenship based on service; that we may end forever the exploitation of class by class. To achieve this end the Socialist Party pledges itself to the following programme:

1. Social

1. All business vitally essential for the existence and welfare of the people, such as railroads, express service, steamship lines, telegraphs, mines, oil wells, power plants, elevators, packing houses, cold storage plants and all industries operating on a national scale, should be taken over by the nation.

2. All publicly owned industries should be administered jointly by the Government and representative of the workers, not for revenue or profit, but with the sole object of securing just compensation and humane conditions of employment to the workers and efficient and reasonable service to the public.

3. All banks should be acquired by the Government, and incorporated in a unified public banking system.

4. The business of insurance should be taken over by the Government, and should be extended to include insurance against accident, sickness, invalidity, old age and unemployment, without contribution on the part of the worker.

5. Congress should enforce the provisions of the Thirteenth, Fourteenth and Fifteenth Amendments with reference to the Negroes, and effective federal legislation should be enacted to secure to the Negroes full civil, political, industrial and educational rights.

2. Industrial

1. Congress should enact effective laws to abolish child labor, to fix minimum wages, based on an ascertained cost of a decent standard of life, to protect migratory and unemployed workers from oppression, to abolish detective and strike-breaking agencies and to establish a shorter work-day in keeping with increased industrial productivity.

3. Political

1. The constitutional freedom of speech, press and assembly should be restored by repealing the Espionage Law and all other repressive legislation, and by prohibiting the executive usurpation of authority.

2. All prosecutions under the Espionage Law should be discontinued, and all persons serving prison sentences for alleged offenses growing out of religious beliefs, political views or industrial activities should be fully pardoned and immediately released.

3. No alien should be deported from the United States on account of his political views or participation in labor struggles, nor in any event without proper trial on specific charges. The arbitrary power to deport aliens by administrative order should be repealed.

4. The power of the courts to restrain workers in their struggles against employers by the writ of injunction or otherwise, and their power to nullify Congressional legislation, should be abrogated.

5. Federal judges should be elected by the people and be subject to recall.

6. The President and the Vice President of the United States should be elected by direct popular election, and be subject to recall. All members of the Cabinet should be elected by Congress and be responsible at all times to the vote thereof.

7. Suffrage should be equal and unrestricted in fact as well as in law for all men and women throughout the nation.

8. Because of the strict residential qualification of suffrage in this country, millions of citizens are disfranchised in every election; adequate provision should be made for the registration and voting of migratory voters.

9. The Constitution of the United States should be amended to strengthen the safeguards of civil and political liberty, and to remove all obstacles to industrial and social reform, and reconstruction, including the changes enumerated in this programme, in keeping with the will and interest of the people. It should be made amendable by a majority of the voters of the nation upon their own initiative, or upon the initiative of Congress.

4. Foreign Relations

1. All claims of the United States against allied countries for loans made during the war should be cancelled upon the understanding that all war debts among such countries shall likewise be cancelled. The largest possible credit in food, raw material and machinery should be extended to the stricken nations of Europe in order to help them rebuild the ruined world.

2. The Government of the United States should initiate a movement to dissolve the mischievous organization called the "League of Nations" and to create an international parliament, composed of democratically elected representatives of all nations of the world,

based upon the recognition of their equal rights, the principles of self-determination, the right to national existence of colonies and other dependencies, freedom of international trade and trade routes by land and sea, and universal disarmament, and be charged with revising the Treaty of Peace on the principles of justice and conciliation.

3. The United States should immediately make peace with the Central Powers and open commercial and diplomatic relations with Russia under the Soviet Government. It should promptly recognize the independence of the Irish Republic.

4. The United States should make and proclaim it a fixed principle in its foreign policy that American capitalists who acquire concessions or make investments in foreign countries do so at their own risk, and under no circumstances should our Government enter into diplomatic negotiations or controversies or resort to armed conflicts on account of foreign property claims of American capitalists.

5. Fiscal

1. All war debts and other debts of the Federal Government should immediately be paid in full, the funds for such payment to be raised by means of a progressive property tax, whose burden should fall upon the rich and particularly upon great fortunes made during the war.

2. A standing progressive income tax and a graduated inheritance tax should be levied to provide for all needs of the Government, including the cost of its increasing social and industrial functions.

3. The unearned increment of land should be taxed, all land held out of use should be taxed at full rental value.

The convention also adopted the following Declaration of Principles:

The Socialist Party of the United States demands that the country and its wealth be redeemed from the control of private interests and turned over to the people to be administered for the equal benefit of all. America is not owned by the American people. Our so-called national wealth is not the wealth of the nation but of the privileged few. These are the ruling classes of America. They are small in numbers but they dominate the lives and shape the destinies of their fellow men. They own the people's jobs and determine their wages; they control the markets of the world and fix the prices of farm products; they own their own homes and fix their rents; they own their food and set its cost; they own their press and formulate their convictions; they own the Government and make their laws; they own their schools and mould their minds.

Around and about the capitalist class cluster the numerous and varied groups of the population, generally designated as the "middle classes." They consist of farm owners, small merchants and manufacturers, professionals and better paid employees. Their economic

status is often precarious. They live in hopes of being lifted into the charmed spheres of the ruling classes. Their social psychology is that of retainers of the wealthy. As a rule they sell their gifts, knowledge and efforts to the capitalist interest. They are staunch upholders of the existing order of social inequalities. The bulk of the American people is composed of workers — workers on the farm and in the factory, in mines and mills, on ships and railroads, in offices and counting houses, in schools and in personal service, workers of hand and brain, all men and women who render useful service to the community in the countless ramified ways of modern civilization. They have made America what it is. They sustain America from day to day. They bear most of the burdens of life and enjoy but few of its pleasures. They create the enormous wealth of the country but live in constant dread of poverty. They feed and clothe the rich, and yet bow to their alleged superiority. They keep alive the industries but have no say in their management. They constitute the majority of the people but have no control in the Government. Despite the forms of political equality the workers of the United States are virtually a subject class.

The Socialist Party is the party of the workers. It espouses their cause because in the workers lies the hope of the political, economic and social redemption of the country. The ruling class and their retainers cannot be expected to change the iniquitous system of which they are the beneficiaries. Individual members of these classes often join in the struggle against the capitalist order from motives of personal idealism, but whole classes have never been known to abdicate their rule and surrender their privileges for the mere sake of social justice. The workers alone have a direct and compelling interest in abolishing the present profit system. The Socialist Party desires the workers of America to take the economic and political power from the capitalist class, not that they may establish themselves as a new ruling class, but in order that all class divisions may be abolished forever.

To perform this supreme social task the workers must be organized as a political party of their own. They must realize that both the Republican and Democratic parties are the political instruments of the master classes, and equally pledged to uphold and perpetuate capitalism. They must be trained to use the ballot box to vote out the tools of the capitalist and middle classes and to vote in representatives of the workers. A true political party of labor must be founded upon the uncompromising demand for the complete socialization of the industries. That means doing away with the private ownership of the sources and instruments of wealth production and distribution, abolishing workless incomes in the form of profits, interest or rents, transforming the whole able-bodied population of the country into useful workers, and securing to all workers the full social value of their work.

The Socialist Party is such a political party. It strives by means

of political methods, including the action of its representatives in the Legislatures and other public offices, to force the enactment of such measures as will immediately benefit the workers, raise their standard of life, increase their power and stiffen their resistance to capitalist aggression. Its purpose is to secure a majority in Congress and in every State Legislature, to win the principal executive and judicial offices, to become the dominant and controlling party, and when in power to transfer to the ownership by the people of industries, beginning with those of a public character, such as banking, insurance, mining, transportation and communication, as well as the trustified industries, and extending the process to all other industries susceptible of collective ownership, as rapidly as their technical conditions will permit. It also proposes to socialize the system of public education and health and all activities and institutions vitally affecting the public needs and welfare, including dwelling houses. The Socialist programme advocates the socialization of all large farming estates and land used for industrial and public purposes as well as all instrumentalities for storing, preserving and marketing farm products. It does not contemplate interference with the private possession of land actually used and cultivated by occupants. The Socialist Party, when in political control, proposes to reorganize the Government in form and substance so as to change it from a tool of repression into an instrument of social and industrial service. It affirms a fundamental truth of the American Declaration of Independence, that when a government fails to serve us, or becomes destructive of human happiness, "it is the right of the people to alter or abolish it and to institute a new government, laying its foundations in such principles, and organizing its powers in such form, as to them shall seem most likely to effect their safety and happiness."

The Socialist transformation cannot be successfully accomplished by political victories alone. The reorganization of the industries upon the basis of social operation and co-operative effort will require an intelligent and disciplined working class, skilled not only in the processes of physical work but also in the technical problems of management. This indispensable training the workers can best gain as a result of their constant efforts to secure a greater share in the management of industries through their labor unions and co-operatives. These economic organizations of labor have also an immediate practice and vital function. Their daily struggles for betterment in the sphere of their respective industries supplement and reinforce the political efforts of the Socialist Party in the same general direction, and their great economic power may prove a formidable weapon for safeguarding the political rights of labor. The Socialist Party does not intend to interfere in the internal affairs of labor unions, but will always support them in their economic struggle. In order, however, that such struggle might attain the maximum of efficiency and success, the Socialists favor the organization of workers along lines of industrial unionism, in closest organic co-operation, as an organized working class body.

The Socialist Party does not seek to interfere with the institution of the family, as such, but promises to make family life fuller, nobler and happier by removing the sordid factor of economic dependence of woman on man, and by assuring to all members of the family greater material security, and more leisure to cultivate the joys of the home. The Socialist Party adheres strictly to the principle of complete separation of state and church. It recognizes the right of voluntary communities of citizens to maintain religious institutions and to worship according to the dictates of their conscience. The Socialist Party seeks to attain its end by orderly and constitutional methods, so long as the ballot box, the right of representation and civil liberties are maintained. Violence is not the weapon of the Socialist Party but of the short-sighted representatives of the ruling classes, who stupidly believe that social movements and ideals can be destroyed by brutal physical repression. The Socialists depend upon education and organization of the masses.

The domination of the privileged classes has been so strong, that they have succeeded in persuading their credulous fellow citizens that they, the despoilers of America, are the only true Americans; that their selfish class interests are the sacred interests of the nation; that only those that submit supinely to their oppressive rule are loyal and patriotic citizens, and that all who oppose their exactions and pretensions are traitors to their country. The Socialists emphatically reject this fraudulent notion of patriotism. The Socialist Party gives its service and allegiance to the mass of the American people, the working classes, but this interest is not limited to America alone. In modern civilization the destinies of all nations are inextricably interwoven. No nation can be prosperous and happy while its neighbors are poor and miserable. No nation can be truly free if other nations are enslaved. The ties of international interdependence and solidarity are particularly vital among the working classes. In all the advanced countries of the world the working classes are engaged in the identical struggle for political and economic freedom, and the success or failure of each is immediately reflected upon the progress and fortunes of all.

The Socialist Party is opposed to militarism and to wars among nations. Modern wars are generally caused by commercial and financial rivalries and intrigues of the capitalist interest in different countries. They are made by the ruling classes and fought by the masses. They bring wealth and power to the privileged few and suffering, death and desolation to the many. They cripple the struggles of the workers for political rights, material improvement and social justice and tend to sever the bonds of solidarity between them and their brothers in other countries.

The Socialist movement is a world struggle in behalf of human civilization. The Socialist Party of the United States co-operates with similar parties in other countries, and extends to them its full support in their struggles, confident that the class-conscious workers

all over the world will eventually secure the powers of government in their respective countries, abolish the oppression and chaos, the strife and bloodshed of international capitalism, and establish a federation of Socialist republics, co-operating with each other for the benefit of the human race and for the maintenance of the peace of the world.

SOCIALIST LABOR PARTY CONVENTION, HELD AT NEW YORK
MAY 5-10

Candidates

For President, William W. Cox, of Missouri

For Vice-President, August Gillhaus, of New York

Platform

THE world stands upon the threshold of a new social order. The capitalist system of production and distribution is doomed; capitalist appropriation of labor's product forces the bulk of mankind into wage slavery, throws society into the convulsions of the class struggle, and momentarily threatens to engulf humanity in chaos and disaster. At this crucial period in history the Socialist Labor Party of America, in 15th National Convention assembled, reaffirming its former platform declarations, calls upon the workers to rally around the banner of the Socialist Labor Party, the only party in this country that blazes the trail to the Workers' Industrial Republic.

Since the advent of civilization human society has been divided into classes. Each new form of society has come into being with a definite purpose to fulfill in the progress of the human race. Each has been born, has grown, developed, prospered, become old, outworn, and has finally been overthrown. Each society has developed within itself the germs of its own destruction as well as the germs which went to make up the society of the future.

The capitalist system rose during the seventeenth, eighteenth, and nineteenth centuries by the overthrow of feudalism. Its great and all-important mission in the development of man was to improve, develop, and concentrate the means of production and distribution, thus creating a system of co-operative production. This work was completed in advanced capitalist countries about the beginning of the twentieth century. That moment capitalism had fulfilled its historic mission, and from that moment the capitalist class became a class of parasites.

In the course of human progress mankind has passed, through class rule, private property and individualism in production and exchange, from the enforced and inevitable want, misery, poverty, and ignorance of savagery and barbarism to the affluence and high productive

capacity of civilization. For all practical purposes, co-operative production has now superseded individual production.

Capitalism no longer promotes the greatest good of the greatest number. It no longer spells progress, but reaction. Private production carries with it private ownership of the products. Production is carried on, not to supply the needs of humanity, but for the profit of the individual owner, the company, or the trust. The worker, not receiving the full product of his labor, cannot buy back all he produces. The capitalist wastes part in riotous living; the rest must find a foreign market. By the opening of the twentieth century the capitalist world — England, America, Germany, France, Japan, China, etc. — was producing at a mad rate for the world market. A capitalist deadlock of markets brought on in 1914 the capitalist collapse popularly known as the World War. The capitalist world cannot extricate itself out of the débris. America to-day is choking under the weight of her own gold and products.

This situation has brought on the present stage of human misery — starvation, want, cold, disease, pestilence, and war. This state is brought about in the midst of plenty, when the earth can be made to yield hundred-fold, when the machinery of production is made to multiply human energy and ingenuity by the hundred. The present state of misery exists solely because the mode of production rebels against the mode of exchange. Private property in the means of life has become a social crime. The land was made by no man; the modern machines are the result of the combined ingenuity of the human race from time immemorial; the land can be made to yield and the machines can be set in motion only by the collective effort of the workers. Progress demands the collective ownership of the land on and the tools with which to produce the necessities of life. The owner of the means of life to-day partakes of the nature of a highwayman; he stands with his gun before society's temple; it depends upon him whether the million mass may work, earn, eat and live. The capitalist system of production and exchange must be supplanted if progress is to continue.

In place of the capitalist system the Socialist Labor Party aims to substitute a system of social ownership of the means of production, industrially administered by the workers, who assume control and direction as well as operation of their industrial affairs.

We therefore call upon the wage workers to organize themselves into a revolutionary political organization under the banner of the Socialist Labor Party; and to organize themselves likewise upon the industrial field into a Socialist industrial union, as now exemplified by the Workers International Industrial Union, in keeping with their political aims.

And we also call upon all other intelligent citizens to place themselves squarely upon the ground of working class interests, and join us in this mighty and noble work of human emancipation, so that we may put summary end to the existing barbarous class conflict by

placing the land and all the means of production, transportation, and distribution into the hands of the people as a collective body and substituting Industrial Self-Government for the present state of planless production, industrial war and social disorder — a government in which every worker shall have the free exercise and full benefit of his faculties, multiplied by all the modern factors of civilization.

FARMER-LABOR PARTY CONVENTION, BEGUN AT CHICAGO

JULY 11

Candidates

For President, Parley Parker Christensen, of Utah

For Vice-President, Max(imilian) Sebastien Hayes, of Ohio

Platform

THE American Declaration of Independence, adopted July 4, 1776, states that governments are instituted to secure to the people the rights of life, liberty and pursuit of happiness and that governments derived their just powers from the consent of the governed.

Democracy cannot exist unless all power is preserved to the people. The only excuse for the existence of government is to serve, not to rule, the people.

In the United States of America, the power of government, the priceless and inalienable heritage of the people, has been stolen from the people — has been seized by a few men who control the wealth of the Nation and by the tools of these men, maintained by them in public office to do their bidding.

The administrative offices of the Government and Congress are controlled by the financial barons — even the courts have been prostituted — and the people as a result of this usurpation have been reduced to economic and industrial servitude.

Under the prevailing order in the United States, wealth is monopolized by a few and the people are kept in poverty, while costs of living mount until the burden of providing the necessities of life is well-nigh intolerable.

Having thus robbed the people first of their power and then of their wealth, the wielders of financial power, seeking new fields of exploitation, have committed the Government of the United States, against the will of the people, to imperialistic policies and seek to extend these enterprises to such lengths that our Nation to-day stands in danger of becoming an empire instead of a republic.

Legislation that will effectively check and reduce the growth and evils of farm tenancy; establishment of public markets; extension of the Federal Farm Loan System, making personal credit readily available and cheap to farmers; maintenance of dependable transporta-

tion for farm products; organization of a State and national service that will furnish adequate advice and guidance to applicants for farms and to farmers already on the land; legislation to promote and protect farmers' and consumers' co-operative organizations conducted for mutual benefit; comprehensive studies of costs of production of farm and staple manufactured products and uncensored publication of facts found in such studies.

We demand that economy in governmental expenditures shall replace the extravagance that has run riot under the present administration. The governmental expenditures of the present year of peace, as already disclosed, exceed \$6,000,000,000 — or six times the annual expenditures of the pre-war period. We condemn and denounce the system that has created one war-millionaire for every three American soldiers killed in the war in France, and we demand that this war-acquired wealth shall be taxed in such a manner as to prevent the shifting of the burdens of taxation to the shoulders of the poor in the shape of higher prices and of increased living costs.

We are opposed, therefore, to consumption taxes and to all indirect taxation for support of current operations of the Government. For support of such current operations we favor steeply graduated income taxes, exempting individual incomes amounting to less than \$3,000 a year, with a further exemption allowance of \$300 for every child under 18 and also for every child over 18 who may be pursuing an education to fit himself for life. In the case of State governments and of local governments we favor taxation of land value, but not of improvements or of equipment, and also sharply graduated taxes on inheritance.

Stabilization of currency so that it may not fluctuate as at present, carrying the standard of living of all the people down with it when it depreciates; Federal control of the meat packing industry; extension and perfection of the Parcel Post system to bring producer and consumer closer together; enforcing existing laws against profiteers, especially the big and powerful ones.

We favor paying the soldier of the late war, as a matter of right and not as charity, a sufficient sum to make their war-pay not less than civilian earnings. We denounce the delays in payment and the inadequate compensation to disabled soldiers and sailors and their dependents, and we pledge such changes as will promptly and adequately give sympathetic recognition of their services and sacrifices.

During the years that labor has tried in vain to obtain recognition of the rights of the workers at the hands of the Government through the agencies of the Republican and Democratic parties, the principal demands of labor have been catalogued and presented by the representatives of labor, who have gone to convention after convention of the old parties — to Congress after Congress of old-party office holders. These conventions and sessions of Congress have from time to time included in platforms and laws a few fragments of labor's programme, carefully rewritten, however, to interpose no interference

with the oppression of labor by private wielders of the power of capital. It remains for the Farmer-Labor Party, the people's own party, financed by the people themselves, to pledge itself to the entire Bill of Rights of Labor, the conditions enumerated therein to be written into the laws of the land to be enjoyed by the workers, organized or unorganized, without the amelioration of a single word in the programme. Abraham Lincoln said: "Labor is the superior of capital, and deserves the highest consideration."

We pledge the application of this fundamental principle in the enactment and administration of legislation.

- (a) The unqualified right of all workers, including Civil Service employés, to organize and bargain collectively with employers through such representatives of their unions as they choose.
- (b) Freedom from compulsory arbitration and all other attempts to coerce workers.
- (c) A maximum standard 8-hour day and 44-hour week.
- (d) Old age and unemployment payments and workmen's compensation to insure workers and their dependents against accident and disease.
- (e) Establishment and operation, through periods of depression, of governmental work on housing, road-building, reforestation, reclamation of cut-over timber, desert and swamp lands and development of ports, waterways and water power plants.
- (f) Re-education of the cripples of industry as well as the victims of war.
- (g) Abolition of employment of children under sixteen years of age.
- (h) Complete and effective protection for women in industry, with equal pay for equal work.
- (i) Abolition of private employment, detective and strike-breaking agencies and extension of the Federal Free Employment Service.
- (j) Prevention of exploitation of immigration and immigrants by employers.
- (k) Vigorous enforcement of the Seamen's Act, and the most liberal interpretation of its provisions. The present provisions for the protection of seamen and for the safety of the travelling public must not be minimized.
- (l) Exclusion from interstate commerce of the products of convict labor.
- (m) A Federal department of education to advance democracy and effectiveness in all public school systems throughout the country, to the end that the children of workers in industrial and rural communities may have maximum opportunity of training to become unafraid, well-informed citizens of a free country.

PROHIBITION PARTY CONVENTION, BEGUN AT LINCOLN
NEBRASKA, JULY 22

Candidates

For President, Aaron Sherman Watkins, of Ohio

For Vice-President, David Leigh Colvin, of New York

Platform

THE Prohibition Party assembled in National Convention in the city of Lincoln, Nebraska, on this twenty-second day of July, 1920, expresses its thanks to Almighty God for the victory over the beverage liquor traffic which crowns fifty years of consecrated effort. The principles which we have advocated throughout our history have been so far recognized that the manufacture and traffic in intoxicating drink have been forever prohibited in the fundamental law of the land; Congress has rightly interpreted the Eighteenth Amendment in laws enacted for its enforcement; and the Supreme Court has upheld both the Amendment and the law.

Asking that it be clothed with governmental power, the Prohibition Party challenges the attention of the Nation and requests the votes of the people on this Declaration of Principles.

The organized liquor traffic is engaged in a treasonable attempt to nullify the Amendment by such modification of the enforcement act as will increase the alcoholic content in beer and wine and thus thwart the will of the people as constitutionally expressed.

In the face of this open threat the Republican and Democratic parties refused to make platform declarations in favor of law enforcement, though petitioned so to do by multitudes of people. Thus the Prohibition Party remains the sole political champion of National Prohibition.

The Prohibition Party in its platform in 1872 declared: "There can be no greater peril to the nation than the existing party competition for the liquor vote; any party not openly opposed to the traffic, experience shows, will engage in this competition, will court the favor of the criminal classes, will barter away the public morals, the purity of the ballot, and every object of good government for party success." Notwithstanding the liquor traffic is now outlawed by the Constitution this fitly describes the present political attitude of the old parties.

The issue is not only the *enforcement* but also the *maintenance* of the law to make the Amendment effective.

The proposed increase in the alcoholic content of beverages would be fraught with grave danger in that it would mean the return of the open saloon with all its attendant evils.

The League of Nations is now in existence and is functioning in world affairs. We favor the entrance of the United States into the

League by the immediate ratification of the treaty of peace, not objecting to reasonable reservations interpreting American understanding of the covenant. The time is past when the United States can hold aloof from the affairs of the world. Such course is shortsighted and only invites disaster.

We stand for a constitutional amendment providing that treaties of peace shall be ratified by a majority of both Houses of Congress.

We stand by our declaration of 1916 against militarism and universal military training. Without it our boys were in a short time trained to whip the greatest army ever assembled and with national prohibition to make sure the most virile manhood in the world we should encourage universal disarmament and devotion to the acts of peace.

We stand for compulsory education with instruction in the English language, which, if given in private or parochial schools must be equivalent to that afforded by the public schools, and be under State supervision.

The Prohibition Party has long advocated the enfranchisement of women. Suffrage should not be conditioned upon sex. We congratulate the women upon the freedom which the party has helped them to achieve.

We approve and adopt the program of the National League of Women Voters providing for:

The prohibition of child labor;

Adequate appropriation for the Children's Bureau;

Protection for infant life through a Federal program for maternity and infancy care;

A Federal department of education, Federal aid for the removal of illiteracy and the increase of teachers' salaries;

Instruction of the youth and the newcomer to our shores in the duties and ideals of citizenship;

Vocational training in home economics;

Federal supervision of the marketing and distribution of food, the enactment and enforcement of such measures as will open the channels of trade, prevent excess profits, and eliminate unfair competition and control of the necessities of life;

The establishment of a Woman's Bureau in the Department of Labor to determine standards and policies which will improve working conditions for women and increase their efficiency;

The appointment of women in the mediation and conciliation service and on any industrial commissions and tribunals which may be created;

The establishment of a joint Federal and State employment service with women's departments under the direction of qualified women;

The merit system in the Civil Service free from discrimination on account of sex with a wage scale determined by skill demanded for

the work and in no wise below the cost of living as established by official investigation;

Appropriation to carry on a campaign against venereal diseases and for public education in sex hygiene;

Federal legislation permitting an American-born woman to retain her citizenship while resident in the United States, though married to an alien;

And further, that an alien woman who marries an American citizen must take the obligation of citizenship before she can become a citizen.

We believe in the budget system and we stand for economy in governmental administration. There should be a reduction in boards, committees, commissions and offices which consume taxes and increase expenses.

We stand for Industrial Peace. We believe the time has come for the Government to assume responsibility for the protection of the public against the waste and terror of industrial warfare, and to that end we demand legislation defining the rights of labor and the creation of industrial courts, which will guarantee to labor and employing capital equal and exact justice, and to the general public protection against the paralysis of industry due to this warfare.

The Prohibition Party pledges the Nation to rid it of the profiteer and to close the door against his return. It will endeavor to eliminate all unnecessary middlemen by the encouragement of organizations among producers that will bring those who sell and those who use nearer together. It will enact and enforce laws needful to effectively prevent excessive charges by such middlemen. To this end it will demand legislation subjecting to the penalties of the criminal law all corporate officers and employes who give or carry out instructions that result in extortion; it will make it unlawful for anyone engaged in Interstate Commerce to make the sale of one article dependent upon the purchase of another article and it will require such corporation to disclose to customers the difference between cost price and selling price or limit the profit that can be legally charged as the rate of interest is now limited.

We pledge our aid to the farmer in working out a plan to equalize prices, to secure labor, and to organize a system of co-operative marketing, including public terminals, mills and storage for the purpose of encouraging agriculture and securing for the farmer such return as will tend to increased production.

We favor such extension of the parcel post as will further facilitate the direct traffic between the producer and consumer.

The qualifications for President stated in the Constitution have to do with age and citizenship. We call attention to the fact that of greater importance are those not so stated referring to moral intellectual and spiritual endowments. The President of the United States in his daily life, his home and family relationships and in his official career is expected to typify the finest and best the country

can produce. He is the leader of the Nation. The moral force and power of his example are immeasurable. No man or woman should ever be elected to the high office who is out of harmony with the purposes of the people or who lacks sympathy with their highest and holiest ideals, and with the Christian principles upon which the nation was founded.

A crying evil of the day is the general lax enforcement of law. Without obedience to law and maintenance of order our American institutions must perish.

The Prohibition Party now, as ever, pledges impartial enforcement of all law.

In this national and world crisis the Prohibition Party reminds the people of its long-time faithfulness and its wisdom, proved by the many reforms which it was the first to advocate; and on its record as the oldest minority party — one which has never sold its birthright for a mess of pottage but throughout the years has stood for the best interests of the country — it asks the favorable consideration of the voters, believing that by its support they can make it necessary for all political organizations to come up to a higher level and to render a finer quality of service.

It pledges itself resolutely to stand for the right and oppose the wrong and dauntlessly to lead in the advocacy of righteous and patriotic principles. On its record and on this Declaration of Principles it submits its case to the American people.

THE CANVASS OF 1920

The League of Nations as an Issue

The chief Republican candidates for the nomination were General Leonard Wood, Governor Frank O. Lowden of Illinois, and Senator Hiram Johnson of California. Eighteen other names received votes during the balloting. Whole number of delegates, 984.

Result of the first ballot at Chicago:

Leonard Wood, United States Army.....	287½
Frank O. Lowden, of Illinois.....	211½
Hiram Johnson, of California.....	133½
Warren G. Harding, of Ohio.....	65½

The balloting soon developed a deadlock between Wood and Lowden. Their friends had been injudicious in the use of money during the campaign, and Senator Warren G. Harding, of Ohio, was selected by the party leaders as an eleventh-hour compromise candidate. He was nominated on the tenth ballot for the Presidency, June 12.

BALLOTS	L. WOOD	F. O. LOWDEN	H. JOHNSON	W. G. HARDING
First.....	287 $\frac{1}{2}$	211 $\frac{1}{2}$	133 $\frac{1}{2}$	65 $\frac{1}{2}$
Second.....	289 $\frac{1}{2}$	259 $\frac{1}{2}$	146	57
Third.....	303	282 $\frac{1}{2}$	148	58 $\frac{1}{2}$
Fourth.....	314 $\frac{1}{2}$	289	140 $\frac{1}{2}$	61 $\frac{1}{2}$
Fifth.....	299	303	133 $\frac{1}{2}$	78
Sixth.....	311 $\frac{1}{2}$	311 $\frac{1}{2}$	110	89
Seventh.....	312	311 $\frac{1}{2}$	99 $\frac{1}{2}$	106
Eighth.....	299	307	87	133 $\frac{1}{2}$
Ninth.....	249	121 $\frac{1}{2}$	82	374 $\frac{1}{2}$
Tenth.....	156	11	80 $\frac{4}{5}$	692 $\frac{1}{5}$

Calvin Coolidge, of Massachusetts, was nominated for Vice-President, and, although at the time a large portion of the Massachusetts delegation happened to be absent from the Convention hall, he received 674 $\frac{1}{2}$ votes to Senator Irvine L. Lenroot's 146 $\frac{1}{2}$. There were five other candidates. He had become nationally known through the Boston police strike of the previous autumn, in connection with which he sent a telegram to Samuel Gompers, part of which read: "There is no right to strike against the public safety by anybody, anywhere, any time."

Governor James M. Cox, of Ohio, had as his Democratic opponents William G. McAdoo, son-in-law of President Wilson, Attorney-General A. Mitchell Palmer, and Alfred E. Smith of New York. Nineteen other men received votes during the balloting at San Francisco. On the first ballot 1094 votes were cast, necessary for a choice 729.

BALLOTS	W. G. McAdoo	J. M. Cox	A. M. Palmer	A. E. Smith
First.....	266	134	256	109
Tenth.....	385	321	257	0
Twentieth.....	340 $\frac{1}{2}$	456 $\frac{1}{2}$	178	0
Thirtieth.....	403 $\frac{1}{2}$	400 $\frac{1}{2}$	165	0
Fortieth.....	467	490	19	0
Forty-Fourth.....	270	699 $\frac{1}{2}$	1	0

Delegations then changed enough votes to give Cox the nomination. Press reports state that the last ballot was never completed.

Franklin D. Roosevelt of New York, Assistant Secretary of the Navy, was nominated by acclamation for Vice-President.

Governor Cox made an aggressive campaign over the entire country, urging the ratification of the treaty of peace with Germany in the form in which it had been signed by President Wilson, embodying the Covenant of the League of Nations. Senator Harding received delegations at his home in Marion, Ohio, attacking the administration of Mr. Wilson rather than the League, but asked that

the Covenant be freed from perils which would, he said, endanger America's independence. The other national parties making nominations in 1920 were the Single Tax Party, the Socialist Party, the Socialist Labor Party, the Farmer-Labor Party, and the Prohibition Party. No one of these minor parties obtained votes in the Electoral College.

Harding and Coolidge were swept into office by unsurpassed majorities. The Electoral vote was 404 to 127. To some observers this was "the triumph of reaction," to others it seemed due to dissatisfaction with Mr. Wilson's policies during the war. He had come to be a personality rather than a party leader, and party lines were to some extent obliterated. At this election for the first time women voted.¹

Of the minor parties the Socialists fared the best, but the showing made by the others was not very encouraging to their supporters. The popular vote follows (see p. 423).

¹ By invitation of President Harding December 16, 1920, Mr. Coolidge sat in with the Cabinet. Mr. Dawes in 1928 writes: "My friendship and high respect for President Coolidge are such that it would be personally a pleasure to sit in his Cabinet, but I will not do so because in my judgment it involves a wrong principle."

STATES	POPULAR VOTE — 1920					ELECTORAL VOTE	
	Harding and Coolidge Republican	Cox and Roosevelt Democratic	Debs and Stedman Socialist	Christensen and Hayes Farmer-Labor	Watkins and Colvin Prohibition	Harding and Coolidge	Cox and Roosevelt
Alabama.....	74,690	163,254	2,369		757		12
Arizona.....	37,016	29,546	222	15	4	3	
Arkansas.....	71,117	107,409	5,111				9
California.....	624,992	229,191	64,076		25,204	13	
Colorado.....	173,248	104,936	8,046	3,016	2,807	6	
Connecticut.....	229,238	120,721	10,350	1,947	1,771	7	
Delaware.....	52,858	39,911	988	93	986	3	
Florida.....	44,853	90,515	5,189		5,124		6
Georgia.....	43,720	109,856	465		8		14
Idaho.....	91,351	46,930	38	6	9	4	
Illinois.....	1,420,480	534,395	74,747	49,630	11,216	29	
Indiana.....	696,370	511,364	24,703	16,499	13,462	15	
Iowa.....	634,674	227,921	16,981	10,321	4,197	13	
Kansas.....	369,268	185,464	15,511			10	
Kentucky.....	452,480	456,497	6,409		3,325		13
Louisiana.....	38,538	87,519					10
Maine.....	136,355	58,961	2,214			6	
Maryland.....	236,117	180,626	8,876	1,645		8	
Massachusetts.....	681,153	276,691	32,269			18	
Michigan.....	762,865	233,450	28,947	10,480	9,646	15	
Minnesota.....	519,421	142,994	56,106		11,489	12	
Mississippi.....	11,576	69,277	1,639				10
Missouri.....	727,521	574,924	20,242	3,291	5,142	18	
Montana.....	109,430	57,372		12,204		4	
Nebraska.....	247,498	119,608	9,600		5,947	8	
Nevada.....	15,479	9,851	1,864			3	
New Hampshire.....	95,196	62,662	1,234			4	
New Jersey.....	615,333	258,761	27,385	2,264	4,895	14	
New Mexico.....	57,634	46,668		1,097		3	
New York.....	1,871,167	781,238	203,201	18,413	19,653	45	
North Carolina.....	232,848	305,447	446		17		12
North Dakota.....	160,072	37,422	8,282			5	
Ohio.....	1,182,022	780,037	57,147		294	24	
Oklahoma.....	243,831	217,053	25,726			10	
Oregon.....	143,592	80,019	9,801		3,595	5	
Pennsylvania.....	1,218,215	503,202	70,021	15,642	42,612	38	
Rhode Island.....	107,463	55,062	4,351		510	5	
South Carolina.....	2,244	64,170	28				9
South Dakota.....	109,874	35,938		34,707	900	5	
Tennessee.....	219,829	206,558	2,268			12	
Texas.....	114,538	288,767	8,121				20
Utah.....	81,555	56,639	3,159	4,475		4	
Vermont.....	68,212	20,919			774	4	
Virginia.....	87,456	141,670	807	240	824		12
Washington.....	223,137	84,298	8,913	77,246	3,800	7	
West Virginia.....	282,007	220,789	5,618		1,528	8	
Wisconsin.....	498,576	113,422	85,041		8,647	13	
Wyoming.....	35,091	17,429	1,288	2,180	265	3	
Total.....	16,152,200	9,147,353	919,799	265,411	189,408	404	127

The Socialist-Labor vote was above 20,896 because in some States the party electors bore other designations. The Single Tax vote approximated 3932. The American Party polled in Texas 47,689 votes for President.

CONVENTIONS, CANDIDATES, AND PLATFORMS

CANVASS OF 1924

REPUBLICAN PARTY CONVENTION, BEGUN AT CLEVELAND
JUNE 10

Candidates

For President, Calvin Coolidge, of Massachusetts
For Vice-President, Charles Gates Dawes, of Illinois

Platform

WE, the delegates of the Republican Party, in National Convention assembled, bow our heads in reverent memory of Warren G. Harding.

We nominated him four years ago to be our candidate; the people of the Nation elected him their President. His human qualities gripped the affections of the American people. He was a public servant unswerving in his devotion to duty.

A stanch Republican, he was first of all a true patriot, who gave unstintingly of himself during a trying and critical period of our national life.

His conception and successful direction of the Limitation of Armament Conference in Washington was an achievement which advanced the world along the path toward peace.

As delegates of the Republican Party we share in the national thanksgiving that in the great emergency created by the death of our great leader there stood forth fully equipped to be his successor one whom we had nominated as Vice-President — Calvin Coolidge, who as Vice-President and President by his every act has justified the faith and confidence which he has won from the Nation.

He has put the public welfare above personal considerations. He has given to the people practical idealism in office. By his every act he has won without seeking the applause of the people of the country. The constantly accumulating evidence of his integrity, vision and single-minded devotion to the needs of the people of this Nation strengthens and inspires our confident faith in his continued leadership.

When the Republican Administration took control of the Government in 1921 there were 4,500,000 unemployed; industry and commerce were stagnant; agriculture was prostrate; business was depressed; Government bonds were selling below their par value.

Peace was delayed; misunderstanding and friction characterized our relations abroad. There was a lack of faith in the administration

of government resulting in a growing feeling of distrust in the very principles upon which our institutions are founded.

To-day industry and commerce are active; public and private credits are sound. We have made peace; we have taken the first step toward disarmament and strengthened our friendship with the world powers; our relations with the rest of the world are on a firmer basis, our position was never better understood; our foreign policy never more definite and consistent. The tasks to which we have put our hands are completed. Time has been too short for the correction of all the ills we received as a heritage from the last Democratic Administration, and the notable accomplishments under Republican rule warrant us in appealing to the country with entire confidence.

We demand, and the people of the United States have a right to demand, rigid economy in government. A policy of strict economy enforced by the Republican Administration since 1921 has made possible a reduction in taxation and has enabled the Government to reduce the public debt by two and a half billion dollars. This policy, vigorously enforced, has resulted in a progressive reduction of public expenditures until they are now two billion dollars per annum less than in 1921. The tax burdens of the people have been relieved to the extent of \$1,250,000,000 per annum. Government securities have been increased in value more than three billion dollars. Deficits have been converted into surpluses. The budget system has been firmly established and the number of Federal employees has been reduced more than 100,000. We commend the firm insistence of President Coolidge upon rigid Government economy and pledge him our earnest support to this end.

We believe that the achievement of the Republican Administration in reducing taxation by \$1,250,000,000 per annum, reducing of the public debt by \$2,432,000,000; installing a budget system; reducing the public expenditures from \$5,500,000,000 per annum to approximately \$3,400,000,000 per annum, thus reducing the ordinary expenditures of the Government to substantially a pre-war basis, and the complete restoring of public credit; the payment or refunding of \$7,500,000,000 of public obligations without disturbance of credit or industry — all during the short period of three years — presents a record unsurpassed in the history of public finance.

The assessment of taxes wisely and scientifically collected and the efficient and economical expenditure of the money received by the Government are essential to the prosperity of our Nation. Carelessness in levying taxes inevitably breeds extravagance in expenditures. The wisest of taxation rests most rightly on the individual and economic life of the country. The public demand for a sound tax policy is insistent.

Progressive tax reduction should be accomplished through tax reform. It should not be confined to less than 4,000,000 of our citizens who pay direct taxes, but is the right of the more than 100,000,000 who are daily paying their taxes through their living

expenses. Congress has in the main confined its work to tax reduction. The matter of tax reform is still unsettled and is equally essential.

We pledge ourselves to the progressive reduction of taxes of all the people as rapidly as may be done with due regard for the essential expenditures of the Government administered with rigid economy, and to place our tax system on a sound, peace-time basis.

We indorse the plan of President Coolidge to call in November a national conference of Federal and State officials for the development of effective methods of lightening the tax burden of our citizens and adjusting questions of taxation as between National and State Governments.

We favor the creation by appropriate legislation of a non-partisan Federal commission to make a comprehensive study and report upon the tax systems of the States and Federal Government with a view to an intelligent reformation of our systems of taxation to a more equitable basis, and a proper adjustment of the subjects of taxation as between the National and State Governments, with justice to the taxpayer and in conformity with these sound economic principles.

We favor a comprehensive reorganization of the executive departments and bureaus along the line of the plan recently submitted by a joint committee of the Congress, which has the unqualified support of President Coolidge.

The improvement in the enforcement of the merit system both by legislative enactment and executive action since March 4, 1921, has been marked and effective. By executive order the appointment of Presidential postmasters has been placed on the merit basis similar to that applying to the classified service.

We favor the classification of postmasters in first, second and third class post offices and the placing of the Prohibition enforcement forces within the classified civil service without necessarily incorporating the present personnel.

The Republican Party reaffirms its stand for agreement among the nations to prevent war and preserve peace. As an important step in this direction we indorse the Permanent Court of International Justice and favor the adherence of the United States to this tribunal as recommended by President Coolidge. This Government has definitely refused membership in the League of Nations and to assume any obligations under the Covenant of the League. On this we stand.

While we are unwilling to enter into political commitments which would involve us in the conflict of European policies, it should be the purpose and high privilege of the United States to continue to co-operate with other nations in humanitarian efforts in accordance with our cherished traditions.

The basic principles of our foreign policy must be independence without indifference to the rights and necessities of others and co-operation without entangling alliances. This policy, overwhelmingly

approved by the people, has been vindicated since the end of the great war.

America's participation in world affairs under the Administration of President Harding and President Coolidge has demonstrated the wisdom and prudence of the national judgment. A most impressive example of the capacity of the United States to serve the cause of world peace without political affiliations was shown in the effective and beneficent work of the Dawes commission toward the solution of the perplexing question of German reparations.

The first conference of great powers in Washington, called by President Harding, accomplished the limitation of armaments and the readjustment of the relations of the powers interested in the Far East. The conference resulted in an agreement to reduce armaments, relieved the competitive nations involved from the great burdens of taxation arising from the construction and maintenance of capital battleships, assured a new, broader and better understanding in the Far East; brought the assurance of peace in the region of the Pacific and formally adopted the policy of the open door for trade and commerce in the great markets of the Far East.

The historic conference paved the way to avert the danger of renewed hostilities in Europe and to restore the necessary economic stability. While the military forces of America have been reduced to a peace footing, there has been an increase in the land and air forces abroad which constitutes a continual menace to the peace of the world and a bar to the return of prosperity.

We firmly advocate the calling of a conference on the limitation of land forces, the use of submarines and poison gas, as proposed by President Coolidge, when, through the adoption of a permanent reparation plan, the conditions in Europe will make negotiations and co-operation opportune and possible.

By treaties of peace safeguarding our rights and without derogating those of our former associates in arms, the Republican Administration ended the war between this country and Germany and Austria. We have concluded and signed with other nations during the last three years more than fifty treaties and international agreements in the furtherance of peace and good-will.

New sanctions and new proofs of permanent accord have marked our relations with all Latin America. The long-standing controversy between Chile and Peru has been advanced toward settlement by its submission to the President of the United States as arbitrator and with the helpful co-operation of this country a treaty has been signed by the representatives of sixteen American republics, which will stabilize conditions on the American continent and minimize the opportunities for war.

Our difficulties with Mexico have happily yielded to a most friendly adjustment. Mutual confidence has been restored and a pathway for that friendliness and helpfulness which should exist between this Government and the Government of our neighboring

republic has been marked. Agreements have been entered into for the determination by judicial commissions of the claims of the citizens of each country against the respective Governments. We can confidently look forward to more permanent and more stable relations with this republic that joins for so many miles our Southern border.

Our policy, now well defined, of giving practical aid to other peoples without assuming political obligations has been conspicuously demonstrated. The ready and generous response of America to the needs of Japan gave evidence of our helpful interest in the welfare of the distressed in other lands.

The work of our representatives in dealing with subjects of such universal concern as the traffic in women and children, the production and distribution of narcotic drugs, the sale of arms, and in matters affecting public health and morals demonstrated that we can effectively do our part for humanity and civilization without forfeiting, limiting or restricting our national freedom of action.

The American people do cherish their independence, but their sense of duty to all mankind will ever prompt them to give their support, service and leadership to every cause which makes for peace and amity among the nations of the world.

In fulfilment of our solemn pledge in the national platform of 1920 we have steadfastly refused to consider the cancellation of foreign debts. Our attitude has not been that of an oppressive creditor seeking immediate return and ignoring existing financial conditions but has been based on the conviction that a moral obligation such as was incurred should not be disregarded.

We stand for settlements with all debtor countries similar in character to our debt agreement with Great Britain. That settlement, achieved under a Republican Administration, was the greatest international financial transaction in the history of the world. Under the terms of the agreement the United States now receives an annual return upon \$4,600,000,000 owed to us by Great Britain with a definite obligation of ultimate payment in full.

The justness of the basis employed has been formally recognized by other debtor nations.

Great nations cannot recognize or admit the principle of repudiation. To do so would undermine the integrity essential for international trade, commerce and credit. Thirty-five per cent of the total foreign debt is now in process of liquidation.

We reaffirm our belief in the protective tariff to extend needed protection to our productive industries. We believe in protection as a national policy, with due and equal regard to all sections and to agriculture, industries and occupations. It is only by adherence to such a policy that the well-being of the consumers can be safeguarded and that there can be assured to American agriculture, to American labor and to American manufacturers a return to perpetuate American standards of life. A protective tariff is designed to support the high American economic level of life for the average family, and to

prevent a lowering to the levels of economic life prevailing in other lands.

It is the history of the Nation that the protective tariff system has ever justified itself by restoring confidence, promoting industrial activity and employment, enormously increasing our purchasing power and bringing increased prosperity to all our people.

The tariff protection to our industry works for increased consumption of domestic agricultural products by an employed population instead of one unable to purchase the necessities of life. Without the strict maintenance of the tariff principle our farmers will need always to compete with cheap lands and cheap labor abroad and with lower standards of living.

The enormous value of the protective principle has once more been demonstrated by the Emergency Tariff Act of 1921 and the Tariff Act of 1922.

We assert our belief in the elastic provision adopted by Congress in the Tariff Act of 1922 providing for a method of readjusting the tariff rates and the classifications in order to meet changing economic conditions when such changed conditions are brought to the attention of the President by complaint or application.

We believe that the power to increase or decrease any rate of duty provided in the tariff furnishes a safeguard, on the one hand, against excessive taxes, and, on the other hand, against too high customs charges.

The wise provisions of this section of the Tariff Act afford ample opportunity for tariff duty to be adjusted after a hearing in order that they may cover the actual differences in the cost of production in the United States and the principal competing countries of the world.

We also believe that the application of this provision of the Tariff Act will contribute to business stability by making unnecessary general disturbances which are usually incident to general tariff revision.

In dealing with agriculture the Republican Party recognizes that we are faced with a fundamental national problem and that the prosperity and welfare of the Nation as a whole is dependent upon the prosperity and welfare of our agricultural population.

We recognize our agricultural activities are still struggling with adverse conditions that have brought about distress. We pledge the party to take whatever steps are necessary to bring back a balanced condition between agriculture, industry and labor, which was destroyed by the Democratic Party through an unfortunate administration of legislation passed as war-time methods.

We affirm that under the Republican Administration the problems of the farmer have received more serious consideration than ever before, both by definite executive action and by Congressional action, not only in the field of general legislation but also in the enactment of laws to meet emergency situations.

The restoration of general prosperity and of the purchasing power of our people through tariff protection has resulted in an increased domestic consumption of farm products, while the prices of many agricultural commodities are above the war price level by reason of direct tariff protection.

Under the leadership of the President at the most critical time a corporation was organized by private capital, making available \$100,000,000 to assist the farmers of the Northwest.

In realization of the disturbance in the agricultural export market, the result of the financial depression in Europe, and appreciating that the export field would be enormously improved by economic rehabilitation and the resulting increased consuming power, a sympathetic support and direction was given to the work of the American representatives on the European Reparation Commission.

The revival in 1921 of the War Finance Corporation with loans of over \$300,000,000 averted in 1921 a complete collapse in the agricultural industry.

We have established new intermediate credit banks for agriculture and increased the capital of the Federal Farm Loan System. Emergency loans have been granted to drought-stricken areas. We have enacted into law the Co-operative Marketing Act, the Grain Futures and Packer Control Acts; given to agriculture direct representation on the Federal Reserve Board and on the Federal Aid Commission. We have greatly strengthened our foreign marketing service for the disposal of our agricultural products.

The crux of the problem from the standpoint of the farmer is the net profit he receives after his outlay. The process of bringing the average prices of what he buys and what he sells closer together can be promptly expedited by reduction in taxes, steady employment in industry and stability in business.

This process can be expedited by lower freight rates, by better marketing through co-operative efforts and a more scientific organization of the physical human machinery of distribution and by a greater diversification of farm products.

We promise every assistance in the reorganization of the market system on sounder and more economical lines and, when diversification is needed, Government assistance during the period of transition. Vigorous efforts of this Administration toward broadening our exports market will be continued.

The Republican Party pledges itself to the development and enactment of measures which will place the agricultural interests of America on a basis of economic equality with other industry to assure its prosperity and success. We favor adequate tariff protection to such of our agricultural products as are threatened by competition. We favor, without putting the Government into business, the establishment of a Federal system of organization for co-operative marketing of food products.

The mining industry has experienced a period of depression as the

result of the abnormal economic conditions growing out of the war. This Administration has accomplished much in improving the conditions affecting this great fundamental industry and pledges itself to continue its effort in this direction.

The Federal Aid Road Act, adopted by the Republican Congress in 1921, has been of inestimable value to the development of the highway system of the several States and of the Nation. We pledge a continuation of this policy of Federal co-operation with the States in highway building.

We favor the construction of roads and trails in our national forests necessary to their protection and utilization. In appropriations, therefore, the taxes which these lands would pay if taxable should be considered as a controlling factor.

The increasing stress of industrial life, the constant and necessary efforts, because of world competition, to increase production and decrease costs, have made it specially incumbent on those in authority to protect labor from undue exactions.

We commend Congress for having recognized this possibility in its prompt adoption of the recommendation of President Coolidge for a constitutional amendment authorizing Congress to legislate on the subject of child labor, and we urge the prompt consideration of that amendment by the Legislatures of the various States.

There is no success great enough to justify the employment of women in labor under conditions which will impair their natural functions.

We favor high standards for wage, working and living conditions among the women employed in industry.

We pledge a continuance of the successful efforts of the Republican Administration to eliminate the seven-day, twelve-hour work week in industry. We regard with satisfaction the elimination of the twelve-hour day in the steel industry, and the agreement of alternate thirteen and eleven hours, accomplished through the efforts of Presidents Harding and Coolidge. We declare our faith in the principle of the eight-hour day.

We pledge a continuation of the work of rehabilitating workers in industry as conducted by the Federal Board of Vocational Education, and favor adequate appropriations for this purpose.

We favor a broader and better system of vocational education, a more adequate system of Federal free employment agencies, with facilities for assisting the movements of seasonal and migratory labor, including farm labor, with ample organization for bringing the man and his job together.

The people demand and are entitled to have prompt and efficient transportation at the lowest rates consistent with good service and a reasonable return upon the value of the property devoted to public service.

We believe that the American people demand, and we favor, a careful and scientific readjustment of railroad rate schedules with a

view to the encouragement of agriculture and basic industries, without impairment of railroad service.

The present laws regulating railroads, which were enacted to meet post-war conditions, should be modified from time to time as experience shows the necessity therefor.

The consolidation of railroads into fewer competitive systems, subject to the approval of the Interstate Commerce Commission, should be provided for.

The Labor Board provisions of the present law should be amended whenever it appears from experience that such action is necessary. Collective bargaining, mediation and voluntary arbitration are the most important steps in maintaining peaceful labor relations and should be encouraged. We do not believe in compulsory action at any time in the settlement of labor disputes.

Public opinion must be the final arbiter in any crisis which so vitally affects public welfare as the suspension of transportation.

Therefore the interests of the public require the maintenance of an impartial tribunal which can in an emergency make an investigation of the facts and publish its conclusions. This is essential as a basis for popular judgment.

We favor a stable, consistent and constructive policy toward our railroads.

The prosperity of the American Nation rests on the vigor of private initiative which has bred a spirit of independence and self-reliance. The Republican Party stands now, as always, against all attempts to put the Government into business.

American industry should not be compelled to struggle against Government competition. The right of the Government to regulate, supervise and control public utilities and public interests we believe should be strengthened, but we are firmly opposed to the nationalization or Government ownership of public utilities.

The price and a constant supply of this essential commodity are of vital interest to the public. The Government has no constitutional power to regulate prices, but can bring its influence to bear by the powerful instrument afforded by full publicity.

When through industrial conflict its supply is threatened, the President should have authority to appoint a commission to act as mediators and as a medium for voluntary arbitration. In the event of a strike, the control of distribution must be invoked to prevent profiteering.

The Republican Party stands for a strong and permanent merchant marine built by Americans, owned by Americans and manned by Americans, to secure the necessary contact with world markets for our surplus agricultural products and manufactures; to protect our shippers and importers from exorbitant ocean freight rates and to become a powerful arm of our national defense.

That part of the merchant marine now owned by the Government should continue to be improved in its economic and efficient manage-

ment, with reduction of the losses now paid by the Government through taxation until it is finally placed on so sound a basis that, with ocean freight rates becoming normal, due to improvement in international affairs, it can be sold to American citizens.

Fully realizing the vital importance of transportation in both cost and service to all our people, we favor the construction of the most feasible waterways from the Great Lakes to the Atlantic Seaboard and the Gulf of Mexico and the improvement and development of rivers, harbors and waterways, inland and coastwise, to the fullest extent justified by the presence and potential tonnage available.

We favor a comprehensive survey of the conditions under which the flood waters of the Colorado River may be controlled and utilized for the benefit of the people of the States which border thereon.

The Federal Water Power Act establishes a national water-power policy and the way has thereby been opened for the greatest water-power development in history under conditions which preserve the initiative of our people, yet protect the public interests.

We reaffirm the admiration and gratitude which we feel for soldiers and sailors; the Republican Party pledges a continually and increasing solicitude for all those suffering any disability as a result of service to the United States in time of war. No country and no Administration has ever shown a more generous disposition in the care of its disabled, or more thoughtful consideration in providing a sound administration for the solution of the many problems involved in making intended benefits fully, directly and promptly available to the veterans.

The confusion, inefficiency and maladministration existing heretofore since the establishment of this Government agency have been cured and plans are being actively made looking to a further improvement in the operation of the bureau by the passage of new legislation.

The basic statute has been so liberalized as to bring within its terms 100,000 additional beneficiaries. The privilege of hospitalization in Government hospitals, as recommended by President Coolidge, has been granted to all veterans irrespective of the origin of disability, and over \$50,000,000 has been appropriated for hospital construction, which will provide sufficient beds to care for all.

Appropriations totaling over \$1,100,000,000 made by the Republican Congress for the care of the disabled evidences the unmistakable purpose of the Government not to consider costs when the welfare of these men is at stake. No legislation for the benefit of the disabled soldier proposed during the last four years by veterans' organizations has failed to receive consideration.

We pledge ourselves to meet the problems of the future affecting the care of our wounded and disabled in a spirit of liberality and with that thoughtful consideration which will enable the Government to give to the individual veterans that full measure of care guaranteed by an effective administration machinery to which his patriotic services and sacrifices entitle him.

We believe in the development, effective and efficient, whether of oil, timber, coal or water power resources of this Government, only as needed and only after the public need has become a matter of public record, controlled with a scrupulous regard and ever vigilant safeguards against waste, speculation and monopoly.

The natural resources of the country belong to all the people and are a part of an estate belonging to generations yet unborn. The Government policy should be to safeguard, develop and utilize these possessions. The conservation policy of the Nation originated with the Republican Party, under the inspiration of Theodore Roosevelt. We hold it a privilege of the Republican Party to build as a memorial to him on the foundation which he laid.

The conservation of human resources is one of the most solemn responsibilities of Government. There is an obligation which cannot be ignored and which demands that the Federal Government shall, as far as lies in its power, give to the people and the States the benefit of its counsel.

The welfare activities of the Government connected with the various departments are already numerous and important, but lack the co-ordination which is essential to effective action. To meet these needs, we approve the suggestion for the creation of a Cabinet post of Education and Relief.

We believe that in time of war the Nation should draft for its defense not only its citizens, but also every resource which may contribute to success.

The country demands that should the United States ever again be called upon to defend itself by arms, the President be empowered to draft such material resources and such service as may be required and to stabilize the prices of services and essential commodities, whether used in actual warfare or private activities.

The unprecedented living conditions in Europe following the World War created a condition by which we were threatened with mass immigration that would have seriously disturbed our economic life. The law recently enacted is designed to protect the inhabitants of our country, not only the American citizen, but also the alien already with us who is seeking to secure an economic foothold for himself and family, from the competition that would come from unrestricted immigration. The administrative features of the law represent a great constructive advance and eliminate the hardships suffered by immigrants under the emergency statute.

We favor the adoption of methods which will exercise a helpful influence among the foreign-born population and provide for the education of the alien in our language, customs, ideals and standards of life. We favor the improvement of naturalization laws.

We favor a continuance for the Territory of Hawaii of Federal assistance in harbor improvements, the appropriation of its share of Federal funds, and the systematic extension of the settlement of public lands by the Hawaiian race.

We indorse the policy of the present Administration in reference to Alaska and favor a continuance of the constructive development of the Territory.

The Philippines policy of the Republican Party has been and is inspired by the belief that our duty toward the Filipino people is a national obligation which should remain entirely free from parties and politics.

In accepting the obligation which came to it with the control of the Philippine Islands the American people has only the wish to serve, advance and improve the conditions of the Filipino people. That thought will continue to be the dominating factor in the American consideration of the many problems which must inevitably grow out of our relationship to the people.

If the time comes when it is evident to Congress that independence would be better for the people of the Philippines with respect to both their domestic concerns and their status in the world, and the Filipino people then desire complete independence, the American Government will gladly accord it. A careful study of the conditions in the Philippine Islands has convinced us that the time for such action has not yet arrived.

Federal reclamation of the arid and semi-arid lands in the West has been the subject of intensive study in the Department of the Interior during the past fiscal year. New policies and methods of operation have been adopted which promise to insure the successful accomplishment of the objects sought. The completion of this reorganization plan is regarded as one of the achievements of the present Administration in the interests of farmers immediately and of all the people ultimately.

We advocate the early enactment of such legislation and the taking of such steps by the Government as will tend to promote commercial aviation.

There must be no further weakening of our regular army, and we advocate appropriations sufficient to provide for the training of all members of the National Guard, the citizens' military training camps, the Reserve Officers' training camps and the reserves who may offer themselves for service. We pledge ourselves to round out and maintain the navy to the full strength provided the United States by the letter and spirit of the limitation of armament conference.

We urge the Congress to enact at the earliest possible date a Federal anti-lynching law, so that the full influence of the Federal Government may be wielded to exterminate this hideous crime. We believe that much of the misunderstanding which now exists can be eliminated by humane and sympathetic study of its causes. The President has recommended the creation of a commission for the investigation of social and economic conditions and the promotion of mutual understanding and confidence.

The Republican Party reaffirms its devotion to orderly government under the guarantees embodied in the Constitution of the United

States. We recognize the duty of constant vigilance to preserve at all times a clean and honest government and to bring to the bar of justice every defiler of the public service in or out of office.

Dishonesty and corruption are not political attributes. The recent Congressional investigations have exposed instances in both parties of men in public office who are willing to sell official favors and men out of office who are willing to buy them in some cases with money and in others with influence.

The sale of influence resulting from the holding of public position or from association while in public office or the use of such influence for private gain or advantage is a perversion of public trust and prejudicial to good government. It should be condemned by public opinion and forbidden by law.

We demand the speedy, fearless and impartial prosecution of all wrongdoers, without regard for political affiliation or position, but we declare no greater wrong can be committed against the people than the attempt to destroy their trust in the great body of their public servants. Admitting the deep humiliation which all good citizens share, that our public life should have harbored some dishonest men, we assert that these undesirables do not represent the standard of our national integrity.

The Government at Washington is served to-day by thousands of earnest, conscientious and faithful officials and employes in every department. It is a grave wrong against these patriotic men and women to strive indiscriminately to besmirch the names of the innocent and undermine the confidence of the people in the Government under which they live. It is even a graver wrong when this is done for partisan purposes or for selfish exploitation.

The Republican Administration has already taken charge of the prosecution of official dereliction, and it will continue the work of discovering and punishing; but it will not confuse the innocent with the guilty, nor prostitute for party advantage the enforcement of the law.

We must have respect for law. We must have observance of law. We must have enforcement of law. The very existence of the Government depends upon this. The substitution of private will for public law is only another name for oppression, disorder, anarchy and the mob rule.

Every Government depends upon the loyalty and respect of its citizens. Violations of the law weaken and threaten government itself. No honest Government can condone such actions on the part of its citizens. The Republican Party pledges the full strength of the Government for the maintenance of these principles by the enforcement of the Constitution and of all laws.

We extend our greeting to the women delegates who for the first time under Federal authorization sit with us in full equality. The Republican Party from the beginning has espoused the cause of Woman Suffrage, and the presence of these women delegates signifies

to many here the completion of a task undertaken years ago. We welcome them not as assistants or as auxiliary representatives, but as co-partners in the great political work in which we are engaged, and we believe that the actual partnership in party councils should be made more complete.

The Republican Party reaffirms its unyielding devotion to the Constitution and to the guarantees of civil, political and religious liberty therein contained.

With us, parties are essential instrumentalities of government. Our Government functions best when the Chief Executive is supported by a majority in the Congress of the same political faith, united by party principles and able by concerted action to carry out in an orderly way a definite, consistent and well-balanced program. In urging the people to elect a Republican President and Vice-President, we urge them to elect to the Senate and House of Representatives men and women who believe in the Republican principles, acknowledge party responsibility and who can be relied on to keep faith with the people by carrying out the program which the Republican Party presents and pledges itself to fulfill.

DEMOCRATIC PARTY CONVENTION, HELD AT NEW YORK
JUNE 24-JULY 10

Candidates

For President, John William Davis, of West Virginia

For Vice-President, Charles Wayland Bryan, of Nebraska

Platform

WE, the representatives of the Democratic Party, in National Convention assembled, pay our profound homage to the memory of Woodrow Wilson. Our hearts are filled with gratitude that American Democracy should have produced this man whose spirit and influence will live on through the ages; and that it was our privilege to have cooperated with him in the advancement of ideals of government which will serve as an example and inspiration for this and future generations. We affirm our abiding faith in those ideals and pledge ourselves to take up the standard which he bore and to strive for the full triumph of the principles of Democracy to which he dedicated his life.

The Democratic Party believes in equal rights to all and special privilege to none. The Republican Party holds that special privileges are essential to national prosperity. It believes that national prosperity must originate with the special interests and seep down through the channels of trade to the less favored industries, to the

wage earners and small salaried employees. It has accordingly enthroned privilege and nurtured selfishness.

The Republican Party is concerned chiefly with material things; the Democratic Party is concerned chiefly with human rights. The masses, burdened by discriminating laws and unjust administration, are demanding relief. The favored special interests, represented by the Republican Party, contented with their unjust privileges, are demanding that no change be made. The Democratic Party stands for remedial legislation and progress. The Republican Party stands still.

We urge the American people to compare the record of eight unsullied years of Democratic Administration with that of the Republican Administration. In the former there was no corruption. Party pledges were faithfully fulfilled and a Democratic Congress enacted an extraordinary number of constructive and remedial laws.

The economic life of the Nation was quickened. Tariff taxes were reduced. A Federal Trade Commission was created. A Federal farm loan system was established. Child labor legislation was enacted. A Good Roads Bill was passed. Eight-hour laws were adopted. A Secretary of Labor was given a seat in the Cabinet of the President.

The Clayton amendment to the Sherman Anti-Trust Act was passed, freeing American labor and taking it from the category of commodities. By the Smith-Lever Bill improvement of agriculture conditions was effected. A Corrupt Practices Act was adopted. A well-considered Warehouse Act was passed. Federal Employment Bureaus were created. Farm Loan Banks were organized and the Federal Reserve System was established.

Privilege was uprooted. A corrupt lobby was driven from the national capital. A higher sense of individual and national duty was aroused. America enjoyed an unprecedented period of social and material progress.

During the time which intervened between the inauguration of a Democratic Administration on March 4, 1913, and our entrance into the World War, we placed upon the statute books of our country more effective, constructive and remedial legislation than the Republican Party had placed there in a generation.

During the great struggle which followed we had a leadership that carried America to greater heights of honor and power and glory than she had ever known before in her entire history.

Transition from this period of exalted Democratic leadership to the sordid record of the last three and one-half years makes the Nation ashamed. It marks the contrast between a high conception of public service and an avid purpose to distribute spoils.

Never before in our history has the Government been so tainted by corruption and never has an Administration so utterly failed. The Nation has been appalled by the revelations of political depravity which have characterized the conduct of public affairs.

We arraign the Republican Party for attempting to limit inquiry

into official delinquencies and to impede, if not to frustrate, the investigations to which in the beginning the Republican Party and leaders assented but which later they regarded with dismay.

These investigations sent the former Secretary of the Interior to Three Rivers in disgrace and dishonor. These investigations revealed the incapacity and indifference to public obligation of the Secretary of the Navy, compelling him, by force of public opinion, to quit the Cabinet. These investigations confirmed the general impression as to the unfitness of the Attorney General by exposing an official situation and personal contacts which shocked the conscience of the Nation and compelled his dismissal from the Cabinet.

These investigations disclosed the appalling conditions in the Veterans' Bureau with its fraud upon the Government and its cruel neglect of the sick and disabled soldiers of the World War. These investigations revealed the criminal and fraudulent nature of the oil leases, which caused the Congress, despite the indifference of the Executive, to direct recovery of the public domain and the prosecution of the criminal.

Such are the exigencies of partisan politics that Republican leaders are teaching the strange doctrine of public censure should be directed against those who expose crime rather than against criminals who have committed the offenses. If only three Cabinet officers out of ten are disgraced the country is asked to marvel at how many are free from taint.

Long boastful that it was the only party "fit to govern," the Republican Party has proved its inability to govern even itself. It is at war with itself. As an agency of government it has ceased to function. This Nation cannot afford to intrust its welfare to a political organization that cannot master itself or to an Executive whose policies have been rejected by his own party. To retain in power an Administration of this character would inevitably result in four years more of continued disorder, internal dissension and governmental inefficiency.

A vote for Coolidge is a vote for chaos!

The dominant issues of the campaign are created by existing conditions.

Dishonesty, discrimination, extravagance and inefficiency exist in government. The burdens of taxation have become unbearable. Distress and bankruptcy in agriculture, the basic industry of our country, is affecting the happiness and prosperity of the whole people. The high cost of living is causing hardship and unrest.

The slowing down of industry is adding to the general distress. The tariff, the destruction of our foreign markets and the high cost of transportation are taking the profit out of agriculture, mining and other raw-material industries. Large standing armies and the cost of preparing for war still cast their burdens upon humanity. These conditions the existing Republican Administration has proved itself unwilling or unable to redress.

The Democratic Party pledges itself to the following program:

We pledge the Democratic Party to drive from public places all who make barter of our national honor, its resources or the administration of its laws; to punish those guilty of these offenses.

To put none but the honest in public office; to practice economy in the expenditure of public money; to reverence and respect the rights of all under the Constitution.

To condemn and destroy Government by the spy and the black-mailer, as by this Republican Administration was both encouraged and practiced.

The Fordney-McCumber Tariff Act is the most unjust, unscientific and dishonest tariff tax measure ever enacted in our history. It is class legislation, which defrauds all the people for the benefit of a few; it heavily increases the cost of living, penalizes agriculture, corrupts the Government, fosters paternalism, and, in the long run, does not benefit the very interests for which it was enacted.

We denounce the Republican tariff laws, which are written in great part in aid of monopolies and thus prevent that reasonable exchange of commodities which would enable foreign countries to buy our surplus agricultural and manufactured products, with resultant benefit to the toilers and producers of America. Trade interchange, on the basis of reciprocal advantages to the countries participating, is a time-honored doctrine of Democratic faith. We declare our party's position to be in favor of a tax on commodities entering the Custom Houses that will promote effective competition, protect against monopoly and at the same time produce a fair revenue to support the Government.

The greatest contributing factor in the increase and unbalancing of prices is unscientific taxation. After having increased taxation and the cost of living by two billion dollars, under the Fordney-McCumber tariff, all that the Republican Party could suggest in the way of relief was a cut of \$300,000,000 in direct taxes; and that was to be given principally to those with the largest incomes.

Although there was no evidence of a lack of capital for investment to meet the present requirements of all legitimate industrial enterprises, and although the farmers and general consumers were bearing the brunt of tariff favors already granted to special interests, the Administration was unable to devise any plan except one to grant further aid to the few.

Fortunately this plan of the Administration failed, and, under Democratic leadership, aided by progressive Republicans, a more equitable one was adopted which reduced direct taxes by about \$450,000,000.

The issue between the President and the Democratic Party is not one of tax reduction or of the conservation of capital. It is an issue of the relative burden of taxation and of the distribution of capital as affected by the taxation of income. The President still stands on the so-called Mellon plan, which his party has just refused to indorse or mention in its platform.

The income tax was intended as a tax upon wealth. It was not intended to take from the poor any part of the necessities of life. We hold that the fairest tax with which to raise revenues for the Federal Government is the income tax.

We favor a graduated tax upon incomes, so adjusted as to lay the burdens of government upon the taxpayers in proportion to the benefits they enjoy and their ability to pay.

We oppose the so-called nuisance taxes, sales taxes and all other forms of taxation that unfairly shift to the consumer the burdens of taxation.

We refer to the Democratic revenue measure passed by the last Congress, as distinguished from the Mellon tax plan, as an illustration of the policy of the Democratic Party. We first made a flat reduction of 25 per cent upon the tax of all incomes payable this year, and then we so changed the proposed Mellon plan as to eliminate taxes upon the poor, reducing them upon moderate incomes and, in a lesser degree, upon the incomes of multimillionaires. We hold that all taxes are unnecessarily high, and pledge ourselves to further reductions.

We denounce the Mellon tax plan as a device to relieve multimillionaires at the expense of other taxpayers, and we accept the issue of taxation tendered by President Coolidge.

During the four years of Republican government the economic condition of the American farmer has changed from comfort to bankruptcy, with all its attendant miseries. The chief causes of this are:

(a) The Republican policy of isolation in international affairs has prevented Europe from getting back to its normal balance, and, by leaving unsolved the economic problems abroad, has driven the European city population from industrial activities to the soil in large numbers in order to earn the mere necessities of life. This has deprived the American farmer of his normal export trade.

(b) The Republican policy of a prohibitive tariff, exemplified in the Fordney-McCumber law, which has forced the American farmer, with his export market debilitated, to buy manufactured goods at sustained high domestic levels, thereby making him the victim of the profiteer.

(c) The Republican policy of high transportation rates, both rail and water, which has made it impossible for the farmer to ship his produce to market at even a living profit.

To offset these policies and their disastrous results, and to restore the farmer again to economic equality with other industrialists, we pledge ourselves:

(a) To adopt an international policy of such co-operation, by direct official instead of indirect and evasive unofficial means, as will re-establish the farmers' export market by restoring the industrial balance in Europe and the normal flow of international trade with the settlement of Europe's economic problems.

(b) To adjust the tariff so that the farmer and all other classes can buy again in a competitive manufacturers' market.

(c) To readjust and lower rail and water rates, which will make our markets, both for the buyer and the seller, national and international instead of regional and local.

(d) To bring about the early completion of internal waterway systems for transportation, and to develop our water powers for cheaper fertilizer and use on our farms.

(e) To stimulate by every proper governmental activity the progress of the co-operative marketing movement and the establishment of an export marketing corporation or commission in order that the exportable surplus may not establish the price of the whole crop.

(f) To secure for the farmer credits suitable for his needs.

(g) By the establishment of these policies, and others naturally supplementary thereto, to reduce the margin between what the producer receives for his products and the consumer has to pay for his supplies, to the end that we secure an equality for agriculture.

The sponsors for the Esch-Cummins Transportation Act of 1920, at the times of its presentation to Congress, stated that it had for its purpose the reduction of the cost of transportation, the improvement of service, the bettering of labor conditions, the promotion of peaceful co-operation between employer and employee, and, at the same time, the assurance of a fair and just return to the railroads upon their investment.

We are in accord with these announced purposes, but contend that the act has failed to accomplish them. It has failed to reduce the cost of transportation. The promised improvement in service has not been realized. The labor provisions of the act have proven unsatisfactory in settling differences between employer and employees. The so-called recapture clause has worked to the advantage of the strong and has been of no benefit to the weak.

The pronouncement in the act for the development of both rail and water transportation has proved futile. Water transportation upon our inland waterways has not been encouraged, and limitation of our coastwise trade is threatened by the administration of the act. It has unnecessarily interfered with the power of the States to regulate purely intrastate transportation. It must, therefore, be so rewritten that the high purposes which the public welfare demands may be accomplished.

Railroad freight rates should be so readjusted as to give the bulky, basic, low-priced raw commodities, such as agricultural products, coal and ores, the lowest rates, placing the higher rates upon more valuable and less bulky manufactured products.

We reaffirm and pledge the fulfillment of the policy, with reference to Muscle Shoals, as declared and passed by the Democratic majority of the Sixty-fourth Congress in the National Defense Act of 1916, "for the production of nitrates or other products needed for munitions of war and useful in the manufacture of fertilizers."

We hold that the production of cheaper and higher grade fertilizers is essential to agricultural prosperity. We demand prompt action by

Congress for the operation of Muscle Shoals plants to maximum capacity in the production, distribution and sale of commercial fertilizers to the farmers of the country, and we oppose any legislation that limits the production of fertilizers at Muscle Shoals by limiting the amount of power to be used in their manufacture.

We denounce the recent cruel and unjust contraction of legitimate and necessary credit and currency, which was directly due to the so-called deflation policy of the Republican Party as declared in its national platform of June, 1920, and in the speech of acceptance of its candidate for the Presidency.

Within eighteen months after the election of 1920 this policy resulted in withdrawing bank loans and discounts by over \$5,000,000,000 and in contracting our currency by over \$1,500,000,000. This contraction bankrupted hundreds of thousands of farmers and stock growers in America and resulted in widespread industrial depression and unemployment.

We demand that the Federal Reserve System be so administered as to give stability to industry, commerce and finance, as was intended by the Democratic Party, which gave the Federal Reserve System to the Nation.

The Democratic Party was foremost in urging immediate reclamation for the arid and semi-arid lands of the West. These lands are located in the public-land States and, therefore, it is the duty of the Government to utilize their resources by reclamation.

Homestead entrymen under reclamation projects have suffered from the extravagant inefficiencies and mistakes of the Federal Government.

The Reclamation Act of 1924, recommended by the Fact-Finding Commission and added as an amendment to the second deficiency appropriation bill at the last session of Congress, was eliminated from that bill by the Republican conferees in the report they presented to Congress one hour before adjournment. The Democratic Party pledges itself actively, efficiently and economically to carry on the reclamation projects and to make equitable adjustment for the mistakes the Government has made.

We pledge recovery of the navy's oil reserves and all other parts of the public domain which have been fraudulently or illegally leased or otherwise wrongfully transferred to the control of private interests; vigorous prosecution of all public officials, private citizens and corporations that participated in these transactions; revision of the Water Power Act, the General Leasing Act and all other legislation relating to the public domain that may be essential to its conservation and honest and efficient use on behalf of the people of the country.

We believe that the Nation should retain title to its water power, and we favor the expeditious creation and development of our water power. We favor strict public control and conservation of all the Nation's natural resources, such as coal, iron, oil and timber, and their use in such manner as may be to the best interest of our citizens.

The conservation of migratory birds, the establishment of game preserves and the protection and conservation of wild life are of importance to agriculturists as well as sportsmen.

Our disappearing natural resource of timber calls for a national policy of reforestation.

Improved roads are of vital importance, not only to commerce and industry but also to agriculture and rural life. We call attention to the record of the Democratic Party in this matter and favor a continuance of Federal aid under existing Federal and State agencies.

Mining is one of the basic industries of this country. We produce more coal, iron, copper and silver than any other country. The value of our mineral production is second only to agriculture. Mining has suffered like agriculture, and from the same causes. It is the duty of our Government to foster this industry and to remove the restrictions that destroy its prosperity.

We pledge the Democratic Party to regulate by governmental agencies the anthracite coal industry and all other corporations controlling the necessities of life, where public welfare has been subordinated to private interests.

The Democratic Party condemns the vacillating policy of the Republican Administration in its failure to develop an American-flag shipping policy. There has been a marked decrease in the volume of American commerce carried in American vessels as compared to the record under a Democratic Administration.

We oppose as illogical and unsound all efforts to overcome by subsidies the handicaps to American shipping and commerce imposed by Republican policies.

We condemn the practice of certain American railroads in favoring foreign ships, and pledge ourselves to correct such discriminations. We declare for an American-owned merchant marine, American built, and manned by American crews, which is essential for naval security in war, and is a protection to the American farmer and manufacturer against excessive ocean freight charges on products of farm and factory.

We declare the Government should own and operate such ships as will insure the accomplishment of these purposes, and to continue such operation as long as it may be necessary without obstructing the development and growth of a privately owned American-flag shipping.

We believe with Thomas Jefferson and other founders of the Republic that ignorance is the enemy of freedom, and that each State, being responsible for the intellectual and moral qualifications of its citizens and for the expenditure of the moneys collected by taxation for the support of its schools, shall use its sovereign right in all matters pertaining to education.

The Federal Government should offer to the States such counsel, advice and aid as may be made available through the Federal agencies for the general improvement of our schools in view of our national needs.

We denounce the action of the Republican Administration in its

violations of the principles of civil service by its partisan removals and manipulation of the eligible lists in the Post Office Department and other governmental departments; by its packing the Civil Service Commission so that that commission became the servile instrument of the Administration in its wish to deny to the ex-service men their preferential rights under the law, and the evasion of the requirements of the law with reference to appointments in the department.

We pledge the Democratic Party faithfully to comply with the spirit as well as the regulation of civil service; to extend its provisions to internal revenue officers and to other employees of the Government not in executive positions, and to secure to ex-service men preference in such appointments.

We declare in favor of adequate salaries to provide decent living conditions for postal employees.

We pledge the Democratic Party to a policy which will prevent members of either House who fail of re-election from participating in the subsequent sessions of Congress. This can be accomplished by fixing the days for convening the Congress immediately after the biennial national election; and to this end we favor granting the right to the people of the several States to vote on proposed constitutional amendments.

We favor the extension of the probation principle to the courts of the United States.

We welcome the women of the Nation to their rightful place by the side of men in the control of the Government, whose burdens they have always shared.

The Democratic Party congratulates them upon the essential part which they have taken in the progress of our country, and the zeal with which they are using their political power to aid the enactment of beneficent laws and the exaction of fidelity in the public service.

We favor generous appropriations, honest management and sympathetic care and assistance in the hospitalization, rehabilitation and compensation of veterans of all wars and their dependents. The humanizing of the Veterans' Bureau is imperatively required.

The Nation now knows that the predatory interests have, by supplying Republican campaign funds, systematically purchased legislative favors and administrative immunity. The practice must stop; our Nation must return to honesty and decency in politics.

Elections are public affairs conducted for the sole purpose of ascertaining the will of the sovereign voters. Therefore, we demand that national elections shall hereafter be kept free from the poison of excessive private contributions.

To this end, we favor reasonable means of publicity, at public expense, so that candidates, properly before the people for Federal offices, may present their claims at a minimum of cost. Such publicity should precede the primary and the election.

We favor the prohibition of individual contributions, direct and indirect, to the campaign funds of Congressmen, Senators or Presi-

dential candidates, beyond a reasonable sum to be fixed in the law, for both individual contributions and total expenditures, with requirements for full publicity.

We advocate a complete revision of the Corrupt Practices Act to prevent Newberryism and the election evils disclosed by recent investigations.

Recognizing in narcotic addiction, especially the spreading of heroin addiction among the youth, a grave peril to America and to the human race, we pledge ourselves vigorously to take against it all legitimate and proper measures for education, for control and for suppression at home and abroad.

The Republican Administration has failed to enforce the Prohibition Law, is guilty of trafficking in liquor permits and has become the protector of violators of this law.

The Democratic Party pledges itself to respect and enforce the Constitution and all laws.

We demand that the States of the Union shall be preserved in all their vigor and power. They constitute a bulwark against the centralizing and destructive tendencies of the Republican Party.

We condemn the efforts of the Republican Party to nationalize the functions and duties of the States.

We oppose the extension of bureaucracy, the creation of unnecessary bureaus and Federal agencies, and the multiplication of offices and officeholders.

We demand a revival of the spirit of local self-government essential to the preservation of the free institutions of our Republic.

We pledge ourselves to maintain our established position in favor of the exclusion of Asiatic immigration.

The Filipino people have succeeded in maintaining a stable Government and have thus fulfilled the only condition laid down by Congress as a prerequisite to the granting of independence. We declare that it is now our liberty and our duty to keep our promise to these people by granting them immediately the independence which they so honorably covet.

The maladministration of affairs in Alaska is a matter of concern to all our people.

Under the Republican Administration in Alaska development has ceased and the fishing industry has been seriously impaired.

We pledge ourselves to correct the evils which have grown up in the development of that rich domain.

An adequate form of local self-government for Alaska must be provided, and to that end we favor the establishment of a full territorial form of government for that Territory, similar to that enjoyed by all the Territories except Alaska during the last century of American history.

We believe in a policy for continuing the improvements of the National Park, the harbors and breakwaters, and the Federal roads of the Territory of Hawaii.

We recommend legislation for the welfare of the inhabitants of the Virgin Islands.

We condemn the Lausanne Treaty. It barter legitimate American rights and betrays Armenia for the Chester oil concession.

We favor the protection of American rights in Turkey and the fulfillment of President Wilson's arbitral award respecting Armenia.

We welcome to the sisterhood of republics the ancient land of Greece, which gave to our party its priceless name. We extend to her Government and people our cordial good wishes.

War is a relic of barbarism, and it is justifiable only as a measure of defense.

In the event of war in which the man power of the Nation is drafted, all other resources should likewise be drafted. This will tend to discourage war by depriving it of its profits.

We demand a strict and sweeping reduction of armaments by land and sea, so that there shall be no competitive military program or naval building. Until agreements to this end have been made we advocate an army and navy adequate for our national safety.

Our Government should secure a joint agreement with all nations for world disarmament, and also for a referendum of war, except in case of actual or threatened attack.

Those who must furnish the blood and bear the burdens imposed by war should, whenever possible, be consulted before this supreme sacrifice is required of them.

The Democratic Party pledges all its energies to the outlawing of the whole war system. We refuse to believe that the wholesale slaughter of human beings on the battlefield is any more necessary to man's highest development than is killing by individuals.

The only hope for world peace and for economic recovery lies in the organized efforts of sovereign nations co-operating to remove the causes of war and to substitute law and order for violence.

Under Democratic leadership a practical plan was devised under which fifty-four nations are now operating and which has for its fundamental purpose the free co-operation of all nations in the work of peace.

The Government of the United States for the last four years has had no foreign policy, and consequently it has delayed the restoration of the political and economic agencies of the world. It has impaired our self-respect at home and injured our prestige abroad. It has curtailed our foreign markets and ruined our agricultural prices.

It is of supreme importance to civilization and to mankind that America be placed and kept on the right side of the greatest moral question of all time, and, therefore, the Democratic Party renews its declaration of confidence in the ideals of world peace, the League of Nations and the World Court of Justice as together constituting the supreme effort of the statesmanship and religious conviction of our time to organize the world for peace.

Further, the Democratic Party declares that it will be the purpose

of the next Administration to do all in its power to secure for our country that moral leadership in the family of nations, which, in the providence of God, has been so clearly marked out for it.

There is no substitute for the League of Nations as an agency working for peace; therefore, we believe that, in the interest of permanent peace, and in the lifting of the great burdens of war from the backs of the people, and in order to establish a permanent foreign policy on these supreme questions, not subject to change with change of party Administrations, it is desirable, wise and necessary to lift this question out of party politics, and to that end to take the sense of the American people at a referendum election, advisory to the Government, to be held officially under act of Congress, free from all other questions and candidacies, after ample time for full consideration and discussion throughout the country, upon the question, in substance, as follows:

"Shall the United States become a member of the League of Nations upon such reservations or amendments to the covenant of the League as the President and the Senate of the United States may agree upon."

Immediately upon an affirmative vote we will carry out such mandate.

We favor and will promote deep waterways from the Great Lakes to the Gulf and to the Atlantic Ocean.

We favor a policy for the fostering and building of inland waterways and the removal of discrimination against water transportation. Flood control and the lowering of flood levels is essential to the safety of life and property, the productivity of our lands, the navigability of our streams and the reclaiming of our wet and overflowed lands and the creation of hydroelectric power.

We favor the expeditious construction of flood relief works on the Mississippi and Colorado rivers and also such reclamation and irrigation projects upon the Colorado River as may be found to be feasible and practicable.

We favor liberal appropriations for prompt co-ordinated surveys by the United States to determine the possibilities of general navigation improvements and water power development on navigable streams and their tributaries, to secure reliable information as to the most economical navigation improvement, in combination with the most efficient and complete development of water power.

We favor suspension of the granting of Federal water power licenses by the Federal Water Power Commission until Congress has received reports from the Water Power Commission with regard to applications for such licenses.

We favor the immediate passage of such legislation as may be necessary to enable the States efficiently to enforce their laws relating to the gradual financial strangling of innocent investors, workers and consumers, caused by the indiscriminate promotion, refinancing and reorganizing of corporations on an inflated and overcapitalized basis,

resulting already in the undermining and collapse of many railroads, public service and industrial corporations, manifesting itself in unemployment, irreparable loss and waste, and which constitute a serious menace to the stability of our economic system.

The Federal Trade Commission has submitted to the Republican Administration numerous reports showing the existence of monopolies and combinations in restraint of trade, and has recommended proceedings against these violators of the law.

The few prosecutions which have resulted from this abundant evidence furnished by this agency created by the Democratic Party, while proving the indifference of the Administration to the violations of law by trusts and monopolies and its friendship for them, nevertheless demonstrate the value of the Federal Trade Commission.

We declare that a private monopoly is indefensible and intolerable, and pledge the Democratic Party to vigorous enforcement of existing laws against monopoly and illegal combinations and to the enactment of such further measures as may be necessary.

We favor a sustained development of aviation, both by the Government and commercially.

Labor is not a commodity. It is human. We favor collective bargaining and laws regulating hours of labor and conditions under which labor is performed.

We favor the enactment of legislation providing that the product of convict labor shipped from one State to another shall be subject to the laws of the latter State, exactly as though they had been produced therein.

In order to mitigate unemployment attending business depression, we urge the enactment of legislation authorizing that the construction and repair of public works be initiated in periods of acute unemployment.

We pledge the party to co-operate with the State Governments for the welfare, education and protection of child life and all necessary safeguards against exhaustive, debilitating employment conditions for women.

Without the votes of Democratic members of the Congress the Child Labor Amendment would not have been submitted for ratification.

From the day of their birth friendly relations have existed between the Latin-American republics and the United States. That friendship grows stronger as our relations become more intimate. The Democratic Party sends to these republics its cordial greetings. God has made us neighbors — justice shall keep us friends.

The Democratic Party reaffirms its adherence and devotion to those cardinal principles contained in the Constitution and the precepts upon which our Government is founded, that Congress shall make no laws respecting the establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof, or abridging the freedom of speech or of the press, or the right of the people peaceably to assemble and to petition

the Government for a redress of grievances; that the church and the state shall be and remain separate, and that no religious test shall ever be required as a qualification to any office of public trust under the United States. These principles we pledge ourselves ever to defend and maintain. We insist at all times upon obedience to the orderly processes of the law and deplore and condemn any effort to arouse religious or racial dissension.

Affirming our faith in these principles, we submit our cause to the people.

PROGRESSIVE AND SOCIALIST CONVENTIONS

[A Conference for Progressive Political Action was opened at Cleveland, July 4. Robert M. La Follette, of Wisconsin, was endorsed for President and Burton K. Wheeler, of Montana, for Vice-President. Senator La Follette chose the name "Progressive" for the Party.

The Socialist Party Convention held at Cleveland July 7 approved the Candidates and Platform of the Progressive Party.]

Candidates

For President, Robert Marion La Follette, of Wisconsin

For Vice-President, Burton Kendall Wheeler, of Montana

Platform

FOR 148 years the American people have been seeking to establish a government for the service of all and to prevent the establishment of a government for the mastery of the few. Free men of every generation must combat renewed efforts of organized force and greed to destroy liberty. Every generation must wage a new war for freedom against new forces that seek through new devices to enslave mankind.

Under our representative democracy the people protect their liberties through their public agents.

The test of public officials and public policies alike must be: Will they serve, or will they exploit, the common need?

The reactionary continues to put his faith in mastery for the solution of all problems. He seeks to have what he calls the "strong men and best minds" rule and impose their decision upon the masses of their weaker brethren.

The progressive, on the contrary, contends for less autocracy and more democracy in government, and for less power of privilege and greater obligation of service.

Under the principle of ruthless individualism and competition, that government is deemed best which offers to the few the greatest chance of individual gain.

Under the progressive principle of co-operation, that government is deemed best which offers to the many the highest level of average happiness and well-being.

It is our faith that we all go up or down together — that class gains are temporary delusions and that eternal laws of compensation make every man his brother's keeper.

In that faith we present our program of public service:

The use of power of the Federal Government to crush private monopoly, not to foster it.

Unqualified enforcement of the constitutional guarantees of freedom of speech, press and assemblage.

Public ownership of the Nation's water power and creation of a public superpower system. Strict public control and permanent conservation of all national resources, including coal, iron and other ores, oil and timber lands, in the interest of the people. Promotion of public works in times of business depression.

Retention of surtaxes on swollen incomes; restoration of the tax on excess profits, on stock dividends, profits undistributed to evade taxes, rapidly progressive taxes on large estates and inheritances and repeal of excessive tariff duties, especially on trust-controlled necessities of life, and of nuisance taxes on consumption, to relieve the people of the present unjust burden of taxation and compel those who profited by the war to pay their share of the war's costs and to provide the funds for adjusted compensation solemnly pledged to the veterans of the World War.

Reconstruction of the Federal Reserve and Federal Farm Loan System to provide for direct public control of the Nation's money and credit, to make it available on fair terms to all, and National and State Legislatures to permit and promote co-operative banking.

Adequate laws to guarantee to farmers and industrial workers the right to organize and bargain collectively, through representatives of their own choosing, for the maintenance or improvement of their standards of life.

Creation of Government marketing corporation to provide a direct route between farm producer and city consumer, and to assure farmers fair prices for their products and protect consumers from the profiteers in foodstuffs and other necessities of life. Legislation to conduct the meat-packing industry.

Protection and aid of co-operative enterprises by National and State legislation.

Common international action to effect the economic recovery of the world from the effects of the World War.

Repeal of the Esch-Cummins law. Public ownership of railroads, with democratic operation, with definite safeguards against bureaucratic control.

Abolition of the tyranny and usurpation of the courts, including the practice of nullifying legislation in conflict with the political, social or economic theories of the judges. Abolition of injunctions

in labor disputes and of the power to punish for contempt without trial by jury. Election of all Federal judges without party designation for limited terms.

Prompt ratification of the Child Labor Amendment, and subsequent enactment of a Federal law to protect children in industry. Removal of legal discriminations against women by measures not prejudicial to legislation necessary for the protection of women and for the advancement of social welfare.

A deep waterway from the Great Lakes to the sea.

We denounce the mercenary system of foreign policy under recent Administrations in the interests of financial imperialists, oil monopolists and international bankers, which has at times degraded our State Department from its high service as a strong and kindly intermediary of defenseless governments to a trading outpost for those interests and concession seekers engaged in the exploitation of weaker nations, as contrary to the will of the American people, destructive of domestic development and provocative of war. We favor an active foreign policy to bring about a revision of the Versailles Treaty in accordance with the terms of the Armistice, and to promote firm treaty agreements with all nations to outlaw wars, abolish conscription, drastically reduce land, air and naval armaments, and guarantee public referendums on peace and war.

In supporting this program we are applying to the needs of to-day the fundamental principles of American democracy, opposing equally the dictatorship of plutocracy and the dictatorship of the proletariat.

We appeal to all Americans without regard to partisan affiliation, and we raise the standards of our faith so that all of like purpose may rally and march in this campaign under the banners of progressive union.

The Nation may grow rich in the vision of greed. The Nation will grow great in the vision of service.

MINOR CONVENTIONS

THE SOCIALIST-LABOR PARTY CONVENTION, HELD AT NEW YORK, MAY 11-13

Candidates

For President, Frank T. Johns, of Oregon

For Vice-President, Verne L. Reynolds, of Maryland

Platform

THE Platform for 1924 and that for 1920 were identical with two exceptions. The first paragraph for 1924 refers to the "16th National Convention," that for 1920 being the 15th.

The paragraph (next to the last) beginning, "We therefore call

upon the wage workers," had a reference in 1920 to the Workers' International Industrial Union, which was given up in 1924. The paragraph in 1924 read:

"We therefore call upon the wage workers to organize themselves into a revolutionary political organization under the banner of the Socialist Labor Party; and to organize themselves likewise upon the industrial field into a Socialist industrial union, in order to consolidate the material power necessary for the establishment of the Socialist Industrial Republic."

Note

The Party polled in 1924, 33,901 votes in 20 States. (See *American Labor Year Book*, 1925, page 154.)

THE PROHIBITION PARTY CONVENTION, HELD AT COLUMBUS OHIO, JUNE 6

Candidates

For President, Herman Preston Faris, of Missouri

For Vice-President, Miss Marie Caroline Brehm of California

Platform

THE Prohibition Party in National Convention at Columbus, Ohio, this sixth day of June, 1924, recognizing Almighty God as the source of all governmental authority and that the principles enunciated by His Son, Jesus Christ, should guide in all matters pertaining to government, makes the following declaration of principles:

Four years of nullification of the Eighteenth Amendment by the Democratic and Republican officials have demonstrated the soundness of the philosophy of the Prohibition Party that a law conferring a right will enforce itself, but a law prohibiting a wrong, financially and politically entrenched, requires a party thoroughly committed to its maintenance and enforcement. Little or no improvement can be expected so long as the friends of the prohibitory law divide themselves among political parties seeking votes of the law violators and the nullificationists, which votes are regarded to be as necessary to the success of those political parties as are the votes of the law abiders.

The astounding revelations of corruption and maladministration in government, extending to the Cabinet itself, are but the inevitable consequences of the moral bankruptcy of a political party which, perpetuating the old liquor régime, is dependent upon the wet vote for its margin of plurality.

The time is past when the United States can hold aloof from the affairs of the World. We support the proposal for the entry of this

Country into the Court of International Justice, as an important step for substituting law for force in the settlement of international disputes.

While adhering to our time-honored position of demanding justice for both Labor and Capital, we declare that the interests of the general public are paramount to both. Therefore, we favor the speedy enactment by Congress and the several State Legislatures, each in its respective jurisdiction, of such legislation as shall impartially protect all three of these classes.

In the constantly increasing trend of population from the country into the towns and cities, with the consequent abandonment of the farms, this country faces a grave peril. It is self-evident that the farmer, with his investment in his lands, buildings, live stock, machinery, tools, and labor, ought to receive more than one half of the dollar paid by the consumer for the products of the farm, where no process of manufacture intervenes. If given power, we will by appropriate legislation endeavor to secure to the farmer his just share of the proceeds of his toil.

All natural resources, including mineral, oil, and timber lands, water powers and other wealth still remaining to the United States after the wasteful and profligate administration of corrupt old party officials, should be held perpetually and operated to produce revenue for the use of the Government. They must not be ruthlessly squandered by men or corporations for their own enrichment, nor must they become the collateral of political parties for promissory notes issued for value received.

We denounce the enactment by the Republican and Democratic parties in many states of unjust and discriminatory election laws, that make it almost, and in some states entirely impossible for minor parties to retain their place on the official ballot, or for new parties to be formed, and we demand their repeal.

The Bible is the Magna Charta of human liberty and national safety and is of highest educational value. Therefore it should have large place in our public schools.

Recognizing the fact that there are large numbers of unassimilated aliens now in this country who, in their present condition and environment, are incapable of assimilation, and are therefore a menace to our institutions, we declare for an immediate, scientific investigation, looking forward to a constructive program for Americanizing these aliens.

We deplore the prevailing disregard of the parties in power of the Constitutional division of governmental powers into Legislative, Executive, and Judicial branches, and when placed in authority we pledge strict observance of such division.

We approve and adopt the program of the National League of Women Voters for public welfare in government in so far as a strict regard for the division of powers under our dual form of government will permit.

We favor the extension of the merit system to all the agencies of the Executive branch of our Government.

We favor freedom of speech, a free press, our free public school system, and compulsory attendance in our public schools. We are unalterably opposed to public monies being used for sectarian purposes. We favor keeping open to public inspection all places where public wards are cared for.

On this record of principles, and on its record of long-time faithfulness and vision, proved by the many reforms which it was the first to advocate, the National Prohibition Party summons all those who favor suppression of the liquor traffic, the enforcement of law, the maintenance of constitutional government, the purification of our politics, honesty and efficiency in administration, and the building of a better citizenship, to join with us in a new alignment in a political party to achieve these transcendent objectives.

THE AMERICAN PARTY CONVENTION, HELD AT COLUMBUS OHIO, JUNE 3

Candidates

For President, Gilbert Owen Nations, of Washington, D.C.

For Vice-President, Leander L. Pickett, of Kentucky (in place of Charles H. Randall, who withdrew)

Note

AN American Party was organized early in 1924 in Pennsylvania. During the Convention at Columbus, Ohio, in June the *Evening Dispatch* of the 3d carried a display statement that the Convention was not authorized by the real American party. This Convention voted on the first ballot:

For President

Gilbert O. Nations, of Washington, D.C.....	20
Gifford Pinchot of Pennsylvania.....	7

For Vice-President

Charles H. Randall of California.....	16
William D. Upshaw of Georgia.....	10

Governor Pinchot and Congressman Upshaw declined to be considered. Mr. Randall withdrew, and Leander L. Pickett of Wilmore, Kentucky, took his place. The Platform has not been printed, but the following paragraphs are taken from a manuscript copy lent by Judge Nations. He refused to accept the Convention platform, which will be found summarized in the *Congressional Digest* for July-August, 1924.

*Platform**(Significant paragraphs)*

Politics must be born again. Decay of the Republican and Democratic parties is tragic and irretrievable. It is generally conceded that no real issues divide those parties.

In the prevailing wilderness of shams and evasion the American Party enters the field to rally the public conscience, to mobilize the patriotic sentiment produced by educational publicity during the last ten years and to restore the lofty political standards of Washington and Jefferson and Monroe and Lincoln.

Perfect obedience to law is a cardinal tenet of this party. It believes intensely in the righteousness of the Prohibition Amendment and the Volstead enforcement act. Its membership was in the forefront of the battle that wrote those constructive measures into the Constitution and statutes of the United States.

Under the present cumbrous and inefficient machinery, responsibility for law enforcement is too far removed from the President. Opportunities for evasion are too great. The Prohibition Unit should be divorced entirely from the hostile and plutocratic treasury department.

The American Party demands total exclusion from our shores of the tides of debased immigration that have been pouring into our great cities and industrial centers, in the last quarter of a century. Political and economic incentives, both in derogation of our national ideals, have been chiefly instrumental in bringing them.

The law provides that after the year 1926 admissions shall be based on the total percentages of our population tracing their descent from the various foreign lands. On the whole, this is the best statute yet enacted to deal with the supremely important immigration problem.

The Bible in the Schools — The American Party believes in the Bible and the public schools. It demands that the Word of God shall be read and taught in the schools of the United States.

Public and Parochial Schools — This party demands that Americans shall be educated in American schools. No other institution is so typical of this Republic.

Federal Department of Education — Nearly six years ago a measure was introduced in both houses of Congress to establish a department of education in the President's Cabinet and to appropriate funds to the several States to remove the last vestige of illiteracy, to educate immigrants, to train teachers and to pay them adequate salaries and to promote physical education.

In that state of the record our duty is plain. The American Party demands enactment of the measure that alien and hostile influence has so long and so unpatriotically smothered in committee. Both the other parties are in accord with the hierarchy of Rome. No more vital measure has been before Congress in a generation.

Private fortunes continue to absorb the national wealth with constantly accelerated rapidity. The vast disparity between the fabulously rich and the average citizen steadily widens. The situation has become extremely grave. Neither piddling remedies nor shams will meet it. Prompt and heroic adjustment is required.

Neither of the old parties has the courage and integrity to deal effectually with this imminent and momentous problem. The financial policies of both are shaped on Wall Street. The American Party offers the following measures of relief:

1. Elimination of tax on incomes of less than five thousand dollars, and such graduated increase of tax rates on enormous receipts as to render it impossible to pile up the huge private fortunes that more and more prey upon the public, trample on justice and control the business and politics of America and the world.

2. Public carriers doing an interstate or international business should be chartered only by the Federal Government and the business methods and affairs of all industry should be subject to thorough inspection by public authority and complete regulation by law to prevent unjust and arbitrary fixing of prices and wages to the detriment of the consumer and the employee.

3. Adequate methods and sanctions should be provided to compel the rich as well as the poor to make complete and accurate return of all their holdings and incomes for the purpose of taxation.

4. All property not publicly owned should be equally subject to taxation at uniform rates and no future issues of bonds should be exempted. Private benevolences can very easily render all ecclesiastical and benevolent activities able to pay their taxes and operate without placing themselves constantly under obligations to politicians in derogation of the spirit of the First Amendment of the Constitution.

5. Government expenditures should be greatly reduced. Throngs of employees in emergency departments created for the needs of the World War should be discharged and the departments abolished.

6. Expenditures for the army and navy can be and should be reduced when all resources of the Nation as well as its man power are made legally subject to draft in case of war.

The existing World Court is a creature of the League of Nations. It was called to order and its first session opened by the Roman Catholic Secretary General of the League.

The Government at Washington elected by the American people and responsible to them is infinitely preferable to any superstate functioning at Geneva or elsewhere not elected by us nor subject to our control.

The American Party demands that America stay out of Europe and that Europe stay out of America. We favor justice and friendship to all peoples, but subjection to none.

There is no religious issue in the attitude of the American Party towards the papal system. It is not the religion of the papacy and the Roman hierarchy and clergy that we oppose. We would defend

their religious liberty with exactly the same chivalry and justice as that of Protestants.

If such mighty and growing forces belong to church life in any legitimate sense they are entitled to room in this country. But if they are the political vanguard of an empire — even a unique empire — now encircling the earth, they are alien and dangerous to our Nation and its domestic institutions:

COMMONWEALTH LAND PARTY (FORMERLY SINGLE TAX PARTY)
CONVENTION, AT NEW YORK, FEBRUARY 8, 9

For President, William J. Wallace, of New Jersey

For Vice-President, John Cromwell Lincoln, of Ohio

Platform

WE, the Commonwealth Land Party, formerly the Single Tax Party, in National Convention assembled, reaffirming the principles enunciated in the platform of the Single Tax Party at their National Convention at Chicago in 1920, declare:

That the earth is the birthright of all mankind and that all have an equal and unalienable right to its use.

That man's need for land is expressed by the rent of land; that land rent results from the presence and productive activities of the people; that it arises as the result of natural law, and that it therefore should be taken to defray public expenses.

That the earth is not now free to the use of all the people; that it has become the private property of a few; that this private ownership of the earth enables the owners of land to take for private purposes the rent of land, which is created by the community and which therefore belongs to the community. That the rightful ownership of all wealth rests on the production of such wealth; that no such justification of ownership enters into the ownership of land, as the earth is not a product of labor; that therefore the appropriation of the rent of land for private purposes is robbery of the community, is in violation of man's natural rights, is without moral sanction, and is no less iniquitous because sanctioned by law.

That as a result of permitting land owners to take for private purposes the rent of land, which belongs to the community, it becomes necessary to impose the burdens of taxation on the products of labor and industry, which are the rightful property of individuals, and to which the Government has no moral right.

That the rent of land, always sufficient to defray all the legitimate expenses of government, if taken for public purposes in place of all taxes, will provide a surplus available for public improvements, public benefits and human welfare, on a scale hitherto undreamed of.

That there is therefore no justification for taxing business, industry,

labor or thrift, and that such taxes merely burden industry, breed evasions and dishonesty, drive wealth into hiding places, divert capital from production, increase the price of commodities and raise the cost of living.

That the private ownership of land is a denial of man's right to the earth; that it restricts the conditions under which the landless must produce; that it therefore lessens the return for their efforts and compels the employment of the entire time and energy of the great mass of mankind to obtain a mere subsistence.

That the struggle for existence is not fundamentally a struggle between capital on one hand and labor on the other, but between capital and labor on the one hand and land monopoly on the other. That capital and labor are the joint producers of all wealth; that together they are entitled to all wealth, and that they are both robbed of their production by their common enemy, the landowning, franchise-holding few who, through their monopoly of the earth and its natural resources, exact from both labor and capital, and without any return to either, the first fruits of all their efforts.

That because of private appropriation of the rent of land and the resultant denial to the people of the use of the land, save at exorbitant prices, due to the consequent speculation in land values, production of wealth is everywhere curtailed and an unfair and unnatural competition for existence arises, which in every field of labor and effort turns man against man.

That all evils arising out of our unjust economic system, such as business depressions, hard times, unemployment, poverty and the fear of poverty, bad housing conditions, and the crime, vice and diseases due to these conditions, are the result of the private ownership of the earth and the appropriation of its products by the few.

That these conditions are becoming daily more intensified; that relentlessly the cost of living pursues the slender purse and robs industry of its toil; that the unnatural and unequal struggle between the landless on the one hand and the private ownership and monopoly of the earth and its natural resources on the other, is strangling both the producer and the consumer.

That out of the false foundations upon which our economic structure rests, false ideas of life arise; among which are the theory that taxes should be levied according to ability to pay or on the evidences of industry and thrift (a false doctrine that is taught even in our colleges), that it is proper to take wealth by taxation from those who have it merely because they have it; that tariffs and subsidies are justifiable, and that oil, coal and mineral resources and the land values involved in public franchises are the proper objects of plunder to be exploited by the mighty; that as a result of these beliefs greedy parasites attack the public treasury through legislative channels, and men and nations vie with each other to appropriate to themselves and for their private purposes the gifts intended for all and the benefits of which should be shared by all.

That any attempt to adjust the glaring and evident inequalities and injustices of our present economic system by the taxing of incomes is a fallacy, is communistic in principle and must remain wholly ineffective to rectify such inequalities, as it leaves undisturbed the course from which all unjustly obtained incomes are derived; whereas the collection of the rent of land for public purposes, wherever it appears or whatever its nature, would stop unearned incomes at their source and would at the same time protect all honestly acquired wealth and hold it secure against confiscation through taxation.

That the violation of natural law cannot go unpunished; that the diversion of the rent of land into private pockets and away from public use is a violation of natural law, and that the evils arising out of our unjust economic system are the penalties that follow such violation as effect follows cause.

That none of the other political parties, Republican, Democratic or Socialist, appears as the opponent of unearned wealth or incomes obtained from land ownership, which is the foundation of monopoly, nor as the defender of wealth honestly acquired from labor, industry, enterprise, talent or invention.

We therefore demand that the full rent of land be collected by the Government in place of all direct and indirect taxes and that all buildings, machinery, implements and improvements on land, all industry, thrift and enterprise, all wages, salaries, incomes and every product of labor or intellect, be entirely exempt from taxation.

Furthermore, we pledge ourselves to formulate into law such measures as will make effective these demands, to the end that the cause of all economic evils will be forever abolished and economic freedom for all be forever assured.

Taking the full rent of land for public purposes insures the fullest and best use of all land. In cities this would mean more homes and more places to do business and therefore lower rents. In rural communities it would mean the freedom of the farmer from land mortgages and would guarantee him full possession of his entire product at a small land rental to the Government without the payment of any taxes. It would prevent the holding of mines idle for the purpose of monopoly and would immensely increase the production and therefore greatly lower the price of mine products.

Land can be used only by the employment of labor. Putting land to its fullest and best use would create an unlimited demand for labor. With an unlimited demand for labor, the job would seek the man, not the man seek the job, and labor would receive its full share of the product.

All labor and industry depend basically on land, and only in the measure that land is attainable can labor and industry be prosperous. The taking of the full rent of land for public purposes would put and keep all land forever in use to the fullest extent of the people's needs, and so would insure real and permanent prosperity for all.

As a result of the increased production that would follow the freeing

of the earth to the use of man, there would be more food, more clothing and more shelter. But normal man needs more than these, and as all will then be prosperous and able to satisfy their wants, men's efforts would naturally turn to acquiring comforts, education, recreation and those things which are now considered luxuries.

The freeing from taxation of all buildings, machinery, implements and improvements on land, all industry, thrift and enterprise, all wages, salaries, incomes and every product of labor and intellect, will encourage men to build and to produce, will reward them for their efforts to improve the land, to produce wealth and to render the services that the people need, instead of penalizing them for these efforts as taxation does now.

It will put an end to legalized robbery by the Government which now pries into men's private affairs and exacts fines and penalties in the shape of tolls and taxes on every evidence of man's industry and thrift.

If the full rent of land were taken for public expenses, the sum now taken for Federal, State and local taxes, amounting approximately to \$7,500,000,000 annually, would for the most part remain in the possession of the people, as would also the value of the then enormously increased production (many times that amount), and together these would provide the increased return to labor and to industry.

With real and permanent prosperity assured to all and unearned fortunes denied to all, the evils due to the present unjust economic system would disappear. Man would then express the better and higher qualities within him; good would take the place of evil; learning and culture would replace ignorance and crime; health would supplant disease; vice would vanish and early and healthy marriages would make possible happy and contented homes.

War and strife, now and always due to economic maladjustment, would disappear with the elimination of private ownership of land, which has ever been the cause of all the world's economic troubles.

Out of the darkness of the past, the mate of the bludgeon and the spear, a product of the power of might and the rule of the sword, born of greed, nurtured by robbery and murder throughout the ages, and carrying ever in its wake the ravaging and plunder of the people, the devastation of their countries and the impoverishment of the race, comes the private ownership of land — the fount of all evil, the destroyer of mankind.

No structure built in violation of natural law can stand; civilization built in such violation must fall; other civilizations have gone down; the foundations of this civilization are crumbling.

If civilization is to live, private ownership of land must go. If private ownership of land continues, this civilization is doomed.

NATIONAL INDEPENDENT PARTY CONVENTION HELD IN
INDIANAPOLIS, JULY 9

Candidates

For President, John Zahnd, of Indiana, who withdrew in favor
of Senator La Follette

For Vice-President, Roy M. Harrop, of Nebraska

Note

After a conference with the executive committee of the party, Mr.
Zahnd withdrew on July 29 in favor of Senator La Follette.

FARMER-LABOR PROGRESSIVE CONVENTION, HELD AT
ST. PAUL, JUNE 17, 18

Candidates

For President, William Zebulon Foster, of Illinois, in place of
Duncan McDonald, of Illinois, resigned.

For Vice-President, Benjamin Gitlow, of New York, in place of
William Bouck, of Washington (State), resigned.

Foster and Gitlow had already been nominated by the Work-
ers' (Communist) Party.

Platform

THE Declaration of Independence enunciated a principle that every
human being is endowed with certain inalienable rights. Among
these are the right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. These
rights are to-day denied the great mass of people of this country by a
privileged class which through its economic and political power dom-
inates the life of the people of this country.

This privileged class has, through the organization of trusts, through
interlocking directorates, through the great banking institutions of
Wall Street, concentrated the control of the economic life of the coun-
try in the hands of a financial oligarchy with its headquarters in Wall
Street. It uses its power to amass great wealth for itself at the ex-
pense of the happiness and well-being of the farmers and industrial
workers who are the producers of the wealth of this country.

In order to maintain its privileges and to aid in its exploitation of
the farmers and industrial workers, this privileged class has fastened
its grip upon the Government. It uses the legislative, executive and
judicial branches of the Government alike as instruments in its service
to secure greater and greater profits and to amass greater and greater
wealth.

It has used bribery and corruption to steal from the people the natural resources of the country.

Through raids upon the public treasury during the war and in the settlement of war contracts it has looted the people of hundreds of millions if not billions of dollars.

The legislation passed by Congress is cleverly designed to increase the profits of the privileged class. It has driven millions of farmers from the land through its financial manipulations.

It uses the courts to strike down the industrial workers who dare to carry on the struggle for better wages and working conditions.

It uses the police power and soldiers against the same industrial workers who seek to secure from industry the means in wages sufficient for a decent standard of living.

It has created government wars which have no other purpose than to keep the workers in subjection and make impossible the realization of their aspirations for a higher standard of living.

It uses the Supreme Court to protect its propertied interests and its right to bring process against the welfare of the millions who produce wealth.

It maintains a great army and navy for the purpose of protecting its investments in foreign lands and coercing weaker governments in the interests of the Wall Street financial oligarchy.

It has not hesitated and will not hesitate to throw this country into war and sacrifice millions of lives and millions of wealth in order to protect its financial interests and increase its opportunity to make profits.

In the face of these conditions only one road lies open for the industrial workers and farmers to protect themselves from the exploitation and oppression of the financial and industrial lords who rule the country: To organize a political party representing the interests of the industrial workers and farmers, and to enter into the political arena to wrest control of the Government from the hands of the financial and industrial masters who now rule this country.

The Farmer-Labor Party frankly avows its purpose to carry on such a struggle. It declares openly that it is the party representing the workers in the mines, mills, factories and workshops, the workers upon the land and the working farmers. It declares its purpose to take over the Government in the interests of these groups and to use it to build a social system which will give them a higher standard of living and which will have as its first object their happiness and well-being.

The Farmer-Labor Party declares as its purpose to end the existing system of exploitation and the rule of the privileged class. It calls upon all organizations of workers, whether in the industries or upon the land, to make common cause with it; to join it in the struggle to free the farmers and industrial workers from the greedy exploitation of those who now rule the country and find for themselves the right of "life, liberty and happiness" through the establishment of a workers' and farmers' government.

There can be no genuine public ownership until the workers and farmers control the Government. It is only to the extent that there is a strong workers' and farmers' representation in the government, and only to the extent that the control and operation of the nationalized industries is in the hands of the workers and farmers that any benefits can be realized from nationalization of industry. The Farmer-Labor Party will carry on a struggle to create these conditions and for:

(1) The nationalization of all monopolized industries, such as mines, superpower plants and means of communication and transportation.

(2) The organization of the workers in these industries for participation in the management and direction of the industries nationalized, thus developing industrial democracy until industry comes under the control of those who produce the wealth of the Nation, subject only to such control as will protect the interests of the producers as a whole.

(3) We demand the repeal of the Federal reserve and national banking acts and in place thereof declare for the Government ownership and operation of all banking institutions at cost.

The protection of the right of the industrial and agricultural workers to organize labor unions for the protection of their interests and the encouragement of such organizations.

Legislation abolishing the right of the courts to issue injunctions in labor disputes.

The use of police, militia and army to protect the workers in their struggles against the exploiters in place of the use of these instruments of the Government to suppress the struggles of the workers and farmers, as is the case under the existing capitalistic government.

Enactment of a law providing for the adjustment of the hours of work so as to afford employment to all workers in each industry, with the maximum workday of eight hours in all industries.

A Federal constitutional amendment making the employment of children in industry less than 16 years old a criminal offense and compulsory education and maintenance at government expense.

A Federal law providing for a minimum wage for all workers — the wage to be fixed in co-operation with the representatives of the trade unions.

A social insurance and old age pension law providing for adequate sick, accident and death insurance for all city and rural workers. Funds for the same to be secured through increased taxation of incomes, excess profits, surtaxes and inheritance taxes and taxes upon unearned income.

A national maternity insurance law providing for adequate compensation for all mothers for a period covering one month prior to and one month after childbirth.

The abolition of residential restrictions upon the right to vote.

Land was created for all the people and we demand a system of land tenure which will eliminate landlordism and tenantry and will secure the land to the users thereof.

Public ownership of all means of transportation, marketing institutions and industries engaged in the preparation and distribution of farm products, with participation of the farmers in the management of these industries.

Legislation for loans to farmers in distress, without interest, through government banking organizations.

The development of marketing organizations owned by the Government, with participation of the farmers in the management, to finance and insure a systematic distribution of the product of the land, with charges covering the cost of administration only.

We demand full political and economic rights for men and women.

The national Farmer-Labor Party, recognizing the particularly vicious oppression and exploitation of the Negro race, pledges itself to free all toilers and to fight for the political and economic emancipation of all workers and farmers.

Enactment of a law prohibiting the employment in industry of children less than sixteen years old.

Enactment of a law providing for adequate compensation during unemployment of all city and rural workers. Funds for same to be secured through the taxation of all excess profits, inherited wealth and unearned income.

Enactment of a law providing for the employment of the unemployed on public works such as road building, reclamation of swamp land and reforestation.

Immediate full diplomatic and commercial recognition of the first workers' and peasants' government — Soviet Russia.

Immediate independence for the Philippine Islands.

The right of self-determination for colonies and possessions of the United States, such as Porto Rico, Guam, Virgin Islands. The question of the relationship of the Government of those possessions to the Government of the United States to be decided by a plebiscite of the people of these countries.

The removal of United States marines and soldiers from Haiti, Santo Domingo, Nicaragua and the full recognition of the right of these countries and Cuba to decide upon their own affairs.

Absolute non-interference in any other country for the purpose of safeguarding investments or collection of interest.

THE WORKERS' PARTY OF AMERICA

Concerned with the Farmer-Labor Party Convention at St. Paul on June 17, and with the Conference for Progressive Political Action at Cleveland on July 4, 1924

Candidates

For President, William Zebulon Foster, of Illinois

For Vice-President, Benjamin Gitlow, of New York

Note

The Workers' (Communist) Party dominated the St. Paul Convention. McDonald and Bouck, the nominees of the Farmer-Labor Party, withdrew and the Communist element accepted Foster and Gitlow. The Workers' Party polled 33,076 votes in fifteen States. (See *American Labor Year Book*, 1925, page 161.)

Platform

THE workers and exploited farmers of the United States face the question of how to organize and use their political power in the coming election. Before deciding this question every industrial worker, agricultural worker and exploited farmer should give fundamental consideration to the situation which exists in this country.

The United States is the wealthiest country in the world. We have natural resources which supply us with raw materials and a great industrial organization which can turn these raw materials into the finished products which satisfy human needs. With the raw materials available and the tremendous machinery of production we have the means of giving a high standard of life — good food, good clothing, good homes, the opportunity for education and recreation — to every person in this country. This high standard of life is denied the workers and exploited farmers of the United States. Millions of these producers of wealth are able to secure for their labor only the means for a bare existence. Millions of workers must work long hours, under bad working conditions, for low wages. Millions are periodically unemployed, as at present, with all the consequent misery and suffering for themselves and their families. In order to keep these conditions from growing worse, millions of industrial workers are periodically compelled to go on strike to fight back the greedy employers. Millions of farmers have been driven into bankruptcy and from the land because of inability to earn enough for a living.

These conditions prevail in a country in which we have the means of supplying a high standard of life to every person because a relatively small class has fastened its grip upon the raw materials and industries and uses these to enrich itself at the expense of the producers. Through theft, fraud, corruption, bribery, and the capitalist system

of profit taking, this capitalist class has become the owner of the land, raw material and machinery of production upon which the workers and farmers are dependent for a livelihood.

The raw materials and industries of the United States are owned by the Garys, Morgans, Rockefellers, Fords, McCormicks, and other great capitalists. The workers and farmers alike pay tribute to these capitalists. They are compelled to accept a low standard of living in order that the capitalists may amass even greater fortunes for themselves.

It is this system of capitalist ownership of industry which gives the wealth produced to the few, that denies the millions of industrial workers, agricultural workers and exploited farmers the enjoyment of that high standard of life which their labor and the wealth they produce make possible in this country.

It is this system of capitalist ownership of industry which is the basis of the class struggle between the workers, fighting for more of what they produce, and the capitalists, ever bent on securing greater and greater profits for themselves.

The Government of the United States is and has been a government of, by, and for the capitalists. It is through the Government and use of the governmental power that the capitalists maintain their grip on the industries and their power to rob the industrial workers, agricultural workers, and farmers.

During the war, with the connivance of government officials, the capitalists looted the country of billions of wealth. Since the war the shipping board deals, the war veterans' board corruption, the Teapot Dome exposures, have shown how the capitalists fill their pockets at the expense of the working and farming masses.

Governmental legislation is framed so as to yield the capitalists more and more profits. Tariff laws, taxation laws, agrarian bank laws, are all framed so as to enable the bankers and industrial magnates to take more and more of what the workers produce.

To prevent the workers from securing better wages and working conditions through strikes, the capitalists use the Government to destroy these strikes. The disgraceful Daugherty injunction against the railway shopmen, the use of troops against miners in their strike in 1922, the use of the Railway Labor Board against the railroad workers, are only outstanding examples of the continual use of the governmental power by the capitalists to protect themselves in taking greater and greater profits out of the labor of the workers.

The Government is a dictatorship of the capitalists and their instrument for the oppression and exploitation of the workers. Although the workers are permitted to vote, the capitalists are able, through their control of the means of information and through their economic power, to completely dominate the Government, National, State and local.

It is these conditions which the workers and exploited farmers must consider in using their political power in the election this year.

The capitalist dictatorship has named two candidates, the Republican, strike-breaker Coolidge, and the Morgan-Rockefeller lawyer Davis. Both are agents of the capitalist class. They, and the other candidates of the two old parties, will loyally serve the capitalists if returned to power — as they have done in the past.

La Follette, who is running as an independent, Progressive Republican, is equally a supporter of the capitalist system of exploitation. The only difference between La Follette and Coolidge and Davis is that La Follette represents the independent manufacturers, bankers and merchants, who are seeking greater power and profit for themselves and are trying to use the workers and farmers to attain that end.

La Follette is the representative of little business against big business, but not the representative of the workers and exploited farmers in their struggle against the capitalists. La Follette's platform is not a workers' and farmers' platform, but a little business man's platform with some bait thrown in for sections of the skilled workers.

Against these three candidates of the capitalist system of exploitation, big and little, the Workers' (Communist) Party presents working class candidates — Foster and Gitlow — and a working class platform.

There is only one way in which the exploitation of the workers and farmers of this country can be ended. That is through the workers organizing their mass power, ending the capitalist dictatorship and establishing the Workers' and Farmers' Government.

In place of the capitalist dictatorship there must be established the rule of the workers. The governmental power must be used in the interest of the workers and farmers as it is now used by the capitalist dictatorship in the interest of the capitalist class.

The Russian workers and peasants have established their rule in the form of the Soviet government and are using their power against the capitalists and for themselves — to build a Communist social system which will give the workers and farmers the fruit of their toil.

The Workers' Party is fighting for the rule of the 30,000,000 workers and their families in the United States. This rule will be established through a proletarian revolution which will create a Soviet government and the dictatorship of the proletariat.

This Workers' and Farmers' Government will wrest out of the hands of the capitalists the raw material and great industries and operate them for the happiness and well-being of the producers. It will build in place of the capitalist system of production a Communist system of production.

The Workers' Party calls upon workers and exploited farmers to join it in the struggle to establish the Workers' and Farmers' Government in the United States. It urges them to demonstrate their support of the program of the Workers' Party by voting against the three capitalist candidates and for the Communist candidates — Foster and Gitlow.

THE CANVASS OF 1924

Labor Unrest

President Harding died at San Francisco August 2, 1923, while on a trip through the West. Vice-President Coolidge was sworn into office early the next morning by his father at Plymouth, Vermont. His period of office as the successor of Harding was made conspicuous by investigations and scandals. These threatened the influence of the Republican Party but its conservative policies so recommended it to the public view that when the Republican Convention met June 10, 1924, at Cleveland, Calvin Coolidge was nominated on the first ballot to succeed himself. The ballot stood, for Coolidge 1065, Robert M. La Follette 34, Hiram Johnson 10.

Governor Frank O. Lowden, of Illinois, was nominated on the second ballot for Vice-President, but declined to run. General Charles G. Dawes, of Illinois, was nominated on the third ballot, receiving 682½ votes; Herbert Hoover had 334½, and Judge William S. Kenyon 75.

The Democratic Convention opened at New York City June 24 and continued until July 10, the longest in history. The leading candidates, William G. McAdoo, of California, and Alfred E. Smith, of New York, so divided the delegates that neither could obtain the necessary two-thirds vote.

Whole number of votes 1098.

Necessary for a choice (two thirds of the whole convention) 732.

The result of the first ballot was:

John W. Davis.....	31
William G. McAdoo.....	431½
Alfred E. Smith.....	241
James M. Cox.....	59
Pat. Harrison.....	43½
Oscar W. Underwood.....	42½
George S. Silzer.....	38
Woodbridge N. Ferris.....	30
Samuel M. Ralston.....	30
Carter Glass.....	25
Albert C. Ritchie.....	22½
Joseph T. Robinson.....	21
Jonathan M. Davis.....	20
Charles W. Bryan.....	18
William E. Sweet.....	12
Fred H. Brown.....	17
Willard Saulsbury.....	7
Houston Thompson.....	1
John B. Kendrick.....	6

Various plans were proposed to end the deadlock which followed.

One was to drop the lowest candidate at each vote until two remained; another to reduce the list to the leading five; a third was finally to abandon the two-thirds rule and the unit rule, and reduce the list to two. A suggestion to adjourn after the 75th ballot to meet July 21 at Kansas City met with no better fate.

At the 100th ballot McAdoo released his delegates. McAdoo's highest vote, 530, came on the 69th ballot. Smith's highest, 368, on the 76th. On the 103d ballot John W. Davis was nominated. John W. Davis, of West Virginia, the nominee, had been ambassador to Great Britain. Governor Charles W. Bryan, of Nebraska, brother of William J. Bryan, was selected by the leaders for the second place and was nominated on the first ballot. There were severe clashes during the 29 sessions of the Convention, notably those over the Ku Klux Klan and entrance into the League of Nations. The motion to mention the Klan by name in the platform was lost by a vote of 541.85 to 546.15. The motion for a definite League plank was lost by a vote of 353½ to 742½. An outstanding feature of the long-drawn-out Democratic Convention was Newton D. Baker's plea for endorsement of the League of Nations.

Senator Robert M. La Follette's views having been rejected at the Republican Convention, a Conference for Progressive Political Action was held on July 4, representing insurgent Republicans, Democrats, and the more conservative members of the Farmer-Labor Party who had been pushed aside at the St. Paul Convention by Communist delegates belonging to the Workers' Party. By these insurgents Senator La Follette was nominated for the Presidency, with Senator Burton K. Wheeler, of Montana, for Vice-President, on a "Progressive" Platform. This platform was endorsed by the Socialist Party, but it did not seem to the more radical leaders to promise "class" leadership. The Executive Council of the American Federation of Labor endorsed La Follette, its first formal approval of a party candidate, but did not back the platform. It was feared for a time that La Follette would so weaken the two major parties that the choice of a President would be thrown into Congress. On account of the radical Progressive group there the whole issue could have been clouded, and the subject caused endless debate in the newspapers and magazines.

The confusion of minor parties in 1924 was unusual. The history of the Conference for Progressive Political Action is so involved in the struggle of radical minds for political expression that it cannot be recounted here. An outline will be found in the *American Labor Year Book* for 1925, pages 120-31. La Follette and Wheeler received the electoral votes (13) of Wisconsin only.

The undermining of public confidence in party promises had been accelerated by the scandals of the period, and in 1924 the leading parties became timid in their approach to the larger moral issues.

The election was held on November 4, resulting in 382 electoral votes for Coolidge and Dawes, 136 for Davis and Bryan, and 13 for

La Follette and Wheeler. Figures would show that scarcely more than 51 per cent of the eligible voters went to the polls.

BALLOTS FOR LEADING CANDIDATES, DEMOCRATIC CONVENTION
IN NEW YORK, 1924

BALLOTS	J. W. Davis	W. G. McAdoo	A. E. Smith	J. M. Cox	O. W. Underwood	S. M. Ralston	Carter Glass	A. C. Ritchie	J. T. Robinson	End of
First.....	31	431½	241	59	42½	30	25	22½	21	
Fifteenth.....	61	479	305½	60	39	31	25	17½	20	June 30
Thirtieth.....	126½	415½	323½	57	39	33	24	17½	23	July 1
Forty-second....	67	503½	318	56	39	30	28½	17½	23	July 2
Sixty-first.....	60	469½	335½	54	42	37½	25	16½	23	July 3
Seventieth.....	67	528½	334	0	37½	0	25	16½	21	July 4
Seventy-seventh..	76½	513	367	1	47½	6½	27	16½	24	July 5
Eighty-seventh..	66½	336½	361½	½	38	93	71	23	20½	July 7
One-hundredth..	203½	190	351½	0	41½	0	35	17½	46	July 8
One hundred and first.....	316	52	121	0	229½	0	59	½	22½	
One hundred and second.....	415½	21	44	0	317	0	67	½	21	
One hundred and third.....	844	11½	7½	0	102½	0	23	0	20	July 9

Note: On the 101st ballot Edwin T. Meredith of Iowa had 130 votes. On the 102d Thomas J. Walsh had 123 votes.

STATES	POPULAR VOTE — 1924				ELECTORAL VOTE	
	Coolidge and Dawes Republican	Davis and Bryan Democratic	La Follette and Wheeler Progressive Socialist, etc.	Paris and Brehm Prohibition	Coolidge and Dawes	Davis and Bryan
Alabama.....	45,005	112,966	8,084	569		12
Arizona.....	30,516	26,235	17,210		3	
Arkansas.....	40,564	84,795	13,173			9
California.....	733,250	105,514	424,649	18,365	13	
Colorado.....	195,171	75,238	69,945	966	6	
Connecticut.....	246,322	110,184	42,416		7	
Delaware.....	52,441	33,445	4,979		3	
Florida.....	30,633	62,083	8,625	5,498		6
Georgia.....	30,300	123,200	12,691	231		14
Idaho.....	69,879	24,256	54,160		4	
Illinois.....	1,453,321	576,975	432,027	2,367	29	
Indiana.....	703,042	492,245	71,700	4,416	15	
Iowa.....	537,635	162,600	272,243		13	
Kansas.....	407,671	156,319	98,461		10	
Kentucky.....	398,966	374,855	38,465		13	
Louisiana.....	24,670	93,218				10
Maine.....	138,440	41,964	11,382		6	
Maryland.....	162,414	148,072	47,157		8	
Massachusetts.....	703,476	280,831	141,284		18	
Michigan.....	874,631	152,359	122,014	6,085	15	
Minnesota.....	420,759	55,913	339,192		12	
Mississippi.....	8,546	100,475	3,494			10
Missouri.....	648,486	572,753	84,160	1,418	18	
Montana.....	74,138	33,805	65,876		4	
Nebraska.....	218,585	137,289	106,701	1,594	8	
Nevada.....	11,243	5,909	9,769		3	
New Hampshire.....	98,575	57,201	8,993		4	
New Jersey.....	676,277	298,043	109,028	1,660	14	
New Mexico.....	54,745	48,542	9,543		3	
New York.....	1,820,058	950,796	474,925		45	
North Carolina.....	191,753	284,270	6,697	13		12
North Dakota.....	94,931	13,858	89,922		5	
Ohio.....	1,176,130	477,888	357,948	1,246	24	
Oklahoma.....	226,242	255,798	41,141			10
Oregon.....	142,579	67,589	68,403		5	
Pennsylvania.....	1,401,481	409,192	307,567	9,779	38	
Rhode Island.....	125,286	76,606	7,628		5	
South Carolina.....	1,123	49,008	620			9
South Dakota.....	101,299	27,214	75,355		5	
Tennessee.....	130,882	158,537	10,656	100		12
Texas.....	130,023	483,586	42,881			20
Utah.....	77,327	47,001	32,662		4	
Vermont.....	80,498	16,124	5,964	326	4	
Virginia.....	73,359	139,797	10,379			12
Washington.....	220,224	42,842	150,727		7	
West Virginia.....	288,635	257,232	36,723		8	
Wisconsin.....	311,614	68,096	453,678	2,918		
Wyoming.....	41,858	12,868	25,174		3	
Total.....	15,725,003	8,385,586	4,826,471	57,551	382	136

Wisconsin gave 13 electoral votes to La Follette and Wheeler.

CONVENTIONS, CANDIDATES, AND PLATFORMS

CANVASS OF 1928

SOCIALIST PARTY CONVENTION, HELD AT NEW YORK, APRIL 13

Candidates

For President, Norman Thomas, of New York.

For Vice-President, James Hudson Maurer, of Pennsylvania.

Platform

WE Americans are told that we live in the most prosperous country in the world. Certainly, our natural resources, our mechanical equipment, our physical power, the technical capacity of our engineers, and the skill of our workers in farm and factory make it possible for us to attain a level of well-being of which our fathers never dared to dream.

Yet poverty abounds. The owners of our natural resources and industrial equipment and the Government which they have made virtually their tool have not given us plenty, freedom, or peace in any such degree as we have the right and duty to demand.

Men are hungry while farmers go bankrupt for lack of effective demand for food. Tenant farming has reached a proportion of almost forty per cent; more than forty per cent of the value of farm lands is covered by mortgages. Industrial workers are scarcely better off. In good years there are at least 1,000,000 unemployed. By a conservative estimate in these times of stock market prosperity the number has risen to 4,000,000. About one third of those of our population sixty-five years of age and upward are at least partially dependent upon some form of charity. While real wages have risen for certain groups, they have risen scarcely more than half the increase in the productive power of the workers. And what gains have been made are far from universal, as the misery of textile workers and the tragedy of the coal fields — to cite only two examples — abundantly prove. In fact at the present time a majority of workers obtain a wage insufficient to maintain themselves and their families in health and decency. Furthermore, the rapid increase in the use of machinery and the growing intensity of work are leading to quicker exhaustion and ever greater insecurity.

Meanwhile the owning class has been using the Government to curtail the power of the workers whose organized power, through their unions, has been chiefly responsible for whatever material gains they have made. To curb the workers, civil liberties are denied, injunctions are invoked against union activities, and the courts are made the instruments of class justice of which the Mooney case

and the legalized murder of Sacco and Vanzetti were conspicuous examples.

Not only plenty and freedom, but peace is endangered by this system under which the many are exploited for the profit of the few. Sons of the workers now die in President Coolidge's infamous little imperialist war in Nicaragua, as they died in President Wilson's similar wars in Haiti, Santo Domingo, and Mexico, and above all in that great imperialistic war born of the trade and financial rivalries of the nations which cost our country thousands of lives and tens of billions of dollars.

From the wars, waste, and cruelty of a system where the rightful heritage of the workers is the private property of the few, only the united efforts of the farmers and the workers of hand and brain, through their coöperatives, unions, and political party, can save us. We must make government in cities, States, and Nation the servant of the people. That requires our own political party. We cannot place our trust in "good men" or political Messiahs. Bitter experience has proved that we cannot trust the alternate rule of the Republican and Democratic parties. They belong to the landlords, bankers, oil speculators, coal and power barons — in short, to the capitalist class which finances them. Under their control the Government, by what it does and leaves undone, by its calculated inefficiency as well as its repression and corruption, makes our alleged democracy largely an illusion. Corruption is natural under parties which are the tools of the forces of privilege. It has become accepted even by the men who are victims of it.

These things need not be. The Socialist Party offers itself as the political party of the producing classes, of the workers in farm, factory, mine, and office. It is our political weapon in the class struggle and in its triumph lies our hope of ending that struggle. Our record proves our good faith. As the only democratic labor party in the United States, we stand now as always, in America and in all lands, for the collective ownership of natural resources and basic industries and their democratic management for the use and benefit of all instead of the private profit of the privileged few.

With this ultimate aim in view, the Socialist Party enters the presidential campaign of 1928 with the following program:

To recover the rightful heritage of the people, we propose:

1. Nationalization of our natural resources, beginning with the coal mines and water sites, particularly at Boulder Dam and Muscle Shoals.

2. A publicly owned giant power system under which the Federal Government shall coöperate with the States and municipalities in the distribution of electrical energy to the people at cost. Only when public agencies have full control over the generation, transmission, and distribution of electrical power can the consumers be guaranteed against exploitation by the great electrical interests of the country. Public ownership of these and other industries must include em-

ployee representation in their management, and the principle of collective bargaining must be recognized.

3. National ownership and democratic management of railroads and other means of transportation and communication.

4. An adequate national program for flood control, flood relief, reforestation, irrigation, and reclamation.

To relieve the tragic misery of millions of unemployed workers and their families, we propose:

1. Immediate governmental relief of the unemployed by the extension of all public works and a program of long-range planning of public works following the present depression. All persons thus employed to be engaged at hours and wages fixed by *bona-fide* labor unions.

2. Loans to States and municipalities for the purpose of carrying on public works, and the taking of such other measures as will lessen widespread misery.

3. A system of unemployment insurance.

4. The Nation-wide extension of public employment agencies in coöperation with city federations of labor.

The lives and well-being of the producers and their families should be the first charge on society. We therefore urge:

1. A system of health and accident insurance and of old age pensions as well as unemployment insurance. As long as the workers are dependent primarily upon their employers rather than on the community for protection against the exigencies of old age, sickness, accident, and unemployment, employers hostile or indifferent to the labor movement will be able to use their private insurance schemes as powerful weapons against organized labor.

2. Shortening the work day in keeping with the steadily increasing productivity of labor due to improvements in machinery and methods.

3. Securing to every worker a rest period of no less than two days in each week.

4. Enacting of an adequate Federal Anti-Child Labor Amendment.

5. Abolition of the brutal exploitation of convicts under the contract system and substitution of a coöperative organization of industries in penitentiaries and workshops for the benefit of convicts and their dependents, the products to be used in public institutions, and the convict workers to be employed at wages current in the industry.

6. Legislation aiming at the prevention of occupational diseases.

For the proper support of government and as a step toward social justice we propose:

1. Increase of taxation on high income levels, of corporation taxes and inheritance taxes, the proceeds to be used for old age pensions and other forms of social insurance.

2. Appropriation by taxation of the annual rental value of all land held for speculation.

To secure to the people the civil rights without which democracy is impossible, we demand:

1. Federal legislation to enforce the First Amendment to the Constitution so as effectually to guarantee freedom of speech, press, and assembly, and to penalize any official who interferes with the civil rights of any citizen.

2. Abolition of injunctions in labor disputes.

3. Repeal of the Espionage Law and of other repressive legislation, and restoration of civil and political rights to those unjustly convicted under war-time laws, with reimbursement for time served.

4. Legislation protecting foreign-born workers from deportation and refusal of citizenship on account of political opinions.

5. Modification of the immigration laws to permit the reuniting of families and to offer a refuge for those fleeing from political or religious persecution.

6. Abolition of detective agencies engaged in interstate business.

As a measure of protection of the oppressed, especially for our negro fellow citizens, we propose:

Enactment of the Berger Anti-Lynching Bill making participation in lynching a felony.

The Constitution of the United States was drafted in 1787 and was designed to meet conditions utterly different from those prevailing to-day. In order to make our form of government better suited to the exigencies of the times, we propose the immediate calling of a constitutional convention. A modernized Constitution should provide, among other things, for the election of the President and Vice-President by direct popular vote of the people, for reduction of the representation in Congress of those States where large sections of the citizens are disfranchised by force or fraud, and proportional representation, and for the abolition of the usurped power of the Supreme Court to pass upon the constitutionality of legislation enacted by Congress.

For our emancipation from the money trust, we propose:

Nationalization of the banking and currency system, beginning with extension of the service of the postal savings banks to cover every department of the banking business.

The Socialist Party believes that the farmer is entitled to special consideration because of the importance of agriculture, because of the farmers' present economic plight, and because the farmer is unable to control the prices of what he buys and what he sells. Many of the party's demands, including public development of electrical energy, nationalization of coal and railroads, and reform of the credit system will be of distinct benefit to the farmer.

As a further means of agricultural relief, we propose:

1. Acquisition by *bona-fide* coöperative societies and by Federal, State, and municipal governments of grain elevators, stockyards, storage warehouses and other distributing agencies and the conduct of these services on a non-profit basis.

2. Encouragement of farmers' coöperative purchasing and marketing societies and of credit agencies.

3. Social insurance against losses due to adverse weather conditions, such as hail, drought, cyclone, and flood.

We are unalterably opposed to imperialism and militarism. Therefore, we propose:

1. Immediate withdrawal of American forces from Nicaragua, and abandonment of the policy of military intervention in Central America and other countries.

2. That all private loans and investments of American citizens in foreign countries shall be made at the sole risk of the bondholders and investors. The United States Government shall not resort to any military or other coercive intervention with foreign countries for the protection of such loans and investments.

3. Cancellation of all war debts due the United States from its former associated Powers on condition of a simultaneous cancellation of all interallied debts and a corresponding remission of the reparations obligations of the Central Powers, and on the further condition that our debtors reduce their military expenditures below pre-war level. The Socialist Party especially denounces the debt-settling policy of our Government in favoring the Fascist dictatorship of Italy and thereby helping to perpetuate the political enslavement of the Italian nation.

4. Recognizing both the services and the limitations of the League of Nations, the need of revision of its Covenant and of the Treaty of Versailles, we unite with the workers of Europe in demanding that the League be made all-inclusive and democratic, and that the machinery for the revision of the Peace Treaty under Article XIX of the Covenant be elaborated and made effective. We favor the entry of the United States at the time and under conditions which will further these clauses and promote the peace of the world.

5. The recognition of the Russian Soviet Government.

6. Abandonment of the dangerous program of aggressive militarism and big-navy building in competition with other nations, and we pledge ourselves to an aggressive agitation against this policy and on behalf of international disarmament.

7. Treaties outlawing war and the substitution of peaceful methods for the settlement of international disputes.

8. Independence of the Philippines on terms agreed upon in negotiations with the Filipinos; autonomy for Porto Rico and civil government for the Virgin Islands.

SOCIALIST LABOR PARTY CONVENTION, HELD AT NEW YORK,
MAY 13

Candidates

For President, Verne L. Reynolds, of Michigan.

For Vice-President, Jeremiah D. Crowley, of New York.

Platform

THE Socialist Labor Party of the United States of America in National Convention assembled in New York on May 13, 1928, reaffirming its previous platform pronouncements, and, in accord with international Socialist principles, declares:

Social conditions, as illustrated by events crowded into the last few years, have ripened so fast that the principles hitherto proclaimed by the Socialist Labor Party, as well as the methods that the Socialist Labor Party has hitherto advocated, stand conspicuously demonstrated.

The capitalist social system has wrought its own destruction. Its leading exponents, even when seemingly at war on principles, cannot conceal the identity of their political views. The absence of dividing lines between the two leading political clearing-houses of capitalism — the Republican and the Democratic Parties; the supineness, almost exultant readiness with which the leading politicians — aspirants for the Presidency and other important posts in the political State — yield themselves to the needs of ultra-capitalism, to the exclusion of the needs of the producing masses, proclaim the inner conviction of the foremost men of the ruling class that the Republic of Capital is at the end of its tether.

True to economic laws, from which Socialism proceeds, dominant wealth has to such an extent concentrated into the hands of a select few, the modern industrial autocracy, that the lower layers of the capitalist class feel driven to the ragged edge, while the large majority of the people, the working class, are being submerged.

True to sociologic laws, by the light of which Socialism reads its forecasts, the industrial autocracy is breaking through its Republican-Democratic shell and is stretching out its hands toward absolutism in government; the property-holding layers below it are turning at bay; the proletariat is awakening to its consciousness of class, and thereby to the perception of its historic mission.

In the midst of this hurly-burly, and the resulting confusion of thought, all the colors of the rainbow are being projected upon the social mists.

From the lower layers of the capitalist class issue demands for reforms designed to check the logical tendencies of capitalism, demands covering the entire range of social visionariness. Overwhelmed by gigantic combinations in industry and finance, the middle and

lower sections of the capitalist class clamor for a return of the age of competition. But even if such a return were possible, it should not be effected; even if it should, it cannot. To the thinker it is self-evident that the system neither can nor will return to what the Industrial Revolution has been gradually sloughing off during a century of progress.

The law of social progress pushes toward a system of production that shall crown the efforts of man — a system which, without arduous toil, with an abundance of the necessities for material existence, will allow leisure for mental and spiritual expansion. The gigantic machine of modern production is a mechanical contrivance which is solving the problem of material needs which has confronted mankind from its infancy. To smash this contrivance and to reintroduce the days of small-fry competition would set back the hands of the dial of time. The mere thought is foolhardy. He who undertakes the feat might as well brace himself against the cascade of Niagara. The cascade of Social Evolution would overwhelm him.

From the lowest layers of the property-holding class — layers that have sniffed the breath of Socialism and imagine themselves Socialists — comes the iridescent theory of capturing the machinery of production for the people by the ballot only, with a vague idea of Government ownership and operation as the aim. But the "capture of the machinery of production for the people" implies the Social Revolution. To imply the Social Revolution with the ballot, without the means of enforcing the fiat of the ballot, in case the Reaction attempts to override it, is to fire blank cartridges at a foe. It is worse. It is to threaten his existence without the means to carry out the threat, which can result only in one of two things — either the leaders are bought out, or the revolutionary class, to which they appeal and which they succeed in drawing along, are led like cattle to the shambles.

An equally iridescent hue of the rainbow is projected from a layer that lies almost wholly within the submerged class — the theory of capturing the machinery of production for the working class with physical force only and through underground conspiracies. The capture of the machinery of production for the people implies something strikingly different from all previous revolutions. It implies revolution carried on by the masses. For sociologic reasons mass-revolutionary conspiracy is, to-day, an impossibility, even an absurdity. The trust-holding autoocracy may successfully put through a conspiracy of physical force. The smallness of its numbers makes conspiracy possible. The hugeness of the numbers requisite for a revolution against the trust-holding autoocracy excludes conspiracy from the arsenal of the Revolution, and just as that autoocracy at one point of the social circle boldly aims for absolutism and dictatorship in government, so this all but submerged layer at the merging-point proclaims its aim to be dictatorship, thus closing the circle.

All these groups have one thing in common: they plant themselves

upon the presumption of a continuation of the political State — a presumption that is at war with logic and social evolution.

Only two programs — the program of industrial top-capitalism and the program of the Socialist Labor Party — grasp the situation.

The political State, the instrument of class rule and oppression, is worn out in this, the leading capitalist nation of the world. The Socialist or Industrial Government is throbbing for birth. The political State, being a class State, is government separate and apart from the productive energies of the people; it is government mainly for holding the ruled class in subjection. The Socialist or Industrial Government, being the denial of the class State, is government that is an integral part of the productive energies of the people.

As their functions differ, so are the structures of the two "States" different.

The structure of the political State is based on territorial representation; the structure of the Industrial Government demands representation by industries and useful occupations.

The economic or industrial evolution has reached that point where the political State no longer can maintain itself under the forms of democracy. While the industrial autocracy has relatively shrunk, the enemies it is raising against itself are becoming numerous. Moreover, obedient to the law of its existence, the political State not only multiplies its enemies; it has been forced to recruit and group the bulk of these enemies, and the revolutionary bulk at that.

The working class of the land, the historically revolutionary element, is grouped by occupations, agricultural as well as industrial, in such manner that — while the "autonomous craft union," at one time the palladium of the workers, has become a harmless scarecrow upon which the capitalist birds roost at ease — the revolutionary industrial unions will spring logically from the modern organization of industry itself and, casting ahead of them the constituencies of the government of the future, point to the industrial governmental organization.

Nor is this all. Not only has the political State raised its own enemies; not only has it multiplied them; not only has it recruited and drilled them; not only has it grouped them into shape and form to succeed it; it is, furthermore, driven by its inherent necessities to prodding on the revolutionary class by digging ever more fiercely into its flanks the harpoon of exploitation.

With the purchasing power of wages sinking to ever lower depths; with certainty of work hanging on ever slenderer threads; with an ever more gigantically swelling army of the unemployed; with the need of profits pressing the industrial autocracy harder and harder to squander recklessly the workers' limbs and lives; what with all this and the parallel process of merging the workers of all industries into one interdependent, solid mass, the final break-up is rendered inevitable.

No wild schemes and no rainbow-chasing will stead in the approaching emergency. The industrial autocracy knows this — and so

does the Socialist Labor Party — and logical is the program of each.

The program of the industrial autocracy is industrial feudalism. Where a social revolution is pending and for whatever reason is not accomplished, Reaction is the alternative.

The program of the Socialist Labor Party is Revolution — the Industrial or Socialist Republic, the social order where the political State is overthrown; where the "Congress" of the land, the legislative and executive central directing authority, consists of the representatives of the useful occupations; where, accordingly, the Government will be an essential factor in production; where the gigantic machines are freed from the trammels of the private ownership that now turn into a curse the blessings with which these machines are instinct; where, accordingly, abundance can be the patrimony of all who work; where the shackles of wage slavery are no more.

In keeping with the goals of the different programs are the means of their execution.

The means in contemplation by Reaction is forcible repression. To this end Reaction is seeking, by means of industrial spies and other agencies, to lash the proletariat into acts of violence that may give color to a resort to physical force. By its maneuvers, it is egging the working class on to deeds of fury. The capitalist press echoes the policy, while the pure and simple reformers, pure and simple trade-unionists, and "revolutionary" visionaries generally, are snared into the trap.

To the contrary, the means firmly adhered to by the Socialist Labor Party is the constitutional method of political action, backed by the industrial and class-consciously organized proletariat, to the exclusion of anarchy, underground conspiracies, and all that thereby hangs.

At such a critical period in the Nation's existence the Socialist Labor Party calls upon the working class of America, more deliberately serious than ever before, to rally at the polls under the party's banner. And the party also calls upon all intelligent citizens to place themselves squarely upon the ground of working-class interests, and join us in this mighty and noble work of human emancipation, so that we may put summary end to the existing barbarous class conflict by placing the land and all the means of production, transportation, and distribution into the hands of the people as a collective body, and substituting for the present state of planless production, industrial war, and social disorder, the Socialist or Industrial Commonwealth — a commonwealth in which every worker shall have the free exercise and full benefit of his faculties, multiplied by all the modern factors of civilization.

WORKERS (COMMUNIST) PARTY CONVENTION, HELD AT
NEW YORK, MAY 25

Candidates

For President, William Z. Foster, of Illinois.

For Vice-President, Benjamin Gitlow, of New York.

Platform

(From considerations of space the platform of the Workers (Communist) Party, which would cover about fifty pages of this book, is omitted. Copies may be obtained from the Workers Library Publishers, 43 East 125th Street, New York.)

REPUBLICAN PARTY CONVENTION, HELD AT KANSAS CITY,
MISSOURI, JUNE 13

Candidates

For President, Herbert Clark Hoover, of California.

For Vice-President, Charles Curtis, of Kansas.

Platform

THE Republican Party in National Convention assembled presents to the people of the Nation this platform of its principles, based on a record of its accomplishments, and asks and awaits a new vote of confidence. We reaffirm our devotion to the Constitution of the United States and the principles and institutions of the American system of representative government.

We endorse without qualification the record of the Coolidge Administration.

The record of the Republican Party is a record of advancement of the Nation. Nominees of Republican National Conventions have for fifty-two of the seventy-two years since the creation of our party been the Chief Executives of the United States. Under Republican inspiration and largely under Republican executive direction the continent has been bound with steel rails, the oceans and great rivers have been joined by canals, waterways have been deepened and widened for ocean commerce, and with all a high American standard of wage and living has been established.

By unwavering adherence to sound principles, through the wisdom of Republican policies and the capacity of Republican administrations, the foundations have been laid and the greatness and prosperity of the country firmly established.

Never has the soundness of Republican policies been more amply

demonstrated and the Republican genius for administration been better exemplified than during the last five years under the leadership of President Coolidge.

No better guaranty of prosperity and contentment among all our people at home, no more reliable warranty of protection and promotion of American interests abroad can be given than the pledge to maintain and continue the Coolidge policies. This promise we give and will faithfully perform.

Under this Administration the country has been lifted from the depths of a great depression to a level of prosperity. Economy has been raised to the dignity of a principle of government. A standard of character in public service has been established under the Chief Executive which has given to the people of the country a feeling of stability and confidence so all have felt encouraged to proceed on new undertakings in trade and commerce. A foreign policy based on the traditional American position and carried on with vision and steadfastness has extended American influence throughout the world and everywhere promoted and protected American interests.

The mighty contribution to general well-being which can be made by a government controlled by men of character and courage, whose abilities are equal to their responsibilities, is self-evident and should not blind us to the consequences which its loss would entail. Under this Administration a high level of wages and living has been established and maintained. The door of opportunity has been opened wide to all. It has given to our people greater comfort and leisure, and the mutual profit has been evident in the increasingly harmonious relations between employers and employees, and the steady rise by promotion of the men in the shops to places at the council tables of the industries. It has also been made evident by the increasing enrollments of our youth in the technical schools and colleges, the increase in savings and life-insurance accounts, and by our ability as a people to lend the hand of succor not only to those overcome by disasters in our own country but in foreign lands. With all there has been a steady decrease in the burden of Federal taxation, releasing to the people the greatest possible portion of the results of their labor from Government exactions.

For the Republican Party we are justified in claiming a major share of the credit for the position which the United States occupies to-day as the most favored nation on the globe, but it is well to remember that the confidence and prosperity which we enjoy can be shattered, if not destroyed, if this belief in the honesty and sincerity of our Government is in any way affected. A continuation of this great public peace of mind now existing, which makes for our material well-being, is only possible by holding fast to the plans and principles which have marked Republican control.

The record of the present Administration is a guaranty of what may be expected of the next. Our words have been made deeds. We offer not promises but accomplishments.

The citizen and taxpayer has a natural right to be protected from unnecessary and wasteful expenditures. This is a rich but also a growing Nation with constantly increasing legitimate demands for public funds. If we are able to spend wisely and meet these requirements, it is first necessary that we save wisely. Spending extravagantly not only deprives men through taxation of the fruits of their labor, but oftentimes means the postponement of vitally important public works. We commend President Coolidge for his establishment of this fundamental principle of sound administration and pledge ourselves to live up to the high standard he has set.

The record of the United States Treasury under Secretary Mellon stands unrivaled and unsurpassed. The finances of the Nation have been managed with sound judgment. The financial policies have yielded immediate and substantial results.

In 1921 the credit of our Government was at a low ebb. We were burdened with a huge public debt, a load of war taxes, which in variety and weight exceeded anything in our national life, while vast unfunded intergovernmental debts disorganized the economic life of the debtor nations and seriously affected our own by reason of the serious obstacles which they presented to commercial intercourse. This critical situation was evidenced by a serious disturbance in our own life which made for unemployment.

To-day all these major financial problems have been solved.

In seven years the public debt has been reduced by \$6,411,000,000. From March, 1921, to September, 1928, over \$11,000,000,000 of securities, bearing high rates of interest, will have been retired or refunded into securities bearing a low rate of interest, while Liberty bonds, which were selling below par, now command a premium. These operations have resulted in an annual saving in interest charges of not less than \$275,000,000, without which the most recent tax-reduction measure would not have been made possible. The Republican Party will continue to reduce our national debt as rapidly as possible and in accordance with the provision of existing laws and the present program.

Wise administrative management under Republican control and direction has made possible a reduction of over a billion eight hundred million dollars a year in the tax bill of the American people. Four separate tax reduction measures have been enacted, and millions of those least able to pay have been taken from the tax rolls.

Excessive and uneconomic rates have been radically modified, releasing for industrial and pay-roll expansion and development great sums of money which formerly were paid in taxes to the Federal Government.

Practically all the war taxes have been eliminated and our tax system has been definitely restored to a peace-time basis.

We pledge our party to a continuation of these sound policies and to such further reduction of the tax burden as the condition of the Treasury may from time to time permit.

We reaffirm our belief in the protective tariff as a fundamental and essential principle of the economic life of this Nation. While certain provisions of the present law require revision in the light of changes in the world competitive situation since its enactment, the record of the United States since 1922 clearly shows that the fundamental protective principle of the law has been fully justified. It has stimulated the development of our natural resources, provided fuller employment at higher wages through the promotion of industrial activity, assured thereby the continuance of the farmer's major market, and further raised the standards of living and general comfort and well-being of our people. The great expansion in the wealth of our Nation during the past fifty years, and particularly in the past decade, could not have been accomplished without a protective tariff system designed to promote the vital interests of all classes.

Nor have these manifest benefits been restricted to any particular section of the country. They are enjoyed throughout the land either directly or indirectly. Their stimulus has been felt in industries, farming sections, trade circles, and communities in every quarter. However, we realize that there are certain industries which can not now successfully compete with foreign producers because of lower foreign wages and a lower cost of living abroad, and we pledge the next Republican Congress to an examination and where necessary a revision of these schedules to the end that American labor in these industries may again command the home market, may maintain its standard of living, and may count upon steady employment in its accustomed field.

Adherence to that policy is essential for the continued prosperity of the country. Under it the standard of living of the American people has been raised to the highest levels ever known. Its example has been eagerly followed by the rest of the world whose experts have repeatedly reported with approval the relationship of this policy to our prosperity, with the resultant emulation of that example by other nations.

A protective tariff is as vital to American agriculture as it is to American manufacturing. The Republican Party believes that the home market, built up under the protective policy, belongs to the American farmer, and it pledges its support of legislation which will give this market to him to the full extent of his ability to supply it. Agriculture derives large benefits not only directly from the protective duties levied on competitive farm products of foreign origin but also, indirectly, from the increase in the purchasing power of American workmen employed in industries similarly protected. These benefits extend also to persons engaged in trade, transportation, and other activities.

The Tariff Act of 1922 has justified itself in the expansion of our foreign trade during the past five years. Our domestic exports have increased from 3.8 billions of dollars in 1922 to 4.8 billions in 1927. During the same period imports have increased from 3.1 billions to

4.4 billions. Contrary to the prophecies of its critics, the present tariff law has not hampered the natural growth in the exportation of the products of American agriculture, industry, and mining, nor has it restricted the importation of foreign commodities which this country can utilize without jeopardizing its economic structure.

The United States is the largest customer in the world to-day. If we were not prosperous and able to buy, the rest of the world also would suffer. It is inconceivable that American labor will ever consent to the abolition of protection, which would bring the American standard of living down to the level of that in Europe, or that the American farmer could survive if the enormous consuming power of the people in this country were curtailed and its market at home, if not destroyed, at least seriously impaired.

In accordance with our settled policy and platform pledges, debt settlement agreements have been negotiated with all of our foreign debtors with the exception of Armenia and Russia. That with France remains as yet unratified. Those with Greece and Austria are before the Congress for necessary authority. If the French debt settlement be included, the total amount funded is \$11,522,354,000. We have steadfastly opposed and will continue to oppose cancellation of foreign debts.

We have no desire to be oppressive or grasping, but we hold that obligations justly incurred should be honorably discharged. We know of no authority which would permit public officials, acting as trustees, to shift the burden of the war from the shoulders of foreign taxpayers to those of our own people. We believe that the settlements agreed to are fair to both the debtor nation and to the American taxpayer. Our debt commission took into full consideration the economic condition and resources of the debtor nations, and were ever mindful that they must be permitted to preserve and improve their economic position, to bring their budgets into balance, to place their currencies and finances on a sound basis, and to improve the standard of living of their people. Giving full weight to these considerations, we know of no fairer test than ability to pay, justly estimated.

The people can rely on the Republican Party to adhere to a foreign-debt policy now definitely established and clearly understood both at home and abroad.

A satisfactory solution has been found for the question of war claims. Under the act approved by the President on March 10, 1928, a provision was made for the settlement of war claims of the United States and its citizens against the German, Austrian, and Hungarian Governments, and of the claims of the nationals of these Governments against the United States, and for the return to its owners of the property seized by the Alien Property Custodian during the war, in accordance with our traditional policy of respect for private property.

We approve the foreign policies of the Administration of President Coolidge. We believe they express the will of the American people in

working actively to build up cordial international understanding that will make world peace a permanent reality. We endorse the proposal of the Secretary of State for a multilateral treaty proposed to the principal Powers of the world, and open to the signatures of all nations, to renounce war as an instrument of national policy and declaring in favor of pacific settlement of international disputes, the first step in outlawing war. The idea has stirred the conscience of mankind and gained widespread approval both of governments and of the people, and the conclusion of the treaty will be acclaimed as the greatest single step in history toward the conservation of peace.

In the same endeavor to substitute for war the peaceful settlement of international disputes the Administration has concluded arbitration treaties in a form more definite and more inclusive than ever before, and plans to negotiate similar treaties with all countries willing in this manner to define their policy peacefully to settle justiciable disputes. In connection with these, we endorse the resolution of the Sixth Pan-American Conference, held at Habana, Cuba, in 1928, which called a conference on arbitration and conciliation to meet in Washington during the year, and express our earnest hope that such conference will greatly further the principles of international arbitration. We shall continue to demand the same respect and protection for the persons and property of American citizens in foreign countries that we cheerfully accord in this country to the persons and property of aliens.

The commercial treaties which we have negotiated and those still in the process of negotiation are based on strict justice among nations, equal opportunity for trade and commerce on the most-favored-nation principle, and are simplified so as to eliminate the danger of misunderstanding. The object and the aim of the United States is to further the cause of peace, of strict justice between nations, with due regard for the rights of others in all international dealings. Out of justice grows peace. Justice and consideration have been and will continue to be the inspiration of our Nation.

The record of the Administration toward Mexico has been consistently friendly and with equal consistency have we upheld American rights. This firm and at the same time friendly policy has brought recognition of the inviolability of legally acquired rights. This condition has been reached without threat or without bluster, through a calm support of the recognized principles of international law, with due regard to the rights of a sister sovereign State. The Republican Party will continue to support American rights in Mexico as elsewhere in the world, and at the same time to promote and strengthen friendship and confidence.

There has always been, as there always will be, a firm friendship with Canada. American and Canadian interests are in a large measure identical. Our relationship is one of fine mutual understanding, and the recent exchange of diplomatic officers between the two countries is worthy of commendation.

The United States has an especial interest in the advancement and progress of all the Latin American countries. The policy of the Republican Party will always be a policy of thorough friendship and coöperation. In the case of Nicaragua, we are engaged in coöperation with the Government of that country upon the task of assisting to restore and maintain peace, order, and stability, and in no way to infringe upon her sovereign rights. The marines now in Nicaragua are there to protect American lives and property and to aid in carrying out an agreement whereby we have undertaken to do what we can to restore and maintain order and to insure a fair and free election. Our policy absolutely repudiates any idea of conquest or exploitation and is actuated solely by an earnest and sincere desire to assist a friendly and neighboring State which has appealed for aid in a great emergency. It is the same policy the United States has pursued in other cases in Central America.

The Administration has looked with keen sympathy on the tragic events in China. We have avoided interference in the internal affairs of that unhappy nation, merely keeping sufficient naval and military forces in China to protect the lives of the Americans who are there on legitimate business and in still larger numbers for nobly humanitarian reasons. America has not been stampeded into making reprisals, but, on the other hand, has consistently taken the position of leadership among the nations in a policy of wise moderation. We shall always be glad to be of assistance to China when our duty is clear.

The Republican Party maintains the traditional American policy of non-interference in the political affairs of other nations. This Government has definitely refused membership in the League of Nations and to assume any obligations under the covenant of the league.

On this we stand.

In accordance, however, with the long-established American practice of giving aid and assistance to other peoples, we have most usefully assisted by coöperation in the humanitarian and technical work undertaken by the League, without involving ourselves in European politics by accepting membership.

The Republican Party has always given and will continue to give its support to the development of American foreign trade, which makes for domestic prosperity. During this Administration extraordinary strides have been made in opening up new markets for American produce and manufacture. Through these foreign contacts a mutually better international understanding has been reached which aids in the maintenance of world peace.

The Republican Party promises a firm and consistent support of American persons and legitimate American interests in all parts of the world. This support will never contravene the rights of other nations. It will always have in mind and support in every way the progressive development of international law, since it is through the operation of just laws, as well as through the growth of friendly under-

standing, that world peace will be made permanent. To that end the Republican Party pledges itself to aid and assist in the perfection of principles of international law and the settlement of international disputes.

The merit system in Government service originated with and has been developed by the Republican Party. The great majority of our public-service employees are now secured through and maintained in the Government-service rules. Steps have already been taken by the Republican Congress to make the service more attractive as to wages and retirement privileges, and we commend what has been done as a step in the right direction.

The agricultural problem is national in scope and, as such, is recognized by the Republican Party, which pledges its strength and energy to the solution of the same. Realizing that many farmers are facing problems more difficult than those which are the portion of many other basic industries, the party is anxious to aid in every way possible. Many of our farmers are still going through readjustment, a relic of the years directly following the Great War. All the farmers are being called on to meet new and perplexing conditions created by foreign competition, the complexities of domestic marketing, labor problems, and a steady increase in local and State taxes.

The general depression in a great basic industry inevitably reacts upon the conditions in the country as a whole and can not be ignored. It is a matter of satisfaction that the desire to help in the correction of agricultural wrongs and conditions is not confined to any one section of our country or any particular group.

The Republican Party and the Republican Administration, particularly during the last five years, have settled many of the most distressing problems as they have arisen, and the achievements in aid of agriculture are properly a part of this record. The Republican Congresses have been most responsive in the matter of agricultural appropriations, not only to meet crop emergencies, but for the extension and development of the activities of the Department of Agriculture.

The protection of the American farmer against foreign farm competition and foreign trade practices has been vigorously carried on by the Department of State. The right of the farmers to engage in collective buying and coöperative selling as provided for by the Capper-Volstead Act of 1922 has been promulgated through the Department of Agriculture and the Department of Justice, which have given most valuable aid and assistance to the heads of the farm organizations. The Treasury Department and the proper committees of Congress have lightened the tax burden on farming communities, and through the Federal farm-loan system there has been made available to the farmers of the Nation \$1,850,000,000 for loaning purposes at a low rate of interest, and through the intermediate-credit banks \$655,000,000 of short-term credits have been made available to the farmers. The Post Office Department has systematically and generously ex-

tended the rural free delivery routes into even the most sparsely settled communities.

When a shortage of transportation facilities threatened to deprive the farmers of their opportunity to reach waiting markets overseas, the President, appreciative and sensitive of the condition and the possible loss to the communities, ordered the reconditioning of Shipping Board vessels, thus relieving a great emergency.

Last, but not least, the Federal Tariff Commission has at all times shown a willingness under the provisions of the flexible tariff act to aid the farmers when foreign competition, made possible by low wage scales abroad, threatened to deprive our farmers of their domestic markets. Under this act the President has increased duties on wheat, flour, mill feed, and dairy products. Numerous other farm products are now being investigated by the Tariff Commission.

We promise every assistance in the reorganization of the marketing system on sounder and more economical lines and, where diversification is needed, Government financial assistance during the period of transition.

The Republican Party pledges itself to the enactment of legislation creating a Federal Farm Board clothed with the necessary powers to promote the establishment of a farm marketing system of farmer owned and controlled stabilization corporations or associations to prevent and control surpluses through orderly distribution.

We favor adequate tariff protection to such of our agricultural products as are affected by foreign competition.

We favor, without putting the Government into business, the establishment of a Federal system of organization for coöperative and orderly marketing of farm products.

The vigorous efforts of this Administration toward broadening our exports market will be continued.

The Republican Party pledges itself to the development and enactment of measures which will place the agricultural interests of America on a basis of economic equality with other industries to insure its prosperity and success.

The money value of the mineral products of the country is second only to agriculture. We lead the countries of the world in the production of coal, iron, copper, and silver. The Nation suffers as a whole from any disturbance in the securing of any one of these minerals, and particularly when the coal supply is affected. The mining industry has always been self-sustaining, but we believe that the Government should make every effort to aid the industry by protection by removing any restrictions which may be hampering its development and by increased technical and economic research investigations which are necessary for its welfare and normal development. The party is anxious, hopeful, and willing to assist in any feasible plan for the stabilization of the coal-mining industry which will work with justice to the miners, consumers, and producers.

Under the Federal Aid Road Act, adopted by the Republican Con-

gress in 1921, and supplemented by generous appropriations each year, road construction has made greater advancement than for many decades previous. Improved highway conditions is a gauge of our rural developments and our commercial activity. We pledge our support to continued appropriations for this work commensurate with our needs and resources.

We favor the construction of roads and trails in our national forests necessary to their protection and utilization. In appropriations therefor the taxes which these lands would pay if taxable should be considered as a controlling factor.

The labor record of the Republican Party stands unchallenged. For fifty-two of the seventy-two years of our national existence Republican Administrations have prevailed. To-day American labor enjoys the highest wage and the highest standard of living throughout the world. Through the saneness and soundness of Republican rule the American workman is paid a "real wage" which allows comfort for himself and his dependents, and an opportunity and leisure for advancement. It is not surprising that the foreign workman, whose greatest ambition still is to achieve a "living wage," should look with longing toward America as the goal of his desires.

The ability to pay such wages and maintain such a standard comes from the wisdom of the protective legislation which the Republican Party has placed upon the national statute books, the tariff which bars cheap foreign-made goods from the American market and provides continuity of employment for our workmen and fair profits for the manufacturers, the restriction of immigration which not only prevents the glutting of our labor market, but allows to our newer immigrants a greater opportunity to secure a footing in their upward struggle.

The party favors freedom in wage contracts, the right of collective bargaining by free and responsible agents of their own choosing, which develops and maintains that purposeful cooperation which gains its chief incentive through voluntary agreement.

We believe that injunctions in labor disputes have in some instances been abused and have given rise to a serious question for legislation.

The Republican Party pledges itself to continue its efforts to maintain this present standard of living and high wage scale.

Prompt and effective railroad service at the lowest rates which will provide for its maintenance and allow a reasonable return to the investors, so they may be encouraged to advance new capital for acquired developments, has long been recognized by the Republican Party as a necessity of national existence.

We believe that the present laws under which our railroads are regulated are soundly based on correct principles, the spirit of which must always be preserved. Because, however, of changes in the public demands, trade conditions, and of the character of the competition, which even the greatest railroads are now being called upon to meet,

we feel that in the light of this new experience possible modifications or amendments, the need of which is proved, should be considered.

The Republican Party initiated and set in operation the Interstate Commerce Commission. This body has developed a system of railroad control and regulation which has given to the transportation public an opportunity not only to make suggestions for the improvement of railroad service, but to protest against discriminatory rates or schedules. We commend the work which that body is accomplishing under mandate of law in considering these matters and seeking to distribute equitably the burden of transportation between commodities based on their ability to bear the same.

The Republican Party stands for the American-built, American-owned, and American-operated merchant marine. The enactment of the White-Jones Bill is in line with a policy which the party has long advocated.

Under this measure, substantial aid and encouragement are offered for the building in American yards of new and modern ships which will carry the American flag.

The Republican Party does not believe in Government ownership or operation, and stands specifically for the sale of the present Government vessels to private owners when appropriate arrangements can be made. Pending such a sale, and because private owners are not ready as yet to operate on certain of the essential trade routes, the bill enacted allows the maintenance of these necessary lines under Government control till such transfer can be made.

The Mississippi Valley flood, in which 700,000 of our fellow citizens were placed in peril of life, and which destroyed hundreds of millions of dollars' worth of property, was met with energetic action by the Republican Administration.

During this disaster the President mobilized every public and private agency under the direction of Secretary Hoover, of the Department of Commerce, and Dwight Davis, the Secretary of War. Thanks to their joint efforts, a great loss of life was prevented, and everything possible was done to rehabilitate the people in their homes and to relieve suffering and distress.

Congress promptly passed legislation authorizing the expenditure of \$325,000,000 for the construction of flood-control works, which it is believed will prevent the recurrence of such a disaster.

We stand for the administration of the radio facilities of the United States under wise and expert Government supervision, which will —

First. Secure to every home in the Nation, whether city or country, the great educational and inspirational values of broadcast programs, adequate in number and varied in character; and

Second. Assign the radio communication channels — regional, continental, and transoceanic — in the best interest of the American business man, the American farmer, and the American public generally.

Cheaper transportation for bulk goods from the Mid-West agricultural sections to the sea is recognized by the Republican Party as

a vital factor for the relief of agriculture. To that end we favor the continued development in inland and in intra-coastal waterways as an essential part of our transportation system.

The Republican Administration during the last four years initiated the systematic development of the Mississippi system of inland transportation lanes, and it proposes to carry on this modernization of transportation to speedy completion. Great improvements have been made during this Administration in our harbors, and the party pledges itself to continue these activities for the modernization of our national equipment.

Our country is honored whenever it bestows relief on those who have faithfully served its flag. The Republican Party, appreciative of this solemn obligation and honor, has made its sentiments evident in Congress. Our expenditures for the benefit of all our veterans now aggregate \$750,000,000 annually. Increased hospital facilities have been provided, payments in compensation have more than doubled, and in the matter of rehabilitations, pensions, and insurance, generous provision has been made. The administration of laws dealing with the relief of veterans and their dependents has been a difficult task, but every effort has been made to carry service to the veteran and bring about not only a better and generous interpretation of the law, but a sympathetic consideration of the many problems of the veteran. Full and adequate relief for our disabled veterans is our aim, and we commend the action of Congress in further liberalizing the laws applicable to veterans' relief.

Republican Congresses and administrations have steadily strengthened the Interstate Commerce Commission. The protection of the public from exactions or burdens in rates for service by reason of monopoly control, and the protection of the smaller organizations from suppression in their own field, has been a fundamental idea in all regulatory enactments. While recognizing that at times Federal regulations might be more effective than State regulations in controlling intrastate utilities, the party favors and has sustained State regulations, believing that such responsibility in the end will create a force of State public opinion which will be more effective in preventing discriminations and injustices.

We believe in the practical application of the conservation principle by the wise development of our natural resources. The measure of development is our national requirement, and avoidance of waste so that future generations may share in this natural wealth. The Republican policy is to prevent monopolies in the control and utilization of natural resources. Under the general leasing law, enacted by a Republican Congress, the ownership of the mineral estate remains in the Government, but development occurs through private capital and energy. Important for the operation of this law is the classification and appraisalment of public lands according to their mineral content and value. Over 500,000,000 acres of public land have been thus classified.

To prevent wasteful exploitation of our oil products, President Coolidge appointed an Oil Conservation Board, which is now conducting an inquiry into all phases of petroleum production in the effort to devise a national policy for the conservation and proper utilization of our oil resources.

The Republican Party has been forehanded in assuring the development of water power in accordance with public interest. A policy of permanent public retention of the power sites on public land and power privileges in domestic and international navigable streams and one-third of the potential water-power resources in the United States on public domain has been assured by the Federal Water Powers Act, passed by a Republican Congress.

We reaffirm the American constitutional doctrine as announced by George Washington in his Farewell Address, to wit:

"The Constitution, which at any time exists until changed by the explicit and authentic act by the whole people, is sacredly obligatory upon all."

We also reaffirm the attitude of the American people toward the Federal Constitution as declared by Abraham Lincoln:

"We are by both duty and inclination bound to stick by that Constitution in all its letter and spirit from beginning to end. I am for the honest enforcement of the Constitution. Our safety, our liberty, depends upon preserving the Constitution of the United States as our forefathers made it inviolate."

The people, through the method provided by the Constitution, have written the Eighteenth Amendment into the Constitution. The Republican Party pledges itself and its nominees to the observance and vigorous enforcement of this provision of the Constitution.

We stand for honesty in government, for the appointment of officials whose integrity cannot be questioned. We deplore the fact that any official has ever fallen from this high standard and that certain American citizens of both parties have so far forgotten their duty as citizens as to traffic in national interests for private gain. We have prosecuted and shall always prosecute any official who subordinates his public duty to his personal interest.

The Government to-day is made up of thousands of conscientious, earnest, self-sacrificing men and women, whose single thought is service to the Nation.

We pledge ourselves to maintain and, if possible, to improve the quality of this great company of Federal employees.

Economy, honesty, and decency in the conduct of political campaigns are a necessity if representative government is to be preserved to the people and political parties are to hold the respect of the citizens at large.

The campaign of 1924 complied with all these requirements. It was a campaign the expenses of which were carefully budgeted in advance, and which at the close presented a surplus and not a deficit.

There will not be any relaxing of resolute endeavor to keep our elec-

tions clean, honest, and free from taint of any kind. The improper use of money in governmental and political affairs is a great national evil. One of the most effective remedies for this abuse is publicity in all matters touching campaign contributions and expenditures. The Republican Party, beginning not later than August 1, 1928, and every thirty days thereafter — the last publication being not later than five days before the election — will file with the committees of the House and Senate a complete account of all contributions, the names of the contributors, the amounts expended, and for what purposes, and will at all times hold its records and books touching such matters open for inspection.

The party further pledges that it will not create, or permit to be created, any deficit which shall exist at the close of the campaign.

Federal reclamation of arid lands is a Republican policy, adopted under President Roosevelt, carried forward by succeeding Republican Presidents, and put upon a still higher plane of efficiency and production by President Coolidge. It has increased the wealth of the Nation and made the West more prosperous.

An intensive study of the methods and practices of reclamation has been going on for the past four years under the direction of the Department of the Interior in an endeavor to create broader human opportunities and their financial and economic success. The money value of the crops raised on reclamation projects is showing a steady and gratifying increase, as well as the number of farms and people who have settled on the lands.

The continuation of a surplus of agricultural products in the selling markets of the world has influenced the department to a revaluation of plans and projects. It has adopted a 10-year program for the completion of older projects and will hold other suggestions in abeyance until the surveys now under way as to the entire scope of the work are completed.

Without governmental grants or subsidies and entirely by private initiative the Nation has made extraordinary advances in the field of commercial aviation. Over twenty thousand miles of air-mail service privately operated are now being flown daily, and the broadening of this service is an almost weekly event. Because of our close relations with our sister republics on the south and our neighbor on the north it is fitting our first efforts should be to establish an air communication with Latin America and Canada.

The achievements of the aviation branches of the army and navy are all to the advantage of commercial aviation, and in the Mississippi flood disaster the work performed by civil and military aviators was of inestimable value.

The development of a system of aircraft registration, inspection, and control is a credit to the Republican Administration, which, quick to appreciate the importance of this new transportation development, created machinery for its safeguarding.

The Republican Party believes that in the interest of both native

and foreign born wage-earners it is necessary to restrict immigration. Unrestricted immigration would result in widespread unemployment and in the breakdown of the American standard of living. Where, however, the law works undue hardship by depriving the immigrant of the comfort and society of those bound by close family ties, such modification should be adopted as will afford relief.

We commend Congress for correcting defects for humanitarian reasons and for providing an effective system of examining prospective immigrants in their home countries.

The priceless heritage of American citizenship is our greatest gift to our friends of foreign birth. Only those who will be loyal to our institutions, who are here in conformity with our laws, and who are in sympathy with our national traditions, ideals, and principles should be naturalized.

We pledge ourselves to round out and maintain the navy in all types of combatant ships to the full ratio provided for the United States by the Washington Treaty for the Limitation of Naval Armament and any amendment thereto.

We favor a continuance for the Territory of Hawaii of Federal assistance in harbor improvements, the appropriation of its share of Federal funds, and the systematic extension of the settlement of public lands by the Hawaiian race.

We endorse the policy of the present Administration with reference to Alaska and favor a continuance of the constructive development of the Territory.

Four years ago at the Republican National Convention in Cleveland women members of the National Committee were welcomed into full association and responsibility in party management. During the four years which have passed they have carried with their men associates an equal share of all responsibilities, and their contribution to the success of the 1924 campaign is well recognized.

The Republican Party, which from the first has sought to bring this development about, accepts whole-heartedly equality on the part of the women, and in the public service it can present a record of appointments of women in the legal, diplomatic, judicial, Treasury, and other governmental departments. We earnestly urge on the women that they participate even more generally than now in party management and activity.

We believe that in time of war the Nation should draft for its defense not only its citizens but also every resource which may contribute to success. The country demands that should the United States ever again be called upon to defend itself by arms, the President be empowered to draft such material resources and such services as may be required, and to stabilize the prices of services and essential commodities, whether utilized in actual warfare or private activity.

National citizenship was conferred upon all native-born Indians in the United States by the general Indian Enfranchisement Act of 1924. We favor the creation of a commission to be appointed by the Presi-

dent, including one or more Indian citizens, to investigate and report to Congress upon the existing system of the administration of Indian affairs and to report any inconsistencies that may be found to exist between that system and the rights of the Indian citizens of the United States. We also favor the repeal of any law and the termination of any administrative practice which may be inconsistent with Indian citizenship, to the end that the Federal guardianship existing over the persons and properties of Indian tribal communities may not work a prejudice to the personal and property rights of Indian citizens of the United States. The treaty and property rights of the Indians of the United States must be guaranteed to them.

We renew our recommendation that the Congress enact at the earliest possible date a Federal anti-lynching law so that the full influence of the Federal Government may be wielded to exterminate this hideous crime.

We believe in the essential unity of the American people. Sectionalism in any form is destructive of national life. The Federal Government should zealously protect the national and international rights of its citizens. It should be equally zealous to respect and maintain the rights of the States and Territories and to uphold the vigor and balance of our dual system of government. The Republican Party has always given its energies to supporting the Government in this direction when any question has arisen.

There are certain other well-defined Federal obligations, such as interstate commerce, the development of rivers and harbors, and the guarding and conservation of national resources. The effort which, however, is being continually made to have the Federal Government move into the field of State activities has never had, and never will have, the support of the Republican Party. In the majority of the cases State citizens and officers are now pressing in their desire to have the Federal Government take over these State functions. This is to be deplored, for it weakens the sense of initiative and creates a feeling of dependence which is unhealthy and unfortunate for the whole body politic.

There is a real need in the country to-day to revitalize fundamental principles; there is a real need of restoring the individual and local sense of responsibility and self-reliance; there is a real need for the people once more to grasp the fundamental fact that under our system of government they are expected to solve many problems themselves through their municipal and State governments, and to combat the tendency that is all too common to turn to the Federal Government as the easiest and least burdensome method of lightening their own responsibilities.

DEMOCRATIC PARTY CONVENTION, HELD AT HOUSTON, TEXAS,
JUNE 26

Candidates

For President, Alfred Emanuel Smith, of New York.

For Vice-President, Joseph Taylor Robinson, of Arkansas.

Platform

WE, the Democratic Party in convention assembled, pause to pay our tribute of love and respect to the memory of him who in his life and in his official actions voiced the hopes and aspirations of all good men and women of every race and clime, the former President of the United States, Woodrow Wilson. His spirit moves on and his example and deeds will exalt those who come after us as they have inspired us.

We are grateful that we were privileged to work with him and again pay tribute to his high ideals and accomplishments.

We reaffirm our devotion to the principles of democratic government formulated by Jefferson and enforced by a long and illustrious line of Democratic Presidents.

We hold that government must function not to centralize our wealth but to preserve equal opportunity so that all may share in our priceless resources; and not confine prosperity to a favored few. We, therefore, pledge the Democratic Party to encourage business, small and great alike; to conserve human happiness and liberty; to break the shackles of monopoly and free business of the nation; to respond to popular will.

The function of a national platform is to declare general principles and party policies. We do not, therefore, assume to bind our party respecting local issues or details of legislation.

We, therefore, declare the policy of the Democratic Party with regard to the following dominant issues:

We demand that the constitutional rights and powers of the States shall be preserved in their full vigor and virtue. These constitute a bulwark against centralization and the destructive tendencies of the Republican Party.

We oppose bureaucracy and the multiplication of offices and office-holders.

We demand a revival of the spirit of local self-government without which free institutions cannot be preserved.

Unblushingly the Republican Party offers as its record agriculture prostrate, industry depressed, American shipping destroyed, workmen without employment, everywhere disgust and suspicion and corruption unpunished and unafraid.

Never in the entire history of the country has there occurred in any given period of time or, indeed, in all time put together, such a spec-

tacle of sordid corruption and unabashed rascality as that which has characterized the administration of Federal affairs under eight blighting years of Republican rule. Not the revels of Reconstruction, nor all the compounded frauds succeeding that evil era, have approached in sheer audacity the shocking thieveries and startling depravities of officials high and low in the public service at Washington. From Cabinet Ministers, with their treasonable crimes, to the cheap vendors of official patronage; from the purchasers of seats in the United States Senate to the vulgar grafters upon alien trust funds and upon the hospital resources of the disabled veterans of the World War; from the givers and receivers of stolen funds for Republican campaign purposes to the public men who sat by silently consenting and never revealing a fact or uttering a word in condemnation — the whole official organization under Republican rule has become saturated with dishonesty, defiant of public opinion, and actuated only by a partisan desire to perpetuate its control of the Government.

As in the time of Samuel J. Tilden, from whom the Presidency was stolen, the watchword of the day should be: "Turn the rascals out." This is the appeal of the Democratic Party to the people of the country. To this fixed purpose should be devoted every effort and applied every resource of the party; to this end every minor difference on non-essential issues should be put aside and a determined and a united fight be made to rescue the Government from those who have betrayed their trust by disgracing it.

The Democratic Party stands for efficiency and economy in the administration of public affairs and we pledge:

(a) Business-like reorganization of all the departments of the government.

(b) Elimination of duplication, waste and overlapping.

(c) Substitution of modern business-like methods for existing obsolete and antiquated conditions.

No economy resulted from the Republican Party rule. The saving they claim takes no account of the elimination of expenditures following the end of the World War, the large sums realized from the sale of war materials, nor its failure to supply sufficient funds for the efficient conduct of many important governmental activities.

(a) The Federal Reserve System, created and inaugurated under Democratic auspices, is the greatest legislative contribution to constructive business ever adopted. The administration of the system for the advantage of stock-market speculators should cease. It must be administered for the benefit of farmers, wage-earners, merchants, manufacturers, and others engaged in constructive business.

(b) The taxing function of Governments, free of despotism, has for centuries been regarded as the power above all others which requires vigilant scrutiny to the end that it be not exercised for purposes of favor or oppression.

Three times since the World War the Democrats in Congress have favored a reduction of the tax burdens of the people in face of stub-

born opposition from a Republican Administration; and each time these reductions have largely been made for the relief of those least able to endure the exactions of a Republican fiscal policy. The tax bill of the session recently ended was delayed by Republican tactics and juggled by partisan considerations so as to make impossible a full measure of relief to the greater body of taxpayers. The moderate reductions afforded were grudgingly conceded and the whole proceeding in Congress, dictated as far as possible from the White House and the Treasury, denoted the proverbial desire of the Republican Party always to discriminate against the masses in favor of privileged classes.

The Democratic Party avows its belief in the fiscal policy inaugurated by the last Democratic Administration, which had provided a sinking fund sufficient to extinguish the Nation's indebtedness within a reasonable period of time, without harassing the present and next succeeding generations with tax burdens, which, if not unendurable, do in fact check initiative in enterprise and progress in business. Taxes levied beyond the actual requirements of the legally established sinking fund are but an added burden upon the American people, and the surplus thus accumulated in the Federal Treasury is an incentive to the increasingly extravagant expenditures which have characterized Republican Administrations. We, therefore, favor a further reduction of the internal taxes of the people.

The Democratic tariff legislation will be based on the following policies:

(a) The maintenance of legitimate business and a high standard of wages for American labor.

(b) Increasing the purchasing power of wages and income by the reduction of those monopolistic and extortionate tariff rates bestowed in payment of political debts.

(c) Abolition of log-rolling and restoration of the Wilson conception of a fact-finding Tariff Commission, quasi-judicial and free from the Executive domination which has destroyed the usefulness of the present Commission.

(d) Duties that will permit effective competition, ensure against monopoly, and at the same time produce a fair revenue for the support of Government. Actual difference between the cost of production at home and abroad, with adequate safeguard for the wage of the American laborer, must be the extreme measure of every tariff rate.

(e) Safeguarding the public against monopoly created by special tariff favors.

(f) Equitable distribution of the benefits and burdens of the tariff among all.

Wage-earner, farmer, stockman, producer, and legitimate business in general have everything to gain from a Democratic tariff based on justice to all.

Grover Cleveland made the extension of the merit system a tenet of our political faith. We shall preserve and maintain the civil service.

Deception upon the farmer and stock-raiser has been practiced by

the Republican Party through false and delusive promises for more than fifty years. Specially favored industries have been artificially aided by Republican legislation. Comparatively little has been done for agriculture and stock-raising upon which national prosperity rests. Unsympathetic inaction with regard to this problem must cease. Virulent hostility of the Republican Administration to the advocates of farm relief and denial of the right of farm organizations to lead in the development of farm policy must yield to Democratic sympathy and friendliness.

Four years ago, the Republican Party, forced to acknowledge the critical situation, pledged itself to take all steps necessary to bring back a balanced condition between agriculture and other industries and labor. To-day it faces the country not only with that pledge unredeemed, but broken by the acts of a Republican President who is primarily responsible for the failure to offer a constructive program to restore equality to agriculture.

While he had no constructive and adequate program to offer in its stead, he has twice vetoed farm relief legislation and has sought to justify his disapproval of agricultural legislation partly on grounds wholly inconsistent with his acts making industrial monopolies the beneficiaries of Government favor; and in endorsing the agricultural policy of the present Administration the Republican Party in its recent convention served notice upon the farmer that the so-called protective system is not meant for him; that while it offers protection to the privileged few, it promises continued world prices to the producers of the chief cash crops of agriculture.

We condemn the policy of the Republican Party which promises relief to agriculture only through a reduction of American farm production to the needs of the domestic market. Such a program means the continued deflation of agriculture, the forcing of additional millions from the farms, and the perpetuation of agricultural distress for years to come, with continued bad effects on business and labor throughout the United States.

The Democratic Party recognizes that the problems of production differ as between agriculture and industry. Industrial production is largely under human control, while agricultural production, because of lack of coördination among the 6,500,000 individual farm units, and because of the influence of weather, pests, and other causes, is largely beyond human control. The result is that a large crop frequently is produced on a small acreage and a small crop on a large acreage; and measured in money value it frequently happens that a large crop brings less than a small crop.

Producers of crops whose total volume exceeds the needs of the domestic market must continue at a disadvantage until the Government shall intervene as seriously and as effectively in behalf of the farmer as it has intervened in behalf of labor and industry. There is a need of supplemental legislation for the control and orderly handling of agricultural surpluses, in order that the price of the surplus

may not determine the price of the whole crop. Labor has benefited by collective bargaining and some industries by tariff. Agriculture must be as effectively aided.

The Democratic Party in its 1924 platform pledged its support to such legislation. It now reaffirms that stand and pledges the united efforts of the legislative and executive branches of Government, as far as may be controlled by the party, to the immediate enactment of such legislation, and to such other steps as are necessary to place and maintain the purchasing power of farm products and the complete economic quality of agriculture.

The Democratic Party has always stood against special privilege and for common equality under the law. It is a fundamental principle of the party that such tariffs as are levied must not discriminate against any industry, class, or section. Therefore, we pledge that in its tariff policy the Democratic Party will insist upon equality of treatment between agriculture and other industries.

Farm relief must rest on the basis of an economic equality of agriculture with other industries. To give this equality, a remedy must be found which will include among other things:

(a) Credit aid by loans to coöperatives on at least as favorable a basis as the Government aid to the merchant marine.

(b) Creation of a Federal Farm Board to assist the farmer and stock-raiser in the marketing of their products as the Federal Reserve Board has done for the banker and business man. When our archaic banking and currency system was revised after its record of disaster and panic under Republican Administrations, it was a Democratic Congress in the Administration of a Democratic President that accomplished its stabilization through the Federal Reserve Act creating the Federal Reserve Board with powers adequate to its purpose. Now in the hour of agriculture's need the Democratic Party pledges the establishment of a new agricultural policy fitted to present conditions, under the direction of a Farm Board vested with all the powers necessary to accomplish for agriculture what the Federal Reserve Board has been able to accomplish for finance, in full recognition of the fact that the banks of the country, through voluntary coöperation, were never able to stabilize the financial system of the country until Government powers were invoked to help them.

(c) Reduction through proper Government agencies of the spread between what the farmer and stock-raiser gets and the ultimate consumer pays with consequent benefits to both.

(d) Consideration of the condition of agriculture in the formulation of Government financial and tax measures.

We pledge the party to foster and develop coöperative marketing associations through appropriate Government aid.

We recognize that experience has demonstrated that members of such associations alone cannot successfully assume the full responsibility for a program that benefits all producers alike. We pledge the party to an earnest endeavor to solve this problem of the distribution

of the cost of dealing with crop surpluses over the marketed units of the crop whose producers are benefited by such assistance. The solution of this problem would avoid Government subsidy, to which the Democratic Party has always been opposed. The solution of this problem will be a prime and immediate concern of a Democratic Administration.

We direct attention to the fact that it was a Democratic Congress in the Administration of a Democratic President, which established the Federal Loan System and laid the foundation for the entire rural credits structure, which has aided agriculture to sustain in part the shock of the policies of two Republican Administrations; and we promise thoroughgoing administration of our rural credits laws, so that the farmers in all sections may secure the maximum benefits intended under these acts.

Mining is one of the basic industries of this country. We produce more coal, iron, and copper than any other country. The value of our mineral production is second only to agriculture. Mining has suffered like agriculture and from similar causes. It is the duty of our Government to foster this industry and to remove the restrictions that destroy its prosperity.

The Republican Administration has no foreign policy; it has drifted without plan. This great Nation cannot afford to play a minor rôle in world politics. It must have a sound and positive foreign policy, not a negative one. We declare for a constructive foreign policy based on these principles:

(a) Outlawry of war and an abhorrence of militarism, conquest, and imperialism.

(b) Freedom from entangling political alliances with foreign nations.

(c) Protection of American lives and rights.

(d) Non-interference with the elections or other internal political affairs of any foreign nation. This principle of non-interference extends to Mexico, Nicaragua, and all other Latin-American nations. Interference in the purely internal affairs of Latin-American countries must cease.

(e) Rescue of our country from its present impaired world standing and restoration to its former position as a leader in the movement for international arbitration, conciliation, conference, and limitation of armament by international agreement.

(f) International agreements for reduction of all armaments, and the end of competitive war preparations and, in the mean time, the maintenance of an army and navy adequate for national defense.

(g) Full, free and open coöperation with all other nations for the promotion of peace and justice throughout the world.

(h) In our foreign relations, this country should stand as a unit, and to be successful, foreign policies must have the approval and the support of the American people.

(i) Abolition of the practice of the President of entering into and

carrying out agreements with a foreign Government, either *de facto* or *de jure*, for the protection of such Government against revolution or foreign attack, or for the supervision of its internal affairs, when such agreements have not been advised and consented to by the Senate as provided in the Constitution of the United States, and we condemn the Administration for carrying out such an unratified agreement that requires us to use our armed forces in Nicaragua.

(j) Recognition that the Monroe Doctrine is a cardinal principle of this Government promulgated for the protection of ourselves and our Latin-American neighbors. We shall seek their friendly coöperation in the maintenance of this doctrine.

(k) We condemn the Republican Administration for lack of statesmanship and efficiency in negotiating the 1921 treaty for the limitation of armaments, which limited only the construction of battleships and ships of over 10,000 tons. Merely a gesture toward peace, it accomplished no limitation of armament, because it simply resulted in the destruction of our battleships and the blue-prints of battleships of other nations. It placed no limitation upon construction of aircraft, submarines, cruisers, warships under 10,000 tons, poisonous gases, or other weapons of destruction. No agreement was ratified with regard to submarines and poisonous gases. The attempt of the President to remedy the failure of 1921 by the Geneva Conference of 1928, was characterized by the same lack of statesmanship and efficiency and resulted in entire failure.

In consequence the race between nations in the building of unlimited weapons of destruction still goes on and the peoples of the world are still threatened with war and burdened with taxation for additional armament.

The Federal Government and State Governments, respectively, now have absolute and exclusive sovereignty and control over enormous water-powers, which constitute one of the greatest assets of the Nation. This sovereign title and control must be preserved respectively in the State and Federal Governments, to the end that the people may be protected against exploitation of this great resource and that water-powers may be expeditiously developed under such regulations as will ensure to the people reasonable rates and equitable distribution.

We favor and will promote deep waterways and removal of discrimination against water transportation. Flood control and the lowering of flood levels are essential to the safety of life and property, and the productivity of our lands, the navigability of our streams, the reclaiming of our wet and overflowed lands. We favor expeditious construction of flood relief works on the Mississippi and Colorado Rivers and such reclamation and irrigation projects upon the Colorado River as may be found feasible.

We favor appropriation for prompt coördinated surveys by the United States to determine the possibilities of general navigation improvements and water-power development on navigable streams

and their tributaries and to secure reliable information as to the most economical navigation improvement, in combination with the most efficient and complete development of water-power.

We favor the strict enforcement of the Federal Water-Power Act, a Democratic act, and insist that the public interest in water-power sites, ignored by two Republican Administrations, be protected.

We shall conserve the national resources of our country for the benefit of the people and to protect them against waste and monopolization. Our disappearing resources of timber call for a national policy of reforestation. The Federal Government should improve and develop its public lands so that they may go into private ownership and become subjected to taxation for the support of the States wherein they exist. The Democratic Administration will actively, efficiently, and economically carry on reclamation projects and make equitable adjustments with the homestead entry-men for the mistakes the Government has made, and extend all practical aid to refinance reclamation and drainage projects.

Efficient and economical transportation is essential to the prosperity of every industry. Cost of transportation controls the income of every human being and materially affects the cost of living. We must, therefore, promote every form of transportation in a state of highest efficiency. Recognizing the prime importance of air transportation, we shall encourage its development by every possible means. Improved roads are of vital importance not only to commerce and industry, but also to agriculture and rural life. The Federal Government should construct and maintain at its own expense roads upon its public lands. We reaffirm our approval of the Federal Roads Law, enacted by a Democratic Administration. Common carriers, whether by land, water or rail, must be protected in an equal opportunity to compete so that governmental regulations against exorbitant rates and inefficiency will be aided by competition.

(a) We favor the principle of collective bargaining and the Democratic principle that organized labor should choose its own representatives without coercion or interference.

(b) Labor is not a commodity. Human rights must be safeguarded. Labor should be exempt from the operation of anti-trust laws.

(c) We recognize that legislative and other investigations have shown the existence of grave abuse in the issuance of injunctions in labor disputes. No injunctions should be granted in labor disputes except upon proof of threatened irreparable injury and after notice and hearing, and the injunction should be confined to those acts which do directly threaten irreparable injury. The expressed purpose of representatives of capital, labor, and the bar to devise a plan for the elimination of the present evils with respect to injunctions must be supported and legislation designed to accomplish these ends formulated and passed.

(d) We favor legislation providing that products of convict labor

shipped from one State to another shall be subject to laws of the latter State as though they had been produced therein.

Unemployment is present, widespread, and increasing. Unemployment is almost as destructive to the happiness, comfort, and well-being of human beings as war. We expend vast sums of money to protect our people against the evils of war, but no Government program is anticipated to prevent the awful suffering and economic losses of unemployment. It threatens the well-being of millions of our people and endangers the prosperity of the Nation. We favor the adoption by the Government, after a study of this subject, of a scientific plan whereby during periods of unemployment appropriations shall be made available for the construction of necessary public works and the lessening, as far as consistent with public interests, of Government construction work when labor is generally and satisfactorily employed in private enterprise.

Study should also be made of modern methods of industry and a constructive solution found to absorb and utilize the surplus human labor released by the increasing use of machinery.

We favor legislation making fair and liberal compensation to Government employees who are injured in accident or by occupational disease and to the dependents of such workers as may die as a result thereof.

Federal employees should receive a living wage based upon American standards of decent living. Present wages are in many instances far below that standard. We favor a fair and liberal retirement law for Government employees in the classified service.

Through Democratic votes, and in spite of two Republican Presidents' opposition, the Congress has maintained America's traditional policy to generously care for the veterans of the World War. In extending them free hospitalization, a statutory award for tuberculosis, a program of progressive hospital construction, and provisions for compensation for the disabled, the widows, and orphans, America has surpassed the record of any nation in the history of the world. We pledge the veterans that none of the benefits heretofore accorded by the Wilson Administration and the votes of Democratic members of Congress shall be withdrawn; that these will be added to more in accordance with the veterans' and their dependents' actual needs. Generous appropriations, honest management, the removal of vexatious Administration delays, and sympathetic assistance of the veterans of all wars is what the Democratic Party demands and promises.

We declare for equality of women with men in all political and governmental matters.

Children are the chief asset of the Nation. Therefore, their protection through infancy and childhood against exploitation is an important national duty.

The Democratic Party has always opposed the exploitation of women in industry and has stood for such conditions of work as will preserve their health and safety.

We favor an equal wage for equal service, and likewise favor adequate appropriations for the Women's and Children's Bureau.

Laws which limit immigration must be preserved in full force and effect, but the provisions contained in these laws that separate husbands from wives and parents from infant children are inhuman and not essential to the purpose or the efficacy of such law.

Government supervision must secure to all the people the advantage of radio communication and likewise guarantee the right of free speech. Official control in contravention of this guarantee should not be tolerated. Governmental control must prevent monopolistic use of radio communication and guarantee equitable distribution and enjoyment thereof.

Bituminous coal is not only the common base of manufacture, but is a vital agency in our interstate transportation. The demoralization of this industry, its labor conflicts and distress, its waste of a national resource and disordered public service, demand constructive legislation that will allow capital and labor a fair share of prosperity with adequate protection to the consuming public.

We favor legislation to prevent defeated members of both Houses of Congress from participating in the sessions of Congress by giving the date for convening the Congress immediately after the biennial national election.

The Republican Party, for eight years in complete control of the Government at Washington, presents the remarkable spectacle of feeling compelled in its national platform to promise obedience to a provision of the Federal Constitution which it has flagrantly disregarded and to apologize to the country for its failure to enforce laws enacted by the Congress of the United States. Speaking for the national Democracy, this convention pledges the party and its nominees to an honest effort to enforce the 18th Amendment and all other provisions of the Federal Constitution and all laws enacted pursuant thereto.

We condemn the improper and excessive use of money in elections as a danger threatening the very existence of democratic institutions. Republican expenditures in Senatorial primaries and elections have been so exorbitant as to constitute a national scandal. We favor publicity in all matters affecting campaign contributions and expenditures. We shall, beginning not later than August 1, 1928, and every thirty days thereafter, the last publication and filing being made not later than five days before the election, publish in the press and file with the appropriate committees of the House and Senate a complete account of all contributions, the names of the contributors, the amounts expended and the purposes for public inspection of the books and records relating to such matters.

In the event that any financial obligations are contracted and not paid, our National Committee will similarly report and publish, at least five days before the election, all details respecting such obligations.

We agree to keep and maintain a permanent record of all campaign contributions and expenditures and to insist that contributions by the citizens of one State to the campaign committees of other States shall have immediate publicity.

We reaffirm our support of an efficient, dependable American merchant marine for the carriage of the greater portion of our commerce and for the national defense.

The Democratic Party has consistently and vigorously supported the shipping services maintained by the regional United States Shipping Board in the interest of all ports and all sections of our country, and has successfully opposed the discontinuance of any of these lines. We favor the transfer of these lines gradually to the local private American companies when such companies can show their ability to take over and permanently maintain the lines. Lines that cannot now be transferred to private enterprise should continue to be operated as at present and should be kept in an efficient state by remodeling of some vessels and replacement of others.

We are unalterably opposed to a monopoly in American shipping and are opposed to the operation of any of our service in a manner that would retard the development of any port or sections of our country.

We oppose such sacrifices and favoritism as exhibited in the past in the matter of alleged sales, and insist that the primary purpose of the legislation upon this subject be the establishment and maintenance of an adequate American merchant marine.

We favor the most earnest efforts on the part of the United States to secure the fulfillment of the promises and engagements made during and following the World War by the United States and the Allied Powers to Armenia and her people.

We believe with Jefferson and other founders of the Republic that ignorance is the enemy of freedom and that each State, being responsible for the intellectual and moral qualifications of its citizens and for the expenditure of the moneys collected by taxation for the support of its schools, shall use its sovereign right in all matters pertaining to education.

The Federal Government should offer to the States such counsel, advice, results of research, and aid as may be made available through the Federal agencies for the general improvement of our schools in view of our national needs.

During the last seven years, under Republican rule, the anti-trust laws have been thwarted, ignored, and violated so that the country is rapidly becoming controlled by trusts and sinister monopolies formed for the purpose of wringing from the necessities of life an unrighteous profit. These combinations are often formed and conducted in violation of law, encouraged, aided, and abetted in their activities by Republican Administrations, and are driving all small tradespeople and small industrialists out of business. Competition is one of the most sacred and cherished economic rights of the American people.

We demand the strict enforcement of the anti-trust laws, and the enactment of other laws, if necessary to control this great menace to trade and commerce, and thus to preserve the right of the small merchant and manufacturer to earn a legitimate profit from his business.

Dishonest business should be treated without influence at the National Capital. Honest business, no matter its size, need have no fears of a Democratic Administration. The Democratic Party will ever oppose illegitimate and dishonest business. It will foster, promote and encourage all legitimate business enterprises.

We favor the employment of American citizens in the operation and maintenance of the Panama Canal in all positions above the grade of messenger, and favor as liberal wages and conditions of employment as prevailed under previous Democratic Administrations.

We favor the development of Alaska and Hawaii in the traditional American way through self-government. We favor the appointment of only *bona-fide* residents to office in the Territories. We favor the extension and improvement of the mail, air mail, telegraph and radio, agricultural experimenting, highway construction, and other necessary Federal activities in the Territories.

The Filipino people have succeeded in maintaining a stable government and have thus fulfilled the only condition laid down by the Congress as a prerequisite to the granting of independence. We declare that it is now our liberty and our duty to keep our promise to these people by granting them immediately the independence which they so honorably covet.

We favor granting to Porto Rico such territorial form of government as would meet the present economic conditions of the island and provide for the aspirations of her people, with the view to ultimate statehood accorded to all Territories of the United States since the beginning of our Government, and we believe any officials appointed to administer the Government of such Territories should be qualified by previous *bona-fide* residence therein.

The Democratic Party recognizes that not only the productive wealth of the Nation, but its contentment and happiness depend upon the health of its citizens. It therefore pledges itself to enlarge the existing Bureau of Public Health and to do all things possible to stamp out communicable and contagious diseases, and to ascertain preventable means and remedies for these diseases, such as cancer, infantile paralysis, and others which heretofore have largely defied the skill of physicians.

We pledge our party to spare no means to lift the apprehension of disease from the minds of our people, and to appropriate all moneys necessary thereto to carry out this pledge.

Being deeply impressed by the terrible disasters from floods in the Mississippi Valley during 1927, we heartily endorse the Flood Control Act of last May which recognizes that the flood waters of the Mississippi River and its tributaries constitute a national problem of the gravest character and makes provision for their speedy and effective

control. This measure is a continuation and expansion of the policy established by a Democratic Congress in 1917 in the act of that year for controlling floods on the Mississippi and Sacramento Rivers. It is a great piece of constructive legislation, and we pledge our party to its vigorous and early enforcement.

PROHIBITION PARTY CONVENTION, HELD AT CHICAGO, JULY 12

Candidates

For President, William Frederick Varney, of New York.

For Vice-President, James Arthur Edgerton, of Virginia.

Platform

WE, the representatives of the Prohibition Party, in National Convention assembled, at Chicago, July 12, 1928, reaffirming our belief that all measures of government should conform to the precepts of Almighty God, as revealed through Jesus Christ, His Son, make the following declarations:

The Prohibition Party contemplates with gratitude and solemn joy the triumphs of the great cause, of which in partisan matters it has been the champion for threescore years, yet for the common victory we would not withhold the recognition due the many thousands in times past and the unnumbered millions now, though not affiliated with our party, who firmly stood and now stand ardently for National Prohibition.

We note in review that among political parties the Prohibition Party is the only one in the last fifty years whose major issue has completely triumphed. For half a century it was the lone sponsor of two policies now embedded in the Constitution, namely, Prohibition and Woman Suffrage. Both are parts of our basic law and believed in by the overwhelming majority of the people. Forty-six of the forty-eight States have ratified Prohibition. The Government is no longer a partner in the liquor traffic and no more takes tribute from the iniquitous trade in return for legal protection.

We are glad to believe and declare that nullification of Federal provisions for enforcement of Prohibition is not a tenet of the Democratic or Republican Parties, but that all nullification is the act of the liquor sympathizers whose disregard of all laws in conflict with their desires is common knowledge confirmed by heaped-up precedents. Nullification was blasted by Andrew Jackson, the Democrat, in his day, and resisted to the death by Abraham Lincoln, the Republican. We deprecate the custom of political parties in their platform charging all sins and shortcomings to each other. Oft-repeated folly does not thereby become wisdom and falsehood frequently stated does not become the truth. We appeal to their sense

of shame and intellectual pride for reform in this regard. A greater circumspection in putting officials in office will lessen the necessity of a call to turn rascals out.

The greatest enemy of the farmer and all rural life died with the liquor traffic. The backbone of the Prohibition reform was the tillers of the land. The soil is free from that great blight, but the farmer has been shamefully neglected by a country that owes its life to his unremitting toil. We have no patience with those in authority who plead inability to find a remedy in legislation for his just complaint. Privilege and pillage have preyed upon him all too long. His demands must be met.

Our party has frequently declared in its platform for the conservation of the natural resources of the country. We have condemned the prodigal waste and criminal exploitation of the Nation's coal, timber, oil, and public lands by private interests. We now declare for the most rigid scrutiny of all proposals to separate the people from their last remaining water-power and other resources. We denounce the power trust for its insidious propaganda designed to prevent the utilization of these resources under Government ownership in the interest and for the welfare of the people who own them. We advocate the development of the Great Lakes Waterways and the Nicaraguan Canal.

Congress should speedily enact the necessary legislation which will give the beneficial results to follow complete development of the Colorado River, including a high dam for generating power, storage of water for irrigation and domestic purposes, and the protection of the areas in the Lower Colorado Basin from devastation by floods.

Likewise, Congress should place in profitable operation, for the benefit of the agricultural industry and of all the people, that great plant at Muscle Shoals in which hundreds of millions of dollars are already invested and which to-day is being exploited by the private interests which oppose the operation of any public property in the interest of the people.

We favor the protection of our wild bird and animal life.

The greatest benefactor to the laboring man came with the advent of Prohibition. Prohibition has built savings banks for the laborer, given him high wages and good homes and health and independence not known in saloon days. Never has he been so well situated as now. The traffic that made him a victim of their greed in times past still seeks to use him to accomplish a return of its injurious trade. Their leaders may seek to deceive, but the rank and file of labor will rally to the support of the Prohibition policy, their greatest friend.

The separation of Church and State is one of the foundation stones of this Nation. It shall never be removed. The Constitution guarantees religious liberty and demands that no religious test shall ever be required for holding public office. This must be preserved inviolate, but the founders of the Nation would have been the first to view with deep concern the encroachments of any ecclesiastical organiza-

tion claiming temporal power superior to the State. In this campaign an effort will be made to make the champion of the "wets" the beneficiary of much talk about "bigotry," "intolerance," and "religious liberty." But we call aloud to all people not to be misled thereby. It is insincere and un-American, sinister in design and promulgated by those who would stir up religious hatred where none exists in any other walk of life, in order that the liquor business might be benefited. They care not for any church or any country. Men of every creed and faith have been patriots under our flag.

Peace is the great desideratum of all good men and wise nations. The outlawry of war must be encompassed in defense of civilization and of the very existence of the nations and peoples of the world. We favor every effort looking to this end and applaud the action of this Government heretofore made and now pending to bring about this happy state.

In the coming campaign we call upon all voters to cast their ballots only for those candidates for federal, executive, and legislative offices, who by pledge and record can be depended upon to work and vote for the maintenance of the Prohibition Amendment and all supporting acts and for the strengthening of law enforcement, regardless of their political affiliations.

In retrospect the Prohibition Party pays tribute to the mighty heroes of its long past, who led the snowy plumes in many a seeming forlorn hope and now sleep in honored peace. Prohibition now counts her legions where they led but a handful, yet they kept the flame alive through the long night. Most of them saw not the Promised Land except with the fine eye of faith. The monuments on all our sacred battlefields stand over those who did not see the victory the chiseled stones commemorate. From thoughts of them we turn to our great work to forward and confirm the ideas for which they strove, with grateful pride, yet sobered by the obligations their heroism entails on us.

On this record of principle and on its record of long-time faithfulness and vision, the National Prohibition Party appeals to all those who favor suppression of the liquor traffic, the enforcement of the law, the maintenance of constitutional government, the purification of our politics, honesty and efficiency and the building of a better citizenship, to join with us to achieve these transcendent objectives.

INDEX

INDEX

- Abolitionists**, condemned by the Democrats, **1**, 200, 266; convention of, in 1839, 202; in 1843, 216; defeat Clay, 224; convention in 1847, 232; in 1852, 253.
- Adams, Charles Francis**, nominated for Vice-President, **1**, 239; in canvass of 1872, 340, 344.
- Adams, John**, suggested for Vice-president, 1789, **1**, 24; elected, 27; his journey to New York, and inauguration, 30; reelected Vice-President, 39; named for President, 44; virulent attacks upon him, 45; elected President, 51; counts the votes and declares his own election, 52; relations with the Federalist party, 56; defeated in 1800, 63; elector for Monroe, in 1820, 118.
- Adams, John Quincy**, goes over to the Republican party, **1**, 93; receives one vote for President in 1820, 118, 121; candidate in 1824, 126, 129, 131; popular votes for, 136; electoral votes, 140; chosen by the House of Representatives, 140; his character and administration, 142; attacks New England Federalists, 146; popular votes for in 1828, 149; member of Congress, 193; favors the acquisition of Cuba, **2**, 8.
- Adams, Samuel**, suggested for Vice-President, **1**, 24; his course in the canvass of 1796, 48; votes for, 51.
- Addams, Jane**, in the Progressive Convention of 1912, **2**, 298.
- Adet, Pierre Auguste**, French minister, endeavors to defeat the election of Adams, **1**, 46.
- Aguinaldo**, Filipino leader, **2**, 27, 77.
- Alabama**, admitted to the Union, **1**, 118.
- Alaska**, boundary question, **2**, 92, 109; government of, 122, 251, 268, 270, 294.
- Aldrich, Nelson W.**, **2**, 6, 7, 225; his currency plan opposed by the Democrats, 265; by the Progressives, 293.
- Allen and Sedition Laws**, **1**, 57, 201.
- Allen ownership of land**. See *Land public*.
- Allison, William B.**, **1**, 392, 538.
- American party**. See *Native American*.
- American party of 1888**, convention, **1**, 480.
- American Tobacco Company**, dissolution of, **1**, 232, 262.
- Annexation of Texas**. See *Texas*.
- Anthracite coal strike**, in 1902, **2**, 93.
- Anti-Federalist party**, **1**, 32. See also *Republican party*.
- "Anti-Imperialism,"** **2**, 24, 41, 58, 67, 68, 72, 73, 120, 269.
- Anti-Imperialist League**, convention of 1900, **2**, 69.
- Anti-Imperialists**, conference of, in 1900, **2**, 68.
- Anti-Masonic party**, its origin, **1**, 155; merged with the Whig party, 180.
- Anti-monopoly party**, convention of, in 1884, **1**, 421.
- Anti-Nebraska Democrats**, **1**, 260.
- "Anti-Snappers,"** of 1892, **1**, 493.
- Arbitrary arrests during the Civil War**, **1**, 298, 304.
- Arbitration of international disputes**, **1**, 256, 365, 441, 462, 466, 507, 520, 521, 560; **2**, 247, 264, 285.
- Arizona**, question of its admission, **2**, 49, 62, 67, 122, 144, 178, 193; admitted to the Union, 223, 270.
- Arkansas**, admitted to the Union, **1**, 184; electoral vote of, in 1872 objected to and excluded, 354.
- Armstrong, James**, votes for in 1789, **1**, 27.
- Army Vote**, in 1864, **1**, 307.
- Arthur, Chester A.**, nominated for Vice-President, **1**, 408; elected, 417; becomes President, 419; in the canvass of 1884, 427, 432.
- Ashburton Treaty**, **1**, 238, 250.

- Baldwin, Simeon E.**, candidate for Democratic nomination, **2**, 255; votes for, in convention of 1912, 259.
- Ballinger, Richard A.**, Secretary of the Interior, contest over, **2**, 221.
- Bank of the United States**, second bank incorporated, **1**, 108; war upon, by Jackson, 155, 157, 178; renewal of charter vetoed, 162; the panic of 1837, 191; Van Buren continues war upon, 192; condemned by Democrats, 200; Tyler's opposition to, 207; in plat-forms, 200.
- Banks, Nathaniel P.**, **1**, 271; electoral vote for, in 1873, 353.
- Banks, national**, in politics and plat-forms, **1**, 333, 365, 367, 409, 544, 551; **2**, 265.
- Barbour, James**, **1**, 114, 119, 145, 194.
- Barker, Wharton**, candidate for Presi-dent, **2**, 42.
- "Barnburners,"** Democratic faction, **1**, 229; convention in 1848, 238.
- Bayard, James A.**, reasons for aban-doning Burr, **1**, 71.
- Bayard, Thomas F.**, **1**, 349, 379, 387, 412, 414, 415, 440.
- Bell, John**, nominated for President, **1**, 289; popular and electoral votes for, 297.
- Benson, Allan L.**, nominated for President, **2**, 360.
- Bentley, Charles E.**, nominated for President, **1**, 532; popular votes for, 567.
- Beveridge, Albert S.**, **2**, 254, 288.
- Bidwell, John**, nominated for Presi-dent, **1**, 508.
- Bimetallism.** See *Silver*.
- Birney, James G.**, nominated for Presi-dent, **1**, 202; popular votes for, 203; nominated in 1843, 216; popular votes for, 223; accused of seeking alli-ance with Democrats, 224.
- Bishop, Richard M.**, **1**, 415.
- Black, James**, nominated for President, **1**, 340; popular votes for, 352.
- Blaine, James G.**, **1**, 330, 362, 368, 373, 402, 407, 408, 427; nominated for President, 432; popular and electoral votes for, 448; "Paris interview" on the tariff, 458; withdraws from the can-vass in 1888, 459; attempt to stam-pede convention for, 478; Secretary of State, 489, 492; resigns, 493; votes for in the convention of 1892, 497; as Sec-etary of State holds the Clayton-Bulwer Treaty abrogated, **2**, 79; his opinion of the Tenure-of-office Act, 315.
- Blair, Francis P., Jr.**, nominated for Vice-President, **1**, 326; popular and electoral votes for, 328.
- "Bloody Shirt,"** waving the, **1**, 357.
- Boer War**, **2**, 41, 50, 63, 67.
- Boles, Horace**, **1**, 505.
- Bonds**, taxation of United States, **1**, 316, 322, 333, 336; payment of, with greenbacks, 316, 322, 333, 336; issue of, in time of peace, 544, 552, 556.
- Booth, Newton**, nominated for Vice-President, declined, **1**, 367.
- Borah, William E.**, **2**, 254.
- Borden, Robert L.**, Canadian states-man, **2**, 231.
- Botts, John M.**, letter from, on Tyler, **1**, 207; in the canvass of 1860, 289.
- Boutwell, George S.**, **2**, 25.
- Boxer Insurrection** in China, **2**, 83.
- Bradley, Stephen R.**, call for a con-gressional caucus in 1808, **1**, 90.
- Bramlette, Thomas E.**, votes for as Vice-President in 1872, **1**, 353.
- Breckinridge, John C.**, nominated for Vice-President, **1**, 265; elected, 276; nominated for President, 285, 287; popular and electoral votes for, 297.
- Bristow, Benjamin H.**, **1**, 363, 368, 373.
- "Broad Gauge" Prohibitionists**, **1**, 528.
- Brooks, John A.**, nominated for Vice-President, **1**, 468.
- Brown, B. Gratz**, nominated for Vice-President, **1**, 344, 349; electoral votes for, 353.
- Brown, John**, raid by, **1**, 281.
- Brownsville affair**, **2**, 153.
- Bryan, William J.**, **1**, 542, 547; nomi-nated for President by the Democrats, 548; by the People's party, 554; by the National Silver party, 557; his per-sonal canvass, 563, 564; popular votes for, 567; electoral votes for, 568; his position on the Treaty of Paris, **2**, 26; his leadership in the canvass of 1900, 31; nominated for President by the Fusion wing of the Populists, 39;

- dominates the Democratic convention of 1900, 56; nominated by that convention, 63; endorsed by the Anti-imperialists, 71; his activity in the pre-election canvass, 73; popular and electoral votes for, 75; opposition to, in 1904, 95; he opposes Judge Parker, 117; mildly supports the ticket, 133; in the canvass of 1908, 153, 157, 183; nominated for the third time, 196; his stumping tours, 206; popular and electoral votes for, 208; in the canvass of 1912, his influence in the Democratic convention, 256, 257, 259, 260.
- Buchanan, James, 1**, 138, 209; votes for in the convention of 1844; in that of 1848, 233; in the canvass of 1852, 247; the "Ostend Manifesto," 261; nominated for President, 265; elected, 276; his administration, 280.
- Buckner, Simon B.**, nominated for Vice-President, **1**, 561.
- Bureau of Mines, 2**, 222.
- Burke, John, 2**, 271.
- Burr, Aaron**, votes for, in 1792, **1**, 39; candidate with Jefferson in 1796, 44; votes for, 51; nominated by the caucus, in 1800, 59; votes for, 63; becomes Vice-President, 73.
- Butler, Benjamin F., 1**, 283, 330; in electoral count of 1869, 331; in the canvass of 1880, 411; nominated for President, 423, 427; popular votes for, 448.
- Butler, William O.**, nominated for Vice-President, **1**, 234; electoral votes for, 243; in canvass of 1852, 249.
- Caffery, Donelson**, nominated for President, declines, **2**, 72.
- Calhoun, John C., 1**, 98, 117; proposed for President, 126; candidate for Vice-President, 132; elected, 135; reelected, 149; suggested for President in 1844, 208; votes for, in convention, 212; negotiates treaty for annexation of Texas, 227; votes for, in convention of 1848, 233; the compromises of 1850, 245.
- California**, admitted to the Union, **1**, 246, 257; its vote divided in 1880, 418; in 1892, 517; in 1896, 566; its contested delegation in the Republican convention of 1912, **2**, 244.
- Cambon, Jules**, French ambassador at Washington, **2**, 23.
- Cambreleg, Churchill C.**, political mission in the South, **1**, 144.
- Campaign contributions by corporations**, publicity demanded, **2**, 149, 180, 201, 250, 263, 290.
- Canada**, reciprocity with, **2**, 229.
- Canal, Isthmian**, construction of, advocated in party platforms, **1**, 476, 497, 502, 535; **2**, 50, 62, 66; negotiations with Colombia, 88; construction of Panama Canal assured, 91; other references, 106, 122, 178, 193, 235, 252, 269, 295.
- Cannon, Joseph G., 2**, 14, 156, 181, 223, 252, 269.
- Canvass of 1900**, characteristics of, **2**, 72; of 1904, 133; of 1908, 206; of 1912, 298.
- Carlisle, John G., 1**, 440.
- "Carpet-baggers," 1**, 357.
- Carroll, George W.**, nominated for Vice-President, **2**, 112.
- Cary, Samuel F.**, nominated for Vice-President, **1**, 367.
- Cass, Lewis**, votes for, in convention of 1844, **1**, 209; nominated for President, 233; popular and electoral votes for, 243; in canvass of 1852, 247.
- Casus omissus, 1**, 119, 271, 450.
- Caucus congressional**, nominations, Federalist and Republican, in 1800, **1**, 58, 59; Jefferson and George Clinton nominated in 1804, 82; opposition to the caucus, in 1808, 90; Madison nominated, 91; nominations in 1812, 99; opposition to, in 1816, 109; abortive caucus in 1820, 117; discussion of and war against, 1822-24, 126, 130; result of, 131; faults of the system, 168.
- Chafin, Eugene W.**, nominated for President in 1908, **2**, 198; popular votes for, 208; nominated in 1912, 285; popular votes for, 302.
- Chambers, B. J.**, nominated for Vice-President, **1**, 411.
- Chase, Salmon P., 1**, 270, 290, 294, 321, 325, 340, 344.
- Chase, Solon, 1**, 423, 427.
- Cherokee and Creek Indians**, their removal, **1**, 154, 157.
- Child labor**, in platforms, **2**, 116, 149, 160, 167, 193, 198, 246, 285, 289, 348, 367.

- Chinese immigration and labor**, in politics and platforms, **1**, 335, 336, 371, 377, 401, 405, 410, 414, 416, 419, 430, 438, 444, 462, 469, 474, 502; **2**, 63, 107.
- Cipher despatches**, **1**, 381.
- Citizenship** of inhabitants of Porto Rico, **2**, 78, 149, 178, 252.
- Civil Rights bill**, vetoed by President Johnson, **1**, 315.
- Civil Service Reform**, in politics and platforms, **1**, 153, 158, 334, 337, 343, 347, 370, 406, 419, 430, 437, 457, 466, 469, 477, 496, 501, 536, 546, 560; **2**, 49, 66, 68, 72, 107, 111, 123, 177, 193, 250, 269, 297, 345; history of the reform, 316, 370.
- Clay, Henry**, **1**, 98, 99; opposes the caucus, in 1816, 109; on electoral vote of Indiana, 113; on electoral vote of Missouri, 119; candidate for President in 1824, 126; suggestion of a coalition with Crawford, 132; popular votes for, 136; accused of a corrupt bargain, 138; electoral votes for, in 1824, 140; suggested for Vice-President in 1828, 145; nominated by National Republicans, 157; popular votes for, 163; electoral votes, 164; inquiry by, into qualifications of electors, 184; his attitude in 1839, 193; his letter on Texas, 210; nominated by the Whigs, in 1844, 220; popular and electoral votes for, 223; defeated by Abolitionists, 224; in the canvass of 1848, 230; defeated in convention, 237; the compromises of 1850, 245; his extension of the power of the Speaker, **2**, 336.
- Clayton-Bulwer Treaty**, **2**, 7, 79.
- Cleveland, Grover**, elected Governor of New York, **1**, 420, 433; nominated for President, 440; elected, 448; his appointments to office, 457; nominated for reelection, 471; popular and electoral votes for, in 1888, 483; in the canvass of 1892, 493; nominated in that year, 504; elected, 517; foreign relations during his second administration, 519; alienated from his party, 523; Democratic convention of 1896 refuses to approve his administration, 547; commended by National Democrats, 560; his unpleasant situation, 1894-97, **2**, 1; his attitude toward the Cuban rebellion, 10; withdraws treaty of annexation of Hawaii, 21; his use of the veto power, 324; of the legislative power, 328.
- Clinton, DeWitt**, **1**, 78, 79, 92; candidate for President, 100; votes for, in 1812, 104; candidate in 1824, 126; favors appointment of electors by popular vote, 147.
- Clinton, George**, candidate of the Anti-Federalists, **1**, 26; votes for, in 1789, 27; opposed to John Adams, in 1792, 34; votes for, as Vice-President in 1792, 39; votes for, in 1796, 51; nominated by caucus for Vice-President, 82; elected, 84; nominated in 1808, 91; repudiates the caucus, 92; reelected, 95; dies in office, 99.
- Coal strike**, in 1902, **2**, 93, 108.
- "Coastwise trade"** by way of the Panama Canal, **2**, 235.
- Cochrane, John**, nominated for Vice-President, **1**, 300; withdraws, 301.
- Cockrell, Francis M.**, **2**, 124.
- Colfax, Schuyler**, **1**, 318; nominated for Vice-President, in 1868, 321; elected, 328; defeated in 1872, 348.
- Colombia, Republic of**, blocks the Panama Canal, **2**, 89.
- Colorado**, bill to admit to the Union vetoed, **1**, 315; admitted, 380.
- Colquitt, Alfred H.**, votes for, as Vice-President in 1872, **1**, 353.
- Commerce Court**, established and abolished, **2**, 222.
- Commerce and Labor**, establishment of a Department of, advocated in platforms, **2**, 50, 62.
- Commission, Electoral**. See *Electoral Commission*.
- Common Carriers**, regulation of, **2**, 150, 222.
- Compromises of 1850**, **1**, 245; in platforms, 249, 252, 254, 258, 267.
- Conant, John A.**, nominated for Vice-President, **1**, 442.
- Conkling, Roscoe**, **1**, 361, 368, 373, 402, 408, 419.
- Conservation of natural resources**, **2**, 151; 176, 194, 198, 222, 250, 267, 284, 294, 345, 349, 355, 366, 370.
- Constitutional Union party**, **1**, 282; convention in 1860, 288.
- "Constructive" recess** of the Senate, **2**, 318.

- Consular system**, in platforms, **2**, 50, 293.
- Contested seats** in the Republican convention of 1912, **2**, 242.
- Continental party**, convention of, in 1904, **2**, 130.
- Contributions to campaigns** by corporations, in platforms, **2**, 149, 180, 188, 201, 250, 263, 290.
- Conventions, national party:**
- Abolition, or Liberty party, in 1839, **1**, 202; in 1843, 216; in 1847, 239; in 1852, 253.
 - American, in 1888, **1**, 480.
 - Anti-imperialist League, in 1890, **2**, 69.
 - Anti-masonic, in 1839, **1**, 155.
 - Anti-monopoly, in 1884, **1**, 421.
 - "Barnburners," in 1848, **1**, 238.
 - Constitutional Union, in 1860, **1**, 288.
 - Continental party, in 1904, **2**, 130.
 - Democratic, in 1832, **1**, 160; in 1835, 181; in 1840, 199; in 1844, 211; in 1848, 232; in 1852, 248; in 1856, 264; in 1860, 282; of seceders, 285, 286; convention in 1864, 304; in 1868, 321; in 1872, 349; of "Straight" Democrats, 349; convention in 1876, 374; in 1880, 411; in 1884, 433; in 1888, 468; in 1892, 498; in 1896, 541; in 1900, **2**, 56; in 1904, 117; in 1908, 197; in 1912, 255; in 1915, 350.
 - Free Soil, in 1848, **1**, 238; in 1852, 253.
 - Greenback party, in 1876, **1**, 367; in 1880, 409; in 1884, 423.
 - Independence party, in 1908, **2**, 199.
 - Know Nothing party, in 1856, **1**, 261.
 - Labor Reformers, in 1872, **1**, 335.
 - Liberal Republicans, in 1872, **1**, 340.
 - Liberty League, in 1848, **1**, 232.
 - National Democratic, in 1896, **1**, 557.
 - National Liberty party in 1904, **2**, 127.
 - National Republican, in 1831, **1**, 157; of young men, in 1832, 157.
 - National party, in 1896, **1**, 530.
 - National Silver party, in 1896, **1**, 555.
 - Native American, in 1847, **1**, 231; in 1856, 261.
 - People's (Populist) party, in 1892, **1**, 508; in 1896, 550;
 - Fusion wing, in 1900, **2**, 39;
 - Middle-of-the-road wing, in 1900, **2**, 42; in 1904, 114; in 1908, 158.
 - Progressive party, in 1912, **2**, 285; in 1916, 346.
 - Prohibition party, in 1872, **1**, 339; in 1876, 364; in 1880, 411; in 1884, 441; in 1888, 465; in 1892, 505; in 1896, 532; in 1900, **2**, 51; in 1904, 109; in 1908, 169; in 1912, 284; in 1916, 367.
 - Radical Republican, in 1864, **1**, 299.
 - Republican, in 1856, **1**, 269; in 1860, 290; in 1864, 301; in 1868, 318; in 1872, 345; in 1876, 368; in 1880, 402; in 1884, 427; in 1888, 247; in 1892, 494; in 1896, 532; in 1900, **2**, 45; in 1904, 104; in 1908, 197; in 1912, 240; in 1916, 340.
 - Silver Republican party, in 1900, **2**, 64.
 - Socialist party, in 1900, **2**, 34; in 1904, 97; in 1908, 169; in 1912, 276; in 1916, 360.
 - Socialist Labor party, in 1892, **1**, 513; in 1896, 538; in 1900, **2**, 44; in 1904, 97; in 1908, 182; in 1912, 272; in 1916, 339.
 - Union Christian party, in 1900, **2**, 37; in 1904, 103.
 - Union and Harmony, in 1839, **1**, 194.
 - Union Labor, in 1888, **1**, 460.
 - United Labor party, in 1888, **1**, 463.
 - United Reform party, in 1900, **2**, 36.
 - Whig, in 1839, **1**, 193; in 1844, 220; in 1848, 237; in 1852, 250; in 1856, 273.
- Convention system, the**, the first national convention, **1**, 101; convention suggested in Pennsylvania, 1824, 130; the system discussed, 166; origin of the system, 170; reforms made and suggested, 174, 175, 420, 428; **2**, 271.
- Cooper, Peter**, nominated for President, **1**, 367; popular vote for, 383.
- Copperheads**, **1**, 298.
- Copyright**, **2**, 149.
- Corporations**, campaign contributions by, in platforms, **2**, 149, 180, 188, 201, 223, 250, 263, 290.
- Corregan, Charles H.**, nominated for President, **2**, 114.
- Corrupt bargain**, alleged, of Henry Clay, **1**, 138.
- Count of electoral votes.** See *Electoral votes*.
- Courts and judges**, in politics and platforms, **2**, 62, 116, 160, 175, 223, 247, 266, 290.

- Cowdrey, Robert H.**, nominated for President, **1**, 465; popular votes for, 483.
- Cox, William W.**, nominated for Vice-President, **2**, 114.
- Cranfill, J. B.**, nominated for Vice-President, **1**, 508.
- Cravens, Jordan E.**, proposes amendment of the Constitution, **1**, 394.
- Crawford, William H.**, **1**, 98; intrigue in favor of, 109; favorite of the administration, 126; candidate of the caucus, 131; popular votes for, in 1824, 136; electoral votes, 140; votes for in the House of Representatives, 141; suggested for Vice-President, in 1828, 145; his quarrel with Monroe, 145 (note).
- Credit Mobillier**, **1**, 416.
- Creeks**. See *Cherokees*.
- Crittenden, John J.**, **1**, 275, 289.
- Crum, William D.**, case of, **2**, 226.
- Cuba**, acquisition of, **1**, 261, 272, 284, 287; the rebellion in, 1895, 520, 536, 540, 553; **2**, 9; attitude of Presidents Cleveland and McKinley, 10, 13; action of Congress, 11, 12, 14, 18, 19, 20; attitude of parties, 12, 69, 105; government established, 80; intervention by the United States, 146, 177.
- Cummins, Albert B.**, **2**, 253.
- Currency, the**, in politics and platforms. See *Greenbacks* and *Silver*.
- Curtis, James L.**, nominated for President, **1**, 480.
- Cushing, Caleb**, **1**, 282, 285, 286.
- "Czar,"** Mr. Speaker Reed as, **1**, 488.
- Dallas, George M.**, **1**, 162; nominated for Vice-President, 214; elected, 223; votes for in 1848, 233.
- Daniel, John W.**, **2**, 119.
- Daniel, William**, nominated for Vice-President, **1**, 446.
- Danish West Indies**, **2**, 82, 91.
- Davis, David**, nominated for President, **1**, 338; declines, 339; in Liberal Republican convention, 344; electoral vote for, 353; elected senator, 388.
- Davis, Henry G.**, nominated for Vice-President, **2**, 126.
- Davis, Henry Winter**, on power to count electoral votes, **1**, 277.
- Davis, Jefferson**, **1**, 284.
- Dayton, William L.**, nominated for Vice-President, **1**, 271; in canvass of 1860, 294.
- Dearborn, Henry A. S.**, nominated for Vice-President, **1**, 231.
- Debs, Eugene V.**, candidate for President, 1900, **2**, 34; popular votes for, 75; in 1904, 103; popular votes for, 137; in 1908, 168; popular votes for, 208; in 1912, 283; popular votes for 302.
- Debt, public**. See *Public Debt*.
- Defence, national**, in platforms, **2**, 342, 348, 353.
- Delaware**, appointment of electors by, in 1824, **1**, 134; adopts popular vote, 164.
- Delome, Señor**, Spanish ambassador at Washington, **2**, 14.
- Democratic party** (successor of Republican), convention of 1832, **1**, 160; of 1835, 181; of 1840, 199; condition of, in 1843, 269; convention of 1844, 211; of 1848, 232; divisions in the party, 233; convention of 1852, 248; of 1856, 264; of 1860, 282; seceders' convention, 285, 286; convention of 1864, 304; of 1868, 321; of 1872, 349; "Straight" Democrats, 349; convention of 1876, 374; of 1880, 411; of 1884, 433; of 1888, 468; of 1892, 498; divided by the silver question, 526; convention of 1896, 541; of 1900, **2**, 56; of 1904, 117; of 1908, 162; of 1912, 255; of 1916, 350.
- Dickerson, Mahlon**, proposes an amendment of the Constitution, **1**, 122.
- Dingley tariff**. See *Tariff*.
- Direct vote for President**. See *President*.
- Disqualified electors**. See *Electors*.
- District system** of choosing electors, **1**, 23, 38, 83, 93, 103, 148, 516.
- Disunion**, considered or threatened, **1**, 76, 146, 295, 298.
- Divorce laws**, uniform, in party platforms, **2**, 111, 197, 284, 371.
- Donelson, Andrew J.**, nominated for Vice-President, **1**, 264, 273.
- Donnelly, Ignatius**, nominated for Vice-President, **2**, 42.
- "Doughfaces,"** origin of the word, **1**, 116.

Douglas, Stephen A., 1, 247, 258; in the canvass of 1856, 264; leader of a Democratic faction, 280; debate with Lincoln, 281; in convention of 1860, 284; nominated for President, 286; popular and electoral votes for, 247; supports the Union cause, 298.

Dow, Neal, nominated for President, **1,** 411; popular votes for, 417.

Dred Scott decision, 1, 279.

Earl, Thomas, Abolitionist candidate for President, **1,** 202.

Eaton, Mrs. General, 1, 151, 160.

Eaton, William W., proposes an amendment of the Constitution, **1,** 396.

Edmunds, George F., 1, 329, 387, 398, 402, 407, 408, 427, 432.

Eight-hour day for labor. See *Labor.*

Elections, national interference in, 1, 304, 401, 413, 498.

Electoral commission, text of the law creating it, **1,** 382; its membership, 387, 392; action of, 388.

Electoral system, first proposed, **1,** 4; adopted, 9; the law of 1793, 36; the system changed, 11, 12, 80; propositions to amend or abolish, 122, 358, 394, 395, 396, 397.

Electoral votes, election of 1789, **1,** 27; of 1792, 39; of 1796, 51; of 1800, 63; of 1804, 84; of 1808, 95; of 1812, 104; of 1816, 112; of 1820, 121; of 1824, 140; of 1828, 149; of 1832, 164; of 1836, 188; of 1840, 204; of 1844, 223; of 1848, 243; of 1852, 257; of 1856, 276; of 1860, 297; of 1864, 307; of 1868, 328; of 1872, 353; of 1876, 392; of 1880, 417; of 1884, 448; of 1888, 483; of 1892, 517; of 1896, 568; of 1900, **2,** 75; of 1904, 137; of 1908, 208; of 1912, 302.

count of, in 1789, **1,** 29; in 1793, 40; in 1797, 51; in 1801, 67; in 1805, 84; in 1809, 95; in 1817, 112, 113; in 1821, 120; in 1825, 139; in 1837, 184; in 1857, 275; in 1861, 296; in 1865, 309; in 1869, 329; in 1873, 354; in 1877, 382, 399; in 1881, 418; in 1889, 484; in 1893, 518; in 1897, 560; in 1901, **2,** 76; in 1905, 140; in 1909, 212; in 1913, 304.

rejection of, discussed and exercised, **1,** 64-67, 114, 123, 275, 309, 354, 388; twenty-second joint rule, 309; text of, 310; pronounced uncon-

stitutional, 359; rescinded by the Senate, 382; law of 1887, 453.

time of casting, **1,** 36, 453; manner of voting, 9, 80; returns of, 9, 36, 80, 453; determination of contests, 64, 398, 453.

Electors, manner of appointing; in 1789, **1,** 21; in 1792, 38; in 1796, 47; in 1800, 59; in 1804, 83; in 1808, 93; in 1812, 103; in 1824, 133; in 1832, 164; in 1868, 327; in 1872, 351; in 1876, 380; in 1880, 418; in 1892, 516, 518.

time of appointment, first election, **1,** 20; law of 1792, 36; law of 1845, 242; Wisconsin electors, in 1856, 275; Georgia electors, in 1880, 418; law of 1887, 453.

official returns of appointment, **1,** 9, 36, 80, 453.

determination of contests by grand committee proposed, **1,** 64; the Edmunds proposition, 1879, 398; law of 1887, 453.

ineligible persons appointed, **1,** 185. See also *Electoral votes, rejection of.*

Ellis, Seth H., candidate for President, **2,** 37.

Ellmaker, Amos, nominated for Vice-President, **1,** 157.

Ellsworth, Oliver, votes for, for President, in 1796, **1,** 51.

Emancipation, 1, 299, 302.

Embargo, 1, 89, 97.

Employers' Liability, in party platforms, **2,** 160, 174, 192, 198, 202, 250, 267.

England. See *Great Britain.*

English, William H., nominated for Vice-President, **1,** 415.

Equal Rights party, New York faction, **1,** 228.

"Era of Good Feelings," 1, 115, 117.

Evans, Samuel, nominated for Vice-President, **1,** 463.

Everett, Edward, nominated for Vice-President, **1,** 290.

Expunging resolution, 1, 179.

Fairbanks, Charles W., 2, 104, 155; nominated for Vice-President, 109; elected, 137; votes for, as candidate for President, convention of 1908, 181; nominated for Vice-President, 1916, 340.

- Farmers' Alliance**, 1, 491; platform, in 1900, 2, 33.
- "Federal thirteen,"** 1, 61.
- Federalist party**, the, at first election, 1, 24; supports Burr against Jefferson, 69; its attitude during Jefferson's administration, 76; coquets with the Clinton faction, 92; supports Pinckney and King, in 1808, 93; its course in the election of 1812, 101; destroyed by the success of its own principles, 106; last appearance in national politics, 112; attacked by John Quincy Adams, 146.
- Field, James G.**, nominated for Vice-President, 1, 513.
- Field, Stephen J.**, votes for, as candidate for President, in convention of 1880, 1, 415.
- Fifteenth amendment** to the constitution, enforcement of, 1, 49, 108, 175.
- Fillmore, Millard**, nominated for Vice-President, 1, 238; elected, 243; becomes President, 246; in canvass of 1852, 247; nominated in 1856 by Americans, 264; by Whigs, 273; popular and electoral votes for, 276.
- Finley, Ebenezer B.**, proposes an amendment to the Constitution, 1, 396.
- First election**, ordered by Congress, 1, 20.
- First national convention**, in 1812, 1, 101.
- Flisk, Clinton B.**, nominated for President, 1, 468; popular votes for, 483.
- Fitzpatrick, Benjamin**, nominated for Vice-President and declined, 1, 286.
- Five-twenty bonds.** See *Bonds*.
- Florida**, admitted to the Union, 1, 242; electors of, appointed by the legislature, in 1868, 327; vote in 1876 disputed, 381, 388.
- Floyd, John**, on vote of Missouri, in 1821, 1, 120; votes for, as President, in 1832, 164.
- Foote, Charles E.**, nominated for Vice-President, 1, 232.
- Foraker, Joseph B.**, votes for, as candidate for President in 1908, 2, 181.
- "Force Bill,"** 1, 490, 495, 498.
- Forest reserves**, in platforms, 2, 151, 176, 195, 204, 294.
- Foss, Eugene N.**, 2, 234, 255, 259.
- Fourth of March**, beginning of presidential term, 1, 29, 38.
- France**, relations with, as affecting politics, 1, 33, 41, 46.
- Franklin, Benjamin**, suggested for President, 1, 26.
- "Fraud of 1876,"** in politics and platforms, 1, 413, 416, 435.
- "Free ballot and fair count,"** in politics and platforms, 1, 413, 432, 437, 473, 495, 498, 512, 536, 554.
- Free homesteads.** See *Land, public*.
- Free-masonry**, a political issue, 1, 144, 155.
- Free ships and navigation laws.** See *Navigation laws*.
- Free silver**, in politics and platforms. See *Silver*.
- Free-soll party**, convention of 1848, 1, 238; of 1852, 253.
- Free trade**, in politics and platforms. See *Tariff*.
- Freedmen's Bureau**, 1, 315, 323.
- Frelinghuysen, Theodore**, nominated for Vice-President, 1, 223.
- Fremont, John C.**, nominated for President, 1, 264, 270; popular and electoral votes for, 276; nominated in 1864, but withdraws, 301.
- French Revolution**, its influence on American politics, 1, 33, 41, 46.
- Freneau, Philip**, 1, 34.
- Fugitive-slave law**, 1, 245, 249, 252, 254, 284, 287.
- Fuller, Melville W.**, Chief Justice, 2, 76, 140, 213.
- Funding system**, Hamilton's, 1, 42.
- Fusion**, in 1860, 1, 296; in 1880, 417; in 1892, 515; in 1896, 564.
- Gallatin, Albert**, nominated by caucus for Vice-President, 1, 131; withdraws, 132.
- Garfield, James A.**, 1, 387, 402, 407; nominated for President, 408; assaults upon, 415; elected, 417; assassination of, 419.
- Genêt, "Citizen,"** French minister, interferes in American politics, 1, 42.
- Georgia**, vote of, in 1868, 1, 327, 329, 331; votes, in 1872, for Greeley rejected, 354; its vote in 1880, 418.
- Gerry, Elbridge**, proposes choice of electors by State governors, 1, 4; plan

- of apportioning electors, 5; votes for John Adams, 48; nominated for Vice-President, 99; adds a word to the English language, 104; elected, 104.
- Gillhaus, August**, nominated for President, 2, 182; popular votes for, 208; nominated for Vice-President, 276.
- Gold standard**, established by Act of 1900, 2, 29; endorsed by Republicans, 47; condemned by Democrats, 62; by Silver Republicans, 65; other references, 72, 105, 119, 125, 249. See also *Silver*.
- Gorman, Arthur P.**, 1, 505.
- Graham, William A.**, nominated for Vice-President, 1, 251; in canvass of 1860, 289.
- Granger, Francis**, nominated for Vice-President, 1, 183.
- Grant, Ulysses S.**, 1, 303, 315, 316; nominated for President, 320; elected, 328; condemned by Liberal Republicans, 341; nominated for reelection, 348; reelected, 352; on third term, 360; vetoes the "inflation" bill, 366; movement in his favor in 1880, 402, 407, 408.
- Graves, John T.**, nominated for Vice-President, 2, 206.
- Gray, George**, 2, 125, 155, 182, 186, 196.
- Gray, Isaac P.**, 1, 505.
- Great Britain**, relations with, as affecting politics, 1, 43, 87, 97, 482; 2, 79.
- Greeley, Horace**, on Know-Nothing party, 1, 260; opposes Mr. Seward, 290; opposes General Grant, 334; in canvass of 1872, 340; nominated for President, 344, 349; dies before the election, 351; popular votes for, 352; electoral votes for, 353; such votes objected to and rejected, 354.
- Greenback party**, convention of 1876, 1, 367; of 1880, 409; of 1884, 423.
- Greenbacks**, payment of bonds with, 1, 316, 322; volume of issue of, 365, 409, 429.
- Greer, James R.**, nominated for Vice-President, 1, 480.
- Grosbeck, William S.**, electoral votes for in 1872, 1, 353.
- Habeas Corpus**, suspension of the writ, 1, 298, 300, 323.
- Hadley, Herbert S.**, 2, 254.
- Hague conference**, in party platform, 2, 50.
- Hale, Eugene**, 2, 12, 32, 225.
- Hale, John P.**, nominated for President, but withdraws, 1, 232; nominated in 1852, 253; popular votes for, 257.
- "Half-breed,"** a faction of the Republican party, 1, 419.
- Hamilton, Alexander**, on the electoral system, 1, 2; proposes choice of electors by the people, 4, 5; decides to support John Adams, in 1789, 25; his supposed intrigue against Adams, 25; antagonism with Jefferson, 32; his funding system, 42; not a candidate for President, 44; his course in the canvass of 1796, 49; his influence upon the members of Adams's cabinet, 54; opposes Federalist support of Burr, 70; opposes Federalist disunion intrigue, 76; proposes amendment of the Constitution, 78; his view of the powers of the Supreme Court, 2, 305; on the power of removal from office, 310; on the veto power, 320, 325.
- Hamlin, Hannibal**, nominated for Vice-President, 1, 295; elected, 297; in the canvass of 1864, 303; presides over the count of 1865, 311; in the canvass of 1868, 321.
- Hancock, John**, suggested for Vice-President, 1, 24; votes for, in 1789, 27; protests against the law of 1792, 39.
- Hancock, Winfield S.**, 1, 321, 325, 363; nominated for President, 415; popular and electoral votes for, 417.
- Hanford, Benjamin**, nominated for Vice-President, 2, 103, 168.
- Hanly, J. Frank**, nominated for President, 2, 367.
- Hanna, Marcus A.**, considered as opposing candidate to Roosevelt, 2, 95.
- "Hard cider"** campaign, 1, 190.
- "Hards,"** Democratic faction in New York, 1, 264, 282.
- Harmon, Judson**, 2, 255, 259.
- Harper, Jesse**, 1, 427.
- Harper, Robert G.**, votes for as Vice-President, in 1816, 1, 112; in 1820, 121.
- Harriman, Job**, nominated for Vice-President, 2, 34.
- Harris, William A.**, 2, 126.

- Harrison, Benjamin**, nominated for President, **1**, 479; elected (popular and electoral votes), 483; his administration, 486, 492; nominated for re-election, 1892, 497; popular and electoral votes for, 517.
- Harrison, Caleb**, nominated for Vice-President, **2**, 339.
- Harrison, Robert H.**, votes for in 1789, **1**, 27.
- Harrison, William H.**, suggested for Vice-President, 1828, **1**, 145; nominated for President in 1836, 183; popular votes for, 185; electoral votes for, 188; Whig candidate in 1840, 195; takes the stump, 202; popular votes for, 203; elected, 204; his inauguration, 205; dies, 207.
- Hartford Convention**, **1**, 106.
- Hawaii**, treaty of annexation withdrawn by Mr. Cleveland, **1**, 519; the question of, in politics and platforms, 525, 546, 553; annexed, **2**, 21; policy respecting, 68, 70.
- Hay-Pauncefote treaty**, **2**, 62, 179.
- Hayes, Rutherford B.**, **1**, 363, 368; nominated for President, 373; popular votes for, 383; declared elected, 393; his administration, 400.
- Hearst, William B.**, **2**, 96, 123, 154, 157, 199.
- Hendricks, Thomas A.**, **1**, 325, 330; votes for, as President, in 1872, 353; nominated for Vice-President, 379; in canvass of 1880, 412, 415; again nominated, 441; elected, 448; death in office, 472.
- Henry, John**, votes for, in 1796, **1**, 51.
- High cost of living**, in platforms, **2**, 225, 249, 261, 291, 343, 348, 366.
- Hill, David B.**, **1**, 492, 493, 505, 542, 548, 549; **2**, 57, 64.
- Hilsen, Thomas**, nominated for President, **2**, 206.
- Hoar, George F.**, **2**, 32.
- Hobart, Garret A.**, nominated for Vice-President, **1**, 538; elected, 567, 568.
- Homesteads, free**, in politics and platforms. See *Land, public*.
- Hours of labor**, in politics and platforms, **1**, 370, 410, 422, 425, 462, 464, 512, 513, 540; **2**, 36, 115, 160, 167, 174, 192, 202, 264, 291; considered by Congress, **2**, 149, 180.
- House of Representatives**, election of President by, **1**, 9, 11, 71, 140; general debate and modern system of procedure in, **2**, 4.
- Houston, Samuel**, **1**, 248, 288.
- Howard, John E.**, suggested for President, **1**, 111; votes for as Vice-President, in 1816, 112.
- Howe, Archibald M.**, nominated for Vice-President, declines, **2**, 72.
- Hughes, Charles E.**, **2**, 155, 181, 227, 253; appointed a justice of the Supreme Court, 229; his theory of the power of the Executive, 331; nominated for President, 340.
- "Hunkers,"** Democratic faction, **1**, 229.
- Huntington, Samuel**, votes for, in 1789, **1**, 27.
- Hurst, Elmore W.**, **2**, 271.
- Idaho**, admitted to the Union, **1**, 518.
- Illinois**, admitted to the Union, **1**, 118.
- Immigration**, restriction of, in politics and platforms, **1**, 303, 320, 371, 401, 405, 410, 414, 419, 430, 438, 444, 462, 467, 474, 481, 496, 502, 506, 512, 531, 536, 544; **2**, 48, 68, 160, 195, 204, 223, 252, 370; Chinese, in particular, **1**, 336, 469; Japanese, **2**, 147.
- Impressment of American seamen**, **1**, 87, 88.
- Income tax**, in politics and platforms, **1**, 410, 422, 425, 431, 462, 511, 514, 540, 544, 552; **2**, 33, 40, 43, 65, 167, 191, 197, 262, 281, 285.
- Independence League**, **2**, 154, 157.
- Independence party**, convention of 1908, **2**, 199.
- Independent Treasury**, **1**, 192, 207, 227.
- Indiana**, admitted to the Union, **1**, 112; disputed votes of in 1817, 113.
- Informalities**, in certificates of electors, **1**, 85; of Massachusetts electors, 1808, 94; suspected, in 1824, 137.
- Ingersoll, Jared**, Federalist candidate for Vice-President, **1**, 102; votes for, in 1812, 104.
- "Initiative,"** in legislation, **1**, 513; **2**, 34, 36, 43, 66, 111, 116, 132, 160, 168, 200, 282, 285, 289; 364.
- "Injunction, government by,"** **1**, 545, 554; **2**, 62, 116, 160, 168, 175, 180, 192, 201, 264, 267, 282, 290, 365.

- Insurance**, against sickness, etc., in platforms, 2, 36, 157.
- Insurgents and insurgency**, 2, 152, 218.
- Internal improvements**, in politics and platforms, 1, 115, 143, 154, 158, 200, 241, 252, 255, 273, 294.
- Interstate commerce**. See *Railroads, regulation of*.
- Intervention**, between Spain and the United States, proposed, 2, 16.
- Intoxicating liquor**, in politics and platforms, 1, 339, 364, 426, 441, 443, 466, 505, 529, 530; 2, 52, 110, 197, 284, 367.
- Iowa** admitted to the Union, 1, 242.
- Iredell, James**, votes for, in 1796, 1, 51.
- Irregularities**. See *Informalities*.
- Irrigation** of arid lands, in platforms, 2, 49, 63, 67, 106, 121, 194, 205, 251, 285.
- Jackson, Andrew**, candidate for President, in 1824, 1, 126; popular votes for, 136; electoral votes for, 136; votes for, in House of Representatives, 141; becomes a candidate in 1828, 144; popular votes for, 148; elected, 149; inaugurated, 150; his war on the United States Bank, 153, 162; forces the nomination of Van Buren, 160; re-elected, 164; his popularity, 179; his open opposition to Hugh L. White, 181; at Van Buren's inauguration, 189; his use of the power of removal from office, 2, 312; his use of the veto power, 321.
- Jacobins**, opprobrious name of Democrats, 1, 74.
- James, Ollie M.**, 2, 257, 258.
- Japan**, relations with, 2, 148.
- Japanese immigration**, in platforms, 2, 42, 63; agitation in San Francisco, 147.
- Jay, John**, votes for, in 1789, 1, 27; British treaty, 43; votes for, in 1790, 51; in 1800, 63.
- Jefferson, Thomas**, antagonism with Hamilton, 1, 32; votes for, in 1792, 39; leader of the Republican party, 44; attacked by "Phocion," 45, 49; elected Vice-President, 51; votes for, in 1800, 63; elected by the House of Representatives, 72; his inauguration, 73; his course in office, 74, 77; nominated by the caucus for reelection, 82; reflected, 84; rejects Monroe's treaty, 88; induces Monroe to withdraw, 92; advocates acquisition of Cuba, 2, 9.
- Jenkins, Charles J.**, votes for, as President, in 1872, 1, 353.
- Johnson, Andrew**, 1, 284; nominated for Vice-President, 303; elected, 307; becomes President, 313; features of his administration, 313; contest with Congress and impeachment, 315; denounced by Republican convention, 319; in the canvass of 1868, 321; praised by Democrats, 325; his use of the power of removal from office, 2, 313; his use of the veto power, 324.
- Johnson, Hale**, nominated for Vice-President, 1, 530.
- Johnson, Herschel V.**, nominated for Vice-President, 1, 286.
- Johnson, Hiram W.**, 2, 285; nominated for Vice-President, 298.
- Johnson, John A.**, 2, 154, 186, 196.
- Johnson, Richard M.**, nominated for Vice-President, 1, 182; electoral votes for, 188; elected by the Senate, 187; opposition to, in 1840, 198; not nominated for reelection, 201; electoral votes for, in 1840, 204; candidate for President, in 1844, 208; votes for in the convention, 212.
- Johnston, William F.**, nominated for Vice-President, in 1856, by the American party, 1, 264; not adopted by the Republicans, 271.
- Joint Rule**, the twenty-second. See *Electoral system*.
- Julian, George W.**, nominated for Vice-President, 1, 253; in canvass of 1872, 338, 344; electoral votes for, 352.
- Kansas**, the contest over, 1, 258, 260, 268, 272, 279, 292.
- Kent, Chancellor**, on removals from office, 2, 310.
- Kentucky** admitted to the Union, 1, 38; its legislature nominates Clay, 126; contest in 1896 between Gold and Silver factions, 526; electoral vote divided, 567.
- Kern, John W.**, nominated for Vice-President, 2, 197; in the canvass of 1912, 256, 258.
- King, Leicester**, nominated for Vice-President, 1, 232.

- King, Rufus, 1**, 34; Federalist candidate for Vice-President in 1804, 84; in 1808, 95; opposes coalition with Clintonians, in 1812, 101; votes for, for President, in 1816, 112.
- King, William R., 1**, 199, 234; nominated for Vice-President, in 1848, 249; elected, 257.
- Kirkpatrick, George R.,** nominated for Vice-President, **2**, 360.
- "Kitchen Cabinet,"** the, **1**, 152, 154, 159.
- "Know-Nothing"** order and party, **1**, 259; convention of 1856, 261.
- Knox, General Henry,** suggested for Vice-President, **1**, 24.
- Knox, Philander C., 2**, 156, 180.
- Kremer, George,** charge by, against Henry Clay, **1**, 138.
- Ku-Klux-Klan,** the, **1**, 333.
- Kyle, James H., 1**, 513.
- Labor questions,** in politics and platforms, **1**, 325, 337, 347, 350, 409, 414, 422, 426, 430, 437, 441, 462, 503, 512, 531, 540; **2**, 35, 44, 62, 102, 113, 116, 160, 167, 174, 192, 201, 246, 267, 272, 281, 285, 291, 345, 360, 366, 371.
- Labor Reformers,** party of, convention of 1872, **1**, 335.
- La Follette, Robert E., 2**, 156, 181, 234, 235, 236, 253.
- Land, public,** questions relating to, in politics and platforms, **1**, 154, 179, 215, 221, 236, 255, 293, 324, 336, 344, 347, 350, 371, 377, 410, 423, 425, 430, 437, 438, 444, 461, 463, 469, 474, 500, 506, 512, 514, 531, 536, 540, 553; **2**, 159, 222, 268, 294.
- Landrith, Ira D.,** nominated for Vice-President, **2**, 367.
- Lane, Joseph, 1**, 248, 284; nominated for Vice-President, 285, 287; popular and electoral votes for, 297.
- Langdon, John,** presides over the first count, **1**, 29; votes for, as Vice-President, in 1808, 95; nominated for Vice-President, in 1812, but declines, 99.
- Laurier, Sir Wilfrid,** Canadian statesman, **2**, 231.
- Lecompton Constitution,** for Kansas, **1**, 279, 292.
- Lee, Fitzhugh, 2**, 15, 17.
- Legal-tender notes.** See *Greenbacks*.
- Legislatures, State,** as nominating bodies for the presidency, **1**, 168.
- Leonard, Jonah F. R., 2**, 37.
- Levering, Joshua, 1**, 508 nominated for President, 530; popular votes for, 567.
- Lewis, James H., 2**, 258.
- Liberal Republicans,** origin of the party, **1**, 335; convention in 1872, 240.
- Liberty League,** convention of 1848, **1**, 232.
- Liberty party.** See *Abolitionists*.
- "Lily white"** Republicans, **1**, 516.
- Lincoln, Abraham, 1**, 271; the debate with Douglas, 281; in the canvass of 1860, 290; nominated for President, 294; elected, 297; his administration, 299; nominated in 1864, 303; elected, 307; his reconstruction plan, 309, 313; assassinated, 313.
- Lincoln, Benjamin,** vote for in 1789, **1**, 27.
- Liquor, intoxicating,** as a political issue, **1**, 339, 364, 411, 441, 442, 466, 505, 529; **2**, 38, 52, 104, 110, 197, 284.
- "Little Magician,"** the (Van Buren), **1**, 191.
- "Loco-focos,"** Democratic faction in New York, **1**, 228.
- Log cabin and hard cider campaign,** **1**, 190.
- Logan, John A., 1**, 427; nominated for Vice-President, 432; popular and electoral votes for, 448.
- Louisiana,** purchase of, **1**, 75; admitted to the Union, 103; frauds in Plaquemines Parish, in 1844, 16, 224; vote of the State in 1864, rejected, 311; vote in 1868 objected to but admitted, 330; returning boards, 351, 381; vote in 1872 disputed and not counted, 354; vote of 1876 contested before the Electoral Commission, 381, 389.
- Lyon, James,** nominated for President and declined, **1**, 350.
- Lynching,** in a party platform, **2**, 127.
- Machen, Willis B.,** votes for, for Vice-President, in 1872, **1**, 353.
- McClellan, George B., 1**, 299; nominated for President, 305; his construction of the Democratic platform, 306; popular and electoral votes for, 307.
- McCombs, William F., 2**, 271.

McGovern, Francis E., 2, 242.

McKinley, William, the "McKinley Bill," **1, 489, 499, 524;** votes for, as candidate for President, in 1892, 497; in the canvass of 1896, 527, 532; nominated for President, 538; his course during the canvass, 564; elected, 566; popular votes for, 567; electoral votes for, 568; urges revision of the tariff, **2, 2;** his attitude on the Cuban rebellion, 13; efforts to maintain peace with Spain, 16; message which led to war, 17; nominated for reelection, 51; reelected, 75; his journeys, 83; advocates reciprocity, 84; assassinated, 85; tributes to his memory by the Republican convention of 1904, 108.

Maclay, William, 2, 308, 310.

McLean, John, considered as a candidate for President, in 1830, **1, 156;** nominated for President, in 1836, 183; in the canvass of 1848, 230; votes for, in Whig convention, 237; in conventions of 1856, 264, 270; in the canvass of 1860, 289, 294.

Macon, Nathaniel, proposes amendment to the Constitution, **1, 122;** votes for, as Vice-President, in 1824, 140.

Madison, James, 1, 6; nominated for President, in 1808, 91; elected, 95; his inauguration, 96; his administration, 97; yields to war party, 98; elected for a second term, 104; on the danger of legislative usurpation, **2, 306;** on the veto power, 320; his use of the veto, 321.

"Magician, the little" (Van Buren), 1, 191.

Maguire, Matthew, nominated for Vice President, **1, 541.**

Maine, admitted to the Union, **1, 118;** liquor law, 339.

Maine, battleship, destruction of, **2, 14.**

Malsh, Levi, proposes an amendment to the Constitution, **1, 396.**

Mangum, Willie P., votes for, for President, in 1836, **1, 188.**

March 4th, beginning of presidential term, **1, 29, 38.**

Marcy, William L., 1, 153, 247, 261; enunciates the theory of the "spoils" system, **2, 312.**

Marshall, Humphrey, on the power to count electoral votes, **1, 277.**

Marshall, John, his plan for deciding disputed electoral votes, **1, 66;** votes for, as Vice-President, in 1816, 112; attends Anti-masonic convention, 156.

Marshall, Thomas B., 2, 255, 259; nominated for Vice-President, 271; elected, 302; nominated for reelection, 350.

Martin, David H., 2, 37.

Maryland, last State to abandon the district electoral system, **1, 164.**

Mason, James M., on the electoral votes of Wisconsin, **1, 215.**

Mason, John Y., 1, 261.

Massachusetts, plan of choosing electors, in 1789, **1, 23;** in 1792, 38; by the legislature, in 1800, 60; defeat of Federalists, in 1804, 84; choice of electors in 1808, 93; in 1812, 103; in the Hartford Convention, 107.

Matchett, Charles H., nominated for Vice-President, in 1892, **1, 513;** nominated for President, in 1896, 541; popular votes for, 567.

Maximum and Minimum rates of duty, **2, 173, 220, 229.**

Meat inspection, 2, 149.

Merchant marine, encouragement of in platforms, **2, 49, 63, 107, 122, 177, 192, 251, 268, 344, 349.**

Merriam, Charles E., 2, 254.

Metcalf, Henry B., nominated for Vice-President, **2, 56.**

Mexico, and Texas, **1, 226;** war with, in politics and platforms, 227, 230, 234, 249; relations with, 341, 355, 369.

Michigan, admitted to the Union, **1, 184;** votes of, how counted, in 1837, 187; an elector of, objected to in 1877, 390; electors chosen by districts, in 1892, 516.

"Middle-of-the-road" Populists, 1, 550.

Military interference, in elections. See *Elections.*

Mills, Roger Q., the "Mills Bill," **1, 459, 468, 471, 479, 482;** resolution on Cuba, **2, 37.**

Milton, John, votes for, in 1789, **1, 37.**

Mines, public ownership of, **2, 36, 104, 167, 280, 366.**

Minnesota, admitted to the Union, **1, 296.**

Minority Presidents, 1, 17.

- Mississippi**, admitted to the Union, **1**, 118; votes of, in 1872, objected to, but counted, 354.
- Mississippi river**, improvement of, in platforms, **2**, 251, 266.
- Missouri**, question of admission to the Union, **1**, 116, 118; controversy over its vote in 1820, 118; its vote in 1872, 354.
- Missouri Compromise**, repeal of, **1**, 260, 271.
- Mitchell, John L.**, **1**, 505.
- Monopolies**. See *Trusts*.
- Monroe Doctrine**, in politics and platforms, **1**, 268, 300, 303, 476, 496, 520, 538, 546; **2**, 50, 60, 107, 109, 123, 264, 342, 355, 362, 369; application to the Spanish situation, 7; to the Venezuelan question, 91.
- Monroe, James**, diplomatic services abroad, **1**, 86, 88; candidate for President, in 1808, 89, 90; persuaded to withdraw, 93; votes for, as Vice-President, in 1808, 95; opposition to, in 1816, 108; nominated for President, 109; elected, 112; inauguration, 114; reelected, 118; second inauguration, 120.
- Montana**, admitted to the Union, **1**, 487.
- Morey letter**, **1**, 416.
- Morgan, John T.**, advocate of the Nicaragua Canal, **2**, 68.
- Morris, Gouverneur**, **1**, 3, 6, 12, 34, 58, 78, 83, 101, 111; his plan of a Council of State, **2**, 309; his opinion on the veto power, 320.
- Morris, Thomas**, Abolitionist candidate for Vice-President, **1**, 216.
- Morrison, William R.**, his tariff bill, **1**, 458; votes for, as candidate for President, 415, 505.
- Morse, Allen P.**, **1**, 505.
- Morton, Levi P.**, nominated for Vice-President, **1**, 479; elected, 483; in the canvass of 1896, 527; votes for as candidate for President, 538.
- Morton, Oliver P.**, proposes an amendment to the Constitution, **1**, 358; candidate for nomination as President, 361, 368, 373; member of the Electoral Commission, 387.
- "Mugwumps,"** or independent Republicans, **1**, 410, 432, 446, 457; denounced by Republican convention, 477.
- Munro, Donald R.**, nominated for Vice-President, **2**, 182.
- Murchison, Charles F.** (fictitious name), letter to Lord Sackville, **1**, 482.
- Murphy, Franklin**, **2**, 181.
- "Narrow gauge" Prohibitionists**, **1**, 528.
- National Banks**. See *Banks*.
- National Democratic party** (of 1896), origin of, **1**, 550, 557; convention, 558.
- National Liberty party**, convention of 1904, **2**, 127.
- National party**. See *Greenback party*.
- National Republican party**, convention of, in 1831, **1**, 157; fusion with Anti-masons, 163; merged with the Whig party, 180.
- National Republican Progressive League**, **2**, 233.
- National Silver party**, convention of 1896, **1**, 555.
- Native American party**, **1**, 231; revival of, 259; convention in 1856, 261; condemned by Democratic convention, 266.
- Naturalization**, **2**, 149.
- Navigation laws** and free ships, in platforms, **1**, 414, 431, 439, 476, 496, 534, 559.
- Navy**, enlargement of, in platforms, **2**, 342, 348, 353, 363, 368.
- Nebraska**, act to admit to the Union, vetoed, **1**, 315; admitted, 327; an elector objected to, in 1877, 390.
- Nevada**, an elector objected to, in 1877, **1**, 390.
- New Hampshire**, choice of electors in 1789, **1**, 22.
- New Jersey**, its peculiar choice of electors in 1804, **1**, 94; extraordinary proceedings in, in 1812, 103; vote divided, in 1860, 297.
- New Mexico**, admitted to the Union, **2**, 223, 270.
- New York**, its great influence in politics, **1**, 15, 59, 229, 447, 449; party warfare and factions in, 23, 86, 100, 228, 419; loses its vote in 1789, 23; decided the election of 1800, 59; in the contest of 1824, 128, 129, 135; in the Democratic convention of 1848, 228, 232; its popular vote in 1868, 329; in 1884, 449. See also *Tammany Hall*.

- Nicaragua Canal.** See *Canal, Isthmian*.
- Nicholson, Samuel T.,** 2, 37.
- North Carolina,** peculiar appointment of electors in, in 1792, 1, 38; electors appointed by the legislature, in 1812, 100, 122.
- North Dakota,** admitted to the Union, 1, 487.
- Nullification,** 1, 154.
- Objections to electoral votes.** See *Electoral Votes, rejection of*.
- O'Connor, Charles,** 1, 305, 339; nominated for President, 350; popular votes for, 352.
- Official returns of elections,** discrepancies in, 1, 484, 516, 568.
- Ohio,** admitted to the Union, 1, 83.
- Oklahoma,** admission of, to the Union, advocated in platforms, 2, 49, 62, 67, 122; admitted, 143.
- Olney, Richard,** 2, 12, 124.
- Orders in Council,** British, 1, 83.
- Oregon,** the State of, admitted to the Union, 296; electoral vote of, in 1876, 281, 390.
- Oregon question,** the, 1, 215, 228.
- Osborne, John E.,** 2, 271.
- Ostend Manifesto,** 1, 261, 272; 2, 9.
- Over-capitalization of corporations,** in platforms, 2, 149.
- Pacific Railroad,** the, in platforms, 1, 273, 283, 287, 294, 303.
- Palmer, John M.,** 1, 321, 338, 340; electoral votes for, as Vice-President, in 1872, 353; nominated for President, 561; popular votes for, 567.
- Panama,** revolution in, and secession from Colombia, 2, 99, 100.
- Panama Canal.** See *Canal, Isthmian*.
- Panama mission,** opposed by Adams's political enemies, 1, 143.
- Pan-American conference,** in 1906, 2, 146, 177, 195, 355.
- Panic, financial,** of 1837, 1, 191; of 1873, 357.
- Parcel post,** advocated in platforms, 2, 251, 270, 297, 351.
- Parker, Alton B.,** 2, 96, 117; nominated for President, 123; his declaration on the gold standard, 125; in the canvass of 1904, 123; in the convention of 1908, 183; elected temporary chairman of the convention of 1912, 256.
- Parker, Joel,** 1, 325; nominated for Vice-President, 338; declines, 339; in the canvass of 1876, 379.
- Parker, John M.,** nominated for Vice-President, 2, 346.
- "Parting of the ways,"** 2, 232.
- Patent-law,** reform of, 2, 281, 293.
- Pauncefote, Sir Julian (Lord),** British ambassador at Washington, 2, 16.
- Payne-Aldrich tariff bill,** 2, 219, 229.
- Payne, Henry B.,** 1, 415.
- Pendleton, George H.,** nominated for Vice-President, 1, 305; popular and electoral votes for, 307; in the canvass of 1868, 316, 321, 325.
- Pennsylvania,** its influence in politics, 1, 15, 60, 86, 92, 93, 100, 275; appointment of electors in 1800, 60; its vote in 1876, 390.
- Pensions,** in politics and platforms, 1, 302, 320, 324, 347, 371, 405, 426, 430, 436, 462, 476, 502, 507, 512, 531, 535, 545, 554, 561; 2, 49, 66, 68, 72, 107, 111, 123, 177, 193, 297.
- People's party (Populists),** origin of, 1, 491; convention of 1892, 508; of 1896, 550; fusion, on electors, with Democrats, 564; fusionist wing, convention of 1900, 2, 39; Middle-of-the-road wing, convention of 1900, 42; of 1904, 114; of 1908, 158.
- Philippine Islands,** become American territory, 2, 23; insurrection in, 24; suppressed, in 1901, 77, 105; attitude of parties relative to the acquisition and retention of, 24, 31, 41, 50, 59, 68, 70, 120, 132, 149, 177, 195, 252, 342, 364, 370. See also *Anti-imperialism*.
- Pickens, Israel,** proposes an amendment to the constitution, 1, 122.
- Pickering, Timothy,** 1, 54.
- Pierce, Franklin,** nominated for President, 1, 248; elected, 257; his attitude on the slavery question, 280; in the Democratic convention of 1856, 264, 265; his theory of the veto power, 2, 323.
- Pinchot, Gifford,** 2, 220.
- Pinckney, Charles,** plan of, for an Executive, 1, 2.
- Pinckney, Charles C.,** votes for in 1796, 1, 51; minister to France, 56;

- refuses to be a party to a treacherous coalition, 62; votes for in 1800, 63; candidate of Federalists for President, in 1804, 83; votes for, in 1804, 84; in 1808, 95.
- Pinckney, Thomas**, candidate for Vice-President, **1**, 44; votes for, in 1796, 51.
- Pipe-lines** as common carriers, **2**, 222.
- Plaquemines Parish**, frauds in, **1**, 16, 224.
- Platforms, party:**
- Abolition, or Liberty party, in 1843, **1**, 216.
 - American, in 1888, **1**, 480.
 - Anti-imperialists, in 1900, **2**, 68.
 - Anti-imperialist League, in 1900, **2**, 69.
 - Anti-monopoly, in 1888, **1**, 422.
 - Barnburners, in 1848, **1**, 239.
 - Constitutional Union, in 1860, **1**, 289.
 - Continental party, in 1904, **2**, 129.
 - Democratic party, in 1840, **1**, 199; in 1844, 215; in 1848, 234; in 1852, 249; in 1856, 266; in 1860 (Douglas wing), 283; (Breckinridge wing), 287; in 1864, 304; in 1868, 322; in 1872, 349; ("Straight" Democrats), 349; in 1876, 374; in 1880, 413; in 1884, 434; in 1888, 468; in 1892, 498; in 1896, 542; in 1900, **2**, 58; in 1904, 119; in 1908, 186; in 1912, 260; in 1916, 350.
 - Farmers' Alliance, in 1900, **2**, 33.
 - Free Soil, in 1848, **1**, 239; in 1852, 253.
 - Greenback party, in 1876, **1**, 367; in 1880, 409; in 1884, 423.
 - Independence party, in 1908, **2**, 199.
 - "Know Nothing" party, in 1856, **1**, 261.
 - Labor Reformers, in 1872, **1**, 336.
 - Liberal Republicans, in 1872, **1**, 341.
 - National party, in 1896, **1**, 530.
 - National Democratic party, in 1896, **1**, 558.
 - National Liberty party, in 1904, **2**, 127.
 - National Silver party, in 1896, **1**, 555.
 - Native American party, in 1856, **1**, 261.
 - People's party (Populist), in 1892, **1**, 509; in 1896, 542; in 1900 (Fusion wing), **2**, 39; (Middle-of-the-road wing), in 1900, 43; in 1904, 115; in 1908, 159.
 - Progressive party, in 1912, **2**, 288; in 1916, 346.
 - Prohibition party, in 1872, **1**, 339; in 1876, 364; in 1880, 411; in 1884, 441; in 1888, 466; in 1892, 505; in 1896, 529; in 1900, **2**, 52; in 1904, 110; in 1908, 197; in 1912, 284; in 1916, 367.
 - Prohibition-Home-Protection party, in 1884, **1**, 442.
 - Radical Republican party, in 1864, **1**, 300.
 - Republican party, in 1856, **1**, 271; in 1860, 291; in 1864, 301; in 1868, 318; in 1872, 346; in 1876, 369; in 1880, 403; in 1884, 428; in 1888, 472; in 1892, 494; in 1896, 533; in 1900, **2**, 46; in 1904, 104; in 1908, 170; in 1912, 245; in 1916, 346.
 - Silver-Republican party, in 1900, **2**, 64.
 - Socialist party, in 1900, **2**, 34; in 1904, 98; in 1908, 162; in 1912, 277; in 1916, 360.
 - Socialist Labor party, in 1892, **1**, 513; in 1896, 539; in 1900, **2**, 44; in 1904, 97; in 1908, 182; in 1912, 272; in 1916, 339.
 - Union Labor party, in 1888, **1**, 461.
 - United Christian party, in 1900, **2**, 37; in 1904, 103.
 - United Labor, in 1888, **1**, 463.
 - United Reform party, in 1900, **1**, 37.
 - Whig party, in 1844, **1**, 220; in 1852, 251; in 1856, 273.
 - Young Men's National Republican party, in 1832, **1**, 158.
- Platt amendment**, regulating relations with Cuba, **2**, 81.
- Pocket veto**, **1**, 179, 317; **2**, 323, 324.
- Polk, James K.**, vote for, as Vice-President, in 1840, **1**, 204; nominated for President, in 1844, 213; elected, 223; his administration, 227; jealous of Silas Wright, 229.
- Polygamy**, in platforms, **1**, 364, 371, 405, 419, 430, 442, 466, 475; **2**, 111, 122, 127, 184, 284.
- Pomeroy, Samuel C.**, nominated for President, **1**, 442.
- Popular sovereignty**, **1**, 258, 280.
- Popular votes for President:** in 1824, **1**, 135; in 1828, 148; in 1832, 163; in 1836, 185; in 1840, 203; in 1844, 223;

- in 1848, 243; in 1852, 257; in 1856, 276; in 1860, 297 in 1864, 307; in 1868, 328; in 1872, 352; in 1876, 383; in 1880, 417; in 1884, 448; in 1888, 483; in 1892, 517; in 1896, 567; in 1900, 2, 75; in 1904, 137; in 1908, 208; in 1912, 302.
- Populists.** See *People's party*.
- Populists in the Senate,** their attitude on the tariff of 1897, 2, 7.
- Porto Rico,** policy respecting, 2, 24 (note), 26, 28, 47, 58, 62, 67, 68, 70, 105, 122, 149, 177, 195, 252.
- Postal Savings Banks,** advocated in platforms, 2, 33, 40, 116, 159, 173, 191, 197.
- Powers of the President,** prescribed in the Constitution, 2, 308; the power of appointment and removal, 309; the power to fill vacancies, 317; the veto power, 319; the power over legislation, 325.
- President of the United States,** method of election, 1, 2-9; 68, 71, 77-82; 121, 140, 358, 394; proposed election of, by direct popular vote, 1, 3, 13, 154, 300, 358, 365, 394, 396, 397, 431, 442, 531, 553; 2, 43, 282, 365; term of office, 1, 9, 153, 395; eligibility for reelection, 1, 1, 2, 5, 153, 221, 300, 337, 343, 395, 513; 2, 263, 284, 371; third term, 1, 360, 402, 546; 2, 139, 235; resignation of, 1, 38; special elections, in case of vacancy, 1, 37, 395; succession to the office, 1, 37, 450; personal protection of, 2, 87.
- Presidential primaries,** 2, 239, 263, 289.
- Preston, James W.,** 2, 271.
- Preston, Martin B.,** nominated for President, 2, 182.
- Primary elections,** in platforms, 2, 132, 239, 263, 289.
- Proctor, Redfield,** his report on conditions in Cuba, 2, 15.
- Progressive party,** 2, 285; convention of 1912, 288; of 1916, 346.
- Prohibition party,** convention of 1872, 1, 339; of 1876, 364; of 1880, 411; of 1884, 441, 442; of 1888, 465; of 1892, 505; of 1896, 529; of 1900, 2, 51; of 1904, 109; of 1908, 197; of 1912, 284; of 1916, 367.
- Protection,** of American industry (see *Tariff*); of American citizens abroad, 2, 50, 108, 122, 176, 193, 205, 251, 270, 297, 340, 342, 346.
- Public debt,** in platforms, 1, 303, 316, 319, 322, 370, 409, 422, 462.
- Publicity,** of campaign contributions, in platforms, 2, 149, 180, 188, 201, 223, 250, 263.
- Public lands.** See *Land, public*.
- Pure Food Law,** 2, 149, 277.
- Radical Republicans,** convention of 1864, 1, 299.
- Railroads,** and grants to (see *Land, public*); regulation of, or public ownership, in platforms, 1, 337, 364, 410, 422, 425, 430, 461, 474, 506, 512, 513, 531, 540, 552; 2, 117, 159, 174, 189, 264, 280, 365; control by the government, 2, 33, 36, 40, 61, 67, 132, 190, 202, 254; Act of 1910, 222.
- Randall, Samuel J.,** 1, 415.
- Randolph, Edmund,** plan for an Executive, 1, 2.
- Randolph, John,** opposition to Jefferson, 1, 87; stickler for the privileges of the House, 95; on the count of the Missouri vote, in 1821, 119, 120.
- Readjusters,** of Virginia, 1, 417.
- Reannexation.** See *Texas*.
- Rebates,** by railroads, 2, 150, 174, 189, 203.
- Recall,** of public officers, 2, 36, 43, 116, 160, 200, 282, 289, 364.
- Recess,** constructive, of the Senate, 2, 318.
- Reciprocity,** in platforms, 1, 490, 495, 500, 534; 2, 48, 107, 123, 130; advocated by President McKinley, 83, 84; with Canada, 152, 229.
- Reconstruction,** after the Civil War, 1, 301, 308, 313, 318, 333.
- Red bandanna,** 1, 472.
- Reed, Thomas B.,** Speaker, and the rules of the House, 1, 487; votes for, as candidate for President, 1892, 497, in the canvass of 1896, 527; votes for, as candidate for President, 538; elected Speaker of the 55th Congress; 2, 4; opposed to the Philippine policy, 32; prevents passage of free silver bill, 224.
- Reed, Whitelaw,** nominated for Vice-President, 1, 498; popular and electoral votes for, 517.

- Referendum**, in politics and platforms, 1, 513; 2, 34, 36, 43, 66, 116, 132, 160, 168, 200, 282, 285, 289, 364.
- Belmer, Arthur E.**, nominated for President in 1912, 2, 276; in 1916, 339.
- Rejection of electoral votes.** See *Electoral votes*.
- Removal of deposits**, from the Bank of the United States, 1, 178.
- Removals from office**, in platforms. See *Civil Service reform*, power of the President discussed, 2, 309.
- Republican party**, creation of the, 1, 260; convention of 1856, 269; of 1860, 290; of 1864, 301; of 1868, 318; of 1872, 345; of 1876, 368; of 1880, 402; of 1884, 427; of 1888, 472; of 1892, 494; of 1896, 532; of 1900, 2, 45; of 1904, 104; of 1908, 169; of 1912, 240; of 1916, 346.
- Republican party** (Jeffersonian, first known as Anti-Federalist), nominates Jefferson and Burr, in 1796, 1, 44; its victory in 1800, 63. See *Democratic party*.
- Resignation of President.** See *President*.
- Resumption of specie payments**, in platforms, 1, 333, 343, 366, 370, 372, 375, 376, 379, 401.
- Returns of electors.** See *Electoral system*.
- Rhode Island**, an elector of, objected to in 1877, 1, 391.
- Riddle, Haywood Y.**, proposes an amendment to the Constitution, 1, 396.
- Blves, William C.**, 1, 182, 195 (note), 289.
- Rodney, Daniel**, votes for, as Vice-President, in 1820, 1, 121.
- Roosevelt, Theodore**, Governor of New York, 2, 45; nominated for Vice-President, 51; in the canvass of 1900, 73; elected, 74; succeeds President McKinley, 86; acts to end the coal strike, in 1902, 93; nominated for reelection, 109; elected, 137; not a candidate for a third term, 139, 140; characteristics of his second administration, 141; initiates movement to end the Russo-Japanese War, 147; the attempt to stampede the convention of 1908 in his favor, 178, 216; his earnestness in advocating the nomination of Taft, 216; return from Africa, 225; in the New York campaign of 1910, 227; his third-term theory, 235; becomes a candidate for the Republican nomination, 236; advises his supporters to withdraw from the convention, 245; votes for, in the Republican convention of 1912, 253; nominated by the Progressives, 298; popular and electoral votes for, 302; his theory of a "constructive recess" of the Senate, 317; his power over legislation, 328; nominated for President in 1916, and declined, 346.
- Root, Elihu**, 2, 104, 146, 155, 177, 242.
- Ross, James**, votes for, as Vice-President, in 1816, 1, 112.
- Rule of Reason**, 2, 233.
- "Rum, Romanism, and Rebellion,"** 1, 447.
- Rural credits**, in platforms, 2, 265, 291, 344, 348, 356.
- Rush, Richard**, votes for, as Vice-President, in 1820, 1, 118, 121; nominated in 1828, 146; votes for, 149.
- Russell, John**, nominated for Vice-President, 1, 340.
- Russo-Japanese War**, 2, 147.
- Rutledge, John**, votes for, in 1789, 1, 27.
- Sackville, Lord**, British ambassador, 1, 482.
- St. John, John P.**, nominated for President, 1, 446; popular votes for, 448.
- Samoa**, 2, 50.
- Sampson, Ezekiel S.**, proposes an amendment to the Constitution, 1, 396.
- Sanford, Nathan**, proposes an amendment to the Constitution, 1, 122; votes for, as Vice-President, in 1824, 140.
- Santo Domingo**, proposed annexation of, 1, 333; relations with, 2, 145.
- "Scallawags,"** 1, 357.
- Schools, public**, in platforms, 1, 364, 371, 475, 502, 507, 514, 531, 540.
- Scott, Winfield**, votes for, in Whig convention, in 1848, 1, 237; in canvass of 1852, 247; nominated for President, 251; popular and electoral votes for, 257.

- Seal fishery**, **2**, 223.
- Seceded States**, electoral votes of, rejected, **1**, 309, 329.
- Secession**, **1**, 298.
- Seldel Emil**, nominated for Vice-President, **2**, 283.
- Senate**, abolition of the, advocated by Socialists, **1**, 541; **2**, 168, 282, 365.
- Senators**, United States, election of, by popular vote, **1**, 513, 531, 553; **2**, 34, 38, 43, 62, 65, 112, 180, 193, 197, 205, 262, 284, 298.
- Sergeant, John**, nominated for Vice-President, **1**, 157.
- Sewall, Arthur**, nominated for Vice-President, by the Democrats, **1**, 549; votes for, in the Populist convention, 554; nominated by the National Silver party, 557; popular votes for, 567; electoral votes, 568.
- Seward, William H.**, his relations with Taylor and Fillmore, **1**, 247; in the canvass, of 1856, 270; in the canvass of 1860, 290, 294.
- Seymour, Horatio**, **1**, 304, 305, 321; nominated for President, 326; his political position, 327; popular and electoral votes for, 328; in the canvass of 1880, 412, 415.
- Sheldon, George L.**, **2**, 181.
- Sherman, James S.**, nominated for Vice-President, **2**, 181; elected, 208; in the New York canvass of 1910, 227; nominated for reelection, 254.
- Sherman, John**, **1**, 402, 407, 408, 427, 432, 478, 479.
- Sherman Silver Purchase Act**, **1**, 490, 501, 521; repealed, 522.
- Sherman Trust Act**. See *Trusts*.
- Ship-purchase Bill**, **2**, 344, 352.
- Ship subsidies**, **2**, 49, 63, 107, 122, 177, 251, 268, 344, 369.
- Silver question**, the, in politics and platforms, **1**, 366, 368, 401, 409, 413, 430, 437, 462, 475, 490, 494, 495, 501, 506, 508, 511, 521, 526, 529, 531, 532, 535, 537, 541, 543, 547, 551, 555, 559.
- Silver Republicans**, their attitude on the tariff, in 1897, **2**, 6; convention of 1900, 64.
- Single term**, for the President, **2**, 263, 284.
- Slavery**, in politics and platforms, **1**, 115, 200, 202, 216, 226, 236, 239, 249, 252, 254, 258, 262, 266, 271, 273, 279, 283, 287, 292, 299, 300, 302.
- Smith, Gerrit**, nominated for President, **1**, 232.
- Smith, Green Clay**, nominated for President, **1**, 364.
- Smith, William**, votes for, as Vice-President, in 1828, **1**, 149; in 1836, 188.
- Socialist Labor party**, convention of 1892, **1**, 513; of 1896, 538; of 1900, **2**, 44; of 1904, 112; of 1908, 181; of 1912, 272; of 1916, 339.
- Socialist party**, convention of 1900, **2**, 34; of 1904, 97; of 1908, 161; of 1912, 276; of 1916, 360.
- "Softs,"** Democratic faction, in New York, **1**, 264, 282.
- Soldiers' vote**, in 1864, **1**, 307.
- "Solid South,"** **1**, 105, 406, 447.
- South Carolina**, appointment of electors by the legislature, **1**, 148, 164; abandons the system, 327; vote of 1876 disputed, 381, 391.
- South Dakota**, admitted to the Union, **1**, 487.
- Southgate, James H.**, nominated for Vice-President, **1**, 532.
- Spain**, relations with, **1**, 520, 536; war with in 1898, **2**, 8, *et seq.*; war declared, 20; peace restored, 21.
- Speaker of the House**, power of the, **2**, 187, 224.
- Special elections** of President. See *President*.
- Specie circular**, Jackson's, **1**, 179.
- Specie payments**, resumption of. See *Resumption*.
- Spoils system**. See *Civil Service reform*.
- Springer, William M.**, **1**, 395.
- "Squatter sovereignty."** See *Popular sovereignty*.
- "Stalwarts,"** a faction of the Republican party, **1**, 419.
- Stampede**, in political conventions, rules for the prevention of, **1**, 174, 369, 468; for Roosevelt, attempted, **2**, 170.
- Standard Oil Company**, **2**, 151; dissolution of, 232, 262.
- "Stand-patters,"** **2**, 152.
- Stanton, Edwin M.**, contest with President Johnson, **1**, 315, 405, 430.
- Star-route frauds**, **1**, 419.
- State rights**, in platforms, **1**, 75, 106, 180.

- "Steam-roller,"** 2, 242.
- Stevenson, Adlai E.,** nominated for Vice-President, 1, 505; elected, 517; again a candidate in 1900, 2, 64; popular and electoral votes for, 75.
- Stewart, G. T.,** nominated for Vice-President, 1, 364.
- Stockton, Richard,** votes for, as Vice-President, in 1820, 1, 121.
- Story, Joseph,** on removals from office, 2, 310.
- Streeter, A. J.,** nominated for President, 1, 463; popular votes for, 483.
- Subsidies.** See *Ship subsidies*.
- Succession to the Presidency.** See *President*.
- Sulzer, William,** 2, 258, 271.
- Sumner, Charles, 1,** 270; opposes Grant, 334.
- Supreme Court,** decisions by, relative to the Philippines and Porto Rico, 2, 78; introduces the "rule of reason," 233; abolition of its power to pronounce acts unconstitutional, advocated, 282, 365.
- Swallow, Silas C.,** nominated for President, 2, 112.
- Taft, William H., 2,** 156, 169; nominated for President, 180; elected, 208; inaugurated, 212; photographed with Roosevelt, 214; difficulties of his administration, 232; nominated for reelection, 253; popular and electoral votes for, 302.
- Tammany Hall,** its influence in national politics, 1, 228, 363, 412, 433, 446, 515; 2, 260.
- Tariff, the,** in politics and platforms, 1, 108, 115, 143, 154, 158, 200, 220, 227, 252, 268, 293, 336, 343, 347, 350, 371, 376, 405, 416, 419, 423, 426, 429, 436, 442, 444, 458, 466, 467, 473, 480, 489, 494, 499, 504, 506, 508, 523, 531, 533, 544, 558; 2, 2, 6, 7, 41, 46, 61, 66, 69, 72, 105, 107, 111, 162, 172, 180, 189, 203, 218, 248, 260, 281, 285, 296, 343, 349, 350, 351.
- Tariff Commission,** of 1882, 1, 419; of 1909, 2, 220, 249; a, advocated, in platforms, 343, 349, 351.
- Taylor, George E.,** nominated for President, 2, 127.
- Taylor, Zachary,** movement in his favor, 1, 230; recommended by Native-Americans, 231; nominated for President, by Whig party, 237; elected, 243; his conduct in office, 244; dies in office, 246.
- Tazewell, Littleton W.,** Democratic candidate (not nominated) for Vice-President, in 1840, 1, 199; electoral votes for, 204.
- Telegraphs and telephones,** control of, by government, in platforms, 1, 506, 512, 531, 540, 553; 2, 33, 36, 43, 117, 159, 167, 280, 365.
- Telfair, Edward,** votes for, in 1789, 1, 27.
- "Teller" amendment,** to the resolution declaring war with Spain, 2, 19.
- Teller, Henry M., 1,** 537, 538; votes for, as candidate for President, 549.
- Tennessee,** admitted to the Union, 1, 47; its legislature nominates Jackson, 144; its vote not counted in 1864, 311.
- Tenure of Office Act, 1,** 315, 457; 2, 313.
- Texas,** annexation of, 1, 209, 215, 226, 227; admitted to the Union, 242; vote of, in 1872, objected to, 354.
- Thompson, A. M.,** nominated for Vice-President, 1, 411.
- Thurman, Allen G., 1,** 379, 387, 415, 423, 440; his red bandanna, 473; nominated for Vice-President, 472; popular and electoral votes for, 354.
- Tibbles, Thomas H.,** nominated for Vice-President, 2, 117.
- Tilden, Samuel J., 1,** 363, 374; nominated for President, 379; popular votes for, 383; electoral votes for, 392; in the canvass of 1880, 411, 415; in the canvass of 1884, 439.
- Tippecanoe,** the hero of, 1, 196.
- Tobacco "trust,"** dissolution of the, 2, 252, 262.
- Tompkins, Daniel D.,** proposed for President, 1, 109; nominated for Vice-President, 110; elected, 112; reëlected, 121.
- Towne, Charles A.,** refuses Populist nomination as candidate for Vice-President, 2, 39; votes for, in Democratic convention, 1900, 196.
- Treasury circular,** Jackson's, 1, 179.
- Treaty of Paris,** restoring peace with Spain, 2, 26; ratified, 28.

- Trusts**, in politics and platforms, **1**, 463, 466, 474, 496, 500 507, 545; **2**, 33, 35, 43, 48, 60, 66, 104, 106, 111, 115, 120, 149, 151, 169, 173, 180, 203, 248, 261, 292; tariff on articles controlled by, **2**, 6, 41, 61, 66, 189.
- Tweed ring**, **1**, 329, 363.
- Twenty-second joint rule**. See *Electoral votes*.
- Two-thirds rule**, in Democratic conventions, **1**, 161, 175, 182, 212, 233, 284, 286, 288, 433.
- Tyler, John**, favors a caucus nomination, in 1824, **1**, 128; nominated for Vice-President, 1836, 183; electoral votes for, 188; nominated by Whigs, 1840, 195; elected, 204; becomes President, 207; his breach with the Whigs, 207; nominated for reelection and withdraws, 221.
- Underwood, Oscar W.**, **2**, 255, 259.
- Union Labor party**, convention of 1888, **1**, 460.
- Union Reform party**, convention of 1900, **2**, 36.
- Unit rule**, in conventions, **1**, 173, 374, 403, 433; **2**, 244, 256.
- United Americans**, order of, **1**, 259.
- United Christian party**, convention of 1900, **2**, 37; of 1904, 103.
- United Labor party**, convention of 1888, **1**, 463.
- Utah**, Territory of, **1**, 246; fusion in the State of, and its divided vote, 563, 567, 568.
- Vacancies in office**, during the recess of the Senate, **2**, 317.
- Van Buren, Martin**, his first appearance in politics, **1**, 100; in the caucus of 1824, 131; votes for, as Vice-President, in 1824, 140; political mission to the South, 144; rejected as minister to England, 155; nominated for Vice-President, 161; elected, 164; nominated for President, 182; popular votes for, 185; electoral votes for, 188; his administration, 188; estimate of his character, 190; his opposition to banks, 192; nominated for reelection, 201; popular votes for, 203; electoral votes, 204; the Democratic favorite for 1844, 206; his letter on Texas, 210; defeated for nomination by the two-thirds rule, 212; 213; praised by the Democratic convention, 216; nominated by the "Barnburners," 1848, 238; by the Free-soilers, 239; popular votes for, 243.
- Venezuela**, President Cleveland's action, **1**, 520; other references, **2**, 82, 144.
- Vermont**, admitted to the Union, **1**, 38; disputed validity of its votes, 52; its electoral vote in 1876, 391.
- Veto**, "pocket," **1**, 179; **2**, 322, 324; President Johnson's vetoes, **1**, 315; **2**, 324; President Cleveland's, **1**, 458; **2**, 324; power of the President discussed, 319; abolition of the power advocated in platforms, **1**, 541; **2**, 282.
- Vice-President**, the office of, suggested, **1**, 7; abolition of the office proposed, 79; method of electing, changed, 80; Richard M. Johnson elected by the Senate, 187.
- Virginia**, adopts general ticket system in 1800, **1**, 60; the "Virginia dynasty," 89, 106.
- Virginia and Kentucky Resolutions**, of 1788 and 1789, **1**, 57, 249, 267.
- Voorhees, Daniel W.**, **1**, 305, 522.
- Wage system**, denounced by Socialist parties, **2**, 35, 100, 103, 113, 163, 272, 277, 339.
- Wakefield, W. H. T.**, nominated for Vice-President, **1**, 465.
- War Democrats**, **1**, 298, 306, 356.
- War of 1812**, **1**, 98, 108.
- Washington, Booker T.**, his luncheon with President Roosevelt, **2**, 86.
- Washington, George**, universal choice for first President, **1**, 24, acquiesces in the selection of Adams for Vice-President, 25; electoral votes, 1789, 27; his inauguration, 30; reelected in 1792, 39; second inauguration, 41; declines a third term, 44; his farewell address, 45; votes for, in 1796, 51; attempt to elect him in 1800, 58; his sparing use of the veto, **2**, 321.
- Washington, State of**, admitted to the Union, **1**, 487.
- Watkins, Aaron S.**, nominated for Vice-President, in 1908, **2**, 198; in 1912, 285.

- Watson, Thomas E.**, nominated for Vice-President, **1**, 554; his position in the canvass of 1896, 562, 564; popular votes for, 567; electoral votes for, 568; nominated for President, in 1904, **2**, 117; in 1908, 161.
- Watterson, Henry, 1**, 505.
- Weaver, James B.**, nominated for President, 1880, **1**, 411; popular votes for, 417; again nominated, 1892, 513; popular and electoral votes for, 517.
- Webster, Daniel**, elector for Monroe, in 1820, **1**, 118; nominated by legislature for President, 1836, 183; popular votes for, 185; electoral votes, 188; in the canvass of 1848, 230; votes for in Whig convention, 237; the compromises of 1850, 245; in the canvass of 1852, 247; unsuccessful manoeuvre to nominate him, 250; popular votes for, 257.
- West, A. M.**, nominated for Vice-President, **1**, 427.
- West Virginia**, the State of, formed from Virginia, **1**, 308.
- Weyler, General**, Spanish Governor-General of Cuba, **2**, 13.
- Wheeler, William A.**, nominated for Vice-President, **1**, 373; elected, 393.
- Whig party**, origin of, **1**, 179; attitude of, on the bank question, 184, 192; convention of 1839, 193; disclaims responsibility for Tyler's acts, 207; convention of 1844, 220; of 1848, 237; of 1852, 250; moribund after the election of 1852, 258; convention of 1856, 273.
- Whiskey insurrection, 1**, 42.
- Whiskey ring, 1**, 357, 363.
- White, Edward D.**, Chief Justice, **2**, 229, 304.
- White, Hugh L.**, nominated for President, **1**, 183; popular votes for, 185; electoral votes, 188.
- "White slavery," 2**, 222.
- Wilkins, William**, nominated for Vice-President, **1**, 160; electoral votes for, 164.
- Williams, James R.**, **2**, 126.
- Williams, John S.**, **2**, 118, 125, 134.
- Williams, Samuel W.**, nominated for Vice-President, **2**, 161.
- Willmot proviso, 1**, 230.
- Wilson-Gorman tariff, 1**, 524; **2**, 2.
- Wilson, Henry, 1**, 318, 321, 345; nominated for Vice-President, 348; elected, 352.
- Wilson, James**, proposes system of election by electors, **1**, 4.
- Wilson, Woodrow**, his canvass for Governor of New Jersey, **2**, 228; candidate for nomination as President, 255; nominated, 259; elected, 302; nominated for reelection, 350.
- Wing, Simon**, nominated for President, **1**, 513; popular votes for, 517.
- Wirt, William**, nominated for President, **1**, 156; electoral votes for, 164.
- Wisconsin**, admitted to the Union, **1**, 242; disputed electoral votes, in 1857, 275; in 1877, 391.
- Woman suffrage**, in platforms, **1**, 340, 348, 371, 426, 441, 445, 462, 466, 467, 506, 514, 530, 536; **2**, 36, 38, 167, 198, 281, 284, 289, 345, 364, 367.
- Wood, Fernando, 1**, 282.
- Woodford, Stewart L.**, **2**, 12, 15.
- Wool, tariff on, 1**, 429, 473, 524, 534.
- Woolley, John G.**, nominated for President, **2**, 56.
- Wright, Silas**, nominated for Vice-President, and declines, **1**, 213; war upon him, in New York, 229; dies, 230.
- Wyoming**, State of, admitted to the Union, **1**, 518.
- Yancey, William L.**, resolution offered by, **1**, 236.

INDEX TO APPENDIX

INDEX TO APPENDIX

- Alaska**, policy in, in platforms, 401, 435, 446, 496
- American Federation of Labor**, Executive Council of, endorses La Follette as candidate for the Presidency, 470
- American Party**, in the election of 1920, 423; convention of, in 1924, 455
- Armaments**, reduction of, advocated in platform, 447
- Armenia**, in platforms, 377, 401
- Aviation, commercial**, advocated in platforms, 435, 449, 495
- Baker, Newton D.**, at Democratic Convention in 1924, 470
- Barnum, Richard C.**, nominated for Vice-President in 1920, 403
- Benson, Allan L.**, nominated for President in 1916, 360
- Bouck, William**, resigns nomination for Vice-Presidency in 1924, 462, 466
- Brehm, Miss Marie Caroline**, nominated for Vice-President in 1924, 453
- Brown, Fred H.**, candidate for nomination for the Presidency in 1924, 469
- Bryan, Charles Wayland**, nominated for Vice-President, in 1924, 437, 470; candidate for nomination for the Presidency in 1924, 469
- Budget system**, approved and advocated in platforms, 379, 381, 393, 419, 425
- Bureaucracy**, extension of, opposed in platforms, 446, 498
- Burton, Theodore E.**, candidate for nomination for the Presidency in 1916, 373
- Campaign funds**, in platforms, 445
- Canvass**, of 1916, 373; of 1920, 420-22; of 1924, 469-71
- Capitalism**, denounced by Socialist parties, 339, 408, 412, 413, 414, 466-68, 474, 478, 479
- Child labor**, in platforms, 348, 367, 387, 395, 396, 406, 418, 431, 449, 452, 464, 465, 475
- China**, in party platform, 488
- Christensen, Parley Parker**, nominated for President, in 1920, 414
- Civil Service Reform**, in politics and platforms, 345, 370, 386, 418, 426, 445, 455
- Colvin, David Leigh**, nominated for Vice-President in 1920, 417
- Commonwealth Land Party** (formerly Single-Tax Party), convention of, in 1924, 458
- Conference for Progressive Political Action**, 466, 470
- Conference on limitation of armaments**, 427
- Conservation of natural resources**, 345, 349, 355, 366, 370, 385, 387, 434, 443, 451, 454, 493, 505, 511
- Conventions, national party:**
 American Party, in 1924, 455
 Commonwealth Land Party (formerly Single Tax Party), in 1924, 458
 Democratic Party, in 1916, 350, 373; in 1920, 388, 421; in 1924, 437, 469, 471; in 1928, 498
 Farmer-Labor Party, in 1920, 414, 422; in 1924, 466
 Farmer-Labor Progressive Party, in 1924, 462
 National Independent Party, in 1924, 462
 Progressive Party, in 1916, 346, 373; in 1924, 450, 470
 Prohibition Party, in 1916, 367; in 1920, 417, 422; in 1924, 453; in 1928, 510
 Republican Party, in 1916, 340, 373; in 1920, 375, 420, 421; in 1924, 424, 469; in 1928, 482
 Single Tax Party, in 1920, 403, 422
 Socialist Party, in 1916, 360; in 1920, 404, 422; in 1924, 450; in 1928, 473
 Socialist-Labor Party, in 1916, 339; in

- 1920, 412, 422; in 1924, 452; in 1928, 478
 Workers' Party of America, in 1924, 466
 Workers' (Communist) Party, in 1924, 466; in 1928, 482
- Coolidge, Calvin**, nominated for Vice-President in 1920, 375, 421; elected as Vice-President, 422; sits in Harding's Cabinet, 422; succeeds Harding as President, 468; nominated for President in 1924, 424, 469; elected as President, 470
- Cox, James Middleton**, nominated for President in 1920, 388, 421; candidate for nomination for the Presidency in 1924, 469
- Cox, William W.**, nominated for President in 1920, 412
- Crowley, Jeremiah D.**, nominated for Vice-President in 1928, 478
- Cummins, Albert B.**, candidate for nomination for the Presidency in 1916, 373
- Curtis, Charles**, nominated for Vice-President in 1928, 482
- Davis, John William**, nominated for President in 1924, 437, 469, 470
- Davis, Jonathan M.**, candidate for nomination for the Presidency in 1924, 469
- Dawes, Charles Gates**, declines to sit in President Coolidge's Cabinet, 422 *n.*; nominated for Vice-President in 1924, 424, 469; elected as Vice-President, 470
- Dawes Commission**, 427
- Debs, Eugene Victor**, nominated for President in 1920, 404
- Defence, National**, in platforms, 342, 348, 353, 434
- Democratic Party**, convention of, in 1916, 350, 373; in 1920, 388, 421; in 1924, 437, 469, 471; in 1928, 498
- Divorce laws**, uniform, in party platform, 371
- Economy**, advocated in platforms, 381, 392, 393, 415, 425
- Edgerton, James Arthur**, nominated for Vice-President in 1928, 510
- Education**, question of, in platforms, 387, 418, 431, 444, 456
- Electoral votes**, in election of 1916, 373, 374; in election of 1920, 422, 423; in election of 1924, 472
- Fairbanks, Charles W.**, candidate for nomination for the Presidency in 1916, 373; nominated for Vice-President in 1916, 340, 373
- Farls, Herman Preston**, nominated for President in 1924, 453
- Farmer, the**, consideration of, in platforms, 379, 380, 394, 395, 414, 415, 419, 429, 430, 441, 451, 454, 464, 466-68, 473, 476, 477, 488-90, 501-03, 511
- Farmer-Labor Party**, convention of, in 1920, 414, 422
- Farmer-Labor Progressive Party**, convention of, in 1924, 462
- Federal Reserve Act**, in platforms, 379, 382, 391
- Ferris, Woodbridge N.**, candidate for nomination for the Presidency in 1924, 469
- Fiscal policies**, advocated in platforms, 381-83, 392, 408, 443, 500
- Flood control**, recommended in platforms, 399, 433, 448, 492, 504, 509
- Foreign debts**, consideration of, in platforms, 428, 486
- Foreign policies**, advocated in platforms, 376, 377, 400, 407, 408, 426, 427, 447, 452, 465, 487, 488, 503
- Foster, William Zebulon**, nominated for President in 1924, 462, 466; in 1928, 482
- Gillhaus, August**, nominated for Vice-President in 1920, 412
- Gitlow, Benjamin**, nominated for Vice-President in 1924, 462, 466; in 1928, 482
- Glass, Carter**, candidate for nomination for the Presidency in 1924, 469
- Hanly, J. Frank**, nominated for President in 1916, 367
- Harding, Warren Gamaliel**, nominated for President in 1920, 375, 420; elected to Presidency, 422
- Harrison, Caleb**, nominated for Vice-President in 1916, 339
- Harrison, Pat.**, candidate for nomination for the presidency in 1924, 469

- Harrop, Roy M.**, nominated for Vice-President in 1924, 462
- Hawaii**, in platforms, 388, 401, 434, 446, 496
- Hayes, Max (imllian) Sebastien**, nominated for Vice-President in 1920, 414
- High cost of living**, in platforms, 343, 348, 366, 382, 383, 392, 393
- Hoover, Herbert Clark**, candidate for nomination for Vice-Presidency in 1924, 469; nominated for President in 1928, 482
- Hughes, Charles E.**, nominated for President in 1916, 340, 373
- Immigration**, restriction of, in politics and platforms, 370, 384, 401, 434, 446, 456, 496, 507
- Industrial system**, a new, advocated by Socialist Parties, 408-14, 466-68. *See CAPITALISM*
- "Initiative,"** in legislation, 364
- "Injunction, government by,"** 365
- Injunctions**, in platforms, 476, 505
- Intoxicating liquor**, in politics and platforms, 367, 417, 453, 494, 507, 510, 511, 512
- International disputes**, in platform, 487
- Johns, Frank T.**, nominated for President in 1924, 452
- Johnson, Hiram**, candidate for nomination for the Presidency in 1920, 420; in 1924, 469
- Kendrick, John B.**, candidate for nomination for the Presidency in 1924, 469
- Kenyon, William S.**, candidate for nomination for Vice-Presidency, 469
- Kirkpatrick, George R.**, nominated for Vice-President in 1916, 360
- Labor questions**, in politics and platforms, 345, 360, 366, 371, 380, 387, 395, 396, 415, 416, 431, 449, 460, 464, 466, 491, 505
- La Follette, Robert Marion**, nominated for President in 1924, 450, 462, 470
- Land rent**, the use of, for public expenses, advocated in platforms, 403, 458-61
- Landrith, Ira D.**, nominated for Vice-President in 1916, 367
- League of Nations**, in platforms, 377, 378, 389, 417, 426, 448; as an issue in 1920, 420-22, 488
- Lenroot, Irvine L.**, candidate for nomination for the Presidency in 1920, 421
- Limitation of armaments**, advocated in platforms, 427, 504
- Lincoln, John Cromwell**, nominated for Vice-President in 1924, 458
- Lodge, Henry Cabot**, 389
- Lowden, Frank O.**, candidate for nomination for the Presidency in 1920, 420; nominated for Vice-President in 1924, but declines to run, 469
- McAdoo, William G.**, candidate for nomination for the Presidency in 1920, 421; in 1924, 469
- Macauley, Robert Colvin**, nominated for President in 1920, 403
- McDonald, Duncan**, nominated for President in 1924, but resigns, 462, 466
- Marshall, Thomas R.**, nominated for reelection, 350; reelected, 373
- Maurer, James Hudson**, nominated for Vice-President in 1928, 473
- Merchant marine**, encouragement of, in platforms, 344, 349, 379, 384, 398, 432
- Mexico, policies in**, in platforms, 376, 377, 400, 427, 487
- Mines**, public ownership of, 366
- Monopolies**, condemned in platform, 449
- Monroe Doctrine**, in politics and platforms, 342, 355, 362, 369, 504
- National Independence Party**, convention of, 462
- Nationalization of natural resources**, advocated in platform, 474
- Nations, Gilbert Owen**, nominated for President in 1924, 455
- Navy**, enlargement of, in platforms, 342, 348, 353, 363, 368
- Nicaragua**, in party platforms, 477, 488, 504
- Palmer, A. Mitchell**, candidate for nomination for the Presidency in 1920, 421

- Pan-American Conference**, in 1906, 355
- Parcel post**, advocated in platforms, 351, 415, 419
- Parker, John M.**, nominated for Vice-President in 1916, 346, 373
- Permanent Court of International Justice**, 426
- Philippine Islands**, attitude of parties relative to the acquisition and retention of, 342, 364, 370, 401, 435, 446, 465, 509
- Pickett, Leander L.**, nominated for Vice-President in 1924, 455
- Pinchot, Gifford**, declines nomination of American Party, 455
- Platforms, party:**
 American Party, in 1924, 456
 Commonwealth Land Party (formerly Single Tax Party), in 1924, 458
 Democratic Party, in 1916, 350; in 1920, 388; in 1924, 437; in 1928, 498
 Farmer-Labor Party, in 1920, 414
 Farmer-Labor Progressive Party, in 1924, 462
 Progressive Party, in 1916, 346; in 1924, 450
 Prohibition Party, in 1916, 367; in 1920, 417; in 1924, 453; in 1928, 510
 Republican Party, in 1916, 346; in 1920, 375; in 1924, 424; in 1928, 482
 Single Tax Party, in 1920, 403
 Socialist Party, in 1916, 360; in 1920, 404; in 1924, 450; in 1928, 473
 Socialist-Labor Party, in 1916, 339; in 1920, 412; in 1924, 452; in 1928, 478
 Workers' Party of America, in 1924, 466
 Workers' (Communist) Party, 482
- Popular votes for President**, in 1916, 374; in 1920, 423; in 1924, 472
- Porto Rico**, in platform, 509
- Postal service**, in platforms, 386, 398, 402
- President of the United States**, proposed election of, by direct popular vote, 365; eligibility for reelection, 371
- Privileged class**, diatribes against, in platforms, 408-12, 462, 463, 474
- Progressive Party**, convention of, in 1916, 346, 373; in 1924, 450
- Progressive Political Action, Conference for**, 470
- Prohibition Party**, convention of, in 1916, 367; in 1920, 417, 422; in 1924, 453; in 1928, 510
- Protection**, of American citizens abroad, 340, 342, 346
- Public ownership**, advocated in platforms, 406, 410, 451, 464, 465; opposed in platforms, 432
- Ralston, Samuel M.**, candidate for nomination for the Presidency in 1924, 469
- Randall, Charles H.**, candidate for nomination for Vice-Presidency in 1924, but withdraws, 455
- Recall**, of public officers, 364
- Reclamation**, urged in platforms, 385, 386, 399, 435, 443, 448, 495, 504, 505
- Referendum**, in platform, 364
- Reimer, Arthur E.**, nominated for President in 1916, 339
- Republican Party**, convention of, in 1916, 346, 373; in 1920, 375, 420, 421; in 1924, 424, 469; in 1928, 482
- Reynolds, Verne L.**, nominated for Vice-President in 1924, 452; for President in 1928, 478
- Ritchie, Albert C.**, candidate for nomination for the Presidency in 1924, 469
- Robinson, Joseph Taylor**, candidate for nomination for the Presidency in 1924, 469; nominated for Vice-President in 1928, 498
- Roosevelt, Franklin Delano**, nominated for Vice-President in 1920, 388, 421
- Roosevelt, Theodore**, declines nomination for Presidency in 1916, 346, 373
- Root, Elihu**, candidate for nomination for the Presidency in 1916, 373
- Rural credits**, in platforms, 344, 348, 356
- Russia**, recognition of, demanded in platforms, 465, 477
- Saulsbury, Willard**, candidate for nomination for the Presidency in 1924, 469

- Senate**, abolition of the, advocated by Socialists, 365
- Sherman, Lawrence Y.**, candidate for nomination for the Presidency in 1916, 373
- Ship subsidies**, 344, 369
- Shipping policy**, in platform, 444
- Silzer, George S.**, candidate for nomination for the Presidency in 1924, 469
- Single Tax Party**, convention of, in 1920, 403, 422; in the election of 1920, 423
- Smith, Alfred Emanuel**, candidate for nomination for the Presidency in 1920, 421; in 1924, 469; nominated for President in 1928, 498
- Socialist-Labor Party**, convention of, in 1916, 339; in 1920, 412, 422; in 1924, 452; in 1928, 478
In the election of 1920, 423; in the election of 1924, 452
- Socialist Party**, convention of, in 1916, 360; in 1920, 404, 422; in 1924, 450; in 1928, 473
In the election of 1920, 422; endorses "Progressive" Platform in 1924, 470
- Soldiers and sailors**, proper treatment of, advocated in platforms, 386, 397, 415, 433, 493, 506
- Stedman, Seymour**, nominated for Vice-President in 1920, 404
- Supreme Court**, abolition of its power to pronounce acts unconstitutional, advocated, 365
- Sweet, William E.**, candidate for nomination for the Presidency in 1924, 469
- Tariff, the**, in politics and platforms, 343, 349, 350, 351, 384, 393, 428, 429, 440, 485, 500
- Tariff Commission**, advocated, in platforms, 343, 349, 351, 393
- Taxation**, change in system of, advocated in platforms, 382, 392, 408, 425, 426, 440, 441, 457
- Telegraphs and telephones**, control of, by government, in platforms, 365, 379, 386
- Thomas, Norman**, nominated for President in 1928, 473
- Thompson, Houston**, candidate for nomination for the Presidency in 1924, 469
- Transportation**, in platforms, 378, 383, 397-99, 431, 432, 442, 491, 492, 493, 504, 505
- Underwood, Oscar W.**, candidate for nomination for the Presidency in 1924, 469
- Upshaw, William D.**, declines nomination of American Party, 455
- Varney, William Frederick**, nominated for President in 1928, 510
- Versailles Treaty**, in platform, 389, 390
- Wage system**, denounced by Socialist-Labor Party, 339, 412, 413
- War**, condemned in platform, 447
- Wallace, William J.**, nominated for President in 1924, 458
- Watkins, Aaron Sherman**, nominated for President in 1920, 417
- Weeks, John W.**, candidate for nomination for the Presidency in 1916, 373
- Wheeler, Burton Kendall**, nominated Vice-President in 1924, 450, 470
- Wilson, Woodrow**, nominated for reelection to the Presidency in 1916, 350, 373; reelected 373
- Woman suffrage**, in platforms, 345, 364, 367, 373, 379, 386, 396, 407, 418
- Wood, Leonard**, candidate for nomination for the Presidency in 1920, 420
- Workers, the**, consideration of, in platforms, 409, 462, 463, 466-68, 474, 478-80
- Workers' Party of America**, convention of, in 1924, 466
- Workers' (Communist) Party**, nominations for President and Vice-President made by, in 1924, 462, 466 (cf. 466); convention of, in 1928, 482
- Zahnd, John**, nominated for President in 1924, but withdraws, 462

